

Online: ISSN 2008-949X

Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science



Journal Homepage: www.isr-publications.com/jmcs

On the domain of Cesàro matrix defined by weighted means in $\ell_{t(.)}$, and its pre-quasi ideal with some applications



Awad A. Bakery^{a,b}, Elsayed A. E. Mohamed^{a,c}, OM Kalthum S. K. Mohamed^{a,d,*}

- ^a Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts at Khulis, University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^cDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Alzaeim Alazhari University, Khartoum, Sudan.
- ^dAcademy of Engineering and Medical Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Khartoum, Sudan.

Abstract

In this article, we have constructed the sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ by the domain of Cesàro matrix defined by weighted means in Nakano sequence space $\ell_{(t_1)}$, where $t=(t_1)$ and $r=(r_1)$ are sequences of positive reals, and $\upsilon(f)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(p_l\left|\sum_{z=0}^{l}r_zf_z\right|\right)^{t_1}$,

with $f=(f_z)\in\Xi(p,r,t)$. Some geometric and topological actions of $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, the multiplication maps stand-in on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, and the eigenvalues distribution of operator ideal formed by $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ and s-numbers are discussed. We offer the existence of a fixed point of Kannan contraction operator improvised on these spaces. It is curious that various numerical experiments are introduced to present our results. Moreover, a few gilded applications to the existence of solutions of non-linear difference equations are examined.

Keywords: Cesàro matrix, weighted means, s-numbers, multiplication operator, minimum space, pre-quasi ideal, Kannan contraction operator.

2020 MSC: 46B10, 46B15, 47B10, 46C05, 46E05, 46E15, 46E30.

©2022 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The theory of variable exponent function spaces contemplatively depend on the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Which investigates its approach in differential equations, optimization, and approximation. The next conventions throughout the article will be used, if species are preowned we will give them.

Conventions 1.1.

$$N = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$

Email addresses: aabhassan@uj.edu.sa, awad_bakery@yahoo.com and awad_bakry@hotmail.com (Awad A. Bakery), eamohamed@uj.edu.sa (Elsayed A. E. Mohamed), 04220260@uj.edu.sa and om_kalsoom2020@yahoo.com (OM Kalthum S. K. Mohamed)

doi: 10.22436/jmcs.026.01.05

Received: 2021-05-08 Revised: 2021-06-14 Accepted: 2021-08-10

^{*}Corresponding author

- \mathcal{C} : The space of all complex numbers.
- [a]: The integral part of real number a.
- R: The set of real numbers.
- $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$: The space of all sequences of complex numbers.
- R^{+N} : The space of all sequences of positive reals.
 - ℓ_{∞} : The space of bounded sequences of complex numbers.
 - ℓ_r : The space of r-absolutely summable sequences of complex numbers.
 - c_0 : The space of null sequences of complex numbers.
 - $e_l = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, as 1 lies at the l^{th} coordinate, for all $l \in N$.
 - \mathfrak{F} : The space of each sequences with finite non-zero coordinates.
 - 3: The space of all sets with finite number of elements.
- \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} : The space of all monotonic increasing sequences of positive reals.
- \mathfrak{I}_{\searrow} : The space of all monotonic decreasing sequences of positive reals.
 - B: The ideal of all bounded linear mappings between any arbitrary Banach spaces.
 - \mathfrak{F} : The ideal of finite rank mappings between any arbitrary Banach spaces.
 - A: The ideal of approximable mappings between any arbitrary Banach spaces.
 - \mathcal{K} : The ideal of compact mappings between any arbitrary Banach spaces.
- $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$: The space of all bounded linear mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into a Banach space \mathcal{Q} .
 - $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P})$: The space of all bounded linear mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into itself.
- $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$: The space of finite rank mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into a Banach space \mathcal{Q} .
 - $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{P})$: The space of finite rank mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into itself.
- $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$: The space of approximable mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into a Banach space \mathcal{Q} .
 - $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$: The space of approximable mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into itself.
- $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$: The space of compact mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into a Banach space \mathcal{Q} .
 - $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{P})$: The space of compact mappings from a Banach space \mathcal{P} into itself.

Definition 1.2 ([35]). A map $s : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \to [0, \infty)^N$ is named an s-number, if the sequence $(s_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty}$, for all $H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, verifies the next conditions:

- (a) $\|H\| = s_0(H) \geqslant s_1(H) \geqslant s_2(H) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant 0$, with $H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$;
- (b) $s_{x+y-1}(H_1 + H_2) \leq s_x(H_1) + s_y(H_2)$, with $H_1, H_2 \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $s_x(ZYH) \leq \|Z\|s_x(Y)\|H\|$, for every $H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}_0;\mathcal{P})$, $Y \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ and $Z \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{Q}_0)$, where \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{Q}_0 are any two Banach spaces;
- (d) suppose $G \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$, hence $s_{\chi}(\gamma G) = |\gamma| s_{\chi}(G)$;
- (e) assume rank(H) $\leq x$, then $s_x(H) = 0$, for all $H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$,
- (f) $s_{y\geqslant x}(I_x)=0$ or $s_{y< x}(I_x)=1$, where I_x marks the identity map on the x-dimensional Hilbert space ℓ_2^x .

We investigate a few examples of s-numbers as follows.

- $\text{(1) The x-th Kolmogorov number, $d_x(H)$, where $d_x(H)=\inf_{dim} \int_{0}^{\infty}\sup_{\|\lambda\|\leqslant 1}\inf_{\beta\in J}\|H\lambda-\beta\|.$
- $\text{(2) The x-th approximation number, $\alpha_x(H)$, where $\alpha_x(H)=\inf\{\ \|H-Z\|: Z\in \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$ and $\operatorname{rank}(Z)\leqslant x$}.$

Notations 1.3 ([13]).

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{B}^s_{\epsilon} &:= \Big\{ \mathbb{B}^s_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}); \text{ Pand } \mathbb{Q} \text{ are Banach Spaces} \Big\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{B}^s_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \Big\{ H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) : ((s_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E} \Big\}. \\ \mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\epsilon} &:= \Big\{ \mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}); \text{ Pand } \mathbb{Q} \text{ are Banach Spaces} \Big\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \Big\{ H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) : ((\alpha_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E} \Big\}. \\ \mathbb{B}^d_{\epsilon} &:= \Big\{ \mathbb{B}^d_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ Pand } \mathbb{Q} \text{ are Banach Spaces} \Big\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{B}^d_{\epsilon}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) := \Big\{ H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}) : ((d_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E} \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Pietsch [31] defined and discussed the concept of s-numbers of linear bounded operators between Banach spaces. In [32, 34], he introduced and investigated a few geometric and topological behavior of the quasi ideals $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\ell_b}$. Makarov and Faried [23], proved for any infinite dimensional Banach spaces $\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{Q}$, the strictly inclusion relation of $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\ell_b}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$ with different powers. Yaying et al. [45], defined the sequence space, χ^t_r , whose its r-Cesàro matrix in ℓ_t , with $r \in (0,1]$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant \infty$. They offered the quasi Banach ideal of type χ_r^t , with $r \in (0,1]$ and $1 < t < \infty$. They examined its Schauder basis, α –, β – and γ – duals, and bent upon conclusive matrix classes connected with this sequence space. The compact maps discussed by many authors for distinct sequence spaces for completeness, see [9-12, 20, 26, 29]. Komal et al. [22], investigated the multiplication operators acting on Cesàro sequence spaces under the Luxemburg norm. The multiplication operators acting on Cesàro second order function spaces examined by Ilkhan et al. [17]. The non-absolute type sequence spaces are a generalization of the equivalent absolute type. For that there exists a great interest to examine these sequence spaces. Newly, many authors in the literature have discussed a few non-absolute type sequence spaces and presented new interesting articles, for example, see Mursaleen and Noman [27, 28], and Mursaleen and Başar [25]. Many authors have introduced and studied different sequence spaces to fill in gaps in the literature, such as Tripathy [39, 40], Altin et al. [3], Tripathy et al. [41, 42], Khan et al. [21], Hazarika and Esi [15], Raj et al. [36] and Yaying et al. [43, 44]. In view of Banach fixed point theorem [8], Kannan [19] offered an example of a class of operators with the same fixed point actions as contractions though that fails to be continuous. Ghoncheh [14] was the only one who examined Kannan maps in modular vector spaces. He showed that the existence of a fixed point of Kannan operator in complete modular spaces that have Fatou property. Bakery and Mohamed [5] introduced the theory of the pre-quasi norm on Nakano sequence space so that its variable exponent in (0,1]. They examined the sufficient conditions on it under the known pre-quasi norm to construct prequasi Banach and closed space, and offered the Fatou property of distinct pre-quasi norms on it. More, they showed the existence of a fixed point of Kannan pre-quasi norm contraction operators on it and on the pre-quasi Banach operator ideal generated by the sequence of s-numbers which belongs to this sequence space.

 $\textbf{Lemma 1.4 ([2]).} \ \textit{If} \ t_{\alpha} > 0 \ \textit{and} \ \lambda_{\alpha}, \beta_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{C}, \textit{for all } \alpha \in N, \textit{and} \ \hbar = max\{1, sup_{\alpha} \ t_{\alpha}\}, \textit{hence} \ \text{lemma 1.4 ([2])}.$

$$|\lambda_{\alpha}+\beta_{\alpha}|^{t_{\alpha}}\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1}\left(|\lambda_{\alpha}|^{t_{\alpha}}+|\beta_{\alpha}|^{t_{\alpha}}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

The goal of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we give the definition and some inclusion relations of the sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\nu}$ equipped with the function ν . In Section 3.2, we explain the sufficient conditions on $\Xi(p,r,t)$ with known function v to construct pre-modular private sequence space (\$\psi\$). This explains that $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$ is a pre-quasi normed \$\psi\$s. In Section 3.3, we act a multiplication operator on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\nu}$, and investigate the necessity and enough setup on this sequence space so that the multiplication operator is bounded, approximable, invertible, Fredholm and closed range. In Section 4, first, we discuss the enough conditions (not necessary) on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, so that $\overline{\mathbb{F}}=\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$. This gives a negative answer of Rhoades [37] open problem about the linearity of s-type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ spaces. Second, we introduce the setup on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ such that the elements of pre-quasi ideal $\mathbb{B}^s_{\Xi(p,r,t)}$ are complete and closed. Third, we offer the enough conditions on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ so that $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is strictly contained for distinct weights and powers. We establish the setup for which the pre-quasi ideal $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is minimum. Fourth, we introduce the conditions for which the Banach pre-quasi ideal $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is simple. Fifth, we give the enough conditions on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ so that the class $\mathbb B$ which sequence of eigenvalues in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ equals $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$. In Section 5, the existence of a fixed point of Kannan pre-quasi norm contraction operator on this sequence space and on its pre-quasi operator ideal constructed by $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{t,t}$ and snumbers are confirmed. Finally, in Section 6, we light our results by a few examples and applications to the existence of solutions of non-linear difference equations. Finally, we introduce our conclusion in Section 7.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 ([34]). *If* $M \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$ *and* $M \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$, *then there are operators* $Q \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P})$ *and* $L \in \mathbb{B}(\Omega)$ *so that* $LMQe_x = e_x$, *for all* $x \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.2 ([34]). A Banach space \mathcal{E} is named simple if the algebra $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$ includes one and only one non-trivial closed ideal.

Theorem 2.3 ([34]). *Suppose* \mathcal{E} *is a Banach space with* dim(\mathcal{E}) = ∞ , then

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E}) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{E}) \subsetneq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}).$$

Definition 2.4 ([24]). An operator $U \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$ is named Fredholm if $\dim(\text{Range}(U))^c < \infty$, $\dim(\ker(U)) < \infty$ and $\operatorname{Range}(U)$ is closed, where $(\operatorname{Range}(U))^c$ marks the complement of $\operatorname{Range}(U)$.

Definition 2.5 ([18]). A class $\mathbb{W} \subseteq \mathbb{B}$ is named an operator ideal if every vector $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{W} \cap \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ confirms the next setup.

- (i) $I_{\Omega} \in \mathbb{W}$, if Ω marks Banach space of one dimension.
- (ii) $W(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a linear space on \mathcal{C} .
- (iii) If $Q \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P})$, $L \in \mathbb{W}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $M \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}_0)$, then $MLQ \in \mathbb{W}(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{Q}_0)$, where \mathcal{P}_0 and \mathcal{Q}_0 are normed spaces.

Definition 2.6 ([13]). A function $\Psi : \mathbb{W} \to [0, \infty)$ is named a pre-quasi norm on the operator ideal \mathbb{W} , if it confirms the next setup:

- (1) for all $H \in \mathbb{W}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, $\Psi(H) \geqslant 0$ and $\Psi(H) = 0 \iff H = 0$;
- (2) we have $E_0\geqslant 1$ so that $\Psi(\kappa H)\leqslant E_0|\kappa|\Psi(H)$, with $H\in W(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$ and $\kappa\in\mathfrak{C};$
- (3) we have $G_0 \ge 1$ so that $\Psi(H_1 + H_2) \le G_0[\Psi(H_1) + \Psi(H_2)]$, for every $H_1, H_2 \in W(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$;
- (4) we have $D_0\geqslant 1$ so that if $Q\in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}_0,\mathcal{P}),\ L\in \mathbb{W}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ and $M\in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{Q}_0)$ hence $\Psi(MLQ)\leqslant D_0\|M\|\Psi(L)\|Q\|$.

Theorem 2.7 ([13]). Each quasi norm on the ideal W is a pre-quasi norm on the ideal W.

Definition 2.8 ([6]). The linear space of sequences \mathcal{E} is named a private sequence space (\mathfrak{pss}), if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $e_x \in \mathcal{E}$, with $x \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) \mathcal{E} is solid, i.e., for $h=(h_x)\in \mathcal{C}^N$, $|j|=(|j_x|)\in \mathcal{E}$ and $|h_x|\leqslant |j_x|$, with $x\in N$, then $|h|\in \mathcal{E}$;
- (3) $\left(\left|j_{\left[\frac{x}{2}\right]}\right|\right)_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ if } \left(\left|j_{x}\right|\right)_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}.$

Theorem 2.9 ([6]). Assume the linear sequence space \mathcal{E} is a \mathfrak{pss} , then $\mathbb{B}^{\mathfrak{s}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an operator ideal.

Definition 2.10 ([6]). A subspace of the pss is named a pre-modular pss, if there is a function $v : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for every $j \in \mathcal{E}$, $j = \theta \iff v(|j|) = 0$, and $v(j) \ge 0$, with θ is the zero vector of \mathcal{E} ;
- (ii) if $j \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$, then there are $E_0 \geqslant 1$ with $v(\rho j) \leqslant |\rho| E_0 v(j)$;
- (iii) $v(h+j) \leq G_0(v(h)+v(j))$ includes for some $G_0 \geq 1$, with $f,g \in \mathcal{E}$;
- (iv) assume $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $|h_x| \leq |j_x|$, we have $v((|h_x|)) \leq v((|j_x|))$;
- (v) the inequality, $v((|j_x|)) \le v((|j_{\lfloor \frac{x}{2}\rfloor}|)) \le D_0 v((|j_x|))$ verifies, for $D_0 \ge 1$;
- (vi) $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{E}_{\nu}$;
- (vii) we have $\eta > 0$ such that $v(\rho, 0, 0, 0, ...) \ge \eta |\rho| v(1, 0, 0, 0, ...)$, with $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.11 ([6]). The \mathfrak{pss} \mathcal{E}_{υ} is named a pre-quasi normed \mathfrak{pss} , if υ confirms the setup (i)-(iii) of Definition 2.10. If \mathcal{E} is complete equipped with υ , then \mathcal{E}_{υ} is named a pre-quasi Banach \mathfrak{pss} .

Theorem 2.12 ([6]). Each pre-modular \mathfrak{pss} \mathcal{E}_{υ} is a pre-quasi normed \mathfrak{pss} .

Theorem 2.13 ([6]). The function Ψ is a pre-quasi norm on $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\mathcal{E})_{\upsilon}}$, where $\Psi(Y) = \upsilon(s_b(Y))_{b=0}^{\infty}$, for every $Y \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\mathcal{E})_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, if $(\mathcal{E})_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-modular pss.

Definition 2.14 ([5]). A pre-quasi norm v on \mathcal{E} confirms the Fatou property, if for every sequence $\{t^{\alpha}\}\subseteq\mathcal{E}_{v}$ with $\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}v(t^{\alpha}-t)=0$ and each $z\in\mathcal{E}_{v}$, then $v(z-t)\leqslant\sup_{i}\inf_{\alpha\geqslant j}v(z-t^{\alpha})$.

Definition 2.15 ([5]). A pre-quasi norm Ψ on the ideal $\mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $\Psi(W) = \upsilon\Big((s_\alpha(W))_{\alpha=0}^\infty\Big)$, confirms the Fatou property if for every sequence $\{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq\mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathsf{Z},\mathsf{M})$ with $\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\Psi(W_\alpha-W)=0$ and each $V\in\mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathsf{Z},\mathsf{M})$, then $\Psi(V-W)\leqslant\sup_\alpha\inf_{i\geqslant\alpha}\Psi(V-W_i)$.

Definition 2.16 ([5]). An operator $W: \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon} \to \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$ is named a Kannan υ -contraction, if there is $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, such that $\upsilon(Wz - Wt) \leq \lambda(\upsilon(Wz - z) + \upsilon(Wt - t))$, for every $z, t \in \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$.

A vector $z \in \mathcal{E}_{v}$ is named a fixed point of W, if W(z) = z.

Definition 2.17 ([5]). An operator $W : \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{E}}^{s}(Z, M) \to \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{E}}^{s}(Z, M)$ is called a Kannan Ψ-contraction, if there is $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, so that $\Psi(WV - WT) \leq \lambda(\Psi(WV - V) + \Psi(WT - T))$, for each $V, T \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathcal{E}}^{s}(Z, M)$.

Definition 2.18 ([5]). Assume \mathcal{E}_{υ} is a pre-quasi normed (sss), $W: \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon} \to \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$. The operator W is named υ -sequentially continuous at \mathfrak{b} , if and only if, if $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \upsilon(\mathfrak{t}_{\alpha} - \mathfrak{b}) = 0$, then $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \upsilon(W\mathfrak{t}_{\alpha} - W\mathfrak{b}) = 0$.

Definition 2.19 ([5]). For the pre-quasi norm Ψ on the ideal $\mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $\Psi(W) = \upsilon\Big((s_\alpha(W))_{\alpha=0}^\infty\Big)$, $G: \mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}(Z,M) \to \mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}(Z,M)$ and $B \in \mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}}(Z,M)$. The operator G is named Ψ -sequentially continuous at B, if and only if, if $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Psi(W_p-B)=0$, then $\lim_{p\to\infty} \Psi(GW_p-GB)=0$.

Definition 2.20 ([6]). Suppose $\omega = (\omega_k) \in \mathcal{C}^N$ and \mathcal{E}_{υ} is a pre-quasi normed \mathfrak{pss} . The operator $H_{\omega} : \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon} \to \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$ is named a multiplication operator on \mathcal{E}_{υ} , if $H_{\omega}f = \left(\omega_b f_b\right) \in \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$, with $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$. The multiplication operator is named created by ω , if $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}_{\upsilon})$.

Theorem 2.21 ([4]). Suppose s-type $\mathcal{E}_{\upsilon} := \left\{ h = (s_x(H)) \in R^N : H \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega) \text{ and } \upsilon(h) < \infty \right\}$. If $\mathbb{B}^s_{\mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}}$ is an operator ideal, then the following conditions are verified.

- 1. $\mathcal{F} \subset s$ -type \mathcal{E}_{v} .
- $\text{2. Suppose } (s_{x}(\mathsf{H}_{1}))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \text{s-type } \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon} \text{ and } (s_{x}(\mathsf{H}_{2}))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \text{s-type } \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}, \text{ then } (s_{x}(\mathsf{H}_{1}+\mathsf{H}_{2}))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \text{s-type } \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}.$
- 3. Assume $\lambda \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $(s_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \text{s-type } \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$, then $|\lambda| \, (s_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in \text{s-type } \mathcal{E}_{\upsilon}$.
- 4. The sequence space \mathcal{E}_{υ} is solid, i.e., if $(s_x(J))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in s$ -type \mathcal{E}_{υ} and $s_x(H) \leqslant s_x(J)$, for all $x \in N$ and $H, J \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$, then $(s_x(H))_{x=0}^{\infty} \in s$ -type \mathcal{E}_{υ} .

3. Main results

3.1. The sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{1}$

In this section, we introduce the definition the sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ equipped with the function υ , and some inclusion relations of it.

Definition 3.1. If (p_1) , (r_1) , $(t_1) \in \mathbb{R}^{+N}$, the sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$ with the function v is defined by:

$$\left(\Xi(p,r,t)\right)_{\upsilon}=\Big\{f=(f_k)\in \mathfrak{C}^N:\upsilon(\rho f)<\infty, \text{ for some } \rho>0\Big\},$$

where
$$v(f) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l}$$
.

Theorem 3.2. If $(t_1) \in R^{+N} \cap \ell_{\infty}$, then

$$\left(\Xi(p,r,t)\right)_{\upsilon}=\Big\{f=\left(f_{k}\right)\in\mathfrak{C}^{N}:\upsilon(\rho f)<\infty\text{, for any }\rho>0\Big\}.$$

Proof. Assume $(t_l) \in R^{+N} \cap \ell_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left(\Xi(\Delta,r)\right)_{\upsilon} &= \left\{f = \left(f_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}^{N} : \upsilon(\rho f) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\} \\ &= \left\{f = \left(f_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}^{N} : \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} \rho r_{z} f_{z}\right|\right)^{t_{l}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\} \\ &= \left\{f = \left(f_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}^{N} : \inf_{l} \rho^{t_{l}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} f_{z}\right|\right)^{t_{l}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\} \\ &= \left\{f = \left(f_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}^{N} : \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} f_{z}\right|\right)^{t_{l}} < \infty\right\} \\ &= \left\{f = \left(f_{k}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}^{N} : \upsilon(\rho f) < \infty, \text{ for any } \rho > 0\right\}. \end{split}$$

Remark 3.3.

(1) If $t_z=t$, $r_z=r_1^zr_2^{1-z}$, $p_z=\frac{1}{\sum_{\alpha=0}^zr_1^{\alpha}r_2^{1-\alpha}}$, for all $z\in N$, $r_1,r_2\in (0,\infty)$ and $t\geqslant 1$, the sequence space $\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},t)=\cos^t_{r_1,r_2}$ studied by Bakery and Mohamed [6].

(2) Suppose $t_z = t$, $r_z = r^z$, $p_z = \frac{1}{\sum_{\alpha=0}^z r^{\alpha}}$, for every $z \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < r \le 1$ and $t \ge 1$, the sequence space $\Xi(p,r,t) = \chi_r^t$ studied by Yaying et al. [45].

(3) Assume $t_z = t$, $r_z = 1$, $p_z = \frac{1}{z+1}$, for every $z \in N$ and $t \ge 1$, then $\Xi(p,r,t) = \cos^t$, defined and examined by Ng and Lee [30].

Theorem 3.4. If $(t_l) \in [1,\infty)^N \cap \ell_\infty$, then $(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon$ is a non-absolute type.

Proof. By choosing $f = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, then $|f| = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$. We have

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(f) &= (p_0 r_0)^{t_0} + (p_1 \, | r_0 - r_1 |)^{t_1} + (p_2 \, | r_0 - r_1 |)^{t_2} + \cdots \\ &\neq (p_0 r_0)^{t_0} + (p_1 \, | r_0 + r_1 |)^{t_1} + (p_2 \, | r_0 + r_1 |)^{t_2} + \cdots = \upsilon(|f|). \end{split}$$

Then, the sequence space $\left(\Xi(p,r,t)\right)_{\upsilon}$ is non-absolute type.

Recall that, we name the sequence space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ as generalized Cesàro sequence space defined by weighted means of non-absolute type since it is constructed by the domain of Cesàro matrix defined by weighted means in $\ell_{((t_1))}$, where the Cesàro matrix defined by weighted means, $\Lambda(r)=(\lambda_{lz}(r))$, is defined as:

$$\lambda_{lz}(\mathbf{r}) = egin{cases} p_{l}\mathbf{r}_{z}, & 0 \leqslant z \leqslant l, \ 0, & z > l. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.5 ([7]). Assume $(p_l), (r_l), (t_l) \in R^{+N}$ and $t_l \geqslant 1$, for every $l \in N$. The generalized Cesàro sequence space defined by weighted means $(ces(p,r,t))_{\omega}$ is defined as:

$$\left(ces(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},t)\right)_{\phi}=\Big\{f=(f_{k})\in\mathfrak{C}^{N}:\phi(\rho f)<\infty\text{, for some }\rho>0\Big\},$$

where
$$\phi(f) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \left| f_z \right| \right)^{t_l}$$
.

Theorem 3.6. Suppose $(t_1) \in R^{+N} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ with $(p_1) \in \ell_{((t_1))}$ and $(p_1 \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z) \notin \ell_{(t_1)}$, hence $(ces(p,r,t))_{\phi} \subsetneq (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$.

Proof. Let $f \in (ces(p, r, t))_{\omega}$, since

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l} \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \left| f_z \right| \right)^{t_l} < \infty.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{f} \in (\Xi(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}))_{\upsilon}$. If we choose $\mathbf{g} = (\frac{(-1)^z}{\mathbf{r}_z})_{z \in \mathbb{N}}$, one gets $\mathbf{g} \in (\Xi(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}))_{\upsilon}$ and $\mathbf{g} \notin (\cos(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}))_{\varphi}$. \square

3.2. Pre-modular private sequence space

We explain in this section the enough setup on $\Xi(p,r,t)$ with definite function v to construct premodular pss. Which investigates that $\Xi(p,r,t)$ is a pre-quasi normed pss.

Theorem 3.7. $\Xi(p,r,t)$ *is a* pss, *if the next setup are confirmed.*

- (f1) $(t_1) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ with $t_0 > 0$.
- (f2) $(p_z)_{z=0}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{+N} \cap \ell_{((t_1))}$.
- (f3) $(\mathbf{r}_z)_{z=0}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\searrow}$ or, $(\mathbf{r}_z)_{z=0}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ and there exists $C \geqslant 1$ such that $\mathbf{r}_{2z+1} \leqslant C\mathbf{r}_z$.

Proof.

(1-i) Assume f, $g \in \Xi(p, r, t)$. One obtains

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z + r_z g_z \right| \right)^{t_1} \leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z g_z \right| \right)^{t_1} \right) < \infty,$$

hence, $f + g \in \Xi(p, r, t)$.

(1-ii) Suppose $\rho \in \mathcal{C}$, $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$ and as $(t_1) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$, we get

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \rho f_z \right| \right)^{t_l} \leqslant \sup_{l} |\rho|^{t_l} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l} < \infty.$$

So, $\rho f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$. In view of setup (1-i) and (1-ii), we have $\Xi(p,r,t)$ is a linear space. As $(t_l) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ and $t_0 > 0$, one obtains

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z (e_b)_z \right| \right)^{t_l} = \sum_{l=b}^{\infty} \left(p_l r_b \right)^{t_l} \leqslant \sup_{l} r_b^{t_l} \sum_{l=b}^{\infty} p_l^{t_l} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $e_b \in \Xi(p, r, t)$, for every $b \in N$.

(2) Let $|f_b| \le |g_b|$, with $b \in N$ and $|g| \in \Xi(p,r,t)$. One has

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z |f_z| \right| \right)^{t_l} \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z |g_z| \right| \right)^{t_l} < \infty,$$

hence $|f| \in \Xi(p, r, t)$.

(3) Assume $(|f_z|) \in \Xi(p,r,t)$, with $(t_1),(r_z) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$ and there is $C \geqslant 1$ with $r_{2z+1} \leqslant Cr_z$, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} | f_{[\frac{z}{2}]}| \right)^{t_{1}} &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{2l} \sum_{z=0}^{2l} r_{z} | f_{[\frac{z}{2}]}| \right)^{t_{2l}} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{2l+1} \sum_{z=0}^{2l+1} r_{z} | f_{[\frac{z}{2}]}| \right)^{t_{2l+1}} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left(r_{2l} | f_{l}| + \sum_{z=0}^{l} (r_{2z} + r_{2z+1}) | f_{z}| \right) \right)^{t_{1}} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left(\sum_{z=0}^{l} (r_{2z} + r_{2z+1}) | f_{z}| \right) \right)^{t_{1}} \\ &\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{2z} | f_{z}| \right)^{t_{1}} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(2Cp_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} | f_{z}| \right)^{t_{1}} \right) + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(2Cp_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} | f_{z}| \right)^{t_{1}} \\ &\leqslant (2^{2\hbar-1} + 2^{\hbar-1} + 2^{\hbar}) C^{\hbar} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} | f_{z}| \right)^{t_{1}} < \infty, \end{split}$$

hence $(|f_{\left[\frac{z}{2}\right]}|) \in \Xi(p,r,t)$.

In view of Theorem 2.9, we have the next Theorem.

Theorem 3.8. Suppose the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is settled, then $\mathbb{B}^s_{\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t)}$ is an operator ideal.

Theorem 3.9. $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-modular \mathfrak{pss} , if the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is settled.

Proof.

- (i) Definitely, $v(f) \ge 0$ and $v(|f|) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = \theta$.
- $\text{(ii) There are } E_0 = \max\left\{1, \sup\nolimits_1 |\rho|^{t_1-1}\right\} \geqslant 1 \text{ with } \upsilon(\rho f) \leqslant E_0 |\rho| \upsilon(f) \text{, for each } f \in \Xi(p,r,t) \text{ and } \rho \in \mathfrak{C}.$
- (iii) The inequality $v(f+g) \le 2^{h-1}(v(f)+v(g))$ satisfies, with $f,g \in \Xi(p,r,t)$.
- (iv) Clearly, from the proof part (2) of Theorem 3.7.
- (v) Obviously, the proof part (3) of Theorem 3.7, that $D_0 \ge (2^{2\hbar-1} + 2^{\hbar-1} + 2^{\hbar})C^{\hbar} \ge 1$.
- (vi) Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t})$.
- (vii) One has $0 < \varpi \leqslant \sup_{l} |\rho|^{t_l-1}$ with $\upsilon(\rho,0,0,0,\ldots) \geqslant \varpi|\rho|\upsilon(1,0,0,0,\ldots)$, for all $\rho \neq 0$ and $\varpi > 0$, if $\rho = 0$.

Theorem 3.10. If the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established, then $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-quasi Banach pss.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.9, the space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-modular pss. According to Theorem 2.12, the space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-quasi normed pss. To explain that $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-quasi Banach pss, assume $f^{\alpha}=(f_{z}^{\alpha})_{z=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, then for all $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, there is $\alpha_{0}\in N$ so that for all $\alpha,b\geqslant\alpha_{0}$, one gets

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{f}^{\mathfrak{a}}-\mathsf{f}^{\mathfrak{b}}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{l}=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l}} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{\mathfrak{l}} r_{z} (\mathsf{f}_{z}^{\mathfrak{a}}-\mathsf{f}_{z}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \right| \right)^{\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{l}}} < \varepsilon^{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Hence, for $a,b\geqslant a_0$ and $z\in N$, we obtain $|f_z^\alpha-f_z^b|<\epsilon$. So, (f_z^b) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathfrak C$, for fixed $z\in N$, this explains $\lim_{b\to\infty}f_z^b=f_z^0$, for fixed $z\in N$. Hence $\upsilon(f^\alpha-f^0)<\epsilon^\hbar$, for all $a\geqslant a_0$. Finally to investigate that $f^0\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, one has $\upsilon(f^0)\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1}(\upsilon(f^\alpha-f^0)+\upsilon(f^\alpha))<\infty$, then $f^0\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$. This explains that $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-quasi Banach $\mathfrak p\mathfrak s\mathfrak s$.

In view of Theorem 2.21, we construct the next properties of the s-type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$.

Theorem 3.11. Let s-type $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}:=\Big\{f=(s_{\mathfrak{n}}(X))\in\mathfrak{C}^{N}:\ X\in\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})\ \text{and}\ \mathfrak{v}(f)<\infty\Big\}.$ The next conditions are established

- 1. One has s-type $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}\supset \mathfrak{F}$.
- 2. Suppose $(s_r(X_1))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in s$ -type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ and $(s_r(X_2))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in s$ -type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, then $(s_r(X_1+X_2))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in s$ -type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$.
- $3. \ \textit{Assume} \ \lambda \in \mathfrak{C} \ \textit{and} \ (s_r(X))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in \textit{s-type} \ (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}, \textit{hence} \ |\lambda| \ (s_r(X))_{r=0}^{\infty} \in \textit{s-type} \ (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}.$
- 4. The s-type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$ is solid.

3.3. Multiplication operators on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$

We discuss here the necessity and enough setup on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$ in order to the multiplication operator defined on it is bounded, invertible, approximable, Fredholm and closed range.

Theorem 3.12. Suppose $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$, the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is entrenched, hence

$$\omega \in \ell_{\infty} \iff H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

Proof. Let $\omega \in \ell_{\infty}$. Hence, there is $\nu > 0$ so that $|\omega_b| \leqslant \nu$, for every $b \in N$. Assume $f \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, one has

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}\mathsf{f}) &= \upsilon(\omega\mathsf{f}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \omega_z \mathsf{f}_z \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_1} \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \mathsf{v}_z \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_1} \leqslant \sup_{l} \nu^{\mathsf{t}_1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \mathsf{f}_z \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_1} \\ &= \sup_{l} \nu^{\mathsf{t}_l} \upsilon(\mathsf{f}). \end{split}$$

Therefore, $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$. On the other hand, assume $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$ and $\omega \notin \ell_{\infty}$. Hence for all $b \in N$, there are $x_b \in N$ so that $\omega_{x_b} > b$. We get

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}e_{x_{b}}) = \upsilon(\omega e_{x_{b}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \omega_{z}(e_{x_{b}})_{z} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} = \sum_{l=x_{b}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} r_{x_{b}} |\omega_{x_{b}}| \right)^{t_{l}} > \sum_{l=x_{b}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} b r_{x_{b}} \right)^{t_{l}} > b^{t_{0}} \upsilon(e_{x_{b}}).$$

Hence, $H_{\omega} \notin \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$. So $\omega \in \ell_{\infty}$.

Theorem 3.13. Suppose $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-quasi normed \mathfrak{pss} . Hence $\omega_b=g$, for every $b\in N$ and $g\in \mathbb{C}$ with |g|=1, if and only if, H_{ω} is an isometry.

Proof. Let $\omega_b = g$, for every $b \in N$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}$ with |g| = 1. One obtains

$$\upsilon(H_\omega f) = \upsilon(\omega f) = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \left(p_l \left| \sum_{k=0}^l r_k \omega_k f_k \right| \right)^{t_l} = \sum_{l=0}^\infty \left(p_l \left| \sum_{k=0}^l |g| r_k f_k \right| \right)^{t_l} = \upsilon(f),$$

for every $f \in (\Xi(p, r, t))_{\upsilon}$. Therefore, H_{ω} is an isometry.

Suppose the necessity setup is entrenched and $|\omega_b| < 1$, for some $b = b_0$. We get

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}e_{b_0}) = \upsilon(\omega e_{b_0}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_k \omega_k(e_{b_0})_k \right| \right)^{t_1} = \sum_{l=b_0}^{\infty} \left(p_l r_{b_0} |\omega_{b_0}| \right)^{t_1} < \sum_{l=b_0}^{\infty} \left(p_l r_{b_0} \right)^{t_1} = \upsilon(e_{b_0}).$$

Next if $|\omega_{b_0}| > 1$, obviously $\upsilon(\mathsf{H}_\omega e_{b_0}) > \upsilon(e_{b_0})$. This explains a contradiction for the two cases. Therefore, $|\omega_b| = 1$, for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.14. Suppose $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$, the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is entrenched. Hence

$$H_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\upsilon}) \iff (\omega_b)_{b=0}^{\infty} \in c_0.$$

Proof. Let $H_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$, then $H_{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$. Assume $\lim_{b \to \infty} \omega_b \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $\rho > 0$ such that the set $K_{\rho} = \{b \in N : |\omega_b| \geqslant \rho\} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{I}$. Assume $\{\alpha_b\}_{b \in N} \subset K_{\rho}$. Hence, $\{e_{\alpha_b} : \alpha_b \in K_{\rho}\} \in \ell_{\infty}$ is an infinite set in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$. Since

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}e_{\alpha_{a}}-\mathsf{H}_{\omega}e_{\alpha_{b}}) &= \upsilon(\omega e_{\alpha_{a}}-\omega e_{\alpha_{b}}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k}\omega_{k}((e_{\alpha_{a}})_{k}-(e_{\alpha_{b}})_{k})\right|\right)^{t_{l}} \\ &\geqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k}\rho((e_{\alpha_{a}})_{k}-(e_{\alpha_{b}})_{k})\right|\right)^{t_{l}} \geqslant \inf_{l} \rho^{t_{l}}\upsilon(e_{\alpha_{a}}-e_{\alpha_{b}}), \end{split}$$

for every α_{α} , $\alpha_b \in K_{\rho}$. Then, $\{e_{\alpha_b}: \alpha_b \in K_{\rho}\} \in \ell_{\infty}$, which cannot have a convergent subsequence under H_{ω} . Hence $H_{\omega} \notin \mathcal{K}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$. This explains $H_{\omega} \notin \mathcal{A}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$, which indicates a contradiction. Hence, $\lim_{b \to \infty} \omega_b = 0$. On the other hand, assume $\lim_{b \to \infty} \omega_b = 0$. Therefore, for all $\rho > 0$, one has $K_{\rho} = \{b \in N: |\omega_b| \geqslant \rho\} \subset \mathfrak{I}$. Hence, for every $\rho > 0$, we have $\dim \left(\left((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}\right)_{K_{\rho}}\right) = \dim \left(\mathfrak{C}^{K_{\rho}}\right) < \infty$.

Therefore, $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{F}\left(\left((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}\right)_{K_{\rho}}\right)$. Assume $\omega_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathfrak{C}^{N}$, for all $\mathfrak{a} \in N$, where

$$(\omega_a)_b = \begin{cases} \omega_b, & b \in K_{\frac{1}{a+1}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $H_{\omega_{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{F}\left(\left((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}\right)_{B_{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}\right)$, since $dim\left(\left((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}\right)_{B_{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}\right) < \infty$, for all $\alpha \in N$. According to $(t_1) \in \mathfrak{I} \nearrow \cap \ell_{\infty}$ with $t_0 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \upsilon((\mathsf{H}_{\omega} - \mathsf{H}_{\omega_{\alpha}}) f) &= \upsilon \left(\left((\omega_{b} - (\omega_{a})_{b}) f_{b} \right)_{b=0}^{\infty} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} (\omega_{b} - (\omega_{a})_{b}) f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=0,l \in K_{\frac{1}{a+1}}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} (\omega_{b} - (\omega_{a})_{b}) f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} + \sum_{l=0,l \notin K_{\frac{1}{a+1}}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} (\omega_{b} - (\omega_{a})_{b}) f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=0,l \notin K_{\frac{1}{a+1}}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} \omega_{b} f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{(a+1)^{t_{0}}} \sum_{l=0,l \notin K_{\frac{1}{a+1}}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &< \frac{1}{(a+1)^{t_{0}}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(a+1)^{t_{0}}} \upsilon \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{l} r_{b} f_{b} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \end{split}$$

Hence, $\|H_{\omega} - H_{\omega_{\alpha}}\| \leq \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{t_0}}$. Which investigates that H_{ω} is a limit of finite rank maps. Therefore, $H_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon})$.

Theorem 3.15. Assume $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is entrenched. Hence

$$H_{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}) \iff (\omega_b)_{b=0}^{\infty} \in c_0.$$

Proof. Evidently, since $\mathcal{A}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}) \subsetneq \mathcal{K}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$.

Corollary 3.16. Suppose the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is proved, hence $\mathcal{K}((\Xi(p,r,t))_v) \subseteq \mathbb{B}((\Xi(p,r,t))_v)$.

Proof. As $\omega = (1, 1, ...)$ creates the multiplication map I on $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$. Which explains $I \notin \mathcal{K}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$ and $I \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$.

Theorem 3.17. If $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-quasi Banach \mathfrak{pss} and $H_{\mathfrak{w}} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$, hence there are $\alpha > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ such that $\alpha < |\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}| < \eta$, with $\mathfrak{b} \in (\ker(\mathfrak{w}))^{\mathfrak{c}}$, if and only if, $\operatorname{Range}(H_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is closed.

Proof. Assume the enough conditions are proved. Hence, there is $\rho > 0$ so that $|\omega_b| \geqslant \rho$, for all $b \in (\ker(\omega))^c$. To explain that $\operatorname{Range}(H_\omega)$ is closed. Assume g is a limit point of $\operatorname{Range}(H_\omega)$. We obtain $H_\omega f_b \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon$, for every $b \in N$ so that $\lim_{b \to \infty} H_\omega f_b = g$. Evidently, the sequence $H_\omega f_b$ is a Cauchy sequence. As $(t_1) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_\infty$ with $t_0 > 0$, one gets

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{a}} - \mathsf{H}_{\omega}\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{b}}) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} (\omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - \omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=0,l \in (\ker(\omega))^{c}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} (\omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - \omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0,l \notin (\ker(\omega))^{c}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} (\omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - \omega_{k}(\mathsf{f}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \\ &\geqslant \sum_{l=0,l \in (\ker(\omega))^{c}}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} (\omega_{k}(\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - \omega_{k}(\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} (\omega_{k}(\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - \omega_{k}(\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \\ &> \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{l} r_{k} \rho((\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} - (\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{b}})_{k}) \right| \right)^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \geqslant \inf_{l} \rho^{\mathsf{t}_{l}} \upsilon\left(\mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{a}} - \mathsf{u}_{\mathfrak{b}} \right), \end{split}$$

where

$$(\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k} = \begin{cases} (f_{\mathfrak{a}})_{k}, & k \in (\ker(\omega))^{c}, \\ 0, & k \notin (\ker(\omega))^{c}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $\{u_{\alpha}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$. As $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is complete, therefore, there is $f\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ so that $\lim_{b\to\infty}u_b=f$. Since $H_{\omega}\in\mathbb{B}((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon})$, one has $\lim_{b\to\infty}H_{\omega}u_b=H_{\omega}f$. Since $\lim_{b\to\infty}H_{\omega}u_b=\lim_{b\to\infty}H_{\omega}f_b=g$. Therefore, $H_{\omega}f=g$. Hence $g\in Range(H_{\omega})$. So $Range(H_{\omega})$ is closed. Next, assume the necessity setup is confirmed. Hence, there is $\rho>0$ so that $\upsilon(H_{\omega}f)\geqslant \rho\upsilon(f)$, with $f\in \left((\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}\right)_{(\ker(\omega))^c}$. If $K=\left\{b\in (\ker(\omega))^c: |\omega_b|<\rho\right\}\neq\emptyset$, hence for $\alpha_0\in K$, one has

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{H}_{\omega}e_{\alpha_0}) = \upsilon\left(\left(\omega_b(e_{\alpha_0})_b\right)\right)_{b=0}^{\infty}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{b=0}^{l} r_b \omega_b(e_{\alpha_0})_b\right|\right)^{t_l} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{b=0}^{l} r_b(e_{\alpha_0})_b \rho\right|\right)^{t_l} < \sup_{l=0}^{\infty} \rho^{t_l} \upsilon(e_{\alpha_0}),$$

which introduces a contradiction. So $K = \phi$, we have $|\omega_b| \ge \rho$, with $b \in (\ker(\omega))^c$. This proves the theorem.

Theorem 3.18. Suppose $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-quasi Banach \mathfrak{pss} . Hence, there are $\alpha > 0$ and $\eta > 0$ so that $\alpha < |\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}| < \eta$, for every $\mathfrak{b} \in N$, if and only if, $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$ is invertible.

Proof. Assume the enough setup are proved. Suppose $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}^N$ with $\kappa_b = \frac{1}{\omega_b}$. In view of Theorem 3.12, the operators H_ω and H_κ are bounded linear. We get $H_\omega.H_\kappa = H_\kappa.H_\omega = I$. Hence $H_\kappa = H_\omega^{-1}$. After, assume H_ω is invertible. Hence $\mathrm{Range}(H_\omega) = \left((\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon\right)_N$. So, $\mathrm{Range}(H_\omega)$ is closed. Therefore, by using Theorem 3.17, there is $\alpha > 0$ so that $|\omega_b| \geqslant \alpha$, for every $b \in (\ker(\omega))^c$. We have $\ker(\omega) = \emptyset$, if $\omega_{b_0} = 0$, with $b_0 \in N$, which gives $e_{b_0} \in \ker(H_\omega)$, this explains a contradiction, as $\ker(H_\omega)$ is trivial. Therefore, $|\omega_b| \geqslant \alpha$, for every $b \in N$. Since $H_\omega \in \ell_\infty$. By using Theorem 3.12, there is $\eta > 0$ so that $|\omega_b| \leqslant \eta$, for every $b \in N$. Therefore, we have $\alpha \leqslant |\omega_b| \leqslant \eta$, with $b \in N$.

Theorem 3.19. Suppose $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-quasi Banach \mathfrak{pss} and $H_{\omega} \in \mathbb{B}((\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}})$. Hence H_{ω} is Fredholm operator, if and only if, (i) $\ker(\omega) \subsetneq N \cap \mathfrak{I}$ and (ii) $|\omega_{\mathfrak{b}}| \geqslant \rho$, with $\mathfrak{b} \in (\ker(\omega))^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Proof. Let the enough conditions be satisfied. Assume $\ker(\omega) \subsetneq N$ is an infinite, hence $e_b \in \ker(H_\omega)$, for every $b \in \ker(\omega)$. Since e_b 's are linearly independent, one obtains that $\dim(\ker(H_\omega)) = \infty$, which explains a contradiction. Hence, $\ker(\omega) \subsetneq N$ must be finite. The setup (ii) follows from Theorem 3.17. Next, suppose the conditions (i) and (ii) are confirmed. In view of Theorem 3.17, the condition (ii) explains that $\operatorname{Range}(H_\omega)$ is closed. The setup (i) gives that $\dim(\ker(H_\omega)) < \infty$ and $\dim((\operatorname{Range}(H_\omega))^c) < \infty$. Hence H_ω is Fredholm.

4. Features of pre-quasi ideal

In this section, we introduce the enough setup (not necessary) on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{F}}=\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$. This investigates a negative answer of Rhoades [37] open problem about the linearity of s-type $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ spaces. Secondly, for which conditions on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, are $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ closed and complete? Thirdly, we explain the enough setup on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ such that $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is strictly contained for different weights and powers. We offer the setup so that $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is minimum. Fourthly, we introduce the conditions so that the Banach pre-quasi ideal $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is simple. Fifthly, we investigate the enough conditions on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ such that the space of all bounded linear operators which sequence of eigenvalues in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ equals $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$.

4.1. Finite rank pre-quasi ideal

Theorem 4.1. $\mathbb{B}^{s}_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})=\overline{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})}$, suppose the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established. But the converse is not necessarily true.

 $\text{hence there is } l_0 \in N-\{0\} \text{ with } \upsilon((s_l(Z))_{l=l_0}^\infty) < \tfrac{\rho}{2^{h+3}\eta\,d} \text{, for some } d \geqslant 1 \text{, where } \eta = \max\Big\{1, \sum_{l=l_0}^\infty p_l^{t_l}\Big\}.$

Since $s_1(Z) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\searrow}$, we get

$$\sum_{l=l_0+1}^{2l_0} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^l r_j s_{2l_0}(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{t_1} \leqslant \sum_{l=l_0+1}^{2l_0} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^l r_j s_j(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{t_1} \leqslant \sum_{l=l_0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^l r_j s_j(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{t_1} < \frac{\rho}{2^{\hbar+3} \eta d}. \tag{4.1}$$

Hence there is $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{2l_0}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ so that $\text{rank}(Y) \leqslant 2l_0$ and

$$\sum_{l=2l_0+1}^{3l_0} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z - Y \| \right)^{t_1} \leqslant \sum_{l=l_0+1}^{2l_0} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z - Y \| \right)^{t_1} < \frac{\rho}{2^{h+3} \eta d'}$$
(4.2)

since $(t_l) \in \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow} \cap \ell_{\infty}$, we have

$$\sup_{t=t_0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{t_0} r_j \| Z - Y \| \right)^{t_1} < \frac{\rho}{2^{2\hbar + 2\eta}}. \tag{4.3}$$

Therefore, one has

$$\sum_{l=0}^{l_0} \left(p_l \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z - Y \| \right)^{t_1} < \frac{\rho}{2^{h+3} \eta d}. \tag{4.4}$$

In view of inequalities (1.1)-(4.4), one gets

$$\begin{split} & d(Z,Y) \\ &= \upsilon \left(s_1(Z-Y) \right)_{t=0}^{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{3t_0-1} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=3t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{3t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l+2t_0} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{3t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l+2t_0} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l+2t_0} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \left[\sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=2t_0}^{l+2t_0} r_j s_j(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \right] \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \left[\sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_{j+2t_0} s_{j+2t_0}(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \right] \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \sup_{l=t_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_{j+2t_0} s_{j+2t_0}(Z-Y) \right)^{t_1} \right] \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \sup_{l=t_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j s_j(Z) \right)^{t_1} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \sup_{l=t_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j s_j(Z) \right)^{t_1} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \sup_{l=t_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + \sum_{l=t_0}^{\infty} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j s_j(Z) \right)^{t_1} \right) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} + 2^{h-1} \sup_{l=t_0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2t_0-1} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^{t_0} \left(p_1 \sum_{j=0}^{l} r_j \| Z-Y \| \right)^{t_1} \right)^{t_1} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{l=0}^$$

On the other hand, one has a negative example as $I_4 \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, where $r=(0,0,0,0,1,1,\ldots)$ and $t=(1,2,1,2,\ldots)$, but $(t_1)\notin \mathfrak{I}_{\nearrow}$. This shows the proof.

4.2. Banach and closed pre-quasi ideal

Theorem 4.2. If the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established, hence $\left(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}},\Psi\right)$ is a pre-quasi Banach ideal, where $\psi(X) = \upsilon\left((s_1(X))_{l=0}^{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. As $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-modular \mathfrak{pss} , hence from theorem 2.13, Ψ is a pre-quasi norm on $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$. Suppose $(X_b)_{b\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. As $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\supseteq\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, one obtains

$$\Psi(X_{a} - X_{b}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{z} (X_{a} - X_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}} \geqslant (p_{0} r_{0} \|X_{a} - X_{b}\|)^{t_{0}},$$

hence $(X_b)_{b\in N}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$. Since $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a Banach space, then there is $X\in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ with $\lim_{b\to\infty}\|X_b-X\|=0$. Since $(s_l(X_b))_{l=0}^\infty\in(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, for every $b\in N$. According to Definition 2.10 setup (ii), (iii), and (v), one gets

$$\begin{split} \Psi(X) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{z}(X) \right)^{t_{1}} \leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{\left[\frac{z}{2}\right]}(X - X_{b}) \right)^{t_{1}} + 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{\left[\frac{z}{2}\right]}(X_{b}) \right)^{t_{1}} \\ &\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \left\| X - X_{b} \right\| \right)^{t_{l}} + 2^{\hbar-1} D_{0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{z}(X_{b}) \right)^{t_{1}} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $(s_1(X))_{t=0}^{\infty} \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, then $X \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$.

Theorem 4.3. Assume \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} are normed spaces, the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is satisfied, hence $\left(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}},\Psi\right)$ is a pre-quasi closed ideal, where $\Psi(X)=\upsilon\left((s_1(X))_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. As $(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a pre-modular \mathfrak{pss} , by using theorem 2.13, Ψ is a pre-quasi norm on $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}}$. Assume $X_b \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, for every $b \in N$ and $\lim_{b \to \infty} \Psi(X_b - X) = 0$. As $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \supseteq \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, we have

$$\Psi(X - X_b) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z s_z (X - X_b) \right)^{t_l} \geqslant (p_0 r_0 \|X - X_b\|)^{t_0},$$

hence $(X_b)_{b\in N}$ is a convergent sequence in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. Since $(s_l(X_b))_{l=0}^\infty\in(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon$, for every $b\in N$. In view of Definition 2.10 and setup (ii), (iii), and (v), one has

$$\begin{split} \Psi(X) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{z}(X) \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{[\frac{z}{2}]}(X - X_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}} + 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{[\frac{z}{2}]}(X_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \| X - X_{b} \| \right)^{t_{l}} + 2^{\hbar-1} D_{0} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} s_{z}(X_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}} < \infty. \end{split}$$

We get $(s_1(X))_{t=0}^{\infty} \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_v$, so $X \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_v}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$.

4.3. Minimum pre-quasi ideal

Theorem 4.4. Suppose \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are Banach spaces with $dim(\mathcal{P}) = dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is confirmed with $0 < t_1^{(1)} < t_1^{(2)}$, $0 < p_1^{(2)} \leqslant p_1^{(1)}$ and $0 < r_1^{(2)} \leqslant r_1^{(1)}$, for all $l \in N$, hence

$$\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \subsetneqq \mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \subsetneqq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}).$$

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Let} \ \ Z \in \mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}_{l}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{r}_{l}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{t}_{l}^{(1)}))\right)_{\upsilon}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}), \ \text{then} \ (s_l(Z)) \in \left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}_{l}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{r}_{l}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{t}_{l}^{(1)}))\right)_{\upsilon}. \ \ \text{One obtains}$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l^{(2)} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z^{(2)} s_z(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{\mathsf{t}_l^{(2)}} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l^{(1)} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z^{(1)} s_z(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{\mathsf{t}_l^{(1)}} < \infty,$$

then $Z \in \mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{l}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{l}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{l}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. Next, if we choose $(s_{l}(Z))_{l=0}^{\infty}$ with $\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z}^{(1)} s_{z}(Z) = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{l} \mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{l} \sqrt{l+1}}$ one gets $Z \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ such that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l^{(1)} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z^{(1)} s_z(Z) \right)^{t_l^{(1)}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l+1} = \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l^{(2)} \sum_{z=0}^l r_z^{(2)} s_z(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{\mathsf{t}_l^{(2)}} \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l^{(1)} \sum_{z=0}^l r_z^{(1)} s_z(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{\mathsf{t}_l^{(2)}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{l+1} \right)^{\frac{\mathsf{t}_l^{(2)}}{\mathsf{t}_l^{(1)}}} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $Z \notin \mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(1)}),(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(1)}))\right)_{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{l}}}}^{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$ and $Z \in \mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(2)}),(\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(2)}),(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{l}}^{(2)}))\right)_{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{l}}}}^{\mathfrak{l}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}).$

Clearly, $\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_l))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})\subset\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}).$ Next, if we put $(s_l(Z))_{l=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{z=0}^{l}\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_zs_z(Z)=\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_l\sqrt{l+1}}$, we have $Z\in\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$ such that $Z\notin\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_l))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}).$ This explains the proof. \square

Theorem 4.5. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be Banach spaces with $\dim(\mathcal{P}) = \dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established with $\left(\mathfrak{p}_1 \sum_{z=0}^{\mathfrak{l}} \mathfrak{r}_z\right)_{1 \in \mathbb{N}} \notin \ell_{((\mathfrak{t}_1))}$, hence $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}}$ is minimum.

Proof. Suppose the enough setup are confirmed. Then $(\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t)},\Psi)$, where $\Psi(\mathsf{Z}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathfrak{p}_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} \mathfrak{r}_{z} \alpha_{z}(\mathsf{Z}) \right)^{t_{l}}$, is a pre-quasi Banach ideal. Suppose $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t)}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}) = \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$, hence there is $\mathfrak{n} > 0$ with $\Psi(\mathsf{Z}) \leqslant \mathfrak{n} \|\mathsf{Z}\|$,

is a pre-quasi Banach ideal. Suppose $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\Xi(p,r,t)}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})=\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, hence there is $\eta>0$ with $\Psi(Z)\leqslant\eta\|Z\|$, for every $Z\in\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. According to Dvoretzky's theorem [33], for every $b\in N$, one obtains quotient spaces \mathcal{P}/Y_b and subspaces M_b of \mathcal{Q} which can be mapped onto ℓ_2^b by isomorphisms V_b and X_b with $\|V_b\|\|V_b^{-1}\|\leqslant 2$ and $\|X_b\|\|X_b^{-1}\|\leqslant 2$. Let I_b be the identity operator on ℓ_2^b , T_b be the quotient operator from \mathcal{P} onto \mathcal{P}/Y_b and J_b is the natural embedding operator from M_b into \mathcal{Q} . Suppose m_z is the Bernstein numbers [31] then

$$\begin{split} 1 &= m_z(I_b) = m_z(X_b X_b^{-1} I_b V_b V_b^{-1}) \leqslant \|X_b \| m_z(X_b^{-1} I_b V_b) \|V_b^{-1}\| = \|X_b \| m_z(J_b X_b^{-1} I_b V_b) \|V_b^{-1}\| \\ &\leqslant \|X_b \| d_z(J_b X_b^{-1} I_b V_b) \|V_b^{-1}\| = \|X_b \| d_z(J_b X_b^{-1} I_b V_b T_b) \|V_b^{-1}\| \\ &\leqslant \|X_b \| \alpha_z(J_b X_b^{-1} I_b V_b T_b) \|V_b^{-1}\|, \end{split}$$

for $0 \le l \le b$. We have

$$\begin{split} p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} &\leqslant p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} \|X_{b}\| r_{z} \alpha_{z} (J_{b} X_{b}^{-1} I_{b} V_{b} T_{b}) \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \\ &\Rightarrow \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant (\|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\|)^{t_{l}} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \alpha_{z} (J_{b} X_{b}^{-1} I_{b} V_{b} T_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, for some $\rho \geqslant 1$, one gets

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{l=0}^{b} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant \rho \|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \sum_{l=0}^{b} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \alpha_{z} (J_{b} X_{b}^{-1} I_{b} V_{b} T_{b}) \right)^{t_{l}} \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{l=0}^{b} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant \rho \|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \Psi (J_{b} X_{b}^{-1} I_{b} V_{b} T_{b}) \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{l=0}^{b} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant \rho \eta \|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \|J_{b} X_{b}^{-1} I_{b} V_{b} T_{b}\| \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{l=0}^{b} \left(p_{l} \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_{z} \right)^{t_{l}} \leqslant \rho \eta \|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \|J_{b} X_{b}^{-1}\| \|I_{b}\| \|V_{b} T_{b}\| = \rho \eta \|X_{b}\| \|V_{b}^{-1}\| \|X_{b}^{-1}\| \|I_{b}\| \|V_{b}\| \leqslant 4 \rho \eta. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have a contradiction, if $b \to \infty$. Then \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} both cannot be infinite dimensional if $\mathbb{B}^{\alpha}_{\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t)}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) = \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. This shows the proof.

Theorem 4.6. Suppose \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are Banach spaces with $dim(\mathcal{P}) = dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is confirmed with $\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{l}}\sum_{z=0}^{\mathfrak{l}} r_{z}\right)_{1 \in \mathbb{N}} \notin \ell_{((\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{l}}))}$, hence $\mathbb{B}^{d}_{\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,\mathfrak{t})}$ is minimum.

4.4. Simple Banach pre-quasi ideal

Theorem 4.7. Suppose \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are Banach spaces with $dim(\mathcal{P}) = dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is confirmed with $0 < t_1^{(1)} < t_1^{(2)}$, $0 < p_1^{(2)} \leqslant p_1^{(1)}$ and $0 < r_1^{(2)} \leqslant r_1^{(1)}$, for all $l \in N$, hence

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{B}\Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}), \mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\Big) \\ & = \mathcal{A}\Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}), \mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathbf{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\Big). \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Let} \ X \in \mathbb{B} \Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{l}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{l}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \Big) \ \text{and} \\ X \not\in \mathcal{A} \Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{l}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}), \mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{l}), (\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{l}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \Big). \end{split}$$

Considering Lemma 2.1, there are

$$Y \in \mathbb{B}\left(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\right) \text{ and } Z \in \mathbb{B}\left(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\right)$$

with $ZXYI_b = I_b$. Therefore, for every $b \in N$, we get

$$\begin{split} \|I_b\|_{\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_l),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_l))\right)_\upsilon}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})} &= \sum_{l=0}^\infty \left(\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_l \sum_{z=0}^l \mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_z s_z(I_b)\right)^{t_1^{(1)}} \\ &\leqslant \|\mathsf{Z}\mathsf{X}\mathsf{Y}\| \|I_b\|_{\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_l))\right)_\upsilon}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})} \leqslant \sum_{l=0}^\infty \left(\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l \sum_{z=0}^i \mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_z s_z(I_j)\right)^{t_1^{(2)}}. \end{split}$$

This contradicts Theorem 4.4. Then $X \in \mathcal{A}\Big(\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_l),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_l))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}),\mathbb{B}^s_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_l),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_l),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_l))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})\Big),$ which finishes the proof. \Box

Corollary 4.8. Assume \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} are Banach spaces with $dim(\mathcal{P})=dim(\mathcal{Q})=\infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established with $0< t_l^{(1)}< t_l^{(2)}$, $0< p_l^{(2)}\leqslant p_l^{(1)}$ and $0< r_l^{(2)}\leqslant r_l^{(1)}$, for all $l\in N$, hence

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{B}\Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}),\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\Big)\\ &=\mathcal{K}\Big(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(2)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}),\mathbb{B}^{s}_{\left(\Xi((\mathfrak{p}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{r}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}),(\mathfrak{t}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{l}}))\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})\Big). \end{split}$$

Proof. Evidently, as $A \subset \mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 4.9. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be Banach spaces with $\dim(\mathcal{P}) = \dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is satisfied, hence $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}$ is simple.

Proof. Assume the closed ideal $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}))$ includes an operator $X \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}))$. In view of Lemma 2.1, we have $Y,Z \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}))$ with $ZXYI_b = I_b$. This gives that $I_{\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}))$. Then $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}))$. Hence, $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}$ is simple Banach space. □

4.5. Eigenvalues of s-type operators

Notations 4.10.

$$\begin{split} &(\mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}^{s})^{\rho}:=\Big\{\,(\mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}^{s})^{\rho}\,(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q});\; \mathbb{P}\text{and}\; \mathbb{Q}\; \text{are Banach Spaces}\Big\},\; \text{where}\\ &(\mathbb{B}_{\epsilon}^{s})^{\rho}\,(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}):=\Big\{X\in\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}):((\rho_{l}(X))_{n=0}^{\infty}\in \epsilon\; \text{and}\; \|X-\rho_{l}(X)I\|\; \text{is not invertible, for all}\; l\in N\Big\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 4.11. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} be Banach spaces with $\dim(\mathcal{P}) = \dim(\mathcal{Q}) = \infty$, and the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established with $\inf_l \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^l r_z \right)^{t_l} > 0$, hence

$$\left(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}}\right)^{\rho}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})=\mathbb{B}^{s}_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}).$$

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \, \text{Suppose} \ \, X \in \left(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}\right)^\rho(\mathbb{P},\mathbb{Q}), \, \text{hence} \, \, (\rho_l(X))_{l=0}^\infty \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon \, \, \text{and} \, \, \|X-\rho_l(X)I\| = 0, \, \text{for all} \, \, l \in \mathbb{N}. \, \, \text{We have} \, X = \rho_l(X)I, \, \text{for all} \, \, l \in \mathbb{N}, \, \text{hence} \, \, s_l(X) = s_l(\rho_l(X)I) = |\rho_l(X)|, \, \text{for every} \, \, l \in \mathbb{N}. \, \, \text{Therefore,}$
$$\begin{split} (s_l(X))_{l=0}^\infty &\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon, \text{ then } X \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}). \\ \text{Secondly, suppose } X \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}). \text{ Then } (s_l(X))_{l=0}^\infty \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_\upsilon. \text{ Hence, we have} \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z s_z(X) \right)^{t_l} \geqslant \inf_{l} \left(p_l \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z \right)^{t_l} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [s_l(X)]^{t_l}.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{l\to\infty}s_l(X)=0$. Assume $\|X-s_l(X)I\|^{-1}$ exists, for every $l\in N$. Hence $\|X-s_l(X)I\|^{-1}$ exists and bounded, for every $l\in N$. Then, $\lim_{l\to\infty}\|X-s_l(X)I\|^{-1}=\|X\|^{-1}$ exists and bounded. As $\left(\mathbb{B}^{s}_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}},\Psi\right)$ is a pre-quasi operator ideal, we get

$$I = XX^{-1} \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}) \Rightarrow (s_{\mathfrak{l}}(I))_{\mathfrak{l}=0}^{\infty} \in \Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t) \Rightarrow \lim_{l \to \infty} s_{\mathfrak{l}}(I) = 0.$$

So we have a contradiction, since $\lim_{l\to\infty}s_l(l)=1$. Hence $\|X-s_l(X)I\|=0$, for every $l\in N$. This gives $X \in \left(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_\mathfrak{v}}\right)^{\rho}$ (P, Q). This shows the proof.

5. Kannan contraction operator

 $\textbf{Theorem 5.1.} \ \textit{The function} \ \upsilon(f) = \left\lceil \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l} \right\rceil^{\frac{1}{h}} \textit{ establishes the Fatou property, for all } f \in \Xi(p,r,t),$ assuming the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is confirm

Proof. Suppose $\{g^b\}\subseteq (\Xi(p,r,t))_v$, with $\lim_{b\to\infty}v(g^b-g)=0$. As the space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_v$ is a pre-quasi closed space, then $g \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{y}$. Hence, for all $f \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{y}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}) &= \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{f}_{z}-\mathbf{g}_{z})\mathbf{r}_{z}\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \\ &\leqslant \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{f}_{z}-\mathbf{g}_{z}^{b})\mathbf{r}_{z}\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}} + \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{g}_{z}^{b}-\mathbf{g}_{z})\mathbf{r}_{z}\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \leqslant \sup_{\mathbf{b} \geqslant \mathbf{j}} \inf_{\mathbf{b} \geqslant \mathbf{j}} \upsilon(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}^{b}). \end{split}$$

Theorem 5.2. The function $v(f) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l}$ does not establish the Fatou property, for all $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$, supposing the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) with $t_1 > 1$, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is satisfied.

Proof. Suppose $\{g^b\}\subseteq (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ with $\lim_{b\to\infty}\upsilon(g^b-g)=0$. As the space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is a pre-quasi closed space, then $g\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$. Hence, for all $f\in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, we obtains

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{g}) &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{f}_z - \mathbf{g}_z) \mathbf{r}_z \right| \right)^{\mathbf{t}_l} \leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{f}_z - \mathbf{g}_z^b) \mathbf{r}_z \right| \right)^{\mathbf{t}_l} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} (\mathbf{g}_z^b - \mathbf{g}_z) \mathbf{r}_z \right| \right)^{\mathbf{t}_l} \right] \\ &\leqslant 2^{\hbar-1} \sup_{i} \inf_{b \geqslant j} \upsilon(\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{g}^b). \end{split}$$

Therefore, v does not establish the Fatou property.

Now, we investigate the enough setup on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ under definite pre-quasi norm so that there is an unique fixed point of Kannan contraction operator.

Theorem 5.3. Suppose the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established, and $W: (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon} \to (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is Kannan υ -contraction operator, where $\upsilon(f) = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z\right|\right)^{t_l}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}}$, for every $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$, then W has a unique fixed point.

 $\label{eq:proof.proof.} \text{Suppose } f \in \Xi(p,r,t) \text{, then } W^p f \in \Xi(p,r,t). \text{ Since } W \text{ is a Kannan υ-contraction operator, we have } \upsilon(W^{p+1}f - W^p f) \leqslant \lambda \left(\upsilon(W^{p+1}f - W^p f) + \upsilon(W^p f - W^{p-1}f)\right)$

$$\upsilon(W^{p+1}f-W^pf)\leqslant \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\upsilon(W^pf-W^{p-1}f)\leqslant \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^2\upsilon(W^{p-1}f-W^{p-2}f)\leqslant \cdots \leqslant \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^p\upsilon(Wf-f).$$

Therefore, for every $p, q \in N$ with q > p, we have

$$\upsilon(W^pf-W^qf)\leqslant \lambda\left(\upsilon(W^pf-W^{p-1}f)+\upsilon(W^qf-W^{q-1}f)\right)\leqslant \lambda\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{p-1}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{q-1}\right)\upsilon(Wf-f).$$

Hence, $\{W^pf\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$. Since the space $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is pre-quasi Banach space. Then, there exists $g \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ so that $\lim_{p\to\infty} W^pf = g$. To explain that Wg = g, as υ has the Fatou property, we have

$$\upsilon(Wg-g)\leqslant \sup_{i}\inf_{p\geqslant i}\upsilon(W^{p+1}f-W^{p}f)\leqslant \sup_{i}\inf_{p\geqslant i}\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{p}\upsilon(Wf-f)=0,$$

hence Wg = g. So, g is a fixed point of W. To investigate that the fixed point is unique. Assume we have two distinct fixed points $b, g \in (\Xi(p, r, t))_{t,t}$ of W. Then, one obtains

$$\upsilon(b-g)\leqslant \upsilon(Wb-Wg)\leqslant \lambda\left(\upsilon(Wb-b)+\upsilon(Wg-g)\right)=0.$$

Hence,
$$b = g$$
.

Corollary 5.4. Assume the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is confirmed, and $W: (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon} \to (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is Kannan υ -contraction operator, where $\upsilon(f) = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z\right|\right)^{t_1}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}}$, for all $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$, hence W has an unique fixed point b with $\upsilon(W^p f - b) \leqslant \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{p-1} \upsilon(W f - f)$.

Proof. According to Theorem 5.3, there is an unique fixed point b of W. Therefore, one obtains

$$\upsilon(W^p f - b) = \upsilon(W^p f - Wb) \leqslant \lambda \left(\upsilon(W^p f - W^{p-1} f) + \upsilon(Wb - b)\right) = \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^{p-1} \upsilon(Wf - f). \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 5.5. Suppose the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established with $t_l > 1$, for every $l \in N$, and $W : (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon} \to (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, where $\upsilon(f) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z \right| \right)^{t_l}$, for all $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$. The vector $g \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ is the only fixed point of W, if the next setup are verified:

- (a) W is Kannan v-contraction operator;
- (b) W is v-sequentially continuous at $g \in (\Xi(p, r, t))_v$;
- (c) there is $v \in (\Xi(p,r,t))_v$, so that the sequence of iterates $\{W^pv\}$ has a subsequence $\{W^{p_i}v\}$ converges to g.

Proof. Suppose the enough setup are established. Let g be not a fixed point of W, then $Wg \neq g$. In view of the setup (b) and (c), one obtains

$$\lim_{p_{\mathfrak{i}}\to\infty}\upsilon(W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}f-g)=0 \text{ and } \lim_{p_{\mathfrak{i}}\to\infty}\upsilon(W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}+1}f-Wg)=0.$$

Since the operator W is Kannan v-contraction, we have

$$\begin{split} 0 < \upsilon(Wg-g) &= \upsilon\left((Wg-W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}+1}f) + (W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}f-g) + (W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}+1}f-W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}f)\right) \\ &\leqslant 2^{2\hbar-2}\upsilon\left(W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}+1}\upsilon-Wg\right) + 2^{2\hbar-2}\upsilon\left(W^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}\upsilon-g\right) + 2^{\hbar-1}\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}-1}\upsilon(Wf-f). \end{split}$$

Let $p_i \to \infty$, we get a contradiction. Hence, g is a fixed point of W. To show that the fixed point g is one, assume we have two distinct fixed points g, $b \in (\Xi(p, r, t))_p$ of W. Hence, one gets

$$v(q-b) \leq v(Wq-Wb) \leq \lambda (v(Wq-q) + v(Wb-b)) = 0.$$

Therefore, g = b.

Example 5.6. If T: $\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon} \to \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$, where $\upsilon(p) = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{x+2}{x+1} p_{x}\right|}{t+5}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}$, with $p \in \Xi\left((\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)$ and

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{p}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathsf{p}}{4}, & \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{p}) \in [0,1), \\ \frac{\mathsf{p}}{5}, & \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{p}) \in [1,\infty), \end{cases}$$

since for all $p,q\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^\infty,(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^\infty,(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^\infty\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\upsilon(p),\upsilon(q)\in[0,1),$ we have

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{4}-\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{4})\leqslant\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{3\mathfrak{p}}{4})+\upsilon(\frac{3\mathfrak{q}}{4})\Big)=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathfrak{p})+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-\mathfrak{q})\Big).$$

For all $p,q\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\upsilon(p),\upsilon(q)\in [1,\infty),$ one has

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{p}{5}-\frac{q}{5})\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{64}}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{4p}{5})+\upsilon(\frac{4q}{5})\Big)=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{64}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

For every $\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}\in\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\upsilon(\mathfrak{p})\in[0,1)$ and $\upsilon(\mathfrak{q})\in[1,\infty),$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathsf{Tp} - \mathsf{Tq}) &= \upsilon(\frac{p}{4} - \frac{q}{5}) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} \upsilon(\frac{3p}{4}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{64}} \upsilon(\frac{4q}{5}) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} \Big(\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4}) + \upsilon(\frac{4q}{5}) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} \Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp} - p) + \upsilon(\mathsf{Tq} - q) \Big). \end{split}$$

Therefore, the operator T is Kannan v-contraction. Since v confirms the Fatou property. In view of

Theorem 5.3, the operator T has a unique fixed point $\theta \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\upsilon}$. Suppose $\{p^{(\alpha)}\}\subseteq \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\upsilon(p^{(\alpha)}-p^{(0)})=0$, where $p^{(0)}\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\upsilon(p^{(0)})=1$. Since the pre-quasi norm υ is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}^{(\alpha)} - \mathsf{Tp}^{(0)}) = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \upsilon\left(\frac{p^{(\alpha)}}{4} - \frac{p^{(0)}}{5}\right) = \upsilon\left(\frac{p^{(0)}}{20}\right) > 0.$$

Hence, T is not v-sequentially continuous at $p^{(0)}$. Therefore, the operator T is not continuous at $p^{(0)}$.

Let
$$v(p) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{x+2}{x+1} p_x \right|}{t+5} \right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}$$
, for every $p \in \Xi\left((\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty} \right)$.

Since for all $p,q\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{'},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{t}$ with $\upsilon(p),\upsilon(q)\in [0,1),$ we have

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{p}{4}-\frac{q}{4})\leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4})+\upsilon(\frac{3q}{4})\Big)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

Let $p, q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{t}$ with $v(p), v(q) \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{p}{5}-\frac{q}{5})\leqslant \frac{1}{4}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{4p}{5})+\upsilon(\frac{4q}{5})\Big)=\frac{1}{4}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

For every $p, q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{t}$ with $v(p) \in [0,1)$ and $v(q) \in [1,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq}) = \upsilon(\frac{p}{4}-\frac{q}{5}) \leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4}) + \frac{1}{4}\upsilon(\frac{4q}{5}) \leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4}) + \upsilon(\frac{4q}{5})\Big) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{p}) + \upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-\mathsf{q})\Big).$$

Therefore, the operator T is Kannan υ -contraction and $T^r(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{4^r}, & \upsilon(p) \in [0,1), \\ \frac{p}{5^r}, & \upsilon(p) \in [1,\infty). \end{cases}$ Evidently, T is υ -

sequentially continuous at $\theta \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and $\{T^{r}p\}$ has a subsequence $\{T^{r_{j}}p\}$ converging to θ . According to Theorem 5.5, the element $\theta \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\mathcal{V}}$ is the only fixed point of T.

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Example 5.7. Let T}: \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon} \rightarrow \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}, \text{ with } \\ & \upsilon(p) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{x+2}{x+1} p_{x}\right|}{t+5}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}, \text{ for all } p \in \Xi\left((\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right) \text{ and } \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{p}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(e_1 + \mathsf{p}), & \mathsf{p}_0 \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{3}), \\ \frac{1}{3}e_1, & \mathsf{p}_0 = \frac{1}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{4}e_1, & \mathsf{p}_0 \in (\frac{1}{3}, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since for all $p, q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\mathfrak{v}}$ with $p_0, q_0 \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{3})$, we get

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{1}{4}(p_0-q_0,p_1-q_1,p_2-q_2,\ldots))\leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4})+\upsilon(\frac{3q}{4})\Big)\leqslant \frac{2}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

For all $p,q\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^\infty,(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^\infty,(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^\infty\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $p_0,q_0\in (\frac{1}{3},\infty)$, hence for all $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=0\leqslant \varepsilon\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{p})+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-\mathsf{q})\Big).$$

For all $p,q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $p_0 \in (-\infty,\frac{1}{3})$ and $q_0 \in (\frac{1}{3},\infty)$, one has

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{p}{4})\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

Therefore, the operator T is Kannan υ -contraction. Obviously, T is υ -sequentially continuous at $\frac{1}{3}e_1 \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ and there is $\mathfrak{p} \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in (-\infty,\frac{1}{3})$ such that the sequence of iterates $\{T^r\mathfrak{p}\} = \left\{\sum_{a=1}^r \frac{1}{4^a}e_1 + \frac{1}{4^r}\mathfrak{p}\right\}$ includes a subsequence $\{T^r\mathfrak{p}\} = \left\{\sum_{a=1}^r \frac{1}{4^a}e_1 + \frac{1}{4^r}\mathfrak{p}\right\}$ converging to $\frac{1}{3}e_1$. In view of Theorem 5.5, the operator T has one fixed point

$$\frac{1}{3}e_1 \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{t}.$$

Note that T is not continuous at $\frac{1}{3}e_1 \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{v}$. Let

$$\upsilon(p) = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{x+2}{x+1} p_x \right|}{t+5} \right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}},$$

for all $p \in \Xi\left((\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)$. Since for all $p, q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $p_0, q_0 \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{3})$, we have

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq}) &= \upsilon(\frac{1}{4}(\mathsf{p}_0-\mathsf{q}_0,\mathsf{p}_1-\mathsf{q}_1,\mathsf{p}_2-\mathsf{q}_2,\ldots)) \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} \Big(\upsilon(\frac{3\mathsf{p}}{4}) + \upsilon(\frac{3\mathsf{q}}{4})\Big) \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}} \Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{p}) + \upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-\mathsf{q})\Big). \end{split}$$

For all $p,q\in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $p_0,q_0\in (\frac{1}{3},\infty),$ hence for all $\epsilon>0,$ one has

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{T} \mathsf{p} - \mathsf{T} \mathsf{q}) = 0 \leqslant \epsilon \Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{T} \mathsf{p} - \mathsf{p}) + \upsilon(\mathsf{T} \mathsf{q} - \mathsf{q}) \Big).$$

For all $p, q \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty})\right)_{\upsilon}$ with $p_0 \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{3})$ and $q_0 \in (\frac{1}{3}, \infty)$, we have

$$\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-\mathsf{Tq})=\upsilon(\frac{p}{4})\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\upsilon(\frac{3p}{4})=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)\leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{27}}\Big(\upsilon(\mathsf{Tp}-p)+\upsilon(\mathsf{Tq}-q)\Big).$$

Therefore, the operator T is Kannan υ -contraction. Since υ confirms the Fatou property, according to Theorem 5.3, the operator T has an unique fixed point $\frac{1}{3}e_1 \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+5})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}$.

We offer the existence of a fixed point of Kannan contraction operator in the pre-quasi Banach operator ideal generated by $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ and s-numbers.

Theorem 5.8. The pre-quasi norm $\Psi(W) = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z s_z(W)\right|\right)^{t_l}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}}$ does not establish the Fatou property, for every $W \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_n}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$, when the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is satisfied.

Proof. Let the conditions be confirmed and $\{W_p\}_{p\in N}\subseteq \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$ with $\lim_{p\to\infty}\Psi(W_p-W)=0$. As the space $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$ is a pre-quasi closed ideal, hence, $W\in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$. Then, for all $V\in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q})$, one has

$$\begin{split} \Psi(\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{W}) &= \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{p}_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} \mathbf{r}_{z} \mathbf{s}_{z} (\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{W})\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\hbar}} \\ &\leqslant \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{p}_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} \mathbf{r}_{z} \mathbf{s}_{\left[\frac{z}{2}\right]} (\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{W}_{i})\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\hbar}} + \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{p}_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} \mathbf{r}_{z} \mathbf{s}_{\left[\frac{z}{2}\right]} (\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}_{i})\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\hbar}} \\ &\leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\hbar}} \sup_{\mathbf{p}} \inf_{\mathbf{i} \geqslant \mathbf{p}} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathbf{p}_{l} \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} \mathbf{r}_{z} \mathbf{s}_{z} (\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{W}_{i})\right|\right)^{\mathbf{t}_{l}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\hbar}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, Ψ does not verify the Fatou property.

Theorem 5.9. Assume the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established and $G: \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}) \to \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q}),$

where
$$\Psi(W) = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z s_z(W)\right|\right)^{t_l}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}}$$
, for every $W \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_v}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$. Then $A \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_v}(\mathcal{P}, \Omega)$

is the unique fixed point of G, if the next setup fulfilled.

- (a) G is Kannan Ψ-contraction mapping.
- (b) G is Ψ -sequentially continuous at a point $A \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_n}(\mathfrak{P},\mathfrak{Q})$.
- (c) There is $B \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}(P,Q)$ such that the sequence of iterates $\{G^pB\}$ has a subsequence $\{G^{p_i}B\}$ converging to A.

Proof. Let the enough setup be satisfied. Assume A is not a fixed point of G, then $GA \neq A$. In view of the conditions (b) and (c), one has

$$\lim_{p_{\mathfrak{i}}\to\infty} \Psi(G^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}}B-A)=0 \text{ and } \lim_{p_{\mathfrak{i}}\to\infty} \Psi(G^{p_{\mathfrak{i}}+1}B-GA)=0.$$

As G is Kannan Ψ-contraction operator, we get

$$\begin{split} 0 < \Psi(\mathsf{G}\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{A}) &= \Psi\left((\mathsf{G}\mathsf{A} - \mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}} + 1}\mathsf{B}) + (\mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{A}) + (\mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}} + 1}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}}\mathsf{B})\right) \\ &\leqslant 2^{\frac{1}{\hbar}}\Psi\left(\mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}} + 1}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{G}\mathsf{A}\right) + 2^{\frac{2}{\hbar}}\Psi\left(\mathsf{G}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{A}\right) + 2^{\frac{2}{\hbar}}\lambda\left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda}\right)^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}} - 1}\Psi(\mathsf{G}\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{B}). \end{split}$$

For $p_i \to \infty$, one obtains a contradiction. Hence, A is a fixed point of G. To prove that the fixed point A is unique, assume we have two distinct fixed points $A, D \in \mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(\mathfrak{p},r,t))_{ij}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ of G. Therefore, one gets

$$\Psi(A-D)\leqslant \Psi(GA-GD)\leqslant \lambda\Big(\Psi(GA-A)+\Psi(GD-D)\Big)=0.$$

So,
$$A = D$$
.

$$\textbf{Example 5.10. Suppose } M: S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q}),$$

$$\text{where } \Psi(\mathsf{H}) = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t} \frac{x+1}{x+2} s_{x}\right|}{t+4}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, \text{ for each } \mathsf{H} \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \text{ and } \mathcal{Q}}$$

$$M(H) = \begin{cases} \frac{H}{6}, & \Psi(H) \in [0, 1), \\ \frac{H}{7}, & \Psi(H) \in [1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Since for all $H_1, H_2 \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\mathcal{U}}}$ with $\Psi(H_1), \Psi(H_2) \in [0,1)$, we have

$$\Psi(MH_1-MH_2) = \Psi(\frac{H_1}{6}-\frac{H_2}{6}) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{125}} \Big(\Psi(\frac{5H_1}{6}) + \Psi(\frac{5H_2}{6}) \Big) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{125}} \Big(\Psi(MH_1-H_1) + \Psi(MH_2-H_2) \Big).$$

For all $H_1, H_2 \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{t}}^{\infty}}$ with $\Psi(H_1), \Psi(H_2) \in [1, \infty)$, one has

$$\Psi(\mathsf{MH}_1-\mathsf{MH}_2) = \Psi(\frac{\mathsf{H}_1}{7} - \frac{\mathsf{H}_2}{7}) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{216}} \Big(\Psi(\frac{6\mathsf{H}_1}{7}) + \Psi(\frac{6\mathsf{H}_2}{7}) \Big) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{216}} \Big(\Psi(\mathsf{MH}_1-\mathsf{H}_1) + \Psi(\mathsf{MH}_2-\mathsf{H}_2) \Big).$$

For all $H_1, H_2 \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{t,t}}^{\infty}}$ with $\Psi(H_1) \in [0,1)$ and $\Psi(H_2) \in [1,\infty)$, one gets

$$\Psi(MH_1-MH_2) = \Psi(\frac{H_1}{6}-\frac{H_2}{7}) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{125}}\Psi(\frac{5H_1}{6}) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{216}}\Psi(\frac{6H_2}{7}) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt[4]{125}}\Big(\Psi(MH_1-H_1) + \Psi(MH_2-H_2)\Big).$$

Therefore, the operator M is Kannan Ψ -contraction and $M^r(H) = \begin{cases} \frac{H}{6^r}, & \Psi(H) \in [0,1), \\ \frac{H}{7^r}, & \Psi(H) \in [1,\infty). \end{cases}$ Evidently, M is Ψ -sequentially continuous at the zero operator $\Theta \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}}$ and $\{M^rH\}$ has a subsequence $\{M^{r_j}H\}$ converging to Θ . According to Theorem 5.9, the zero operator

$$\Theta \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}}$$

is the only fixed point of M.

$$\begin{split} & \text{Assume } \{\mathsf{H}^{(\mathfrak{a})}\} \subseteq S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+1}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}} \text{ is such that } \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \Psi(\mathsf{H}^{(\alpha)} - \mathsf{H}^{(0)}) = 0 \text{, where } \mathsf{H}^{(0)} \in S_{\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}} \text{ with } \Psi(\mathsf{H}^{(0)}) = 1. \text{ Since the pre-quasi norm } \Psi \text{ is continuous, we have } \\ & \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon} \text{ with } \Psi(\mathsf{H}^{(0)}) = 1. \text{ Since the pre-quasi norm } \Psi \text{ is continuous, we have } \\ & \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+4})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon} \text{ with } \Psi(\mathsf{H}^{(0)}) = 1. \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \Psi(\mathsf{MH}^{(a)} - \mathsf{MH}^{(0)}) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \Psi\Big(\frac{\mathsf{H}^{(0)}}{6} - \frac{\mathsf{H}^{(0)}}{7}\Big) = \Psi\Big(\frac{\mathsf{H}^{(0)}}{42}\Big) > 0.$$

Hence, M is not Ψ-sequentially continuous at $H^{(0)}$. Therefore, the operator M is not continuous at $H^{(0)}$.

6. Existence of solutions of non-linear difference equations

In this section, we explore a solution in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$ to summable equations say (6.1), defined in [1, 16, 38], where the setup (f1), (f2), and (f3) is established and $\upsilon(f) = \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_l \left|\sum_{z=0}^{l} r_z f_z\right|\right)^{t_l}\right]^{\frac{1}{h}}$, for all $f \in \Xi(p,r,t)$.

Examine the summable equations:

$$f_z = y_z + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z, m)g(m, f_m),$$
 (6.1)

and assume $W: (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}} \to (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is constructed by

$$W(f_z)_{z \in N} = \left(y_z + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z, m)g(m, f_m)\right)_{z \in N}.$$
 (6.2)

Theorem 6.1. The summable equation (6.1) holds a unique solution in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, when $A:N^2\to R$, $g:N\times {\mathfrak C}\to {\mathfrak C}$, $y:N\to {\mathfrak C}$, assume there is $\lambda\in {\mathfrak C}$ so that $\sup_{l}|\lambda|^{\frac{t_l}{h}}\in [0,\frac{1}{2})$ and for all $l\in N$, we have

$$\begin{split} &\left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(\sum_{m \in N} A(z,m) [g(m,f_m) - g(m,\eta_m)] \right) r_z \right| \\ & \leq |\lambda| \left[\left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(y_z - f_z + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z,m) g(m,f_m) \right) r_z \right| + \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(y_z - \eta_z + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z,m) g(m,\eta_m) \right) r_z \right| \right]. \end{split}$$

Proof. Let the conditions be established. Assume the mapping $W: (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}} \to (\Xi(\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{r},\mathfrak{t}))_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is defined by equation (6.2). Hence

$$\begin{split} \upsilon(Wf - W\eta) &= \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} (Wf_{z} - W\eta_{z}) r_{z} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \\ &= \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(\sum_{m \in N} A(z, m) [g(m, f_{m}) - g(m, \eta_{m})] \right) r_{z} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{l} |\lambda|^{\frac{t_{l}}{h}} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(y_{z} - f_{z} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z, m) g(m, f_{m}) \right) r_{z} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \\ &+ \sup_{l} |\lambda|^{\frac{t_{l}}{h}} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(p_{l} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(y_{z} - \eta_{z} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A(z, m) g(m, \eta_{m}) \right) r_{z} \right| \right)^{t_{l}} \right]^{\frac{1}{h}} \\ &= \sup_{l} |\lambda|^{\frac{t_{l}}{h}} \left(\upsilon(Wf - f) + \upsilon(W\eta - \eta)\right). \end{split}$$

In view of Theorem 5.3, we obtain a unique solution of equation (6.1) in $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{y}$.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Example} & \textbf{6.2.} & \text{Suppose} & \text{the sequence space} & \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon'} & \text{where} & \upsilon(f) \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{t}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{t}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{t}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{t}\left(\frac{\left|\sum_{x=0}^{t}\frac{x+2}{x+1}f_{x}\right|}{t+1}\right)^{\frac{2t+3}{t+2}}}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{\upsilon}. & \text{Assume the non-linear} \\ & = \sqrt{\sum_{t=0}^{t}\left(\frac{x+2}{t+2},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)}, & \text{for all } f \in \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)$

difference equations:

$$f_z = e^{-(3z+6)} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{z+m} \frac{f_{z-2}^p}{f_{z-1}^q + m^2 + 1},$$
(6.3)

with p, q, f_{-2} , $f_{-1} > 0$ and suppose

$$W: \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{v} \to \left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty}, (\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{v},$$

is defined by

$$W(f_z)_{z=0}^{\infty} = \left(e^{-(3z+6)} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{z+m} \frac{f_{z-2}^p}{f_{z-1}^q + m^2 + 1}\right)_{z=0}^{\infty}.$$

Evidently, there is $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sup_{l} |\lambda|^{\frac{2l+3}{2l+4}} \in [0,\frac{1}{2})$ and for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{split} &\left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{z} \frac{f_{z-2}^{p}}{f_{z-1}^{q} + m^{2} + 1} \Big((-1)^{m} - (-1)^{m} \Big) \right) \frac{z+2}{z+1} \right| \\ & \leqslant |\lambda| \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(e^{-(3z+6)} - f_{z} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{z+m} \frac{f_{z-2}^{p}}{f_{z-1}^{q} + m^{2} + 1} \right) \frac{z+2}{z+1} \right| \\ & + |\lambda| \left| \sum_{z=0}^{l} \left(e^{-(3z+6)} - \eta_{z} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{z+m} \frac{f_{z-2}^{p}}{f_{z-1}^{q} + m^{2} + 1} \right) \frac{z+2}{z+1} \right|. \end{split}$$

According to Theorem 6.1, the non-linear difference equations (6.3) contain a unique solution in $\left(\Xi\left((\frac{1}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{t+2}{t+1})_{t=0}^{\infty},(\frac{2t+3}{t+2})_{t=0}^{\infty}\right)\right)_{..}$

7. Conclusion

In this article, we offer some topological and geometric properties of $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, of the multiplication maps acting on $(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}$, of the class $\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}}$, and of the class $(\mathbb{B}^s_{(\Xi(p,r,t))_{\upsilon}})^{\rho}$. We investigate the existence of a fixed point of Kannan contraction map acting on these spaces. Some several numerical experiments are introduced to light our results. Furthermore, some successful applications to the existence of solutions of non-linear difference equations are discussed. This article has a number of advantages for researchers such as studying the fixed points of any contraction maps on this pre-quasi normed sequence space which is a generalization of the quasi normed sequence spaces, a new general space of solutions for many difference equations, the spectrum of any bounded linear operators between any two Banach spaces with s-numbers in this sequence space and note that the closed operator ideals are certain to play an important function in the principle of Banach lattices.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the University of Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, under grant No.(UJ-20-110-DR). The authors, therefore, acknowledge with thanks the University technical and financial support. Also, the authors thank the anonymous referees for their constructive suggestions and helpful comments which led to significant improvement of the original manuscript of this paper.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, N. Hussain, M.-A. Taoudi, Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2012 (2012), 15 pages. 6
- [2] B. Altay, F. Başar, Generalization of the sequence space $\ell(p)$ derived by weighted means, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 330 (2007), 147–185. 1.4
- [3] Y. Altin, M. Et, B. C. Tripathy, The sequence space $|\overline{N}_p|(M,r,q,s)$ on seminormed spaces, Appl. Math. Comput., 154 (2004), 423–430. 1
- [4] A. A. Bakery, A. R. A. Elmatty, A note on Nakano generalized difference sequence space, Adv. Difference Equ., 2020 (2020), 17 pages. 2.21
- [5] A. A. Bakery, O. M. K. S. K. Mohamed, *Kannan Prequasi Contraction Maps on Nakano Sequence Spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces, **2020** (2020), 10 pages. 1, 2.14, 2.15, 2.16, 2.17, 2.18, 2.19
- [6] A. A. Bakery, O. M. K. S. K. Mohamed, (r_1, r_2) -Cesáro summable sequence space of non-absolute type and the involved pre-quasi ideal, J. Inequal. Appl., **2021** (2021), 20 pages. 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13, 2.20, 1
- [7] A. A. Bakery, M. M. Mohammed, Some properties of pre-quasi operator ideal of type generalized Cesaro sequence space defined by weighted means, Open Math., 17 (2019), 1703–1715. 3.5
- [8] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales, Fundam. Math., 3 (1922), 133–181. 1
- [9] M. Başarir, E. E. Kara, On compact operators on the Riesz B(m)-difference sequence space, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci., 35 (2011), 279–285. 1
- [10] M. Başarir, E. E. Kara, On some difference sequence spaces of weighted means and compact operators, Ann. Funct. Anal., 2 (2011), 114–129.

- [11] M. Başarir, E. E. Kara, On the B-difference sequence space derived by weighted mean and compact operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 391 (2012), 67–81.
- [12] M. Başarir, E. E. Kara, On the mth order Difference Sequence Space of Generalized Weighted Mean and Compact Operators, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.), 33 (2013), 797–813. 1
- [13] N. Faried, A. A. Bakery, Small operator ideals formed by s numbers on generalized Cesáro and Orlicz sequence spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2018 (2018), 14 pages. 1.3, 2.6, 2.7
- [14] S. J. H. Ghoncheh, Some Fixed point theorems for Kannan mapping in the modular spaces, Ciĕncia e Natura, 37 (2015), 462–466. 1
- [15] B. Hazarika, A. Esi, Lacunary Ideal quasi Cauchy sequences, An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform., 45 (2018), 220–231.
- [16] N. Hussain, A. R. Khan, R. P. Agarwal, Krasnoselkii and Ky Fan type fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 11 (2010), 475–489. 6
- [17] M. İlkhan, S. Demiriz, E. E. Kara, Multiplication operators on Cesáro second order function spaces, Positivity, 24 (2020), 605–614. 1
- [18] N. J. Kalton, Spaces of compact operators, Math. Ann., 208 (1974), 267–278. 2.5
- [19] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points-II, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (1969), 405-408. 1
- [20] E. E. Kara, M. Başarir, On compact operators and some Euler B(m)-difference sequence spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 379 (2011), 499–511. 1
- [21] V. A. Khan, R. K. A. Rababah, A. Esi, S. A. A. Abdullah, K. M. A. S. Aslhlool, Some new spaces of ideal convergent double sequences by using compact operator, J. Appl. Sci., 9 (2017), 467–474. 1
- [22] B. S. Komal, S. Pandoh, K. Raj, Multiplication operators on Cesáro sequence spaces, Demonstr. Math., 49 (2016), 430–436. 1
- [23] B. M. Makarov, N. Faried, *Some properties of operator ideals constructed by s numbers*, (In Russian), Academy of Science, Siberian section, Novosibirsk, Russia, **1977** (1977), 206–211. 1
- [24] T. Mrowka, A Brief Introduction to Linear Analysis: Fredholm Operators, Geometry of Manifolds, Massachusetts Institute of Technology: MIT Open Couse Ware (Fall 2004), (2004). 2.4
- [25] M. Mursaleen, F. Başar, Domain of Cesáro mean of order one in some spaces of double sequences, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 51 (2014), 335–356. 1
- [26] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, Compactness by the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness, Nonlinear Anal., 73 (2010), 2541–2557. 1
- [27] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, On some new sequence spaces of non-absolute type related to the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ I, Filomat, 25 (2011), 33–51. 1
- [28] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, On some new sequence spaces of non-absolute type related to the spaces ℓ_p and ℓ_∞ II, Math. Commun., **16** (2011), 383–398. 1
- [29] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, Compactness of matrix operators on some new difference sequence spaces, Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (2012), 41–52. 1
- [30] P.-N. Ng, P.-Y. Lee, Cesáro sequences spaces of non-absolute type, Comment Math. Prace Math., 20 (1978), 429-433. 3
- [31] A. Pietsch, s-numbers of operators in Banach spaces, Studia Math., 51 (1974), 201–223. 1, 4.3
- [32] A. Pietsch, Small ideals of operators, Studia Math., 51 (1974), 265–267. 1
- [33] A. Pietsch, Operator Ideals, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, (1978). 4.3
- [34] A. Pietsch, Operator Ideals, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1980). 1, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3
- [35] A. Pietsch, Eigenvalues and s-numbers, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1986). 1.2
- [36] K. Raj, A. Esi, S. Jamwal, Orlicz-Quasi Cauchy double sequence spaces for RH-Regular Matrix, J. Class. Anal., 10 (2017), 67–76. 1
- [37] B. E. Rhoades, Operators of A p type, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8), **59** (1975), 238–241.
- [38] P. Salimi, A. Latif, N. Hussain, *Modified* α-ψ-contractive mappings with applications, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 19 pages. 6
- [39] B. C. Tripathy, On a class of difference sequences related to the p-normed space lp, Demonstratio Math., 36 (2003), 867–872. 1
- [40] B. C. Tripathy, On a new class of sequences, Demonstratio Math., 37 (2004), 377–381. 1
- [41] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi, B. Tripathy, On a new type of generalized difference Cesáro sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math., 31 (2005), 333–340. 1
- [42] B. C. Tripathy, P. Sudipta, R. D. Nanda, Banach and Kannan fixed point results in fuzzy 2-metric spaces, Proyecciones, 32 (2013), 359–375. 1
- [43] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika, S. A. Mohiuddine, M. Mursaleen, *Estimation of upper bounds of certain matrix operators on Binomial weighted sequence spaces*, Adv. Oper. Theory, **5** (2020), 1376–1389. 1
- [44] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika, M. Mursaleen, Norm of matrix operator on Orlicz-binomial spaces and related operator ideal, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 23 (2021), 341–353. 1
- [45] T. Yaying, B. Hazarika, M. Mursaleen, On sequence space derived by the domain of q-Cesáro matrix in ℓ_p space and the associated operator ideal, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 493 (2021), 17 pages. 1, 2