

Available online at

<http://www.TJMCS.com>

The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Vol. 4 No.3 (2012) 386 - 391

## Existence of Three Weak Solutions for Elliptic Dirichlet Problem

G.A. Afroiuzi<sup>1\*</sup>, T.N. Ghara<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran  
afrouzi@umz.ac.ir

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of  
Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran  
tahere.noruzi5@gmail.com

Received: February 2012, Revised: May 2012

Online Publication: July 2012

### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of at least three weak solutions for the elliptic Dirichlet problem. Our main tool is a three critical point theorem and Theorem 3.1. of Gabriele Bonanno, Giovanni Molica Bisci [4].

**Keywords:** Dirichlet problem; Critical points; Three noitulos

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the following elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(x, u) - a(x)u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a non empty bounded open subset of the Euclidean space  $(R^N, |\cdot|)$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , with boundary of class  $C^1$ ,  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter and  $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is a function, and the positive weight function  $a(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Existence of three solutions for different kinds of Dirichlet problem has been widely studied in literature, see for instance [1, 3, 5, 6, 7].

### 2. Preliminaries

Our main tool is the following critical point theorem.

---

\* Corresponding author

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a reflexive real Banach space,  $\phi: X \rightarrow R$  be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ,  $\psi: X \rightarrow R$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact such that  $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ .

Assume that there exist  $r > 0$  and  $\bar{x} \in X$ , with  $r < \phi(\bar{x})$ , such that:

$$(a_1) \frac{\sup_{\phi(x) \leq r} \psi(x)}{r} < \frac{\psi(\bar{x})}{\phi(\bar{x})};$$

$$(a_2) \text{ hcae rof } \lambda \in \Lambda_r := \left] \frac{\psi(\bar{x})}{\phi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\phi(x) \leq r} \psi(x)} \right[ \text{ the functional } \phi - \lambda\psi \text{ is coercive.}$$

Then, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ , the functional  $J_\lambda := \phi - \lambda\psi$  has at least three distinct critical points in  $X$ . Here and in the sequel,  $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$  is a Caratheodory function such that

$$(h_1) \text{ There exist two non negative constants } a_1, a_2 \text{ and } q \in ]1, \frac{2N}{(N-2)} \text{ [ such that}$$

$$|f(x,t)| \leq a_1 + a_2 |t|^{q-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

for every  $(x,t) \in \Omega \times R$ .

We recall that the symbol  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  indicates the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , with respect to the norm

$$\|u\| := \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and we define

$$\|u\|_I := \left( \int_\Omega (|\nabla u(x)|^2 + a(x)|u(x)|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

then there exist positive suitable constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$c_1 \|u\| \leq \|u\|_I \leq c_2 \|u\|$$

and a function  $u: \Omega \rightarrow R$  is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u(x) \nabla v(x) dx - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, u(x)) v(x) dx = - \int_\Omega a(x) u(x) v(x) dx$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

In order to study problem (1.1), we will use the functionals  $\phi, \psi: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow R$  defined by putting

$$\phi(u) := \frac{\|u\|_I^2}{2},$$

and

$$\psi(u) := \int_\Omega F(x, u(x)) dx, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

Where

$$F(x, \xi) := \int_0^\xi f(x, t) dt,$$

for every  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times R$ .

From [4] clearly  $\phi: X \rightarrow R$  is a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ . On the other hand,  $\psi$  is well defined, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and with compact derivative. More precisely, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(u)(v) &= \int_\Omega (\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) + a(x)u(x)v(x)) dx, \\ \psi'(u)(v) &= \int_\Omega f(x, u(x))v(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

A critical point of the functional  $J_\lambda := \phi - \lambda\psi$  is a function  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\phi'(u)(v) - \lambda\psi'(u)(v) = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

for every  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Hence the critical points of the functional  $J_\lambda$  are weak solutions of problem

(1.1). Now, put  $2^* = \frac{2N}{(N-2)}$  and denote, as usual, with  $\Gamma$  the Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(t) := \int_0^{+\infty} z^{t-1} e^{-z} dz, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

From the Sobolev embedding theorem there exist  $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)} \leq c \|u\|, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \tag{2.3}$$

The best constant that appears in (2.3) is

$$c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(N-2)\pi}} \left( \frac{N!}{2\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}, \tag{2.4}$$

Fixing  $q \in [1, 2^*]$ , again from the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a positive constant  $c_q$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c_q \|u\|, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \tag{2.5}$$

and, in particular, the embedding  $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$  is compact.

Due to (2.4), as simple consequence of Holder's inequality, it follows that

$$c_q \leq \frac{\text{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{2^*-q}{2^*q}}}{\sqrt{N(N-2)\pi}} \left( \frac{N!}{2\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\text{meas}(\Omega)$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Omega$ .

Moreover, let

$$D := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega). \tag{2.7}$$

Simple calculations show that there is  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $B(x_0, D) \subseteq \Omega$ .

Finally, we set

$$k := \frac{D\sqrt{2}}{2\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{N}{2})}{D^N - (\frac{D}{2})^N} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$K_1 := \frac{2\sqrt{2}c_1(2^N - 1)}{D^2}, \quad K_2 := \frac{2^{\frac{q+2}{2}}c_q^q(2^N - 1)}{qD^2}. \tag{2.9}$$

### 3. Conclusion

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Caratheodory function such that  $(h_1)$  holds. Assume that

$(h_2)$   $F(x, \xi) \geq 0$  for every  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ;

$(h_3)$  there exist two positive constants  $b$  and  $s < 2$  such that

$$F(x, \xi) \leq b(1 + |\xi|^s),$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

$(h_4)$  there exist two positive constants  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , with  $\delta > \gamma k$  such that

$$\frac{\inf_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\delta^2} < a_1 \frac{K_1}{\gamma} + a_2 K_2 \gamma^{q-2},$$

where  $a_1, a_2$  are given in  $(h_1)$  and  $k, K_1, K_2$  are given by (2.8) and (2.9).

Then, for each parameter  $\lambda$  belonging to

$$\Lambda_{(\gamma, \delta)} := \frac{2(2^N - 1)}{D^2} \frac{\delta^2 E}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \frac{2(2^N - 1)}{D^2} \frac{1}{\left(a_1 \frac{K_1}{\gamma} + a_2 K_2 \gamma^{q-2}\right)} \quad [$$

the problem (1.1) possesses at least three weak solutions in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Proof: Let us apply theorem 2.1 with  $X = H_0^1(\Omega)$  and

$$\phi(u) := \frac{\|u\|_T^2}{2}, \quad \psi(u) := \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx,$$

for every  $u \in X$ . Let  $\lambda > 0$  and put

$$J_{\lambda}(u) := \phi(u) - \lambda \psi(u), \quad \forall u \in X.$$

As observed in section 2,  $\phi : X \rightarrow R$  is a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  is continuously Gâteaux differentiable with compact derivative and  $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ .

Owing to  $(h_1)$ , one has that

$$F(x, \xi) \leq a_1 |\xi| + a_2 \frac{|\xi|^q}{q}, \quad (3.1)$$

for every  $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times R$ .

Let  $r \in ]0, +\infty[$  and consider the function

$$\chi(r) := \frac{\sup_{u \in \phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \psi(u)}{r}.$$

Taking into account (3.1) it follows that

$$\psi(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx \leq a_1 \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{a_2}{q} \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q.$$

Then, for every  $u \in X$ :  $\phi(u) \leq r$ , due to (2.5), we get

$$\psi(u) \leq (\sqrt{2r} c_1 a_1 + \frac{2^{\frac{q}{2}} c_q^q a_2}{q} r^{\frac{q}{2}}).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{u \in \phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \psi(u) \leq (\sqrt{2r} c_1 a_1 + \frac{2^{\frac{q}{2}} c_q^q a_2}{q} r^{\frac{q}{2}}). \quad (3.2)$$

Since, from (3.2), the following inequality holds

$$\chi(r) \leq \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{r}} c_1 a_1 + \frac{2^{\frac{q}{2}} c_q^q a_2}{q} r^{\frac{q}{2}-1} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

for every  $r > 0$ .

Next, put

$$u_{\delta}(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Omega - B(x_0, D), \\ \frac{2\delta}{D}(D - |x - x_0|) & \text{if } x \in B(x_0, D) - B\left(x_0, \frac{D}{2}\right), \\ \delta & \text{if } x \in B\left(x_0, \frac{D}{2}\right). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Clearly  $u_{\delta} \in X$  and we have

$$\phi(u_{\delta}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{\delta}(x)|^2 + a(x)|u_{\delta}(x)|^2) dx \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{B(x_0, D) - B(x_0, \frac{D}{2})} \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} dx + \int_{B(x_0, D) - B(x_0, \frac{D}{2})} a(x) \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} |D - |x - x_0||^2 dx + \right. \\
 &\left. \int_{B(x_0, D)} a(x) \delta^2 dx \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \left(D^N - \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^N\right) \right. \\
 &\left. + \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} \sup_{x \in \Omega} a(x) \max_{x \in B(x_0, D) - B(x_0, \frac{D}{2})} |D - |x - x_0||^2 \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \left(D^N - \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^N\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \delta^2 \sup_{x \in \Omega} a(x) \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \frac{D^N}{2^N} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \left(D^N - \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^N\right) \left(1 + Mh + \frac{D^2}{2^2} M \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}\right) \\
 &= \frac{E}{2} \frac{(2\delta)^2}{D^2} \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \left(D^N - \left(\frac{D}{2}\right)^N\right). \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind that  $\delta > \gamma k$ , it follows that  $\gamma^2 < \phi(u_\delta)$ .

At this point, by  $(h_2)$  we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(u_\delta) &= \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_\delta(x)) dx \geq \int_{B(x_0, \frac{D}{2})} F(x, \delta) dx \geq \\
 \inf_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta) &\frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{N}{2}\right)} \frac{D^N}{2^N}. \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.5) and (3.6) one has

$$\frac{\psi(u_\delta)}{\phi(u_\delta)} \geq \frac{D^2}{2(2^N - 1)} \frac{\inf_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\delta^2 E}. \tag{3.7}$$

In view of (3.3) and taking into account  $(h_4)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi(\gamma^2) &= \frac{\sup_{u \in \phi^{-1}([-\infty, \gamma^2])} \psi(u)}{\gamma^2} \leq \left(\sqrt{2} \frac{c_1}{\gamma} a_1 + \frac{2^{\frac{q}{2}} c_q^q a_2}{q} \gamma^{q-2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{D^2}{2(2^N - 1)} \left(a_1 \frac{K_1}{\gamma} + a_2 K_2 \gamma^{q-2}\right) < \frac{D^2}{2(2^N - 1)} \frac{\inf_{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\delta^2 E} \leq \frac{\psi(u_\delta)}{\phi(u_\delta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the assumption  $(a_1)$  of theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Moreover, if  $s < 2$ , for every  $u \in X$ ,  $|u|^s \in L^{\frac{2}{2-s}}(\Omega)$  and the Holder's inequality gives

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^s dx \leq \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^s \text{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{2-s}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Then, by (2.5), one has

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^s dx \leq c_2^s \|u\|^s \text{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{2-s}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in X. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.8) and due to condition  $(h_3)$ , it follows that

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{\|u\|_I^2}{2} - \lambda b \text{meas}(\Omega)^{\frac{2-s}{2}} \|u\|^s - \lambda b \text{meas}(\Omega), \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Therefore,  $J_\lambda$  is a coercive functional for every positive parameter, in particular, for every

$$\lambda \in \Lambda_{(\gamma, \delta)} \subseteq ] \frac{\phi(u_\delta)}{\psi(u_\delta)}, \frac{\gamma^2}{\sup_{\phi(u) \leq \gamma^2}} [.$$

Then, also condition  $(a_2)$  holds. Hence, all the assumptions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied, so that, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{(\gamma, \delta)}$  the functional  $J_\lambda$  has at least three distinct critical points that are weak solutions of the problem (1.1).

Example 3.1 Let  $\Omega$  be an open ball of radius one in  $R^4$ ,  $q := 3 \in ]2, 4[$  and  $s := \frac{3}{2} < 2$ .

Pick  $r := 200$  and consider the function  $f : R \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} 1 + t^2 & \text{if } t \leq 200, \\ 1 + 2000\sqrt{2t} & \text{if } t > 200. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and  $a(x) = \frac{1}{e^{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}}}$ .

Then, by theorem 3.1, for each

$$\lambda \in ] \frac{18000E}{40003}, \frac{12^{\frac{1}{4}}}{1+2\sqrt{3}\pi^2} 4\pi [,$$

the problem(1.1) possesses at least three weak positive solutions in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of this paper.

### References

- [1] D. Avena and G. Bonanno, A three critical points theorem and its applications to ordinary Dirichlet problems, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 22 (2003), 93-103.
- [2] G.A. Afrouzi, S. Heidarkhani, Three solutions for a Dirichlet boundary value problem involving the p-Laplacian, *Nonlinear. Anal.* 66 (2007) 2281-2288.
- [3] G. Bonanno, Some remarks on a three critical points theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 54 (2003), 651-665.
- [4] G. Bonanno, G.M. Bisci, Three weak solutions for elliptic Dirichlet problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 382 (2011) 1-8.
- [5] B. Ricceri, On a three critical points theorem, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 75 (2000) 220-226.
- [6] B. Ricceri, A general variational principle and some of its applications, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 113 (2000) 401-410.
- [7] B. Ricceri, Existence of three solutions for a class of elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 32 (2000) 1485-1494.