

## On boundary values system of sequential hybrid fractional differential equations



Kamal Shah<sup>a,b</sup>, Shaista Gul<sup>b,\*</sup>, Rahmat Ali Khan<sup>b</sup>, Thabet Abdeljawad<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics and Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh 11586, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir(L), 18000, KPK, Pakistan.

### Abstract

This work is devoted to study a broad system of sequential hybrid fractional differential equations (S-HFDEs). Sufficient conditions related to the existence theory for the aforementioned system are investigated by utilizing the coincidence degree theory of topology. The mentioned degree theory is a powerful instrument which can be utilized to examine a wide range of nonlinear problems for qualitative theory. In addition, for the system of boundary value problems (BVPs) of S-HFDEs under consideration, a result concerning the Ullam-Hyers (U-H) stability is also developed. A pertinent example is also given to verify our theoretical results.

**Keywords:** Caputo derivative, degree theory, nonlinear analysis.

**2020 MSC:** 26A33, 34A08, 35A09.

©2024 All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Like regular calculus, fractional calculus has received a lot of recent scientific attention. This is because fractional calculus can provide better descriptions of phenomena that occur in the real world. Additionally, these derivatives have a wide range of uses in the description of systems having memory effects. The aforementioned calculus has been applied in a variety of contexts to examine various infectious disease models, such as those in [19, 25, 27]. Additionally, fractional calculus has been extensively employed in the fields of physics, engineering, and cosmology. For illustration, we quote some citations [14, 18, 38]. Here we remark that fractional calculus has significant applications in physics which have recently identified by many researchers (see some applicable results in [17, 36]). In additions, the said area have been used increasingly to investigate various viral infectious diseases including Hepatitis B, and others in [12, 13, 28, 29]. Moreover some interesting applicable in fluid mechanics, vegetable oil process, electromagnetic theory, pine wilt disease can be read in the cited articles as [1, 16, 22–24].

For existence theory and stability analysis, various issues related to the fractional calculus idea have been investigated. Investigating hybrid problems under the aforementioned notions is one of the key areas. In this regard, various boundary and initial condition problems have been researched using modern

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [kamalshah408@gmail.com](mailto:kamalshah408@gmail.com) (Kamal Shah), [shaistagul317@gmail.com](mailto:shaistagul317@gmail.com) (Shaista Gul), [rahmat\\_alipk@yahoo.com](mailto:rahmat_alipk@yahoo.com) (Rahmat Ali Khan)

doi: [10.22436/jmcs.034.03.06](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.034.03.06)

Received: 2023-10-22 Revised: 2023-12-11 Accepted: 2024-02-16

functional analysis tools and nonlinear analysis techniques. For instance, in [9, 35, 37, 40], the theory of hybrid fixed points has been used to the investigation of various hybrid situations. Additionally, the authors have created several results pertaining to existence theory for various BVPs of HFDEs in publications we refer to [2, 8, 10, 11, 34]. These results were developed using the aforementioned techniques. Additionally, in articles [15, 21], various writers examined integral-type FHDEs. The authors' fixed point approach was used to study a system of FHDEs of the thermostat kind. A class of FHDEs has also been studied by writers [3, 4] under integral BVPs. Similar to this, the authors of articles [6, 30–32, 39] used nonlinear functional analysis tools to investigate a variety of issues and HFDEs systems.

Additionally it is remarkable that researchers [33] designed a comprehensive survey about the computation of analytical or semi analytical solutions for double integral equations of hybrid nature. Like wise a class of HFDEs has been studied in [5]. Keeping in mind the interest of the said area and their systems, we consider the nonlinear S-HFDEs system as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c\mathbb{D}^\varrho \left[ \frac{{}^c\mathbb{D}^\nu \mathbf{q}(t) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))}{\mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))} \right] &= \mathcal{F}_1(t, \mathbf{r}(t), \mathcal{I}^\gamma \mathbf{r}(t)), t \in I, \\ {}^c\mathbb{D}^\varrho \left[ \frac{{}^c\mathbb{D}^\nu \mathbf{r}(t) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))}{\mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))} \right] &= \mathcal{F}_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathcal{I}^\gamma \mathbf{q}(t)), t \in I, \\ \mathbf{q}(0) = \chi_1(\mathbf{r}(\ell)), \mathbf{q}^{(j)}(0) = 0, \mathbf{q}(1) = \chi_2(\mathbf{r}(\ell)), \\ \mathbf{r}(0) = \chi_1(\mathbf{q}(\ell)), \mathbf{r}^{(j)}(0) = 0, \mathbf{r}(1) = \chi_2(\mathbf{q}(\ell)), j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

such that  $0 < \varrho \leq 1$ ,  $\nu \in (k-1, k]$ ,  $\xi \in (k-2, k-1]$ , with  $k \geq 2$ ,  $0 < \ell < 1$ , the mappings  $\mathbb{L}_j, \mathbb{H}_i, \mathbb{K}_i, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in \left( I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \right)$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), also  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  satisfy the Carathéodory criteria, and  $\mathbb{L}_j \neq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . In addition,  $\chi_1, \chi_2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are nonlinear mappings.

To create outcomes of existence and uniqueness, we employ the methods of measure of non-compactness and degree theory as a significant outcome of the qualitative theory, stability analysis. As a result, we create the framework for consistent outcomes. In this perspective, we establish a thorough examination of the difficulties under consideration using the methods indicated in articles [7, 20, 26]. Stability analysis has taken a few HFDE issues into account as U-H types. We thereby confirm the aforementioned stability finding for the problem under consideration.

Our paper is structured as follows. Section 1 is devoted to literature review and introduction. Section 2 is devoted to basic requirements. Section 3 contains first part of our main results about the existence theory. Section 4 contains stability results. Section 5 includes example. Section 6 contains a brief conclusion.

## 2. Fundamental results

Some basic results we need to recollect from [19, 27] for further analysis in this work as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** The fractional order integration of a function  $\mathbf{q} \in L^1([0, 1])$  is given by

$$\mathcal{I}^r \mathbf{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{r-1} \mathbf{q}(\zeta) d\zeta.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{q} \in C[0, 1]$ , then derivative in the Caputo sense of fractional order is given by

$${}^c\mathbb{D}^r \mathbf{q}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-r)} \int_0^t (t - \zeta)^{k-r-1} \mathbf{q}^{(k)}(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where  $k-1 = [r]$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *The solution of*

$$\mathcal{I}^r [{}^c\text{ID}^r \mathbf{q}(t)] = y(t), \quad r \in (k-1, k],$$

is given by

$$\mathcal{I}^r [{}^c\text{ID}^r \mathbf{q}(t)] = y(t) + \mathbf{m}_0 + \mathbf{m}_1 t + \mathbf{m}_2 t^2 + \dots + \mathbf{m}_{k-1} t^{k-1},$$

for  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be the Banach space defined as  $\mathbb{E} = \{\mathbf{q} \in C(I) : {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu-1} \mathbf{q} \in C(I)\}$  under the norm  $\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbb{E}} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\mathbf{q}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |{}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}|$ . Also,  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{E}} = \max\{\|\mathbf{q}\|_{\mathbb{E}}, \|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbb{E}}\}$ .

### 3. Existence criteria

For system (1.1), we derive one of the main results in this portion.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The solution of (1.1) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(t) &= \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)(t)) + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu-\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)) + \chi_1(v(\ell)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu-1} \mathbf{q}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1))} (\chi_2(v(\ell)) - \chi_1(v(\ell)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), v(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1))) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)), \\ \mathbf{r}(t) &= \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) + \mathbf{q} \mathbf{m}_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu-\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) + \chi_1(\mathbf{q}(\ell)) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu-1} \mathbf{r}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1))} (\chi_2(\mathbf{q}(\ell)) - \chi_1(\mathbf{q}(\ell)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_2(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1))) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1)) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)) &= \mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)) \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathcal{F}_1(t, \mathbf{r}(t), \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)), \\ \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) &= \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathcal{F}_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)), \\ \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)), &\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)), \\ \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_2(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1)), &\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(1)), \end{aligned}$$

stand for the values of the given integrals at  $t = 1$ . In addition, the integrals

$$\mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_j(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)), \quad \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \mathbb{L}_j[(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t))], \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)), \quad \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(1)),$$

stand for value at  $t = 1$  of  $\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t))$ ,  $\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t))$ .

Define operators  $\mathbb{A}_i, \mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{C}_i : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) &= \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)) + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{q}(t)) + \chi_1(\mathbf{r}(\ell)), \\ \mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) &= \mathcal{I}^{\nu} \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\text{ID}^{\nu} \mathbf{r}(t)) + \chi_2(\mathbf{q}(\ell)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t) &= \frac{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(1))}, \\
\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t) &= \frac{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(1))}, \\
\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) &= \chi_2(\mathbf{r}(\ell)) - \chi_1(\mathbf{r}(\ell) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(1)) \\
&\quad - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(1)), \\
\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) &= \chi_2(\mathbf{q}(\ell)) - \chi_1(\mathbf{q}(\ell) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_2(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(1)) \\
&\quad - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(1)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

then (3.1) of operators is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{q}(t) &= \mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) + \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t) \mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) = \mathfrak{T}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t), t \in I, \\
\mathbf{r}(t) &= \mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) + \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t) \mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) = \mathfrak{T}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t), t \in I.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Using the definition of the direct product

$$(\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q}) \mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}), \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r}) \mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})) = (\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q}), \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})) (\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}), \mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})),$$

we write (3.3) as an operator equation

$$(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) = \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) + \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) = \mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t), t \in I, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\mathbb{A} = (\mathbb{A}_1, \mathbb{A}_2)$ ,  $\mathbb{B} = (\mathbb{B}_1, \mathbb{B}_2)$ ,  $\mathbb{C} = (\mathbb{C}_1, \mathbb{C}_2)$ . Further, (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)) &= \mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t)) + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i-S)} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t)), \\
{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)) &= \mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t)) + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i-S)} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t)), \\
{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t)) &= \frac{\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(1))}, \\
{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t)) &= \frac{\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))}{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(1))}, \\
{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)) &= 0, \quad {}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})| &\leq |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i)} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))| + |\chi_1(\mathbf{r})|, \\
|\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})| &\leq |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i)} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))| + |\chi_2(\mathbf{q})|, \\
|{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| &\leq |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i-S)} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{q}(t))|, \\
|{}^c \mathbb{D}^S (\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| &\leq |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-S)} \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i-S)} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^S \mathbf{r}(t))|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})| &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))|}{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(1))|}, \quad |{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi (\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r}))| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-\xi)} \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))|}{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(1))|}, \quad (3.6) \\
 |(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| &= |\chi_1(\mathbf{r})| + |\chi_2(\mathbf{r})| + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(1))| + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(1))| \\
 &\quad + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^{\nu-1} \mathbf{q}(1))|, \\
 |(\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| &= |\chi_1(\mathbf{q})| + |\chi_2(\mathbf{q})| + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_2(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(1))| + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_2(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(1))| \\
 &\quad + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(1, \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c \mathbb{D}^{\nu-1} \mathbf{r}(1))|, \\
 |{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi (\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| &= 0, \quad |{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi (\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))| = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We describe some data dependence results.

(IH<sub>1</sub>)  $\mathbb{L}_j, \mathcal{F}_j, \mathbb{H}_i, \mathbb{K}_i, j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  satisfy the Caratheodory conditions.

(IH<sub>2</sub>) For constants  $\tau_1, \tau_2, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2$ , one has

$$|\chi_j(\mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \chi_j(\mathbf{q}_2(t))| \leq \tau_j \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|, \quad |\chi_j(\mathbf{q})| \leq c_j \|\mathbf{z}\| + d_j, \quad \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in \mathbb{E}, j = 1, 2.$$

(IH<sub>3</sub>) Let  $\theta_i, \theta_i^*, \mu_j, \delta_j : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $0 < \xi, \lambda$ , then for  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in \mathbb{E}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t))| &\leq \theta_i(t) (\|\mathbf{q}_1\| + \|{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1\|) + \xi = \theta_i(t) \|\mathbf{q}_1\|_S + \xi, \\
 |\mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \theta_i^*(t) (\|\mathbf{q}_2\| + \|{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2\|) + \xi = \theta_i^*(t) \|\mathbf{q}_2\|_S + \xi, \\
 |\mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t))| &\leq \mu_j(t) (\|\mathbf{q}_1\| + \|{}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1\|) + \lambda = \mu_j(t) \|\mathbf{q}_1\|_S + \lambda, \\
 |\mathcal{F}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \delta_j(t), \quad j = 1, 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

(IH<sub>4</sub>) Let  $\tau_i > 0$ , such that for  $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \in \mathbb{E}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_S, \\
 |\mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \theta_i \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_S, \\
 |\mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \theta_i^* \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_S, \\
 |\mathcal{F}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \delta_0 \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|, \quad j = 1, 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_0 = \max_{t \in I} \mu_j(t), \quad \delta_0 = \max_{t \in I} \delta_j(t), \quad \theta_i = \max_{t \in I} \theta_i(t), \quad \theta_i^* = \max_{t \in I} \theta_i^*(t), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m.$$

Inview of (IH<sub>3</sub>), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_S + \lambda), \\
 |\chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_S + \lambda), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_S + \lambda), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_S + \lambda), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-\xi)} \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1) \Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_S + \lambda), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-\xi)} \chi_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \mathbb{D}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1) \Gamma(\varrho + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_S + \lambda).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_j(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu + \rho_i)} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} (\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu + \rho_i)} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} (\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu + \rho_i - \xi)} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} (\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi), \\
 |\mathcal{I}^{(\nu + \rho_i - \xi)} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{r}(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} (\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.8}$$

Using (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8), and (IH<sub>2</sub>), (IH<sub>3</sub>), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + c_1 |\mathbf{r}| + d_1, \\
 |(\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + c_2 |\mathbf{q}| + d_2, \\
 |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)}, \\
 |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)}, \\
 |(\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t))| &\leq \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Lambda_1 \Gamma(\nu + 1)}, \quad |(\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t))| \leq \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Lambda_2 \Gamma(\nu + 1)}, \\
 |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t))| &\leq \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Lambda_1 \Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)}, \quad |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t))| \leq \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Lambda_2 \Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)}, \\
 |(\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + c_1 |\mathbf{r}| + d_1 + c_2 |\mathbf{q}| + d_2, \\
 |(\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + c_1 |\mathbf{r}| + d_1 + c_2 |\mathbf{q}| + d_2, \\
 |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| &= 0, \quad |{}^c \text{ID}^\xi (\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))| = 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.9}$$

where  $\Lambda_j = |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_j(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(1))|$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Under hypothesis (IH<sub>4</sub>), for  $j = 1, 2$  one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{I}^\varrho \mathcal{F}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), \mathcal{I}^\gamma \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathcal{I}^\varrho \mathcal{F}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), \mathcal{I}^\gamma \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \frac{S_0}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|, \\
 |\chi_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \chi_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}_2(t))| &\leq \frac{\delta_0 \mu_0}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_s.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

Further  $t_2 > t_1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), \mathbf{r}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\
 &= \frac{\int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\nu-1} \chi_j(s, \mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{r}(s), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(s)) ds - \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\nu-1} \chi_j(s, \mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{r}(s), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(s)) ds}{\Gamma(\nu)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left[ \int_0^{t_1} ((t_1 - s)^{\nu-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\nu-1}) \chi_j(s, \mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{r}(s), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(s)) ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} ((t_2 - s)^{\nu-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\nu-1}) \chi_j(s, \mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{r}(s), {}^c \text{ID}^\xi \mathbf{q}(s)) ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), \mathbf{y}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{\|\Psi(s, \mathbf{q}(s), \mathbf{r}(s), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(s))\|}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + t_1^\nu - t_2^\nu), \end{aligned}$$

which in view of (3.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), \mathbf{r}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + t_1^\nu - t_2^\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in view of (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu-S} \chi_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu-S} \chi_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), \mathbf{r}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)\Gamma(\nu - S)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu-S} + t_1^{\nu-S} - t_2^{\nu-S}), \\ & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu+\rho_i} + t_1^{\nu+\rho_i} - t_2^{\nu+\rho_i}), \\ & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i-S} \mathbb{H}_i(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i-S} \mathbb{H}_i(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - S + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu+\rho_i-S} + t_1^{\nu+\rho_i-S} - t_2^{\nu+\rho_i-S}), \\ & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t_1, \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{r}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t_2, \mathbf{r}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{r}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu+\rho_i} + t_1^{\nu+\rho_i} - t_2^{\nu+\rho_i}), \\ & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i-S} \mathbb{K}_i(t_1, \mathbf{r}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{r}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i-S} \mathbb{K}_i(t_2, \mathbf{r}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{r}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - S + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu+\rho_i-S} + t_1^{\nu+\rho_i-S} - t_2^{\nu+\rho_i-S}), \\ & |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{L}_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{((\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + t_1^\nu - t_2^\nu), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{I}^{\nu-S} \mathbb{L}_j(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu-S} \mathbb{L}_j(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t_2))| \\ & \leq \frac{((\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu - S + 1)} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu-S} + t_1^{\nu-S} - t_2^{\nu-S}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) - \mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})(t)| & \leq \frac{|\chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t)) - \chi_1(t, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(t), \bar{\mathbf{r}}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^S \bar{\mathbf{q}}(t))|}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} \\ & + \sum_1^m \frac{|\mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^S \mathbf{q}(t)) - \mathbb{H}_i(t, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(t), {}^c \text{ID}^S \bar{\mathbf{q}}(t))|}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + |\chi_1(\mathbf{r})(\ell) - \chi_1(\bar{\mathbf{r}})(\ell)|, \end{aligned}$$

which in view of (3.10) and (IH<sub>4</sub>) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) - \mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})(t)| & \leq \frac{\delta_0 \mu_0 \|\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_s}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i \|\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_s}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + \tau_1 \|\mathbf{r} - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\| \\ & \leq \left( \frac{\delta_0 \mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \right) \|\mathbf{q} - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_s + \tau_1 \|\mathbf{r} - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Also, one has

$$|D^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t) - D^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})(t)| \leq \mathcal{I}^{(\nu-\mathfrak{S})}|\chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}(t)) - \chi_1(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), \mathbf{r}_2(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(t))| + \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{(\nu+\rho_i-\mathfrak{S})}|(\mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{H}_i(t, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(t)))|,$$

which in view of (3.10) and (IH<sub>4</sub>) implies that

$$|{}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1)(t) - {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})(t)| \leq \frac{\delta_0\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}}{\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)\Gamma(\wp + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \mathfrak{S} + 1)} = \left(\frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)\Gamma(\wp + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), it follows that

$$\|\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \leq \left(\frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right) + \sum_1^m \left(\frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right)\right)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}} + \tau_1\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\| = \kappa_1\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}} + \tau_1\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\kappa_1 = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\wp+1)}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mathfrak{S}+1)}\right) + \sum_1^m\left(\frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho_i+1)} + \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho_i-\mathfrak{S}+1)}\right)$ . Similarly,

$$\|\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}_2(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \leq \left(\frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right) + \sum_1^m \left(\frac{\theta_i^*}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} + \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right)\right)\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}} + \tau_2\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\| = \kappa_2\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}} + \tau_2\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\kappa_2 = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\wp+1)}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mathfrak{S}+1)}\right) + \sum_1^m\left(\frac{\theta_i^*}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho_i+1)} + \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu+\rho_i-\mathfrak{S}+1)}\right)$ . Now, for  $j = 1, 2$ , we have

$$|\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_1) - \mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_2)| \leq \frac{\mathcal{I}^{\nu} |(\mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}_2(t)))|}{\Lambda_j},$$

$$|{}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_1) - {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_2)| \leq \frac{\mathcal{I}^{(\nu-\mathfrak{S})} |(\mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_1(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}_1(t)) - \mathbb{L}_j(t, \mathbf{q}_2(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}_2(t)))|}{\Lambda_j},$$

which in view of (IH<sub>4</sub>), one has

$$|\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_1) - \mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_2)| \leq \frac{\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|}{\Lambda_j\Gamma(\nu + 1)}, \quad |{}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_1) - {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbb{B}_j(\mathbf{q}_2)| \leq \frac{\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|}{\Lambda_j\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\|\mathbb{B}_j\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbb{B}_j\mathbf{q}_2\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \leq \frac{\mu_0}{\Lambda_j}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \mathfrak{S} + 1)}\right)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = \kappa_3\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2\|_{\mathfrak{S}}, \tag{3.17}$$

where  $\kappa_3 = \frac{\mu_0}{\Lambda_j}\left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\mathfrak{S}+1)}\right)$ ,

$$|\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})| \leq |\chi_2(\mathbf{r}_1) - \chi_2(\bar{\mathbf{r}})| + |\chi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) - \chi_1(\bar{\mathbf{r}})| + |\mathcal{I}^{\nu}\chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}(1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu}\chi_1(1, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(1), \bar{\mathbf{r}}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(1))| + |\mathcal{I}^{\nu}\mathbb{L}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}(1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu}\mathbb{L}_1(1, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(1))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i}\mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}_1(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\mathbf{q}(1)) - \mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i}\mathbb{H}_i(1, \bar{\mathbf{q}}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{\mathfrak{S}}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(1))|.$$



Using (3.10) and (IH<sub>4</sub>), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbf{C}_1(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{C}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})| &\leq (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\| + \frac{\delta_0\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \right) \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S \\
 &\quad + (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\| = \kappa_4\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S + (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where  $\kappa_4 = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}$ . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{C}_2(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})| &\leq (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\| + \frac{\delta_0\mu_0\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i^*\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i^*}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \right) \|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S \\
 &\quad + (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\| = \kappa_5\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S + (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where  $\kappa_5 = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\omega + 1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\theta_i^*}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If (IH<sub>1</sub>), (IH<sub>2</sub>), (IH<sub>3</sub>) hold, the operator  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $\mu$ -Lipschitz with constant  $\tilde{\kappa}$  and obeys the relation*

$$\|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_S \leq \Delta_1\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_S + \Delta_2, \tag{3.20}$$

with

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) + \sum_1^m \tilde{\theta}_i \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + c,$$

and

$$\Delta_2 = \frac{\delta_0\lambda}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) + \sum_1^m \xi \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + d.$$

*Proof.* For  $(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1), (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})$ , we have

$$\|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S = \max\{\|\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S, \|\mathbb{A}_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}_2(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S\}.$$

Using (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S \leq \kappa \max\{\|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S, \|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S\} + \tau \max\{\|\mathbf{r}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{r}}\|_S, \|\mathbf{q}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{q}}\|_S\},$$

where  $\kappa = \max\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ ,  $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2\}$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S \leq (\kappa + \tau)\|(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S = \tilde{\kappa}\|(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{r}_1) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S, \tag{3.21}$$

where  $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa + \tau$ .

For the growth condition, using (3.9), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))\|_S &= \|(\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))\| + \|({}^c\mathbb{D}^S \mathbb{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}\|_S + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\varrho + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_1^m (\theta_i\|\mathbf{q}\|_S + \xi) \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + c_1\|\mathbf{r}\| + d_1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0\|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) \\
 & + \sum_1^m (\theta_i^*\|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi) \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + c_2\|\mathbf{q}\| + d_2 \\
 \leq & \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) \\
 & + \sum_1^m (\tilde{\theta}_i\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \xi) \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + c\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\| + d,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}_i = \max\{\theta_i, \theta_i^*\}$ ,  $c = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$ ,  $d = \max\{d_1, d_2\}$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\|(\mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t))\|_s \leq \Delta_1\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \Delta_2, \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1 & = \frac{\delta_0\mu_0}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) + \sum_1^m \tilde{\theta}_i \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + c, \\
 \Delta_2 & = \frac{\delta_0\lambda}{\Gamma(\wp + 1)} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)} \right) + \sum_1^m \xi \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i - \xi + 1)} \right) + d.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.3.** *Under the hypothesis (IH<sub>1</sub>)-(IH<sub>3</sub>), the operator IB is continuous and compact.*

*Proof.* Here  $\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})(t) = \frac{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(t))}{\Lambda_1}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})(t) = \frac{\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{r}(t))}{\Lambda_2}$ , clearly,  $\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = (\mathbb{B}_1\mathbf{q}, \mathbb{B}_2\mathbf{r})$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{I}$  and is bounded as

$$\|(\mathbb{B}_1\mathbf{q})(t)\| = \frac{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(t))|}{\Lambda_1} \leq 1, \quad \|(\mathbb{B}_2\mathbf{r})(t)\| = \frac{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{r}(t))|}{\Lambda_2} \leq 1. \tag{3.23}$$

For equi-continuity, choose  $t_1 < t_2 \in \mathbb{I}$ , and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_1\| + \|({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1\mathbf{q})t_2 - ({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1\mathbf{q})t_1\| \\
 & \leq \frac{|\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_1(t_2, \mathbf{q}(t_2), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(t_2)) - \mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_1(t_1, \mathbf{q}(t_1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(t_1))|}{\Lambda_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.11), we obtain

$$\|\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_1\| \leq \frac{((\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Lambda} (2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + t_1^\nu - t_2^\nu), \tag{3.24}$$

and in view of (3.12), we obtain

$$\|{}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_2 - {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_1\| \leq \frac{((\mu_0\|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)\Lambda} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu - \xi} + t_1^{\nu - \xi} - t_2^{\nu - \xi}). \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_1\| \leq \frac{((\mu_0\|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)\Lambda_2} (2(t_2 - t_1)^\nu + t_1^\nu - t_2^\nu),$$

and in view of (3.12), we obtain

$$\|{}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_2 - {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_1\| \leq \frac{((\mu_0\|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda))}{\Gamma(\nu - \xi + 1)\Lambda_2} (2(t_2 - t_1)^{\nu - \xi} + t_1^{\nu - \xi} - t_2^{\nu - \xi}).$$

From (3.24) and (3.25), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_1\|_s &= \|\mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_1(\mathbf{q})t_1\| + \|({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1 \mathbf{q})t_2 - ({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_1 \mathbf{q})t_1\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2, \\ \|\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_1\|_s &= \|\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_2 - \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbf{r})t_1\| + \|({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_2 \mathbf{r})t_2 - ({}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbb{B}_2 \mathbf{r})t_1\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t_1 \rightarrow t_2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{B}$  in view of Arzelá-Ascoli theorem is compact.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Under the hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>3</sub>), the operator  $\mathbb{C}$  is Lipschitz with constant  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}$ , and obeys*

$$\|\bar{\mathbb{C}}\mathbf{q}\|_s \leq \Delta_3 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \Delta_4, \quad (3.26)$$

where

$$\Delta_3 = \tilde{c} + \frac{\delta_0 \mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_1^m \left( \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \right)$$

and

$$\Delta_4 = \tilde{d} + \frac{\lambda \delta_0}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\xi}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}.$$

*Proof.* For  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}), (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}) \in E$ , we have

$$\|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s = \max\{\|\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}_1(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s, \|\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}_2(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s\}.$$

Using (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s &\leq (\tau_1 + \tau_2) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s + \bar{\kappa} \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s \\ &= (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_s,\end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\bar{\kappa} = \max\{\kappa_4, \kappa_5\} = \frac{\delta_0 \mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{\mu_0}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}$ . For the growth condition, we have for  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)| &\leq |\chi_2(\mathbf{r}(\ell))| + |\chi_1(\mathbf{r}(\ell))| + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \chi_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(1))| \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{I}^\nu \mathbb{I}_1(1, \mathbf{q}(1), \mathbf{r}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(1))| + \sum_1^m |\mathcal{I}^{\nu+\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(1, \mathbf{q}(1), {}^c\mathbb{D}^s \mathbf{q}(1))|,\end{aligned}$$

which is in view of (H<sub>2</sub>) and (3.7) implies that

$$|\mathbb{C}_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)| \leq \tilde{c} |\mathbf{r}(\ell)| + \tilde{d} + \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i \|\mathbf{q}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}.$$

Similarly

$$|\mathbb{C}_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})(t)| \leq \tilde{c} |\mathbf{q}(\ell)| + \tilde{d} + \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\mu_0 \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_1^m \frac{(\theta_i^* \|\mathbf{r}\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)}.$$

Thus it yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s &\leq \tilde{c} \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \tilde{d} + \frac{\delta_0(\mu_0 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \lambda)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(\varrho+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} (\mu_0 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \lambda) \\ &\quad + \sum_1^m \frac{(\tilde{\theta}_i \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \xi)}{\Gamma(\nu + \rho_i + 1)} \leq \Delta_3 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_s + \Delta_4.\end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Choose the parameters such that  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 < 1$ . Choose  $R \geq \max\{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}, \frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4}{1 - (\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)}\}$ . Define  $\mathbf{S} = \{(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} : \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq R\}$ , then  $\mathbf{S}$  is closed, convex, and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $(\text{H}_1)$ - $(\text{H}_3)$  and  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 < 1$  hold, then system (3.4) has at least one solution.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2, the operator  $\mathbb{A}$  is  $\mu$ -Lipschitz with constant  $\bar{\kappa}$  and by Lemma 3.4, the operator  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\mu$ -Lipschitz with constant  $\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}$ . By Lemma 3.3, the operator  $\mathbb{B}$  is compact. Now for  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) \in \mathbf{S}$ , take  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) = \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$ , which implies that

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq \|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}}.$$

In view of the growth conditions (3.20), (3.23), and (3.26), one has

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq (\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} + \Delta_2 + \Delta_4.$$

That implies

$$(1 - (\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq (\Delta_2 + \Delta_4).$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \leq \frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4}{1 - (\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)} \leq R,$$

which implies that  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) \in \mathbf{S}$ . Further we have  $M = \|\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} = 1$  and  $R \geq \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}$ . Thus all conditions are satisfied. Hence, (3.4) has at least one solution.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $(\text{H}_1)$ - $(\text{H}_4)$  and  $\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3 R + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) < 1$  hold, then (3.4) has a unique solution.*

*Proof.* For  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}), (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}) \in \mathbf{S}$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathfrak{T}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &= \|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \mathbb{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\mathbb{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}))\|_{\mathbb{S}} \\ &\leq \|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} + \|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \|\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \\ &\quad + \|\mathbb{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

By (3.17), (3.21), and (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &\leq \bar{\kappa} \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}}, \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &\leq \kappa_2 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}}, \\ \|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathbb{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &\leq (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) \|((\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}))\|_{\mathbb{S}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Using (3.29) in (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathfrak{T}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &\leq \bar{\kappa} \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} + \|\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \kappa_2 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \\ &\quad + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \|\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}}, \end{aligned}$$

which further implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{T}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathfrak{T}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} &\leq (\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3 \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\|_{\mathbb{S}} + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa})) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}} \\ &\leq (\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3 R + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa})) \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_{\mathbb{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result is received.  $\square$

#### 4. U-H stability

Here we construct second important result devoted to U-H stability.

**Definition 4.1.** The system (3.4) will be U-H stable, for positive  $\zeta > 0$ ,  $\varphi > 0$  corresponding to every solution  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$  of

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))\|_S < \varphi_1,$$

if one has unique solution  $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})$  of (3.4), which fulfils the following result,

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S < \varphi\zeta.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$ , and  $\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3R + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) < 1$  hold, then (1.1) is U-H stable.

*Proof.* If  $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$  be any solution and  $(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})$  be a unique solution of (1.1), then lets take

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S &= \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\mathbf{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \mathbf{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}))\|_S \\ &\leq \|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}))\|_S \\ &\quad + \|(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})) - (\mathbf{A}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}) + \mathbf{B}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{C}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}}))\|_S \\ &< \varphi + \|\mathfrak{F}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - \mathfrak{F}(\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S, \end{aligned}$$

which further means

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S \leq \varphi + (\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3R + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}))\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S = \varphi + K\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S,$$

with  $K = \bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3R + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa})$ , which implies

$$\|(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}) - (\bar{\mathbf{q}}, \bar{\mathbf{r}})\|_S < \varphi\zeta, \text{ where } \zeta = \frac{1}{1-K}.$$

□

#### 5. Example

Consider a test example as follows.

**Example 5.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5} \left[ \frac{{}^c\mathcal{I}D^{1.5}\mathbf{q}(t) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\rho_i} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}\mathbf{q}(t))}{{}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}\mathbf{q}(t)} \right] &= \mathcal{F}_1(t, \mathbf{r}(t), \mathcal{I}^{1.5}\mathbf{r}(t)), t \in I, \\ {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5} \left[ \frac{{}^c\mathcal{I}D^{1.5}\mathbf{r}(t) - \sum_1^m \mathcal{I}^{\rho_i} \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}\mathbf{r}(t))}{{}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}\mathbf{r}(t)} \right] &= \mathcal{F}_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathcal{I}^{1.5}\mathbf{q}(t)), t \in I, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(0) &= \sin(|\mathbf{r}(0.5)|), \mathbf{q}^{(1)}(0) = 0, \mathbf{q}(1) = \tan(|\mathbf{r}(0.5)|), \\ \mathbf{r}(0) &= \sin(|\mathbf{q}(0.5)|), \mathbf{r}^{(1)}(0) = 0, \mathbf{r}(1) = \tan(|\mathbf{q}(0.5)|). \end{aligned}$$

Here we see in (5.1) that  $\wp = \mathcal{S} = \ell = 0.5$ ,  $\gamma = \nu = 1.5$ , and  $\chi_1 = \sin(|\mathbf{r}(0.5)|)$ ,  $\chi_2 = \tan(|\mathbf{r}(0.5)|)$ , and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_i(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{\mathcal{S}}\mathbf{q}(t)) &= \frac{(|\mathbf{q}(t)| + {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}|\mathbf{r}(t)|)^i}{50 + t^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 5, \\ \mathbb{K}_i(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{\mathcal{S}}\mathbf{r}(t)) &= \frac{(|\mathbf{q}(t)| + {}^c\mathcal{I}D^{0.5}|\mathbf{r}(t)|)^i}{50 + t^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 5. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\mathcal{F}_1(t, \mathbf{r}(t), \mathcal{I}^{1.5}\mathbf{r}(t)) = \frac{\sqrt{|\mathbf{r}(t)|} + \mathcal{I}^{1.5}|\mathbf{r}(t)|}{100 + t^3}, \quad \mathcal{F}_2(t, \mathbf{q}(t), \mathcal{I}^{1.5}\mathbf{q}(t)) = \frac{\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}(t)|} + \mathcal{I}^{1.5}|\mathbf{q}(t)|}{100 + t^3}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbb{L}_1(t, \mathbf{q}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{0.5}\mathbf{q}(t)) = \frac{\sin|\mathbf{q}(t)| + {}^c\mathbb{D}^{1.5}[\sin|\mathbf{q}(t)|]}{100 + t^3}, \quad \mathbb{L}_2(t, \mathbf{r}(t), {}^c\mathbb{D}^{0.5}\mathbf{r}(t)) = \frac{\sin|\mathbf{r}(t)| + {}^c\mathbb{D}^{1.5}[\sin|\mathbf{r}(t)|]}{100 + t^3}.$$

Now it is easy to derive the results of Theorems 3.5, 3.6, 4.2, respectively. Because (IH<sub>1</sub>)-(IH<sub>3</sub>) hold and  $\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 \approx 0.675 < 1$ , thus the given example has at least one solution. Also, on calculation, we see

$$\bar{\kappa} + \kappa_2(\Delta_3\mathbb{R} + \Delta_4) + (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \bar{\kappa}) \approx 0.0345 < 1.$$

Hence the uniqueness condition holds. Finally, we see that  $K \approx 0.0345 < 1$ , which grants the condition of U-H stability.

## 6. Conclusion

Some results devoted to existence theory and stability have been constructed for a highly nonlinear system of S-HFDEs. By using the degree theory with a measure of non-compactness, we have established the required results. Using usual mathematical analysis tools, we have derived results for U-H stability which has never been established for such a problem. In the future, the idea will be extended to the system of S-HFDEs with a nonsingular derivative of fractional order. Also many biological processes when formulated we get hybrid type mathematical models. For the existence of such problems, our analysis will provide base to the young researchers.

## Acknowledgment

All authors are thankful to Prince Sultan University for paying the APC and support through TAS research lab.

## References

- [1] Z. Ahmad, G. Bonanomi, D. di Serafino, F. Giannino, *Transmission dynamics and sensitivity analysis of pine wilt disease with asymptomatic carriers via fractal-fractional differential operator of Mittag-Leffler kernel*, *Appl. Numer. Math.*, **185** (2023), 446–465. 1
- [2] B. Ahmad, S. K. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, *Existence results for a system of coupled hybrid fractional differential equations*, *Sci. World J.*, **2014** (2014), 6 pages. 1
- [3] D. Baleanu, S. Etemad, S. Rezapour, *A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions*, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2020** (2020), 16 pages. 1
- [4] D. Baleanu, S. Etemad, S. Rezapour, *On a fractional hybrid integro-differential equation with mixed hybrid integral boundary value conditions by using three operators*, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **59** (2020), 3019–3027. 1
- [5] D. Baleanu, S. Etemad, S. Rezapour, *A hybrid Caputo fractional modeling for thermostat with hybrid boundary value conditions*, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2020** (2020), 16 pages. 1
- [6] D. Baleanu, A. Jajarmi, H. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, *A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **134** (2020), 7 pages. 1
- [7] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1985). 1
- [8] B. C. Dhage, *Periodic boundary value problems of first order ordinary Caratheodory and discontinuous differential equations*, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **13** (2008), 323–352. 1
- [9] B. C. Dhage, *Quadratic perturbations of periodic boundary value problems of second order ordinary differential equations*, *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, **2** (2010), 465–486. 1
- [10] B. Dhage, *Basic results in the theory of hybrid differential equations with mixed perturbations of second type*, *Funct. Differ. Equ.*, **19** (2012), 87–106. 1
- [11] B. C. Dhage, S. K. Ntouyas, *Existence results for boundary value problems for fractional hybrid differential inclusions*, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **44** (2014), 229–238. 1

- [12] A. Din, Y. Li, F. M. Khan, Z. U. Khan, P. Liu, *On Analysis of fractional order mathematical model of Hepatitis B using Atangana-Baleanu Caputo (ABC) derivative*, *Fractals*, **30** (2022), 18 pages. 1
- [13] A. Din, Y. Li, A. Yusuf, A. I. Ali, *Caputo type fractional operator applied to Hepatitis B system*, *Fractals*, **30** (2022), 11 pages. 1
- [14] M. A. García-Aspeitia, G. Fernandez-Anaya, A. Hernández-Almada, G. Leon, J. Magana, *Cosmology under the fractional calculus approach*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **517** (2022), 4813–4826. 1
- [15] M. Hannabou, K. Hilal, A. Kajouni, *Existence results of hybrid fractional sequential integro-differential equations*, *Eng. Math. Lett.*, **2020** (2020), 1–19. 1
- [16] F. Hasin, Z. Ahmad, F. Ali, N. Khan, I. Khan, S. M. Eldin, *Impact of nanoparticles on vegetable oil as a cutting fluid with fractional ramped analysis*, *Sci. Rep.*, **13** (2023), 18 pages. 1
- [17] R. Herrmann, *Fractional calculus: an introduction for physicists*, World Scientific, Singapore, (2011). 1
- [18] R. Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics*, World scientific, Singapore, (2000). 1
- [19] S. Hussain, O. Tunç, G. ur. Rahman, H. Khan, E. Nadia, *Mathematical analysis of stochastic epidemic model of MERS-corona & application of ergodic theory*, *Math. Comput. Simulation*, **207** (2023), 130–150. 1, 2
- [20] F. Isaia, *On a nonlinear integral equation without compactness*, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)*, **75** (2006), 233–240. 1
- [21] M. Jamil, R. A. Khan, K. Shah, *Existence theory to a class of boundary value problems of hybrid fractional sequential integro-differential equations*, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2019** (2019), 12 pages. 1
- [22] N. Khan, Z. Ahmad, H. Ahmad, F. Tchier, X.-Z. Zhang, S. Murtaza, *Dynamics of chaotic system based on image encryption through fractal-fractional operator of non-local kernel*, *AIP Adv.*, **12** (2022), 14 pages. 1
- [23] M. Khan, Z. Ahmad, F. Ali, N. Khan, I. Khan, K. S. Nisar, *Dynamics of two-step reversible enzymatic reaction under fractional derivative with Mittag-Leffler Kernel*, *Plos One*, **18** (2023), 16 pages.
- [24] N. Khan, F. Ali, Z. Ahmad, S. Murtaza, A. H. Ganie, I. Khan, S. M. Eldin, *A time fractional model of a Maxwell nanofluid through a channel flow with applications in grease*, *Sci. Rep.*, **13** (2023), 1–15. 1
- [25] H. Khan, Z. A. Khan, H. Tajadodi, A. Khan, *Existence and data-dependence theorems for fractional impulsive integro-differential system*, *Adv. Difference Equ.*, **2020** (2020), 11 pages. 1
- [26] K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*, Polskie Towarzystwo Matematyczne, Warsaw, (1952). 1
- [27] P. Liu, X. Huang, R. Zarin, T. Cui, A. Din, *Modeling and numerical analysis of a fractional order model for dual variants of SARS-CoV-2*, *Alex. Eng. J.*, **65** (2023), 427–442. 1, 2
- [28] P. Liu, A. Din, R. Zarin, *Numerical dynamics and fractional modeling of hepatitis B virus model with non-singular and non-local kernels*, *Results Phys.*, **39** (2022), 13 pages. 1
- [29] P. Liu, M. U. Rahman, A. Din, *Fractal fractional based transmission dynamics of COVID-19 epidemic model*, *Comput. Methods Biomech. Biomed. Engin.*, **25** (2022), 1852–1869. 1
- [30] H. Mohammadi, S. Kumar, S. Rezapour, S. Etemad, *A theoretical study of the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional modeling for hearing loss due to mumps virus with optimal control*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **144** (2021), 13 pages. 1
- [31] S. Rezapour, S. B. Chikh, A. Amara, S. K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, S. Etemad, *Existence results for Caputo-Hadamard nonlocal fractional multi-order boundary value problems*, *Mathematics*, **9** (2021), 17 pages.
- [32] S. Rezapour, A. Imran, A. Hussain, F. Martinez, S. Etemad, M. K. A. Kaabar, *Condensing functions and approximate endpoint criterion for the existence analysis of quantum integro-difference FBVPs*, *Symmetry*, **13** (2021), 22 pages. 1
- [33] K. Shah, B. Abdalla, T. Abdeljawad, R. Gul, *Analysis of multipoint impulsive problem of fractional-order differential equations*, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2023** (2023), 17 pages. 1
- [34] S. Sitho, S. K. Ntouyas, J. Tariboon, *Existence results for hybrid fractional integro-differential equations*, *Bound. Value Probl.*, **2015** (2015), 13 pages. 1
- [35] S. Sun, Y. Zhao, Z. Han, Y. Li, *The existence of solutions for boundary value problem of fractional hybrid differential equations*, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, **17** (2012), 4961–4967. 1
- [36] V. E. Tarasov, *Fractional dynamics: applications of fractional calculus to dynamics of particles, fields and media*, Springer, Berlin, (2010). 1
- [37] B. Telli, M. S. Soudi, J. Alzabut, H. Khan, *Existence and Uniqueness Theorems for a Variable-Order Fractional Differential Equation with Delay*, *Axioms*, **12** (2023), 1–15. 1
- [38] J. A. Tenreiro Machado, M. F. Silva, R. S. Barbosa, I. S. Jesus, C. M. Reis, M. G. Marcos, A. F. Galhano, *Some applications of fractional calculus in engineering*, *Math. Probl. Eng.*, **2010** (2010), 34 pages. 1
- [39] N. H. Tuan, H. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, *A mathematical model for COVID-19 transmission by using the Caputo fractional derivative*, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, **140** (2020), 11 pages. 1
- [40] Y. Zhao, S. Sun, Z. Han, Q. Li, *Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62** (2011), 1312–1324. 1