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#### Abstract

The main aim of this paper is to define the generalized Riesz-dual sequence from a g -Bessel sequence with respect to a pair of g-orthonormal bases. We characterize exactly properties of the first sequence in terms of the associated one, which yields duality relations for the abstract g-frame setting. ©2017 all rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Duality principles in Gabor theory such as the Ron-Shen duality principle [13] and the Wexler-Raz biorthogonality relations [17] play a fundamental role for analyzing Gabor systems. Casazza et al. in [4] introduced a general approach to derive duality principles in abstract frame theory. For each sequence in a separable Hilbert space they defined a Riesz-dual sequence dependent only on two orthonormal bases. They characterize exactly properties of the first sequence in terms of the Riesz-dual sequence, which yields duality relations for the frame setting. Frames were first introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [9] in the context of nonharmonic Fourier series and reintroduced in 1986 by Daubechies et al. in [8]. Currently, frames play important roles in many applications in mathematics, science, and engineering such as signal processing, image processing, data compression, etc.

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be orthonormal bases for a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be any sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ for which $\sum_{i \in I}\left|\left\langle f_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}<\infty$ for all $\mathfrak{j} \in I$. Then the Riesz-dual sequence (R-dual sequence) of $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ as the sequence $\left\{\mathscr{W}_{j}{ }^{\mathrm{f}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is given by:

$$
\mathscr{W}_{j}^{f}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\langle f_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle h_{i}, \quad \forall j \in I .
$$

This simple construction gives a powerful tool for deriving duality principles in general frame theory. There exists a symmetric relation between the sequences $\left\{\mathscr{W}_{j}^{\dagger}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ as follows:

$$
f_{i}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\langle\mathscr{W}_{j}^{f}, h_{i}\right\rangle e_{j}, \quad \forall i \in I .
$$

[^0]In particular, this shows that $\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is the R-dual sequence for $\left\{\mathscr{W}_{j}^{f}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. We refer the reader to the articles $[6,7,14,18]$ for an introduction about the theory and applications of R -dual sequences.

Recently, Sun in $[15,16]$ and Casazza and Kutyniok in [3] introduced a generalization of frames which covers many other recent generalizations of frames, e.g., bounded quasi-projectors, frames of subspaces, outer frames, oblique frames, pseudo-frames, and a class of time-frequency localization operators. Sun showed that all of the above applications of frames are special cases of generalized frames.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be two separable Hilbert spaces and let $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of closed subspaces of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathrm{V}_{i}\right)$ denote the collection of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathrm{V}_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathrm{I}$. Then, $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in \mathrm{~B}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right): i \in \mathrm{I}\right\}$ is a generalized frame or simply a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ if there exist constants $0<\mathrm{C} \leqslant \mathrm{D}<\infty$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\|f\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \leqslant D\|f\|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $C$ and $D$ are called g-frame bounds. If only the right-hand inequality of (1.1) is required, we call it a g-Bessel sequence. Since almost all applications require a finite model for their numerical treatment, we restrict ourselves to a finite-dimensional space in the following examples.

Example 1.1. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{2}=\ldots=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{n}+1}$. Define

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \Lambda_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Lambda_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then, the set $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a $g$-frame for $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A=2$ and $B=n+1$. To see this explicitly, note that for any $f=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=2\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}+3\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}+\ldots+(n+1)\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

From this, we have

$$
2\|f\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \leqslant(n+1)\|f\|^{2}
$$

In frames theory an input signal is represented by a collection of scalar coefficients that measure the projection of that signal onto each frame vector. The representation space employed in this theory equals $\ell^{2}(\mathrm{I})$. However, in g-frames theory an input signal is represented by a collection of vector coefficients that represent the projection (not just the projection energy) onto each subspace. Therefore the representation space employed in this setting is

$$
\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}=\left\{\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \mid \quad g_{i}^{\prime} \in V_{i}, \sum_{i \in I}\left\|g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

In order to analyze a signal $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e., to map it into the representation space, the analysis operator $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ : $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ given by $T_{\Lambda} f=\left\{\Lambda_{i} f\right\}_{i \in I}$ is applied. The associated synthesis operator, which provides a mapping from the representation space to $\mathcal{H}$, is defined to be the adjoint operator $T_{\Lambda}^{*}:\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}$, which is given by $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*}\left(\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}\right)=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$. By composing $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*}$ we obtain the g-frame operator $\mathrm{S}_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \mathrm{S}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*} \mathrm{~T}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{f}=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} \mathrm{f}$, which is a positive, self-adjoint and invertible operator and
$C \leqslant\left\|S_{\Lambda}\right\| \leqslant D$. The canonical dual g-frame for $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is defined by $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ where $\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}=\Lambda_{i} S_{\Lambda}^{-1}$ which is also a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $\frac{1}{D}$ and $\frac{1}{C}$ as its lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. Also we have

$$
f=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \widehat{\Lambda}_{i} f=\sum_{i \in I} \widehat{\Lambda}_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}
$$

Moreover, $\left\{\Lambda_{i} S_{\Lambda}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a Parseval $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$.
Generalized Riesz-dual sequence or simply g-R-dual sequence is a natural generalization of R-dual sequence which provides a powerful tool in the analysis of duality relations in general g-frame theory. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of Riesz-dual sequence for g-frames. We give characterizations of $g-R-d u a l$ sequences and prove that $g-R-d u a l$ sequences share many useful properties with R-dual sequences. In this article, we show that in fact for each sequence of operators we can construct a corresponding sequence of operators with a kind of duality relation between them. This construction is used to prove duality principles in g-frame theory, which can be regarded as general versions of several well-known duality principles for g-frames. We also give a generalized version of Riesz-dual sequences.

The content of this paper is as follows: In the rest of this section we will briefly recall the necessary parts from g-bases, g-orthonormal bases, and g-Riesz bases. For more information we refer to [1, 2, 5, 10, 11]. In Section 2, we define the $g$-R-dual sequence from a $g$-Bessel sequence with respect to a pair of g-orthonormal bases as generalization of Riesz-dual sequence. In this section, we characterize to which extent the g-R-dual sequence of a g-Bessel sequence depends on the chosen g-orthonormal bases. In Section 3, first we obtain the g-frame conditions for a sequence of operators and its g-R-dual sequence. We also characterize those pairs of g-frames and their g-R-dual sequences, which are equivalent (unitarily equivalent). Finally, Section 4 deals with duality principle for g-frames. In this section we study properties of dual g-frames and canonical dual g-frames.

Definition 1.2. A generalized Schauder basis or simply a g-basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{W}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of onto operators $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there exist unique vectors $g_{j} \in W_{j}, i \in I$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, there exist unique operators $\Lambda_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right)$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f=\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_{j}^{*} \Gamma_{j} f,
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are g-biorthogonal, i.e., $\Lambda_{i} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}$ for all $i, j \in I, g_{j} \in W_{j}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ itself forms a $g$-basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ that so-called dual g-basis of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. A g-basis is an unconditional $g$-basis, if the series in (1.2) converges unconditionally. Consequently, for a g-basis the ordering in (1.2) can be crucial. If $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-basis only for its closed linear span, we call it a $g$-basic sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Definition 1.3. Let $\left\{\Xi_{i} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{i}\right) \mid i \in I\right\}$ be a sequence of operators. Then
(i) $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-complete set for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, if $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Xi_{i}^{*}\left(W_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I}$.
(ii) $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $g$-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, if $\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\delta_{i j} I_{W_{j}}$ for all $i, j \in I$.
(iii) A g-complete and g-orthonormal system $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is called a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Definition 1.4. A sequence $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ is called a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, if $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-complete set for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and there exist constants $0<A \leqslant B<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant B \sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sequences $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. We define the $g$-Riesz basis bounds for $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ to be the largest number $A$ and the smallest number B such that this inequality (1.3) holds. If $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-Riesz basis only for $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, we call it a g-Riesz basic sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{W}_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.

The following well-known characterization of g-orthonormal bases is sometimes more useful which is taken from [2].
Lemma 1.5. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\Xi$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$.
(ii) $\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{\mathfrak{i}}^{*} \Xi_{\mathfrak{i}}=I_{\mathcal{H}}$.
(iii) $\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} f\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}$.
(iv) $\|f\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Xi_{i} f\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}$.
(v) $<\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}>=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}<\Xi_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{f}, \Xi_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}>, \quad \forall \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g} \in \mathcal{H}$.
(vi) If $\Xi_{i} f=0$ for all $i \in I$, then $f=0$.

For any given g-frame there is a natural procedure to construct a g-Riesz basis with the same g-frame bounds, see, e.g., [1] for a proof of this standard result.
Lemma 1.6. Let $\left\{\Xi_{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{U}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ a bounded bijective operator. Then the following items hold.
(i) The sequence $\left\{\Xi_{\mathfrak{j}} \mathrm{U}^{*}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a $g$-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ with $g$-frame operator UU* and optimal bounds $\frac{1}{\left\|\mathrm{U}^{-1}\right\|^{2}},\|\mathrm{U}\|^{2}$.
(ii) The dual $g$-Riesz basis of $\left\{\Xi_{\mathfrak{j}} \mathrm{U}^{*}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is $\left\{\Xi_{\mathfrak{j}} \mathrm{U}^{-1}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ with $g$-frame operator $\left(\mathrm{UU}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ and the optimal bounds are $\frac{1}{\|\mathrm{u}\|^{2}},\left\|\mathrm{U}^{-1}\right\|^{2}$.
(iii) Let $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with optimal bounds $A$, B. Then $\left\{\Xi_{\mathfrak{j}} S_{\Gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with optimal bounds $A$, B. The dual g-Riesz basis of $\left\{\Xi_{j} S_{\Gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is $\left\{\Xi_{j} S_{\Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{j \in I}$, with optimal bounds $\frac{1}{B}, \frac{1}{A}$.
(iv) Let $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-Riesz basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then $\left\{\Gamma_{j} S_{\Gamma}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$.
(v) Let $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, W_{j}\right) \mid j \in I\right\}$ be arbitrary sequence. If $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}=\mathcal{H}$ and

$$
\left\|\sum_{j \in I} \Gamma_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}
$$

then $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a $g$-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$.
Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a $g$-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. If $f=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$, then the coordinate representation of $f \in \mathcal{H}$ relative to the $g$-orthonormal basis $\Xi$ is $[f]_{\Xi}=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. In this case $\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathrm{I}} \in\left(\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \oplus \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ and $\|\mathrm{f}\|=\left\|[\mathrm{f}]_{\Xi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}$.
Definition 1.7. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\Xi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. The transition matrix from $\Xi$ to $\Xi^{\prime}$ is the matrix $B=\left[B_{i j}\right]$ whose $(i, j)$-entry is $B_{i j}=\Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Xi_{j}^{*}$ for all $i, j \in I$. We also have $B[f]_{\Xi}=[f]_{\Xi^{\prime}}$ where, $[f]_{\Xi}$ and $[f]_{\Xi^{\prime}}$ are the coordinate representation of an arbitrary vector $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in the basis $\Xi$ and $\Xi^{\prime}$, respectively. We show that the transition matrix from $\Xi^{\prime}$ to $\Xi$ is $B^{-1}=B^{*}$. Let $B^{*}=\left[B_{i j}^{*}\right]$, then $B_{i j}^{*}=\left(B_{j i}\right)^{*}=\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}$ for all $i, j \in I$. By Lemma 1.5 we have

$$
\left[B B^{*}\right]_{i j}=\sum_{k \in I} B_{i k} B_{k j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} E_{i}^{\prime} E_{k}^{*} E_{k} E_{j}^{\prime *}=E_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k \in I} E_{k}^{*} E_{k}\right) E_{j}^{\prime *}=E_{i}^{\prime} I_{\mathcal{H}} E_{j}^{\prime *}=E_{i}^{\prime} E_{j}^{\prime *}=\delta_{i j} I_{W_{j}}
$$

Similarly, $\left[B^{*} B\right]_{i j}=\delta_{i j} I_{W_{j}}$. This implies that $B B^{*}=B^{*} B=I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix.
Since almost all applications require a finite model for their numerical treatment, we restrict ourselves to a finite-dimensional space in the following example.

Example 1.8. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ and $W_{1}=W_{2}=\ldots=W_{n}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Define

$$
\Xi_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Xi_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

A direct calculation shows that $\left\|\Xi_{k}\right\|=1$ and $\Xi_{k} \Xi_{\ell}^{*}=\delta_{k \ell}$ for any $1 \leqslant k, \ell \leqslant n$. We also have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\|\Xi_{k} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left|z_{2 k-1}\right|^{2}+\left|z_{2 k}\right|^{2}\right)=\|f\|^{2}, \quad \forall f=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{2 n} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n} .
$$

Therefore $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is a $g$-orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. Similarly, the sequence $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ defined by

$$
\Psi_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Psi_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

is also a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and the matrix

$$
B=\left[\Psi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}\right]_{n \times n}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
A & & \overline{0} \\
& \ddots & \\
\overline{0} & & A
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is the transition matrix from $\Xi$ to $\Psi$. Hence, for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ we have $B[f]_{\Xi}=[f]_{\Psi}$.
Example 1.9. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ and $W_{1}=W_{2}=\ldots=W_{2 n}=C^{2}$. Define

$$
\Gamma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Gamma_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 n-1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 2 n
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Since, for every $g_{i}=\left(z_{2 i-1}, z_{2 i}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$, we have $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} i^{2}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}$. Thus $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a $g$-Riesz basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $g$-Riesz bounds 1 and $4 n^{2}$. Moreover, we can write $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}=\left\{\Xi_{i} U^{*}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, where U is a bounded bijective operator defined by

$$
\mathrm{U}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 \mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{\mathrm{k}}\right\}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\text {n }}$ is the g -orthonormal basis defined in Example 1.8.

## 2. The g-R-dual sequence

In this section we define the $g$-R-dual sequence from a sequence of operators. Then we exactly characterize to which extent the g -R-dual sequence of a g-Bessel sequence depends on the chosen g-orthonormal bases.
Definition 2.1. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow V_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be such that the series $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$ is convergent for all $\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$. For all $j \in I$, let

$$
\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow W_{j}, \quad \Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} .
$$

Then $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is called the generalized Riesz-dual sequence ( $g$ - R-dual sequence) for the sequence $\wedge$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$.

Notice that the hypothesis that the series $\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$ is convergent for all $\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ is always fulfilled if the sequence $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is $g$-Bessel sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$ and let $\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n},\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the $g$-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ defined in Example 1.8. Define

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Lambda_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then, $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with $g$-Bessel bound $B=3$. The g -R-dual sequence for the sequence $\Lambda$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\Gamma_{1}^{\wedge}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, \Gamma_{n}^{\wedge}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$

which is also a g -Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with g -Bessel bound $\mathrm{B}=3$.
Now, we need an algorithm to invert the process and calculate $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ from the sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be $g$-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$, respectively. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then, for all $\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}$,

$$
\Lambda_{i}=\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j}
$$

In particular, this shows that $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is the $g$-R-dual sequence for $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Psi, \Xi)$.
Proof. The definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ implies that for every $i, j \in I$

$$
\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\Psi_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \delta_{i k} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} .
$$

Therefore $\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$. Now, by Lemma 1.5 we have

$$
\Lambda_{i}=\Lambda_{i} I_{\mathcal{H}}=\Lambda_{i}\left(\sum_{j \in I} \Xi_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}=\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j} .
$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be a g-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ with the g -frame operator $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, respectively. Then the matrix representation of $S_{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\Xi$ is the matrix $\left[S_{\Lambda}\right]=\left[S_{i j}\right]$, with $S_{i j}=\Xi_{i} S_{\Lambda} \Xi_{j}^{*}$. Therefore

$$
\left[S_{\wedge}\right]:\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} \rightarrow\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}, \quad \text { with } \quad\left[S_{\wedge} f\right]_{\Xi}=\left[S_{\wedge}\right][f]_{\Xi}, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

Suppose $A=\left[\mathcal{A}_{i j}\right]$ with $A_{i j}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$, then $A^{*}=\left[A_{i j}^{*}\right]$ and $A_{i j}^{*}=\Xi_{i} \Lambda_{j}^{*}$ for all $i, j \in I$. Therefore

$$
A:\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} \rightarrow\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad A^{*} A:\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} \rightarrow\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}} .
$$

The matrix $A$ is called the analysis matrix for $\Lambda$ with respect to $\Xi$. A direct calculation shows that for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\mathcal{A}[f]_{\Xi}=T_{\text {人 }} f$. We also have

$$
\left[\mathcal{A}^{*} A\right]_{i j}=\sum_{k \in I}\left[\mathcal{A}^{*}\right]_{i k}[A]_{k j}=\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\Xi_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k}\right) \Xi_{j}^{*}=\Xi_{i} S_{\wedge} \Xi_{j}^{*}=S_{i j}=\left[S_{\wedge}\right]_{i j} .
$$

Thus, $A^{*} A=S_{\wedge}$, where $A^{*} A=S_{\wedge}$ means that $A^{*} A=\left[S_{\wedge}\right]$.

The following result is a generalization of [4, Proposition 3] to $g$-frames about dependence of the g-R-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in J}$ to choose the g-orthonormal bases $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}, \Xi^{\prime}=\left\{\Xi_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}, \Psi^{\prime}=\left\{\psi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be $g$-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ and let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Denote the analysis matrix for $\Lambda$ with respect to $\Xi$ by $A$ and the $g$ - $R$-dual sequences of $\Lambda$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$ and $\left(\Xi^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)$ by $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in J}$, respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}=\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}$ for all $j \in I$.
(ii) If B and C are the transition matrices from $\Xi$ to $\Xi^{\prime}$ and $\Psi$ to $\Psi^{\prime}$, respectively, then $\mathrm{AB}^{*}=\mathrm{CA}$.

Proof. Let $B=\left[B_{i j}\right]$ and $C=\left[C_{i j}\right]$. By the definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in J},\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in J}$ for every $i, j \in I$ we have $\Psi_{i}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*}$ and $\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}$. Since

$$
\left[A B^{*}\right]_{i j}=\sum_{k \in I} A_{i k} B_{k j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{k}^{*} \Xi_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\Lambda_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{k}^{*} \Xi_{k}\right) \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}=\Psi^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*}
$$

and

$$
[C A]_{i j}=\sum_{k \in I} C_{i k} A_{k j}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i}^{\prime} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{i}^{\prime} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\Psi_{i}^{\prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*},
$$

the conclusion follows.
Corollary 2.6. In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}=\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$, then $\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{*} A S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathrm{~B}^{*}=\mathrm{I}$, where I is the identity matrix.

Proof. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Definition 2.4 implies that $S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathcal{A}^{*} \mathcal{A}=I$. Thus, if $\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}=\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}$ for all $j \in I$, then by Theorem 2.5, $A B^{*}=C A$. This implies $B^{*}=S_{\Lambda}^{-1} A^{*} C A$. But $B$ has to be unitary, which yields $A^{*} C^{*} A S_{\Lambda}^{-1} B^{*}=I$.

Recall that two sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are called equivalent (unitarily equivalent) in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$, if there exists a bounded linear invertible (unitary) operator $\mathrm{T}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T \Gamma_{j}^{*}=\Gamma_{j}^{*}$ for all $j \in I$.

To have a better understanding of the different types of equivalency, we prove the following characterization result.

Theorem 2.7. In addition to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5, if $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$, respectively, then the following statements hold.
(i) If $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$, then $\left\{\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(A B^{*}\right)$.
(ii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$, if and only if

$$
A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right) .
$$

Moreover, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$, then the above is equivalent to $\mathrm{S}_{\Lambda}=$ $B S_{\wedge} B^{*}$.

Proof.
(i) First we observe that, for every $g^{\prime}=\left\{g_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k \in I} \in\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus V_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ we have

$$
\sum_{k \in I}\left\|g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k \in I}\left\langle g_{k}^{\prime}, g_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{k \in I}\left\langle\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime} \Psi_{i}^{\prime *} g_{i}^{\prime}, g_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{\prime *} g_{i}^{\prime}, \sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} g_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\|\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} g_{k}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{k \in \mathrm{I}} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} g_{k}^{\prime}=0 \Leftrightarrow g^{\prime}=0
$$

(Necessity). Suppose that $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, then there exists a bounded linear invertible operator $\mathrm{T}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{j}}, \quad \forall\left\{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}} \in\left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathrm{I}} \oplus W_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)_{\ell^{2}}
$$

Now, $\mathrm{Ag}=0$ with $\mathrm{g}=\left\{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$, if and only if

$$
T^{-1}\left(\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} A_{k j} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*}(A g)_{k}=0,
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}\left(A B^{*} g\right)_{k} & =\sum_{k \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}\left(\sum_{j \in I}\left[A B^{*}\right]_{k j} g_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k} A_{k i} B_{i j}^{*} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} \Xi^{\prime *}{ }_{j} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k}^{\prime \prime} \Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}\right) \\
& \left.=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k} \Lambda_{k} \Xi^{\prime *}{ }_{j} g_{j}=\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}={T T^{-1}}^{\left(\sum_{j \in I}\right.}\left(\Gamma_{j^{\prime}}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

if and only if $A B^{*} g=0$.
(Sufficiency). Suppose that $\operatorname{ker}(A)=\operatorname{ker}\left(A B^{*}\right)$. Define the operator $T$ as follows:
for all $\mathrm{J} \subset \mathrm{I}$ with $|\mathrm{J}|<\infty$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \in W_{j}(\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{J})$. Let $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}>0$ be the g -frame bounds for g -frame $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k} \Lambda_{k} \Xi^{\prime *}{ }_{j} g_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{k \in I} \Psi^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \Xi^{\prime \prime}{ }_{j} g_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{k \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant D\left\|\sum_{j \in J} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=D \sum_{j \in J}\left\|g_{j}\right\|^{2}=D\left\|\sum_{j \in J} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{D}{C} \sum_{k \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}=\frac{D}{C}\left\|\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{j \in J} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{D}{C}\left\|\sum_{j \in J}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\frac{D}{C}\left\|\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that T is a bounded linear operator. To prove invertibility of T we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right) & =\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{k}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{i}^{*} \Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *} g_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \Psi^{\prime *}{ }_{k}\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left[A B^{*}\right]_{k j} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{\prime *}\left(A B^{*} g\right)_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have

$$
\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \Psi_{k}^{*} \wedge_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\sum_{k \in I} \Psi_{k}^{*}(A g)_{k}
$$

Hence,

$$
\mathrm{T}\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}=0
$$

This implies that $T$ is invertible operator. Now, the g-completeness of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ implies that $T$ has an extension invertible on $\mathcal{H}$ and $T\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ for all $j \in I$.
(ii) First, we prove $\left[A^{*} A\right]_{i j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}$ and $\left[\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)\right]_{i j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\prime \wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*}$. To see this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} & =\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Psi_{m}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*}\right)=\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \delta_{k m} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*}=\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} A_{i k}^{*} A_{k j}=\left[A^{*} A\right]_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*} & =\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Psi_{m}^{\prime *} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \delta_{k m} \Xi_{i}^{\prime} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Lambda_{m} \Xi_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\prime *}=\sum_{k \in I}\left(\Lambda_{k} \Xi_{i}^{\prime *}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda_{k} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(\sum_{n \in I} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{n}^{*} \Xi_{n} \Xi_{i}^{\prime *}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Lambda_{k} \Xi_{m}^{*} \Xi_{m} \Xi_{j}^{\prime *}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(\sum_{n \in I} A_{k n} B_{n i}^{*}\right)^{*}\left(\sum_{m \in I} A_{k m} B_{m j}^{*}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \in I}\left(A B^{*}\right)_{\mathfrak{i k}}^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)_{k j}=\left[\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)\right]_{\mathfrak{i j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)$. Define the operator $T$ as follows:

$$
T: \operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I} \rightarrow \operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I^{\prime}} \quad T\left(\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right)=\sum_{j \in J}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}
$$

for all finite subsets $J \subset I$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}(j \in J)$. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$ as $f_{1}=\sum_{j \in J_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}$ and $f_{2}=\sum_{j \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{2 j}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathrm{Tf}_{1}, \mathrm{Tf}_{2}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sum_{j \in \mathrm{~J}_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j \in J_{1}} \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left\langle\Gamma_{k}^{\prime \wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{j \in J_{1}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{1 j}, \sum_{k \in J_{2}}\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{2 k}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $T$ is a bounded linear surjective isometry operator. Thus, the g-completeness of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ implies that $T$ has an extension isometry on $\mathcal{H}$ and $T\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}=\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime \wedge}\right)^{*}$ for all $j \in I$. This shows that $\Gamma$ is unitarily equivalent to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. The converse implication is obvious. Finally, if $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, then, since $\mathcal{A}^{*} A=S_{\Lambda}$, thus

$$
S_{\Lambda}=A^{*} A=\left(A B^{*}\right)^{*}\left(A B^{*}\right)=B A^{*} A B^{*}=B S_{\Lambda} B^{*}
$$

## 3. Characterizations of equivalence of the $g$-R-dual sequence

In this section we first characterize all sequences with lower g-frame bound. Next, we obtain the gframe conditions for a sequence of operators and its g-R-dual sequence. We also characterize those pairs of $g$-frames and their $g$-R-dual sequences, which are equivalent (unitarily equivalent).

Recall that a family $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, if it is a g-frame for $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$.

There exists a characterization of frames which keeps the information about the frame bounds ([5, Lemma 5.5.5]). A similar result holds in g-frame situation.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i} \in B\left(\mathcal{H}, V_{i}\right): i \in I\right\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ with $g$-frame bounds A and B .
(ii) The synthesis operator $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*}$ is well-defined on $\left(\sum_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \oplus \mathrm{V}_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ such that:

$$
A\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leqslant\left\|T_{\Lambda}^{*} g^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}, \quad \forall g^{\prime} \in\left(\operatorname{ker}_{\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*}}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from [5, Lemma 5.5.5].
The next result shows a basic connection between a sequence of operators and its g-R-dual sequence which will be used frequently in what follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then for every $\left\{\mathrm{g}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}} \in$ $\left(\sum_{j \in I} \oplus W_{j}\right)_{\ell^{2}},\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus V_{i}\right)_{\ell^{2}}$ satisfying $f=\sum_{j \in I} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}$ and $h=\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge} h\right\|^{2}
$$

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{j \in I}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}=\left\langle\sum_{i \in I} \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} f, \sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} f, \Psi_{i} \Psi_{j}^{*} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I}\left\langle\Lambda_{i} f, \delta_{i j} \Lambda_{j} f\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in I}\left\|\Lambda_{i} f\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the second claim follows from Theorem 2.3.
Corollary 3.3. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then

$$
\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}^{*}\left([f]_{\Xi}\right)\right\|=\left\|T_{\wedge} f\right\|_{\ell^{2},} \quad\left\|T_{\wedge}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)\right\|=\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\wedge}} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}
$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.2.
There exists an interesting relation between the synthesis operator of $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and the span of $\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$, which will turn out to be very useful in the sequel.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$. Then the following statements hold.
(i) $\mathrm{f} \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\right)^{\perp}$ if and only if $[\mathrm{f}]_{\Psi} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\wedge}^{*}$.
(ii) $\mathrm{f} \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{j}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\right)^{\perp}$ if and only if $[\mathrm{f}]_{\Xi} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}^{*}$.

Proof. Let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{H}$. First for each $j \in J$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$ we observe that

$$
\left\langle f,\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in J}\left\langle f, \Psi_{i}^{*} \Lambda_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} f, \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right), \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle
$$

Since $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is a $g$-orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I},\left\langle T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right), \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=0$ for all $j \in I$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$, if and only if $T_{\Lambda}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)=0$. Thus, $f \in\left(\operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ is equivalent to $[f]_{\Psi} \in \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}$. Similarly, the second claim follows from Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.5. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a $g$-Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ with $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Lambda_{j}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}^{*}
$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.4.
The next result shows a kind of equilibrium between a sequence of operators and its R-dual sequence. It can be viewed as a general version of [4, Proposition 13].

Corollary 3.6. The following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.
(ii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.
(iii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a $g$-Riesz basic sequence with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ with $g$-frame bounds $A, B$.

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). The Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 conclude that $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a g-frame sequence with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with g-frame bounds $A, B$ if and only if

$$
A\left\|[f]_{\Psi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leqslant\left\|T_{\wedge}^{*}\left([f]_{\Psi}\right)\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\left\|[f]_{\Psi}\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2}
$$

for all $f \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$. Now, Corollary 3.3 implies

$$
A\|f\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|T_{\Gamma^{\wedge}} f\right\|_{\ell^{2}}^{2} \leqslant B\|f\|^{2}
$$

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). This equivalence follows immediately from Theorem 3.2.

The dimension condition in Corollary 3.5 will play a crucial role for the $g$-R-dual sequence. Using Corollary 3.5 we can derive a simple characterization of a g-Riesz basic sequence being a g-R-dual sequence of a g-frame in the tight case.

Theorem 3.7. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a A-tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ and let $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ be an A-tight $g$-Riesz basic sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a g -R-dual sequence of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ with respect to $(\Xi, \Psi)$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The necessity of the condition in (3.1) follows from Corollary 3.5. Now, assume that (3.1) holds. Then, according to Lemma 1.6 the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Suppose that $\Xi=\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Psi=\left\{\Psi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are g-orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, respectively. Consider the $g$-R-dual $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ of $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to ( $\Xi, \Psi$, i.e., $\Theta_{j}=\sum_{i \in I} \Xi_{j} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i}, j \in I$. By Corollary $3.6\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is an A-tight g-Riesz basic sequence with respect to
$\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and hence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is also a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. By Corollary 3.5 and (3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Theta_{\mathfrak{j}}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}\right)^{\perp} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}=\{0\}$, the g-orthonormality of the sequences $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Theta_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ implies that there exists unitary operator

$$
\mathrm{U}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \text { by } \quad \Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}=\Theta_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{U}^{*}, \quad \forall \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}
$$

In case $\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} \neq\{0\}$, letting $\left\{\Phi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ be g-orthonormal bases for

$$
\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Theta_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}
$$

with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, respectively, (3.2) implies that there exists unitary operator

$$
\mathrm{U}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad \text { by } \quad \Gamma_{j}=\Theta_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{U}^{*}, \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{j}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{U}^{*} \quad \forall \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I}
$$

In both cases, we have

$$
\Gamma_{j}=\Theta_{j} \mathrm{U}^{*}=\left(\sum_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \Xi_{\mathrm{j}} \wedge_{i}^{*} \Psi_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{u}^{*}=\sum_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}} \Xi_{\mathrm{j}} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{U}^{*}, \quad \forall j \in \mathrm{I},
$$

which shows that $\left\{\Gamma_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-R-dual sequence of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ with respect to $\left\{\Xi_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Psi_{i} U^{*}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
The following result is about different types of equivalence of $g$-frames, which is taken from [12]. This result will moreover be employed in several proofs in the sequel.
Proposition 3.8. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be Parseval $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$, respectively. Then $\Lambda$ is unitarily equivalent to $\Lambda^{\prime}$ if and only if the analysis operators $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$ have the same range. Likewise, two g-frames with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ are equivalent if and only if their analysis operators have the same range.

In the following we characterize those pairs of g-frames and their g-R-dual sequences, which are equivalent (unitarily equivalent).
Theorem 3.9. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then
(i) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\prime}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if

$$
\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\wedge^{\prime}}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}
$$

(ii) $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if $\mathrm{S}_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}=S_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}$;
(iii) $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge^{\prime}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if $\mathrm{S}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{S}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}$.

Proof.
(i) By Proposition 3.8, $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are equivalent in $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, if and only if $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{T}_{\wedge}}=$ $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}}$ and hence $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{T}_{\Lambda}^{*}=\operatorname{ker}_{\mathrm{T}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}^{*}}^{*}$. Now the claim follows from Theorem 3.4.
(ii) Using Propositions 3.1 and $3.8,\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{\mathfrak{i}}^{\prime}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{\prime *} g_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall\left\{g_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i \in I} \in\left(\operatorname{ker} T_{\Lambda}^{*}\right)^{\perp}
$$

By Theorem 3.2, this in turn is equivalent to

$$
\left\langle S_{\Gamma^{\wedge}} f, f\right\rangle=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}{ }^{\wedge} f\right\|^{2}=\sum_{j \in I}\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge^{\prime}} f\right\|^{2}=\left\langle S_{\Gamma^{\prime}} f, f\right\rangle
$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $g_{i}^{\prime}=\Psi_{i} f(i \in I)$. It follows that $S_{\Gamma^{\wedge}}=S_{\Gamma^{\wedge^{\prime}}}$, as required.
(iii) The proof follows immediately from (ii) and Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.10. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then

$$
\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}=\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\hat{\wedge}}\right)^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathfrak{j}}\right)\right\}_{\mathfrak{j} \in \mathrm{I}}
$$

where $\left\{\hat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ is the canonical dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$.
Proof. Since $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is equivalent to $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$, this claim follows from Theorem 3.9.

## 4. Duality properties of the g-R-dual sequence

In this section we characterize all properties of a g -Bessel sequence in terms of properties of their g-Rdual sequence. We will study properties of dual $g$-frames and canonical dual $g$-frames. This is a general version of duality principle for g-frames which follows from the Casazza duality relations [4].

The next result gives an explicit form for $g$-R-dual sequence of the canonical dual $g$-frame.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{\mathfrak{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then $\left\{\Omega_{\mathfrak{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $g$-R-dual sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are g-biorthogonal, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma_{i}^{\wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}, \quad \forall i, j \in I, g_{j} \in W_{j}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a dual g-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. By definition of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ for every $i, j \in I$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{i}^{\wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g_{j} & =\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k}\left(\sum_{m \in I} \Xi_{j} \Omega_{m}^{*} \Psi_{m}\right)^{*} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \sum_{m \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Psi_{k} \Psi_{m}^{*} \Omega_{m} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j} \\
& =\sum_{k \in I} \Xi_{i} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Omega_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\Xi_{i}\left(\sum_{k \in I} \Lambda_{k}^{*} \Omega_{k} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}\right)=\Xi_{i} \Xi_{j}^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The converse implication similarly follows from Theorem 2.3.
Corollary 4.2. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a $g$-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ with canonical dual $g$-frame denoted by $\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$. Then the $g$-R-dual sequences $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\wedge}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ are g-biorthogonal, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma_{i}^{\wedge}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Lambda}\right)^{*} g_{j}=\delta_{i j} g_{j}
$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $g_{j} \in W_{j}$. Thus $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\wedge}}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is the dual $g$-Riesz basic sequence of $\left\{\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
The next result is a characterization of tight g-frames in terms of their g-R-dual sequence.
Corollary 4.3. $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is an A-tight g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{I}}$ if and only if $g$ - $R$-dual sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right\}_{j \in I}$ is a g-orthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$. Thus the sequence $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a Parseval $g$-frame if and only if, its $g$-R-dual sequence is an orthonormal system.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 1.6, Corollary 3.6, and Theorem 4.2.
Theorem 4.4. Let $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ and $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be $g$-frames for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if, there exists a g-Bessel sequence $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$, such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{\mathfrak{j}}^{\hat{\wedge}}+\Theta_{j}$ for all $\mathfrak{j} \in I$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ is a dual g -frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. By Theorem 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g_{i},\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle g_{i},\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle g_{i}, \Gamma_{i}^{\Omega}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle g_{i}, \Gamma_{i}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*} g_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle g_{i}, \delta_{i j} g_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle g_{i}, \delta_{i j} g_{j}\right\rangle=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i, j \in I$ and $g_{i} \in W_{i}, g_{j} \in W_{j}$. Thus, Definition 2.1 implies that $\Theta_{j}=\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}-\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\wedge}}$ is a g-Bessel sequence for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\hat{R}}}+\Theta_{j}$. Now for the opposite implication, suppose that there exists a g-Bessel sequence $\left\{\Theta_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$ for $\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$, such that $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\hat{}}}+\Theta_{j}$ for all $j \in I$. By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\Omega_{i}=\hat{\Lambda}_{i}+\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i}\left(\Theta_{j}\right)^{*} \Xi_{j} \quad \text { for all } i \in I
$$

So, for each $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{H}$

$$
\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Omega_{i} f=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*}\left(\hat{\Lambda}_{i}+\sum_{j \in I} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j}\right) f=\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \hat{\Lambda}_{i} f+\sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f=f+\sum_{j \in I} \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f,
$$

since $\Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $j \in I$. Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \wedge_{i}^{*} \Psi_{i} \Theta_{j}^{*} \Xi_{j} f=0
$$

This proves that $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$.
Among the dual g -frames the canonical dual g -frame is distinguished by the following properties.
Theorem 4.5. Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a g-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ with canonical dual $g$-frame denoted by $\left\{\hat{\Lambda}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ and let $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$. Then

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}^{\hat{\jmath}}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\Gamma_{\mathrm{j}}^{\Omega}\right\| \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{j} \in \mathrm{I},
$$

with equality if and only if $\left\{\Omega_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}=\left\{\hat{\Lambda}_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathrm{I}}$.
Proof. By Theorem 4.4, $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is a dual $g$-frame of $\left\{\Lambda_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if $\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}=\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\jmath}}+\Theta_{j}$, where $\left(\Gamma_{j} \hat{\jmath}^{*} g \in\right.$ $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}$ and $\Theta_{j}^{*} g \in\left(\overline{\operatorname{span}}\left\{\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\wedge}\right)^{*}\left(W_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in I}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $j \in I, g \in W_{j}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\Omega}\right)^{*} g\right\|^{2}=\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\Lambda}}\right)^{*} g\right\|^{2}+\sup _{\|g\|=1}\left\|\Theta_{j}^{*} g\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\lambda}}\right)^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta_{j}^{*}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\jmath}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta_{j}\right\|^{2} \geqslant\left\|\Gamma_{j}^{\hat{\hat{j}}}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

with equality if and only if $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}=\left\{\widehat{\Lambda}_{j}\right\}_{j \in I}$.
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