



## Coupled fixed point results for $(\varphi, G)$ -contractions of type (b) in b-metric spaces endowed with a graph

Cristian Chifu\*, Gabriela Petruşel

Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Business, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.

Communicated by C. Vetro

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present some existence results for coupled fixed points of generalized contraction type operators in b-metric spaces endowed with a directed graph. Our results generalize the results obtained by Gnana Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham in [T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **65** (2006), 1379–1393]. Data dependence, well-posedness and Ulam-Hyres stability of the fixed point problem are also studied. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed point, coupled fixed point, b-metric space, connected graph.  
2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. Preliminaries

In fixed point theory, the importance of study of coupled fixed points is due to their applications to a wide variety of problems. Gnana Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [8] gave some existence results for coupled fixed point for a mixed monotone type mapping in a metric space endowed with partial order, using a contraction type assumption on the mapping.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results using the context of b-metric spaces endowed with a graph. This new research direction in the theory of fixed points was initiated by Jachymski [11], and Gwóźdź-Lukawska and Jachymski [9]. Other results for single-valued and multi-valued operators in such metric spaces were given by Beg et al. in [1], Vetro and Vetro [19], and Chifu and Petruşel in [5].

Our results also generalize and extend some fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces and b-metric spaces given by Harjani and Sadarangani [10], Nieto and Rodríguez-López [14, 16], Nieto et al. [15], Jleli et al. [13], O'Regan and Petruşel [17], Ran and Reurings [18], Gnana Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [8], and Chifu and Petruşel in [6].

Let us recall now some essential definitions and fundamental results. We begin with the definition of a b-metric space.

**Definition 1.1** ([7]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A functional  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a b-metric if the following conditions are satisfied:

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [Cristian.Chifu@tbs.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:Cristian.Chifu@tbs.ubbcluj.ro) (Cristian Chifu), [Gabi.Petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro](mailto:Gabi.Petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro) (Gabriela Petruşel)

1.  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
3.  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . In this case the pair  $(X, d)$  is called a  $b$ -metric space.

*Remark 1.2.* The class of  $b$ -metric spaces is larger than the class of metric spaces since a  $b$ -metric space is a metric space when  $s = 1$ . For more details and examples on  $b$ -metric spaces, see e.g., [2].

The following example will be useful for our results.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , and let  $Z = X \times X$ . The functional  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v),$$

is a  $b$ -metric with the same constant  $s \geq 1$  for all  $(x, y), (u, v) \in Z$ . Moreover if  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space, then  $(Z, \tilde{d})$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space, too.

**Definition 1.4.** A mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a comparison function if it is increasing and  $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

We recall the following essential result.

**Lemma 1.5** ([4]). *If  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a comparison function, then:*

- (1) each iterate  $\varphi^k$  of  $\varphi$  (where  $k \geq 1$ ) is also a comparison function;
- (2)  $\varphi$  is continuous at 0;
- (3)  $\varphi(t) < t$  for any  $t > 0$ .

Berinde [4] introduced the concept of  $(c)$ -comparison function in the following way.

**Definition 1.6** ([4]). A function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a  $(c)$ -comparison function if

- (1)  $\varphi$  is increasing;
- (2) there exist  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and a convergent series of nonnegative terms  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$  such that  $\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq \alpha\varphi^k(t) + v_k$  for  $k \geq k_0$  and any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

The notion of a  $(c)$ -comparison function was improved as a  $(b)$ -comparison function by Berinde [3], in order to extend some fixed point results to the class of  $b$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 1.7** ([3]). Let  $s \geq 1$  be a real number. A mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $(b)$ -comparison function if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\varphi$  is monotone increasing;
- (2) there exist  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and a convergent series of nonnegative terms  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$  such that  $s^{k+1}\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq \alpha s^k\varphi^k(t) + v_k$  for  $k \geq k_0$  and any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

It is obvious that the concept of  $(b)$ -comparison function reduces to that of  $(c)$ -comparison function when  $s = 1$ .

The following lemma has a crucial role in the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 1.8** ([2]). *If  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a  $(b)$ -comparison function, then we have the following:*

- (1) the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k\varphi^k(t)$  converges for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ;

(2) the function  $S_b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $S_b(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k \varphi^k(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , is increasing and continuous at 0.

We note that any (b)-comparison function is a comparison function due to the above lemma.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $\Delta$  be the diagonal of  $X \times X$ . Let  $G$  be a directed graph, such that the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $X$  and  $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$ , where  $E(G)$  is the set of the edges of the graph. Assume also that  $G$  has no parallel edges and, thus, one can identify  $G$  with the pair  $(V(G), E(G))$ .

Throughout the paper we shall say that  $G$  with the above mentioned properties satisfies standard conditions.

Let us denote by  $G^{-1}$  the graph obtained from  $G$  by reversing the direction of edges. Thus,

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in E(G)\}.$$

Let us consider the function  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ .

**Definition 1.9.** An element  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  is called *coupled fixed point* of the mapping  $F$ , if  $F(x, y) = x$  and  $F(y, x) = y$ .

We shall denote by  $\text{CFix}(F)$  the set of all coupled fixed points of mapping  $F$ , i.e.,

$$\text{CFix}(F) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y\}.$$

**Definition 1.10** ([6]). We say that  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is edge preserving if

$$(x, u) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, v) \in E(G^{-1}) \Rightarrow (F(x, y), F(u, v)) \in E(G)$$

and

$$(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

**Definition 1.11** ([6]). The operator  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is called  $G$ -continuous if for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  and for any sequence  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive integers, with  $F^{n_i}(x, y) \rightarrow x^*$ ,  $F^{n_i}(y, x) \rightarrow y^*$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(F^{n_i}(x, y), F^{n_i+1}(x, y)) \in E(G)$ ,  $(F^{n_i}(y, x), F^{n_i+1}(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(F^{n_i}(x, y), F^{n_i}(y, x)) &\rightarrow F(x^*, y^*) \\ F(F^{n_i}(y, x), F^{n_i}(x, y)) &\rightarrow F(y^*, x^*) \end{aligned}, \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Definition 1.12** ([6]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a b-metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , and  $G$  be a directed graph. We say that the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the property  $(A_1)$ , if for any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ .

**Definition 1.13** ([6]). Let  $(X, d)$  be a b-metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , and  $G$  be a directed graph. We say that the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the property  $(A_2)$ , if for any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(x_n, x) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

## 2. Existence and data dependence results for coupled fixed point problems

Let  $(X, d)$  be a b-metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  satisfying the standard conditions. We consider the set denoted by  $(X \times X)^F$  and defined as:

$$(X \times X)^F = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x, F(x, y)) \in E(G) \text{ and } (y, F(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})\}.$$

**Proposition 2.1** ([6]). If  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is edge preserving, then:

- (i)  $(x, u) \in E(G)$  and  $(y, v) \in E(G^{-1})$  implies  $(F^n(x, y), F^n(u, v)) \in E(G)$  and  $(F^n(y, x), F^n(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

- (ii)  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$  implies  $(F^n(x, y), F^{n+1}(x, y)) \in E(G)$  and  $(F^n(y, x), F^{n+1}(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iii)  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$  implies  $(F^n(x, y), F^n(y, x)) \in (X \times X)^F$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The mapping  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is called  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b) if:

- (i)  $F$  is edge preserving;
- (ii) there exists  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a (b)-comparison function such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \varphi(d(x, u) + d(y, v)),$$

for all  $(x, u) \in E(G), (y, v) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b). Then,

$$d(F^n(x, y), F^n(u, v)) + d(F^n(y, x), F^n(v, u)) \leq \varphi^n(d(x, u) + d(y, v)),$$

for all  $(x, u) \in E(G), (y, v) \in E(G^{-1}), n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(x, u) \in E(G), (y, v) \in E(G^{-1})$ . Because  $F$  is edge preserving we have

$$(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \in E(G) \text{ and } (F(y, x), F(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

From Proposition 2.1 (i) it follows that

$$(F^n(x, y), F^n(u, v)) \in E(G) \text{ and } (F^n(y, x), F^n(v, u)) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

Since  $F$  is a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(F^2(x, y), F^2(u, v)) + d(F^2(y, x), F^2(v, u)) &= d(F(F(x, y), F(y, x)), F(F(u, v), F(v, u))) \\ &\quad + d(F(F(y, x), F(x, y)), F(F(v, u), F(u, v))) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u))) \\ &\leq \varphi(\varphi(d(x, u) + d(y, v))) \\ &\leq \varphi^2(d(x, u) + d(y, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction, we reach the conclusion. □

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space, with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b). Then, given  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$ , there exists  $r(x, y) \geq 0$  such that

$$d(F^n(x, y), F^{n+1}(x, y)) + d(F^n(y, x), F^{n+1}(y, x)) \leq \varphi^n(r(x, y)) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$ . It follows that  $(x, F(x, y)) \in E(G)$  and  $(y, F(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

If in Lemma 2.3 we consider  $u = F(x, y)$  and  $v = F(y, x)$  we shall obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(F^n(x, y), F^n(F(x, y), F(y, x))) + d(F^n(y, x), F^n(F(y, x), F(x, y))) \\ \leq \varphi^n(d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x))) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$d(F^n(x, y), F^{n+1}(x, y)) + d(F^n(y, x), F^{n+1}(y, x)) \leq \varphi^n(d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x))) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we consider  $r(x, y) := d(x, F(x, y)) + d(y, F(y, x))$ , then

$$d(F^n(x, y), F^{n+1}(x, y)) + d(F^n(y, x), F^{n+1}(y, x)) \leq \varphi^n(r(x, y)) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete b-metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b). Then for each  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$ , there exist  $x^*(x) \in X$  and  $y^*(y) \in X$  such that  $(F^n(x, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*(x)$  and  $(F^n(y, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $y^*(y)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$ . It follows that  $(x, F(x, y)) \in E(G)$  and  $(y, F(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})$ . Let  $Z = X \times X$  and consider the b-metric given by Example 1.3,  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v) \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in Z.$$

Consider also, the operator  $T : Z \rightarrow Z$ , defined by

$$T(x, y) = (F(x, y), F(y, x)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in Z.$$

For  $(x, y)$  and  $(u, v) \in (X \times X)^F$ , we have

$$\tilde{d}(T(x, y), T(u, v)) = d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)).$$

If  $u = F(x, y)$  and  $v = F(y, x)$ , then  $(u, v) \in (X \times X)^F$  and  $T(u, v) = T^2(x, y)$ . Hence

$$\tilde{d}(T(x, y), T^2(x, y)) = d(F(x, y), F^2(x, y)) + d(F(y, x), F^2(y, x)).$$

By induction we shall obtain

$$\tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+1}(x, y)) = d(F^n(x, y), F^{n+1}(x, y)) + d(F^n(y, x), F^{n+1}(y, x)).$$

From Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+1}(x, y)) \leq \varphi^n(r(x, y)) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now we shall prove that  $(T^n(x, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+p}(x, y)) &\leq s\tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+1}(x, y)) + s^2\tilde{d}(T^{n+1}(x, y), T^{n+2}(x, y)) \\ &\quad + \dots + s^{p-1}\tilde{d}(T^{n+p-2}(x, y), T^{n+p-1}(x, y)) \\ &\quad + s^p\tilde{d}(T^{n+p-1}(x, y), T^{n+p}(x, y)) \\ &\leq s\varphi^n(r(x, y)) + s^2\varphi^{n+1}(r(x, y)) + \dots + s^{p-1}\varphi^{n+p-2}(r(x, y)) \\ &\quad + s^p\varphi^{n+p-1}(r(x, y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \sum_{k=n}^{n+p-1} s^k\varphi^k(r(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n s^k\varphi^k(r(x, y))$ . Hence we have

$$\tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+p}(x, y)) \leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} (S_{n+p-1} - S_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k\varphi^k(r(x, y)).$$

From Lemma 1.8 we have that the series is convergent. In this way, we shall obtain

$$\tilde{d}(T^n(x, y), T^{n+p}(x, y)) \leq \frac{1}{s^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^k\varphi^k(r(x, y)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In conclusion the sequence  $(T^n(x, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete b-metric space, from Example 1.3, we have that  $(Z, \tilde{d})$  is a complete b-metric space, and hence there exists  $(x^*(x), y^*(y)) \in X \times X$  such that  $T^n(x, y) \rightarrow (x^*(x), y^*(y))$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is equivalent to  $(F^n(x, y), F^n(y, x)) \rightarrow (x^*(x), y^*(y))$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence, there exist  $x^*(x) \in X$  and  $y^*(y) \in X$  such that  $(F^n(x, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(F^n(y, x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converge to  $x^*(x)$  and  $y^*(y)$ , respectively, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

Now we shall prove the main results of this section.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete b-metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  be a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b). Suppose that:*

- (i)  $F$  is  $G$ -continuous; or
- (ii) the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ .

In these conditions  $C\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(X \times X)^F \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x^*, y^*) \in C\text{Fix}(F)$ . We have  $(x^*, F(x^*, y^*)) = (x^*, x^*) \in \Delta \subset E(G)$  and  $(y^*, F(y^*, x^*)) = (y^*, y^*) \in \Delta \subset E(G^{-1})$ .

Hence  $(x^*, F(x^*, y^*)) \in E(G)$  and  $(y^*, F(y^*, x^*)) \in E(G^{-1})$  which means that  $(x^*, y^*) \in (X \times X)^F$  and thus  $(X \times X)^F \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose now that  $(X \times X)^F \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^F$ . It follows that  $(x, F(x, y)) \in E(G)$  and  $(y, F(y, x)) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

Let  $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of positive integers. From Proposition 2.1 (ii), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} (F^{n_i}(x, y), F^{n_i+1}(x, y)) &\in E(G) \\ (F^{n_i}(y, x), F^{n_i+1}(y, x)) &\in E(G^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover from Lemma 2.5, there exist  $x^*(x) \in X$  and  $y^*(y) \in X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} cF^{n_i}(x, y) &\rightarrow x^*(x), \\ F^{n_i}(y, x) &\rightarrow y^*(y), \end{aligned} \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

We shall prove that  $F(x^*, y^*) = x^*$  and  $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$ . Suppose that (i) takes place. Since  $F$  is  $G$ -continuous we shall obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} cF(F^{n_i}(x, y), F^{n_i}(y, x)) &\rightarrow F(x^*, y^*), \\ F(F^{n_i}(y, x), F^{n_i}(x, y)) &\rightarrow F(y^*, x^*), \end{aligned} \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x^*, y^*), x^*) + d(F(y^*, x^*), y^*) &\leq s [d(F(x^*, y^*), F^{n_i+1}(x, y)) + d(F^{n_i+1}(x, y), x^*)] \\ &\quad + s [d(F(y^*, x^*), F^{n_i+1}(y, x)) + d(F^{n_i+1}(y, x), y^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the  $G$ -continuity of  $F$  and the convergence of  $(F^n(x, y))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , we obtain that  $d(F(x^*, y^*), x^*) + d(F(y^*, x^*), y^*) = 0$ , i.e.,  $F(x^*, y^*) = x^*$  and  $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$ .

Thus  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$ , so  $C\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose now that (ii) takes place. From (2.1) and (2.2), using properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  of the triple  $(X, d, G)$ , we shall obtain that

$$(F^n(x, y), x^*) \in E(G), \quad (F^n(y, x), y^*) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x^*, y^*), x^*) + d(F(y^*, x^*), y^*) &\leq s [d(F^{n+1}(x, y), F(x^*, y^*)) + d(F^{n+1}(x, y), x^*)] \\ &\quad + s [d(F^{n+1}(y, x), F(y^*, x^*)) + d(F^{n+1}(y, x), y^*)] \\ &= s [d(F(F^n(x, y), F^n(y, x)), F(x^*, y^*)) + d(F^{n+1}(x, y), x^*)] \\ &\quad + s [d(F(F^n(y, x), F^n(x, y)), F(y^*, x^*)) + d(F^{n+1}(y, x), y^*)] \\ &\leq s\varphi (d(F^n(x, y), x^*) + d(F^n(y, x), y^*)) + sd(F^{n+1}(x, y), x^*) \\ &\quad + sd(F^{n+1}(y, x), y^*) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d(F(x^*, y^*), x^*) + d(F(y^*, x^*), y^*) = 0$ , which means that  $F(x^*, y^*) = x^*$  and  $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$ . Thus,  $(x^*, y^*) \in C\text{Fix}(F)$ . □

Let us suppose now that for every  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ , there exists  $(z, w) \in X \times X$  such that

$$(x, z) \in E(G), (y, w) \in E(G^{-1}), (u, z) \in E(G), (v, w) \in E(G^{-1}). \quad (2.3)$$

**Theorem 2.7.** Adding condition (2.3) to the hypotheses of Theorem 2.6 we obtain the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point of  $F$ .

*Proof.* Let us suppose that there exist  $(x^*, y^*), (u^*, v^*) \in X \times X$  two coupled fixed points of  $F$ . From (2.3) we have that there exists  $(z, w) \in X \times X$  such that

$$(x^*, z) \in E(G), (y^*, w) \in E(G^{-1}), (u^*, z) \in E(G), (v^*, w) \in E(G^{-1}).$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we shall have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, u^*) + d(y^*, v^*) &= d(F^n(x^*, y^*), F^n(u^*, v^*)) + d(F^n(y^*, x^*), F^n(v^*, u^*)) \\ &\leq s [d(F^n(x^*, y^*), F^n(z, w)) + d(F^n(z, w), F^n(u^*, v^*))] \\ &\quad + s [d(F^n(y^*, x^*), F^n(w, z)) + d(F^n(w, z), F^n(v^*, u^*))] \\ &\leq \varphi^n (d(x^*, z) + d(y^*, w)) + \varphi^n (d(u^*, z) + d(v^*, w)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d(x^*, u^*) + d(y^*, v^*) = 0$  and thus we obtain that  $x^* = u^*$  and  $y^* = v^*$ . □

*Remark 2.8.* It is obvious that if  $(x^*, u^*) \in E(G)$  and  $(y^*, v^*) \in E(G^{-1})$ , then  $x^* = u^*$  and  $y^* = v^*$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** In the conditions of Theorem 2.6, if  $(x^*, y^*) \in \text{CFix}(F)$  with  $(x^*, y^*) \in E(G)$ , then  $x^* = y^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(x^*, y^*) \in E(G)$ , then  $(y^*, x^*) \in E(G^{-1})$ . By the fact that  $F$  is a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2d(x^*, y^*) &= d(F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*)) + d(F(y^*, x^*), F(x^*, y^*)) \\ &\leq \varphi (d(x^*, y^*) + d(y^*, x^*)) = \varphi (2d(x^*, y^*)). \end{aligned}$$

From the properties of  $\varphi$ , we obtain that  $d(x^*, y^*) = 0$  and thus  $x^* = y^*$ . □

*Remark 2.10.* It is obvious that if we consider a function  $f : X \rightarrow X, f(x) = F(x, x)$  all these results concerning the coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$  result in the existence and uniqueness results for the fixed point of  $f$ .

In what follows we shall give a data-dependence result.

**Theorem 2.11** (data dependence). Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , endowed with a directed graph  $G$  and let  $F_i : X \times X \rightarrow X, i \in \{1, 2\}$  be two mappings. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $F_1$  is a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b);
- (ii)  $F_1$  is  $G$ -continuous;

or

- (ii\*) the triple  $(X, d, G)$  has the properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ ;
- (iii) for every  $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times X$ , there exists  $(z, w) \in X \times X$  such (2.3) holds;
- (iv)  $\text{CFix}(F_2) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (v) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$d(F_1(x, y), F_2(x, y)) \leq \eta, \forall (x, y) \in X \times X.$$



In these conditions, if  $(x^*, y^*)$  denotes the unique coupled fixed point of  $F_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, \bar{x}) + d(y^*, \bar{y}) &\leq \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t - s\varphi(t) \leq 2s\eta\}, \\ \forall (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{CFix}(F_2) \text{ and } (x^*, \bar{x}) \in E(G), (y^*, \bar{y}) \in E(G^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  be the unique coupled fixed point of  $F_1$ . It follows that

$$\begin{cases} x^* = F_1(x^*, y^*), \\ y^* = F_1(y^*, x^*). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{CFix}(F_2) \neq \emptyset$ , let  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{CFix}(F_2)$  with  $(x^*, \bar{x}) \in E(G), (y^*, \bar{y}) \in E(G^{-1})$ . Let  $Z = X \times X$  and consider the functional  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v) \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in Z.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}((x^*, y^*), (\bar{x}, \bar{y})) &= \tilde{d}((F_1(x^*, y^*), F_1(y^*, x^*)), (F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), F_2(\bar{y}, \bar{x}))) \\ &= d(F_1(x^*, y^*), F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})) + d(F_1(y^*, x^*), F_2(\bar{y}, \bar{x})) \\ &\leq s[d(F_1(x^*, y^*), F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y})) + d(F_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), F_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}))] \\ &\quad + s[d(F_1(y^*, x^*), F_1(\bar{y}, \bar{x})) + d(F_1(\bar{y}, \bar{x}), F_2(\bar{y}, \bar{x}))] \\ &\leq s\varphi(d(x^*, \bar{x}) + d(y^*, \bar{y})) + 2s\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $d(x^*, \bar{x}) + d(y^*, \bar{y}) \leq \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t - s\varphi(t) \leq 2s\eta\}, \forall (x^*, y^*) \in \text{CFix}(F_1)$  and  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{CFix}(F_2)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.12.* In the light of the recent approach in [12], it is an open question to give similar results in the context of  $K$ -metric spaces.

### 3. Well-posedness and Ulam-Hyers stability

Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ . Consider now the following coupled fixed point problem

$$\begin{cases} x = F(x, y), \\ y = F(y, x), \end{cases} \quad (\text{P1})$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ . By definition, the coupled fixed point problem (P1) is said to be well-posed if:

- (i)  $\text{CFix}(F) = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$ ;
- (ii) for any sequence  $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \times X$  for which  $d(x_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow 0$  and respectively  $d(y_n, F(y_n, x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that the operator  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$  verifies all hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 and for any sequence  $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X \times X$  having property that  $d(x_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow 0$  and respectively  $d(y_n, F(y_n, x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $(x_n, x^*) \in E(G)$  and  $(y_n, y^*) \in E(G^{-1})$ . If the mapping  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \psi(t) = t - s\varphi(t)$ , is such that  $\psi(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\psi(0) = 0$  implies that  $t = 0$ , then the coupled fixed point problem (P1) is well-posed.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7, it follows that the coupled fixed point problem (P1) has a unique solution  $(x^*, y^*)$ , i.e.,  $\text{CFix}(F) = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$ .

Let  $(x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \times X$  be a sequence which verifies the following properties:



- (a)  $d(x_n, F(x_n, y_n)) \rightarrow 0$  and respectively  $d(y_n, F(y_n, x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;
- (b)  $(x_n, x^*) \in E(G)$  and  $(y_n, y^*) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

Let  $Z = X \times X$  and consider the functional  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v) \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in Z.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (x^*, y^*)) &= \tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))) \\ &\leq \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n))) \\ &\quad + \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))) \\ &\leq \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n))) + s\varphi\left(\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (x^*, y^*))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (x^*, y^*)) - s\varphi\left(\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (x^*, y^*))\right) \leq \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((x_n, y_n), (F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n))).$$

Since the mapping  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi(t) = t - s\varphi(t)$ , is such that  $\psi(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\psi(0) = 0$  implies that  $t = 0$ , then, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that  $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$ .  $\square$

In what follows we shall give an Ulam-Hyers stability result for the coupled fixed point problem (P1).

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete b-metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , and let  $\tilde{d}$  be any b-metric on  $Z = X \times X$  generated by  $d$ . By definition, the coupled fixed point problem (P1) is said to be Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , increasing, continuous in 0 with  $\psi(0) = 0$ , such that for each  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$  and for each solution  $(u^*, v^*) \in X \times X$  of the inequality  $\tilde{d}((x, y), (F(x, y), F(y, x))) \leq \varepsilon$ , there exists a solution  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  of the coupled fixed point problem (P1) such that

$$\tilde{d}((x^*, y^*), (u^*, v^*)) \leq \psi(\varepsilon).$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.7 take place. If the mapping  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = t - s\varphi(t)$  is such that  $\gamma(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\gamma(0) = 0$  implies that  $t = 0$ , then the coupled fixed point problem (P1) is Ulam-Hyers stable.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.7 we get that  $\text{CFix}(F) = \{(x^*, y^*)\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $(u^*, v^*) \in X \times X$  such that  $\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (F(u^*, v^*), F(v^*, u^*))) \leq \varepsilon$  and  $(x^*, u^*) \in E(G), (y^*, v^*) \in E(G^{-1})$ .

Let  $Z = X \times X$  and consider the functional  $\tilde{d} : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\tilde{d}((x, y), (u, v)) = d(x, u) + d(y, v) \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in Z.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (x^*, y^*)) &= \tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))) \\ &\leq \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (F(u^*, v^*), F(v^*, u^*))) \tilde{s}\tilde{d}((F(u^*, v^*), F(v^*, u^*)), (F(x^*, y^*), F(y^*, x^*))) \\ &\leq s\varepsilon + s\varphi\left(\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (x^*, y^*))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (x^*, y^*)) - s\varphi\left(\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (x^*, y^*))\right) \leq s\varepsilon.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\tilde{d}((u^*, v^*), (x^*, y^*)) \leq \psi(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\psi(\varepsilon) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t - s\varphi(t) \leq s\varepsilon\}.$$

Since the mapping  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma(t) = t - s\varphi(t)$  is such that  $\gamma(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\gamma(0) = 0$  implies that  $t = 0$ , then the coupled fixed point problem (P1) is Ulam-Hyers stable.  $\square$

#### 4. Applications

In what follows we shall give an application for Theorem 2.6. Let us consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} x''(t) = f(t, x(t), y(t)), \\ y''(t) = f(t, y(t), x(t)), \\ x(0) = x'(1) = y(0) = y'(1), \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.1)$$

Notice now that the problem (4.1) is equivalent with the following integral system

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, \\ y(t) = \int_0^1 K(s, t) f(s, y(s), x(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$K(t, s) = \begin{cases} t, & t \leq s, \\ s, & t > s. \end{cases}$$

The purpose of this section is to give existence and uniqueness results for the solution of the system (4.2) using Theorem 2.6.

Let us consider  $X := C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the following  $b$ -metric with  $s = 2$

$$d(x, y) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (x(t) - y(t))^2.$$

Consider also the graph  $G$  defined by the partial order relation, i.e.,

$$x, y \in X, x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x(t) \leq y(t) \text{ for any } t \in [0, 1].$$

Since  $(X, \leq)$  is a lattice, we get that  $(X, G)$  has the property (2.3). Hence  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$ .

If we consider  $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \leq y\}$ , then the diagonal  $\Delta$  of  $X \times X$  is included in  $E(G)$ . On the other hand  $E(G^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \leq x\}$ . Moreover  $(X, \|\cdot\|, G)$  has the properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ . In this case  $(X \times X)^F = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \leq F(x, y) \text{ and } F(y, x) \leq y\}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Consider the system (4.1). Suppose:

- (i)  $f : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous;
- (ii) for all  $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x \leq u, v \leq y$  we have  $f(t, x, y) \leq f(t, u, v)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (iii) there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a  $(b)$ -comparison function and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ , with  $\max\{\alpha, \beta\} < 1$ , such that

$$(f(t, x, y) - f(t, u, v))^2 \leq \tilde{\varphi} \left( \alpha (x - u)^2 + \beta (y - v)^2 \right) \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1], x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n, x \leq u, v \leq y;$$

- (iv) there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x_0(t) \leq \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, x_0(s), y_0(s)) ds, \\ y_0(t) \geq \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, y_0(s), x_0(s)) ds, \end{cases} \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, there exists a unique solution of the integral system (4.2).

*Proof.* Let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto F(x, y)$ , where

$$F(x, y)(t) = \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds, t \in [0, 1]. \quad (4.3)$$

In this way, the system (4.2) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} x = F(x, y), \\ y = F(y, x). \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

It can be seen from (4.4), that a solution of this system is a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$ .

We shall verify if the conditions of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled.

Let  $x, y, u, v \in X$  such that  $x \leq u$  and  $v \leq y$ . Using (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y)(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, x(s), y(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, u(s), v(s)) ds = F(u, v)(t) \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1], \\ F(v, u)(t) &= \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, v(s), u(s)) ds \leq \int_0^1 K(t, s) f(s, y(s), x(s)) ds = F(y, x)(t) \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $x \leq u$  and  $v \leq y$ , then  $F(x, y) \leq F(u, v)$  and  $F(v, u) \leq F(y, x)$ , which according to the definition of  $E(G)$ , it shows that  $F$  is edge preserving. On the other hand, by Cauchy-Buniakovski-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (F(x, y)(t) - F(u, v)(t))^2 &\leq \left[ \int_0^1 K(t, s) (f(s, x(s), y(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s))) ds \right]^2 \\ &\leq \int_0^1 K^2(t, s) ds \int_0^1 (f(s, x(s), y(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s)))^2 ds \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\int_0^1 K^2(t, s) ds = \int_0^t s^2 ds + \int_t^1 t^2 ds = t^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3}t \right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \text{ for each } t \in [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (F(x, y)(t) - F(u, v)(t))^2 &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 (f(s, x(s), y(s)) - f(s, u(s), v(s)))^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha(x(s) - u(s))^2 + \beta(y(s) - v(s))^2) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi}(\max\{\alpha, \beta\} (d(x, u) + d(y, v))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi} (\max\{\alpha, \beta\} (d(x, u) + d(y, v))), x \leq u, v \leq y. \quad (4.5)$$

In a similar way, we obtain

$$d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\varphi} (\max\{\alpha, \beta\} (d(x, u) + d(y, v))), x \leq u, v \leq y. \quad (4.6)$$

By (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\varphi} (\max\{\alpha, \beta\} (d(x, u) + d(y, v))), x \leq u, v \leq y.$$

Let us consider the function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\varphi(t) = \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\varphi}(kt)$ ,  $0 \leq k < 1$ , which is a (b)-comparison function. Then, we have

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \varphi(d(x, u) + d(y, v)), x \leq u, v \leq y.$$

Thus we have that  $F$  is a  $(\varphi, G)$ -contraction of type (b). Condition (iv) from Theorem 4.1 shows that there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times X$  such that  $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) \leq y_0$  which implies that  $(X \times X)^F \neq \emptyset$ .

On the other hand, because of (i) and of the fact that  $(X, \|\cdot\|, G)$  has the properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  we have that either (i) or (ii) from Theorem 2.6 is fulfilled.

In this way, we have that  $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ , defined by (4.3), verifies the conditions of Theorems 2.6 and 2.7. Thus, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in X \times X$  which is a coupled fixed point of the mapping  $F$  and, as a consequence, a solution of the problem (4.1).  $\square$

## References

- [1] I. Beg, A. R. Butt, S. Radojević, *The contraction principle for set valued mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Comput. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), 1214–1219. [1](#)
- [2] V. Berinde, *Generalized contractions in quasimetric spaces*, Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, "Babe-Bolyai" Univ., Cluj-Napoca, (1993), 3–9. [1.2](#), [1.8](#)
- [3] V. Berinde, *Sequences of operators and fixed points in quasimetric spaces*, Studia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai Math., **41** (1996), 23–27. [1](#), [1.7](#)
- [4] V. Berinde, *Contrații generalizate și aplicații*, (Romanian) [[Generalized contractions and applications]] Colecia Universitaria (Baia Mare) [University Collection], Editura Cub Press 22, Baia Mare, (1997). [1.5](#), [1](#), [1.6](#)
- [5] I. C. Chifu, G. Petrușel, *Generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 9 pages. [1](#)
- [6] I. C. Chifu, G. Petrușel, *New results on coupled fixed point theory in metric spaces endowed with a directed graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 13 pages. [1](#), [1.10](#), [1.11](#), [1.12](#), [1.13](#), [2.1](#)
- [7] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263–276. [1.1](#)
- [8] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **65** (2006), 1379–1393. [1](#)
- [9] G. Gwózdź-Łukawska, J. Jachymski, *IFS on a metric space with a graph structure and extensions of the Kelisky-Rivlin theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **356** (2009), 453–463. [1](#)
- [10] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, *Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 3403–3410. [1](#)
- [11] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 1359–1373. [1](#)
- [12] J. Jachymski, J. Klima, *Around Perov's fixed point theorem for mappings on generalized metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory, **17** (2016), 367–380. [2.12](#)
- [13] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *Fixed points for multivalued mappings in b-metric spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2015** (2015), 7 pages. [1](#)
- [14] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Order, **22** (2005), 223–239. [1](#)
- [15] J. J. Nieto, R. L. Pouso, R. Rodríguez-López, *Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (2007), 2505–2517. [1](#)

- [16] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), **23** (2007), 2205–2212. [1](#)
- [17] D. O'Regan, A. Petrușel, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **341** (2008), 1241–1252. [1](#)
- [18] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004), 1435–1443. [1](#)
- [19] C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *Metric or partial metric spaces endowed with a finite number of graphs: a tool to obtain fixed point results*, Topology Appl., **164** (2014), 125–137. [1](#)