

Available online at

<http://www.TJMCS.com>

The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Vol .3 No.2 (2011) 117 - 125

Multiple solutions for a two-point boundary value problem depending on two parameters

Shapour Heidarkhani^a and Javad Vahidi^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences,
Razi University, 67149 Kermanshah, Iran
e-mail address: sh.heidarkhani@yahoo.com

^bDepartment of Applied Mathematics,
Iran University of Science and Technology, Behshahr, Iran
e-mail address: j.vahidi@iust.ac.ir

Received: April 2011, Revised: June 2011
Online Publication: July 2011

Abstract

In this paper we deal with the existence of at least three weak solutions for a two-point boundary value problem with Neumann boundary condition. The approach is based on variational methods and critical point theory.

Keywords- Three solutions; Critical point; Multiplicity results; Neumann problem.

AMS subject classification: 35J20; 34A15.

1 Introduction

In this work, based on a three critical points theorem due to Ricceri [9], we are interested in ensuring the existence of at least three solutions for the following Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|u')' + u = \lambda f(x, u) + \mu g(x, u), \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda, \mu > 0$, $f : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function and $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$ is an L^1 -Caratéodory function.

Precisely, we deal with the existence of an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq [0, +\infty[$ and a positive real number q , such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in $W^{1,3}([0, 1])$ are less than q .

We say that u is a weak solution to the problem (1) if $u \in W^{1,3}([0, 1])$ and

$$\int_0^1 |u'(x)|u'(x)v'(x)dx + \int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dx - \lambda \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x)dx - \mu \int_0^1 g(x, u(x))v(x)dx = 0$$

for all $v \in W^{1,3}([0, 1])$.

Problem of the above type with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions were widely in these latest years and we refer to [1-8] and the reference therein for more details.

In [4], using variational methods, the author ensures the existence of at least three weak solutions in $W_0^{1,2}([0, 1])$ for the problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \lambda f(u) = 0, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $f : R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function, while in their interesting paper [3], R.I. Avery and J. Henderson studied the problem (2) (independent of λ , in that case), where $f : R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function and λ is a real parameter, by using multiple fixed-point theorem to obtain three symmetric positive solutions under growth conditions on f .

Also, M. Ramaswamy and R. Shivaji recently in [8] established the existence of three positive solutions for classes of nondecreasing, p -sublinear functions f belonging to $C^1([0, \infty))$ for a p -Laplacian version of [3], i.e., the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter and Ω is a bounded domain in R^N ; $N \geq 2$ with $\partial\Omega$ of class C^2 and connected.

In [6] the authors obtained the existence of an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq [0, \infty[$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + a(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta_p u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the p -Laplacian operator, $\lambda \in]0, +\infty[$, $\Omega \subset R^N$ is non-empty bounded open set with a boundary of class C^1 , $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\text{essinf}_\Omega a > 0$, $f : \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ a function, $p \geq 2$ and v is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$, and in [2] the authors proved the existence of an open interval $] \lambda', \lambda''[$ for each λ of problem (4) depending on λ admit at least three solutions.

In [1], we obtained the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subseteq [0, +\infty[$ and a positive real number q such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + \lambda f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

admits at least three weak solutions in whose norms in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are less than q , where $\Omega \subset R^N (N \geq 2)$ is an non-empty bounded open set with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $p > N$, $\lambda > 0$, $f : \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function and positive weight function $a(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$.

In the present paper, our approach is based on a three critical points theorem proved in [9], recalled below for the reader's convenience (Theorem 2.1), on a technical lemma (Lemma 2.3) that allows us to apply it.

Theorem 2.4 which is our main result, ensures the existence of an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq [0, \infty[$ and a positive real number q such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the problem (1) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in $W^{1,3}([0, 1])$ are less than q .

As the consequences of Theorem 2.4, we obtain Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.

Corollary 2.5 ensures the existence of three weak solutions for the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \lambda h_1(x)h_2(u) + \mu g(x, u), \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where $h_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ and $h_2 : R \rightarrow R$ are two continuous functions such that $h_1(x) \geq 0$ in $[0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ and $h_2(t) \geq 0$ in $[0, \frac{d}{4}]$ for positive constant $d \in R$ which will be taken later.

Finally, Theorem 2.6 ensures the existence of three weak solutions for the problem:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' + u = \lambda f(u) + \mu g(u), \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $f, g : R \rightarrow R$ are two continuous functions, as Example 2.7 shows.

The aim of the present paper is to extend the main result of [4] in the case Neumann boundary condition.

2 Main results

First we here recall for the reader's convenience the three critical points theorem of [9](see [11] for the related results) and Proposition 3.1 of [10]:

Theorem 2.1. Let X be a reflexive real Banach space, $I \subseteq R$ an interval, $\Phi : X \rightarrow R$ a sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous C^1 functional, bounded on each bounded subset of X , whose derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* and $J : X \rightarrow R$ a C^1 functional with compact derivative.

Assume that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(x) + \lambda J(x)) = +\infty$$

for all $\lambda \in I$, and that there exists $\rho \in R$ such that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \in I} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(J(x) + \rho)).$$

Then, there exist a non-empty open set interval $A \subseteq I$ and a positive real number q with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and every C^1 functional $\Psi : X \rightarrow R$ with compact derivative, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, the equation

$$\Phi'(u) + \lambda J'(u) + \mu \Psi'(u) = 0$$

has at least three solutions in X whose norms are less than q .

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a non-empty set and Φ, J two real function on X . Assume that there are $r > 0$ and $x_0, x_1 \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x_0) = J(x_0) = 0, \quad \Phi(x_1) > r, \\ \sup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} (-J(x)) < r \frac{-J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each ρ satisfying

$$\sup_{x \in \Phi^{-1}([-\infty, r])} (-J(x)) < \rho < r \frac{-J(x_1)}{\Phi(x_1)},$$

one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{x \in X} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\rho + J(x))) < \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\Phi(x) + \lambda(\rho + J(x))).$$

Here and in the sequel, X will denote the Sobolev space $W^{1,3}([0, 1])$ with the norm

$$\| u \| = \left(\int_0^1 |u'(x)|^3 dx + \int_0^1 |u(x)|^3 dx \right)^{1/3},$$

and put

$$F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, \xi) d\xi$$

for each $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times R$.

Our main results fully depend on the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. Assume that there exist two positive constants c and d with $\sqrt[3]{113}d > \sqrt[3]{28} c$ such that

- (i) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for each $(x, t) \in ([0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]) \times [0, \frac{d}{4}]$,
- (ii) $\frac{113}{c^3} \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-c,c]} F(x, t) < \frac{28}{d^3} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} F(x, \frac{d}{4}) dx$.

Then, there exist $r > 0$ and $w \in X$ such that $\|w\|^3 > 3r$ and

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-\sqrt[3]{12r}, \sqrt[3]{12r}]} F(x, t) < 3r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^3}.$$

Proof: We put

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 4dx^2, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{d}{4}, & \frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq \frac{3}{4} \\ 4d(1-x)^2, & \frac{3}{4} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $r = 3c^3$. It is easy to see that $w \in X$ and, in particular, one has

$$\|w\|^3 = \frac{113}{112} d^3.$$

Hence, taking into account that $\sqrt[3]{113} d > \sqrt[3]{28} c$, one has

$$3r < \|w\|^3.$$

Since $0 \leq w(x) \leq \frac{d}{4}$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, condition (i) ensures that

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} F(x, w(x)) dx + \int_{\frac{3}{4}}^1 F(x, w(x)) dx \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

Moreover, from (ii) and (8), we have

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-\sqrt[3]{12r}, \sqrt[3]{12r}]} F(x, t) < \frac{28}{113} \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^3 \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} F(x, \frac{d}{4}) dx \leq 3r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^3}.$$

Namely,

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-\sqrt[3]{12r}, \sqrt[3]{12r}]} F(x, t) < 3r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x)) dx}{\|w\|^3}.$$

So, the proof is complete. \square

Now, we state our main results:

Theorem 2.4. Assume that there exist three positive constants c, d, s with $\sqrt[3]{113}d > \sqrt[3]{28} c$, $s < 3$ and a positive function $a \in L^1$ such that

- (i) $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for each $(x, t) \in ([0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]) \times [0, \frac{d}{4}]$,
- (ii) $\frac{113}{c^3} \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-c,c]} F(x, t) < \frac{28}{d^3} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} F(x, \frac{d}{4}) dx$,
- (iii) $F(x, t) \leq a(x)(1 + |t|^s)$ almost everywhere in $[0, 1]$ and for each $t \in R$.

Then, there exist a non-empty open set $A \subseteq]0, +\infty[$ and a real number $q > 0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary L^1 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, the problem (1) has at least three weak solutions in X whose norms are less than q .

Proof: For each $u \in X$, we put

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{\|u\|^3}{3},$$

$$J(u) = - \int_0^1 F(x, u(x))dx.$$

Of course, Φ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on X^* and J is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. In particular, for all $u, v \in X$ one has

$$\Phi'(u)(v) = \int_0^1 |u'(x)|u'(x)v'(x)dx + \int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dx,$$

$$J'(u)(v) = - \int_0^1 f(x, u(x))v(x)dx.$$

Furthermore, thanks to (iii), for each $\lambda > 0$, one has that

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow +\infty} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u)) = +\infty.$$

We claim that there exist $r > 0$ and $w \in X$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} (-J(u)) < r \frac{(-J(w))}{\Phi(w)}.$$

However, taking into account that for every $u \in X$, one has

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| \leq \sqrt[3]{4} \|u\|,$$

it follows that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} (-J(u)) = \sup_{\|u\|^3 \leq 3r} \int_0^1 F(x, u(x))dx \leq \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-\sqrt[3]{12r}, \sqrt[3]{12r}]} F(x, t).$$

Now, thanks to Lemma 2.3, there exist $r > 0$ and $w \in X$ such that $\|w\|^3 > 3r$ and

$$\max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-\sqrt[3]{12r}, \sqrt[3]{12r}]} F(x, t) < 3r \frac{\int_0^1 F(x, w(x))dx}{\|w\|^3},$$

so, our claim is true. Fix ρ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} (-J(u)) < \rho < r \frac{(-J(w))}{\Phi(w)},$$

and with $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 = w$, from Proposition 2.2, we obtain the minimax inequality

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_{u \in X} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \rho \lambda) < \inf_{u \in X} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} (\Phi(u) + \lambda J(u) + \rho \lambda).$$

For any fixed L^1 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$, set

$$\Psi(u) = - \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{u(t)} g(t, \xi) d\xi \right) dt.$$

It is well known that Ψ is a continuously differentiable functional whose differential $\Psi'(u) \in X^*$, at $u \in X$ is given by

$$\Psi'(u)v = - \int_0^1 g(t, u(x))v(x)dx \text{ for every } v \in X,$$

such that $\Psi' : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a compact operator. Now, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, are satisfied. Now, applying Theorem 2.1, taking into account that the critical points of the functional $\Phi + \lambda J + \mu \Psi$ are exactly the weak solutions of the problem (1), we have the conclusion. Hence, our conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1. \square

Let $h_1 \in C([0, 1])$ and $h_2 \in C(R)$ be two functions. Put

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h_2(\xi) d\xi$$

for all $t \in R$. We have the following consequence of Theorem 2.4:

Corollary 2.5. Assume that there exist three positive constants c, d, s with $\sqrt[3]{113}d > \sqrt[3]{28}c$, $s < 3$ and a positive function $b \in L^1$ such that

(j) $h_1(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}] \cup [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ and $h_2(t) \geq 0$ for each $t \in [0, \frac{d}{4}]$,

(jj) $\frac{113}{c^3} \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-c,c]} h_1(x)H(t) < \frac{28}{d^3} H(\frac{d}{4}) \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}} h_1(x)dx$,

(jjj) $H(t) \leq b(x)(1 + |t|^s)$ almost everywhere in $[0, 1]$ and for each $t \in R$.

Then, there exist a non-empty open set $A \subseteq]0, +\infty[$ and a real number $q > 0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary L^1 -Carathéodory function $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, the problem (6) has at least three weak solutions in X whose norms are less than q .

Proof: Put $f(x, t) = h_1(x)h_2(t)$ for each $(x, t) \in [0, 1] \times R$, and note that

$$\max_{(x,t) \in \Omega \times [-c,c]} F(x, t) = \max_{(x,t) \in [0,1] \times [-c,c]} h_1(x)H(t),$$

choosing $b(x) = \frac{a(x)}{h_1(x)}$ for almost every $x \in [0, 1]$, it is easy to verify that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 are fulfilled. So, the proof is complete. \square

We now want to point out a consequence of Theorem 2.4 when the function f does not depend on x :

Theorem 2.6. Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function. Put $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\xi)d\xi$ for each $t \in R$ and assume that there exist four positive constants c, d, s and η with $\sqrt[3]{113d} > \sqrt[3]{28} c$ and $s < 3$ such that

- (i') $F(t) \geq 0$ for each $t \in [0, \frac{d}{4}]$,
- (ii') $113 \frac{\max_{t \in [-c, c]} F(t)}{c^3} < 28 \frac{F(\frac{d}{4})}{d^3}$,
- (iii') $F(t) \leq \eta(1 + |t|^s)$ for each $t \in R$.

Then, there exist a non-empty open set $A \subseteq]0, +\infty[$ and a real number $q > 0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary continuous function $g : R \rightarrow R$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, the problem (7) has at least three weak solutions in X whose norms are less than q .

Finally, we illustrate the results of Theorem 2.6:

Example 2.7. Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|u')' + u = \lambda(2u^{19}e^{-u^2}(10 - u^2)) + \mu g(u), \\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

By choosing, for instance $c = 1$, $d = 8$, $s = 2$ and η sufficiently large, all the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied. So, there exist a non-empty open set $A \subseteq]0, +\infty[$ and a real number $q > 0$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in A$ and for an arbitrary continuous function $g : R \rightarrow R$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for each $\mu \in [0, \delta]$, the problem (9) has at least three weak solutions in $W^{1,3}([0, 1])$ whose norms are less than q .

References

- [1] G.A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani, *Three solutions for a Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the p -Laplacian*, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007) 2281-2288.
- [2] D. Averna, G. Bonanno, *Three solutions for a Neumann boundary value problem involving the p -Laplacian*, Le Matematiche 59 (2005), Fasc. I, 81-91.
- [3] R.I. Avery, J. Henderson, *Three symmetric positive solutions for a second-order boundary value problem*, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (2000) 1-7.
- [4] G. Bonanno, *Existence of three solutions for a two point boundary value problem*, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (2000) 53-57.

- [5] G. Bonanno, *Multiple solutions for a Neumann boundary value problem*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 4 (2003) 287-290.
- [6] G. Bonanno, P. Candito, *Three solutions to a Neumann problem for elliptic equations involving the p -Laplacian*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 80 (2003) 424-429.
- [7] A.R. Miciano, R. Shivaji, *Multiple positive solutions for a class of semipositone Neumann two point boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 178 (1993) 102-115.
- [8] M. Ramaswamy, R. Shivaji, *Multiple positive solutions for classes of p -Laplacian equations*, Differential and Integral Equations 17(11-12) (2004) 1255-1261.
- [9] B. Ricceri, *A three critical points theorem revisited*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 3084-3089.
- [10] B. Ricceri, *Existence of three solutions for a class of elliptic eigenvalue problem*, Math. Comput. Modelling 32 (2000) 1485-1494.
- [11] B. Ricceri, *On a three critical points theorem*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 75 (2000) 220-226.