



A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces

Nizar Souayah^{a,*}, Nabil Mlaiki^b

^aDepartment of Natural Sciences, Community College of Riyadh, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

^bDepartment of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce an interesting extension of the S -metric spaces called S_b -metric spaces, in which we show the existence of fixed point for a self mapping defined on such spaces. We also prove some results on the topology of the S_b -metric spaces. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Functional analysis, S_b -metric space, common fixed point.

2010 MSC: 54H25, 47H10.

1. Introduction

The concept of metric spaces has been generalized in many ways. Bakhtin [2] introduced the b -metric space, in which many researchers treated the fixed point theory. Czerwick [5] extended the Banach principle contraction and its generalizations under different contractions [1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 15, 16, 17, 18] and [19].

Several authors have investigated the S -metric space and generalized many results related to the existence of fixed point, see [8, 9, 11, 12, 14] and [20]. However, no work has extended the fixed point problem from the b -metric spaces to the S -metric spaces.

Inspired by the work of Bakhtin in [2], we first introduce the S_b -metric space as a generalization of the b -metric space, and then we prove some fixed point results under different types of contractions in a complete S_b -metric space.

Recall the definitions of the b -metric space and the S -metric space.

Definition 1.1 ([2]). Let X be a nonempty set. A b -metric on X is a function $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ if there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that the following conditions hold for all $x, y, z \in X$:

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: nizar.souayah@yahoo.fr (Nizar Souayah), nmlaiki2012@gmail.com (Nabil Mlaiki)

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 1.2 ([13]). Let X be a nonempty set. An S -metric on X is a function $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions, for all $x, y, z, t \in X$:

- (i) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, t) + S(y, y, t) + S(z, z, t)$.

The pair (X, S) is called an S -metric space.

Now, we give the definition of the S_b -metric space.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $S_b : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be S_b -metric if and only if for all $x, y, z, t \in X$: the following conditions hold:

- (i) $S_b(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $S_b(x, x, y) = S_b(y, y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $S_b(x, y, z) \leq s[S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t)]$.

The pair (X, S_b) is called a S_b -metric space.

Remark 1.4. Note that the class of S_b -metric spaces is larger than the class of S -metric spaces. Indeed, every S -metric space is an S_b -metric space with $s = 1$. However, the converse is not always true.

Example 1.5. Let X be a nonempty set and $card(X) \geq 5$. Suppose $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ a partition of X such that $card(X_1) \geq 4$. Let $s \geq 1$. Then

$$S_b(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z = 0 \\ 3s & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in X_1^3 \\ 1 & \text{if } (x, y, z) \notin X_1^3 \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ S_b is a S_b -metric on X with coefficient $s \geq 1$.

Proof.

- i) If $x = y = z$ then $S_b(x, y, z) = 0$. Thus the first assertion of the definition of the S_b -metric space is satisfied.
- ii) Let's prove the triangle inequality: $S_b(x, y, z) \leq s[S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t)]$ (*).
 - **Case 1:** If $(x, y, z) \notin X_1^3$. We have $S_b(x, y, z) = 1$ $S_b(x, x, t) \geq 1$, $S_b(y, y, t) \geq 1$, and $S_b(z, z, t) \geq 1$, for all $t \in X$. Thus (*) is holds ($1 \leq 3s$).

- **Case 2:** If $(x, y, z) \in X_1^3$. We distinguish two sub-cases:
 - if $t \in X_1$, $(*)$ is satisfied since $S_b(x, y, z) = S_b(x, x, t) = S_b(y, y, t) = S_b(z, z, t) = 3s$.
 - if $t \notin X_1$, we have $S_b(x, x, t) = S_b(y, y, t) = S_b(z, z, t) = 1$ and $S_b(x, y, z) = 3s$. Then, $(*)$ holds.

□

Definition 1.6. Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if and only if there exists $z \in X$ such that $S_b(x_n, x_n, z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called a Cauchy sequence if and only if $S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) (X, S_b) is said to be a complete S_b -metric space if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x) = S_b(x, x, x).$$

- (iv) Define the diameter of a subset Y of X by

$$\text{diam}(Y) := \text{Sup}\{S_b(x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \in Y\}.$$

Definition 1.7 ([3]).

- (i) Let E be a nonempty set and $T : E \rightarrow E$ a selfmap. We say that $x \in E$ is a fixed point of T if $T(x) = x$.
- (ii) Let E be any set and $T : E \rightarrow E$ a selfmap. For any given $x \in E$, we define $T^n(x)$ inductively by $T^0(x) = x$ and $T^{n+1}(x) = T(T^n(x))$; we recall $T^n(x)$ the n th iterative of x under T . For any $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset X$ given by

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{1.1}$$

is called the sequence of successive approximations with the initial value x_0 . It is also known as the Picard iteration starting at x_0 .

2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space and T be a continuous self mapping on X satisfy

$$S_b(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq \psi[S_b(x, y, z)] \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is an increasing function such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = 0 \text{ for each fixed } t > 0.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Let n be a natural number such that $\psi^n(\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2s}$. Let $F = T^n$ and $x_k = F^k(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha = \psi^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(Fx, Fx, Fy) &\leq \psi^n(S_b(x, x, y)) \\ &= \alpha(S_b(x, x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ $S_b(x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, x_k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, let k be such that

$$S_b(x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, x_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2s}.$$

Let's define the ball $B(x_k, \epsilon)$ such that for every $z \in B(x_k, \epsilon) := \{y \in X | S_b(x_k, x_k, y) \leq \epsilon\}$. Note that $x_k \in B(x_k, \epsilon)$, therefore $B(x_k, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, for all $z \in B(x_k, \epsilon)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(Fz, Fz, Fx_k) &\leq \alpha(S_b(x_k, x_k, z)) \\ &\leq \alpha(\epsilon) = \psi^n(\epsilon) < \frac{\epsilon}{2s} < \frac{\epsilon}{s}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since $S_b(Fx_k, Fx_k, Fx_k) = S_b(x_{k+1}, x_{k+1}, x_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2s}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(x_k, x_k, Fz) &\leq s[S_b(x_k, x_k, x_{k+1}) + S_b(x_k, x_k, x_{k+1}) + S_b(Fz, Fz, x_{k+1})] \\ &= s[2S_b(x_k, x_k, x_{k+1}) + S_b(Fz, Fz, x_{k+1})] \\ &\leq s[2\frac{\epsilon}{2s} + \frac{\epsilon}{s}] = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, F maps $B(x_k, \epsilon)$ to it self. Since $x_k \in B(x_k, \epsilon)$, we have $Fx_k \in B(x_k, \epsilon)$. By repeating this process we get

$$F^m_{x_k} \in B(x_k, \epsilon) \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

That is $x_l \in B(x_k, \epsilon)$ for all $l \geq k$. Hence

$$S_b(x_m, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon \text{ for all } m, l > k.$$

Therefore $\{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of X , there exists $u \in X$ such that $x_k \rightarrow u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $u = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Fx_k = F(u)$. Thus, F has u as a fixed point.

we prove now the uniqueness of the fixed point for F . Since $\alpha(t) = \psi^n(t) < t$ for any $t > 0$, let u and u_1 be two fixed points of F .

$$\begin{aligned} S_b(u, u, u_1) &= S_b(Fu, Fu, Fu_1) \\ &\leq \psi^n(S_b(u, u, u_1)) \\ &= \alpha(S_b(u, u, u_1)) \\ &\leq S_b(u, u, u_1), \end{aligned}$$

$\implies S_b(u, u, u_1) = 0 \implies u = u_1$ and hence, F has a unique fixed point in X .

On the other hand, $T^{nk+r}(x) = F^k(T^r(x)) \rightarrow u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $T^m x \rightarrow u$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for every x . That is $u = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Tx_m = T(u)$. Thereby, T has a fixed point. \square

The following results extend the results of [4] to the S_b -metric space.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space. Then, for every descending sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of nonempty closed subsets of X such that $\text{diam}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ contains one and only one point.*

Proof. Let x_n be any point in F_n . Because of the decrease of the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$, we have $x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots \in F_n$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{diam}(F_{n_0}) < \epsilon$. We obtain $x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots \in F_{n_0}$. For $m, n \geq n_0$, we have that

$$S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) \leq \text{diam}(F_{n_0}) < \epsilon.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete S_b -metric space. Thus, it is convergent. Let $x \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Now, for any given n we have that $x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots \in F_n$. Therefore, $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in \bar{F}_n = F_n$ since F_n is closed. Thus, $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$.

We now prove the uniqueness of x . If $y \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ and $y \neq x$, then $S_b(x, x, y) = \alpha > 0$. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $\text{diam}(F_n) < \alpha = S_b(x, x, y)$ which implies that $y \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.3. Let (X, S_b) be a S_b -metric space, $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a function.

- Let $x_0 \in X$, f is a lower semi continuous at x_0 if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 such that $f(x) > f(x_0) - \epsilon$ for all $x \in U$.
- f is said to be lower semi continuous if it is lower semi continuous at every point of X .

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space (with $s > 1$), such that the S_b -metric is continuous and let $f : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be a a semi continuous function, proper and lower bounded mapping. Then for every $x_0 \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$ with*

$$f(x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \epsilon,$$

there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ and $x_\epsilon \in X$ such that:

$$i) \quad S_b(x_n, x_n, x_\epsilon) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$ii) \quad x_n \rightarrow x_\epsilon \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

$$iii) \quad f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_n, x_n, x) > f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_\epsilon), \text{ for every } x \neq x_\epsilon, \tag{2.5}$$

$$iv) \quad f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_\epsilon) \leq f(x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \epsilon. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof.

i) We consider the set

$$Tx_0 = \{x \in X \mid f(x) + S_b(x, x, x_0) \leq f(x_0)\}. \tag{2.7}$$

As f is a lower semi continuous mapping and $x_0 \in Tx_0$, we obtain that Tx_0 is nonempty and closed in (X, S_b) and for every $y \in Tx_0$

$$S_b(y, y, x_0) \leq f(x_0) - f(y) \leq f(x_0) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \leq \epsilon. \tag{2.8}$$

We choose $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $f(x_1) + S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0) \leq \inf_{x \in Tx_0} \{f(x) + S_b(x, x, x_0)\} + \frac{\epsilon}{2s}$ and let

$$Tx_1 = \{x \in Tx_0 | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^1 \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i) \leq f(x_1) + S_b(x_1, x_1, x_0)\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Inductively, we can suppose that $x_{n-1} \in Tx_{n-2}$ was already chosen and we consider

$$Tx_{n-1} := \{x \in Tx_{n-2} | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i) \leq f(x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_i)\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $x_n \in Tx_{n-1}$ such that

$$f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_i) \leq \inf_{x \in Tx_{n-1}} [f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i)] + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n s^n}. \tag{2.11}$$

Define now the set

$$Tx_n := \{x \in Tx_{n-1} | f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i) \leq f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_i)\}. \tag{2.12}$$

It is easy to see that the set Tx_n is nonempty and closed. Using the relations (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain for every $y \in Tx_n$

$$f(y) + \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(y, y, x_i) \leq f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_i),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(y, y, x_n) &\leq [f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_i)] - [f(y) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(y, y, x_i)] \\ &\leq [f(x_n) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_i)] - \inf_{x \in Tx_{n-1}} [f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i)] \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^n s^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $y \in Tx_n$ we have

$$S_b(y, y, x_n) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}. \tag{2.13}$$

ii) From (2.13), we can deduce that $S_b(y, y, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $diam(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$. As (X, S_b) is a complete S_b -metric space and from Lemma 2.2 we have $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Tx_n = \{x_\epsilon\}$. Using the equations (2.8) and (2.13) we obtain that $x_\epsilon \in X$ satisfies (2.3). Therefore,

$$x_n \longrightarrow x_\epsilon \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

iii) As x_ϵ is the single intersection of all the sets Tx_n , so for all $x \neq x_\epsilon$, we have $x \notin \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} Tx_n$. Thus, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x \in Tx_{m-1} \text{ and } x \notin Tx_m. \tag{2.14}$$

Using (2.12) and (2.14), we obtain

$$f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x, x, x_i) > f(x_m) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_i). \tag{2.15}$$

Thereby, (2.5) holds.

iv) Using (2.14) and the definition of the set Tx_{n-1} given by (2.10), we obtain

$$f(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_i) \leq f(x_{m-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_i). \tag{2.16}$$

Similarly, by applying (2.16) to x_{m-1} we have that

$$f(x_{m-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_i) \leq f(x_{m-2}) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-3} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_i). \tag{2.17}$$

By repeating this procedure enough times, we obtain

$$f(x_0) \geq f(x_m) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_i).$$

Moreover, for every $q \geq m$, we have

$$f(x_0) \geq f(x_m) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_m, x_m, x_i) \geq f(x_q) + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_q, x_q, x_i) \geq f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{1}{s^i} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_i).$$

Then, (2.6) holds. □

Next, we state this immediate consequence.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space (with $s > 1$), such that the S_b -metric is continuous and let $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a lower semi continuous, proper and lower bounded mapping. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ and $x^* \in X$ such that:*

$$i) \quad x_n \longrightarrow x_\epsilon, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty \quad x_\epsilon \in X, \tag{2.18}$$

$$ii) \quad f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_n) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \epsilon, \tag{2.19}$$

$$iii) \quad f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x, x, x_n) \geq f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_n) \text{ for any } x \in X. \tag{2.20}$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let (X, S_b) be a complete S_b -metric space (with $s > 1$), such that the S_b -metric is continuous and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an operator for which there exists a lower semi continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, such that:*

$$i) \quad S_b(u, u, v) + sS_b(u, u, Tu) \geq S_b(Tu, Tu, v), \tag{2.21}$$

$$ii) \quad \frac{s^2}{s-1} S_b(u, u, Tu) \leq f(u) - f(Tu), \text{ for any } u, v \in X. \tag{2.22}$$

Then T has at least one fixed point.

Proof. Assume that for all $x \in X$ we have that $Tx \neq x$. Using Corollary 2.5 for f , we obtain that, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_\epsilon$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $x_\epsilon \in X$ and

$$f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x, x, x_n) \geq f(x_\epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_n) \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

Since the above inequality holds for every $x \in X$, let put $x := Tx_\epsilon$ and since $Tx_\epsilon \neq x_\epsilon$, we get that

$$f(x_\epsilon) - f(Tx_\epsilon) < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(Tx_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon, x_n) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_n). \quad (2.23)$$

Let $u = x_\epsilon$ and $v = x_n$ in (2.21), we obtain

$$S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, x_n) + sS_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon) \geq S_b(Tx_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon, x_n). \quad (2.24)$$

From (2.23) and (2.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_\epsilon) - f(Tx_\epsilon) &< \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s}{s^n} S_b(x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon) \\ &\leq sS_b(x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^n} \\ &\leq \frac{s^2}{s-1} S_b(x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

In (2.22) we choose $u = x_\epsilon$. Then

$$\frac{s^2}{s-1} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon) \leq f(x_\epsilon) - f(Tx_\epsilon). \quad (2.26)$$

From the inequalities (2.25) and (2.26) we get that

$$\frac{s^2}{s-1} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon) \leq f(x_\epsilon) - f(Tx_\epsilon) < \frac{s^2}{s-1} S_b(x_\epsilon, x_\epsilon, Tx_\epsilon),$$

which is a absurd. Therefore, there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* \in Tx^*$. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, for funding this research work.

References

- [1] H. Aydi, M.-F. Bota, E. Karapnar, S. Mitrovi, *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 8 pages.1
- [2] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space*, Functional Analysis, Ulianowsk. Gos. Ped. Ins., **30** (1989), 26–37.1, 1.1
- [3] V. Berinde, *Iterative Approximation of Fixed points*, Springer, Berlin, (2007).1.7
- [4] M. Bota, A. Molnar, C. Varga, *On Ekeland's variational principle in b-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory, **12** (2011), 21–28.1, 2

- [5] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11.1
- [6] A. K. Dubey, R. Shukla, R. P. Dubey, *Some fixed point results in b-metric spaces*, Asian J. Math. Appl., **2014** (2014), 6 pages.1
- [7] M. Kir, H. Kiziltunc, *On Some Well Known Fixed Point Theorems in b-Metric Spaces*, Turkish J. Anal. Number Theory, **1** (2013), 13–16.1
- [8] M. Mlaiki, *Common fixed points in complex S-metric space*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2014), 509–524.1
- [9] N. Mlaiki, *α - ψ -Contractive Mapping on S-Metric Space*, Math. Sci. Lett., **4** (2015), 9–12.1
- [10] A. Mukheimer, *α - ψ - ϕ -contractive mappings in ordered partial b-metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **7** (2014), 168–179.1
- [11] K. Prudhvi, *Fixed Point Theorems in S-Metric Spaces*, Univer. J. Comput. Math., **3** (2015), 19–21.1
- [12] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, *A Common unique random fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, J. Prime Res. Math., **7** (2011), 25–34.1
- [13] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, **64** (2012), 258–266.1.2
- [14] S. Sedghi, N. Van Dung, *Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, **66** (2014), 113–124.1
- [15] W. Shatanawi, *Fixed Point Theory for Contractive Mappings Satisfying Φ -Maps in G-Metric Spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010** (2010), 9 pages.1
- [16] W. Shatanawi, E. Karapinar, H. Aydi, *Coupled Coincidence Points in Partially Ordered Cone Metric Spaces with a c-Distance*, J. Appl. Math., **2012** (2012), 15 pages.1
- [17] W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, *Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 15 pages.1
- [18] C. Vetro, S. Chauhan, E. Karapinar, W. Shatanawi, *Fixed Points of Weakly Compatible Mappings Satisfying Generalized φ -Weak Contractions*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **38** (2015), 1085–1105.1
- [19] S. Shukla, *Partial b-Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems*, Mediterr. J. math., **11** (2014), 703–711.1
- [20] A. Singh, N. Hooda, *Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in S-metric Spaces*, Inter. J. Math. Stat. Invent., **2** (2014), 33–39.1