

# **On Fuzzy Isomorphism Theorem Of Hypernear-modules**

M. Aliakbarnia. Omran<sup>1</sup>, Y. Nasabi<sup>2</sup>, E. Hendukolaie<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Amol Institution of higher education, Amol, Iran, Mehdiomran@gmail.com
 <sup>2</sup>Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Amol, Iran, Yaser.nasabi@yahoo.com
 <sup>3</sup>Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Amol, Iran, Edrishendoii@gmail.com

Article history:ReceivedFebruary 2013AcceptedMarch 2013Available online April 2013

### Abstract

In this paper, introduce the concept of normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of hypernear-modules and establish three isomorphism theorems of hypernear-modules by using normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules.

**Keywords**: Near-module, Hypernear-module, Normal fuzzy subhypernear-module, Isomorphism theorems

## 1 Introduction

Hyperstructures, in particular hypergroups, were introduced in 1934 by a French mathematician, Marty, at the VIIIth Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians ([20]). Since then, hundreds of papers and several books have been written on this topic. Nowadays, hyperstructures have a lot of applications to several domains of mathematics and computer science see [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 13], and they are studied in many countries of Europe, America and Asia. In 1971, Rosenfeld [23] introduced fuzzy sets in the context of group theory and formulated the concept of a fuzzy subgroup of a group. Since then, many researchers are engaged in extending the concepts of abstract algebra to the framework of the fuzzy setting. In 1990 Dasic [10] has introduced the notation of hypernear-rings in a particular case. The hypernear-rings generalize the concept of near-ring. More recently, Sen, Ameri and Chowdhury introduced and analyzed fuzzy semihypergroups in [24]. The fuzzy hyperring notion is defined and studied in [17]. Ameri and Hendoukolaie introduced and analyzed fuzzy hypernear-ring and a fuzzy hypernear-module on a hypernear-ring in [2, 3]. in [14] Hendukolaie analyzed the fuzzy homomorphism between Hypernear-rings and in [15] Hendukolaie, Ghasemi, Ghasemi introduced and analized the fuzzy isomorphism theorem of  $\Gamma$ -hypernear-rings by  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals. J. Zhan, B. Davvaz, K.P. Shum, introduced the concept of normal fuzzy

subhypermodules of hypermodules and analized three isomorphism theorems of hypermodules by using normal fuzzy subhypermodules in [29]. In this paper, introduce the concept of normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of hypernear-modules and establish three isomorphism theorems of hypernear-modules by using normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules.

## 2 Preliminaries

First of all,Recalled some notions and results that used in the following paragraphs. (see [1],[5],[6],[20]). A nonempty set R with two binary hyperoperations " $\cdot$  and " + is called a *Near* - *ring* if:

(*i*) (R, +) is a group;

(*ii*)  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semigroup;

(*iii*)  $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in R$ .

**Definition 2.1** A right R-nearmodule M over a Near-ring R consists of an group (M,+) and an operation  $M \times R \rightarrow M$  such that for all x, y of M and r, s of R, We have:

(*i*) 
$$(x+y).r = x.r + y.r$$
;

- (*ii*) x.(r+s) = x.r + x.s;
- $(iii) \quad x.(r.s) = (x.r).s ;$
- (*iv*)  $x \cdot 1_R = x$  if *R* has multiplicative identity  $1_R$ .

**Example 2.2** every module M over a ring R is a near-module.

**Example 2.3** If K is a field, Then the concepts K-vectorspace (a vector space over K) and K-nearmodule are identical.

Let *H* be a nonempty set and let  $P^*(H)$  be the set of all nonempty subsets of *H*. A *hyperoperation* on *H* is a map  $\circ: H \times H \to P^*(H)$  and the couple  $(H, \circ)$  is called a *hypergroupoid*.

If A and B are nonempty subsets of H, then we denote

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \circ b , \qquad A \circ x = A \circ \{x\}, \qquad x \circ B = \{x\} \circ B.$$

A hypergroupoid  $(H,\circ)$  is called a *semihypergroup* if for all x; y; z of H we have  $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ , which means that

$$\bigcup_{u\in x\circ y}u\circ z=\bigcup_{v\in y\circ z}x\circ v.$$

An element e of H is called an *identity* (scalar identity) of  $(H,\circ)$  if for all  $a \in H$ , we have  $a \in (e \circ a) \cap (e \circ a)$ ,  $(\{a\} = (e \circ a) \cap (e \circ a))$ .

A *hypergroup* is a semihypergroup such that for all  $x \in H$ , we have  $x \circ H = H = H \circ x$ .

A subhypergroup  $(K,\circ)$  of  $(H,\circ)$  is a nonempty set K, such that for all  $k \in K$ , we have  $k \circ K = K = k \circ K$ .

**Definition 2.4** The triple  $(R,+,\cdot)$  is a hypernear - ring if:

(1) (R, +) is a quasicanonical hypergroup, i.e. the following axioms hold for (R, +):

(i)  $(x+y)+z = x+(y+z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in R;$ 

(*ii*)  $\exists 0 \in R$  such that x + 0 = x = 0 + x,  $\forall x \in R$ ;

(*iii*)  $\forall x \in H, \exists x' \in H \text{ such that } 0 \in (x+x') \cap (x'+x);$ 

(*iv*)  $\forall x, y, z \in R$  and  $z \in x + y \Longrightarrow x \in z + (-y)$ ,  $y \in (-x) + z$ .

(2)  $(R,\cdot)$  is a semihypergroup having 0 as a right absorbing element, i.e.  $0 \cdot x = 0$ ,  $\forall x \in R$ ;

(3)  $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z, \quad \forall x, y, z \in R.$ 

Let  $(R,+,\cdot)$  be a *hypernear* - *ring*. A non-empty subset A of R is called a subhypernear-ring of R if  $(A,+,\cdot)$  itself a hypernear-ring. A subhypernear-ring  $A \subseteq R$  is called *normal* if for all  $x \in R$  holds:

$$x + A - x \subseteq A.$$

Since  $A \subseteq x + A - x$ , it follows A = x + A - x, for all  $x \in R$ .

**Definition 2.5** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a hypernear-ring. A nonempty set M, endowed with two hyperoperations  $\oplus$ , e is called a *right hypernear – module over*  $(R, +, \cdot)$  if the following conditions hold:

(1)  $(M, \oplus)$  is a hypergroup (not necessarily commutative).

- (2)  $\Theta: M \times R \to P^*(M)$  is such that for all a, b of M and r, s of R, we have:
- (i)  $(a \oplus b) \mathbf{e}r = (a\mathbf{e}r) \oplus (b\mathbf{e}r);$
- (*ii*)  $a\mathbf{e}(r+s) = (a\mathbf{e}r) \oplus (a\mathbf{e}s)$ ;
- (*iii*) ae(r.s) = (aer)es;
- $(iv) \quad ae0 = 0 \text{ and } 0.r = 0.$

Let  $(M, \oplus, \mathbf{e})$  be a *hypernear – module*. A non-empty subset A of M is called a subhypernear-module of  $(M, \oplus, \mathbf{e})$  if  $(A, \oplus, \mathbf{e})$  itself a hypernear-module.

A subhypernear-module A of M is called normal if the relation  $x+A-x \subseteq A$  holds for all  $x \in M$ .

**Example 2.6** Every right hypermodule M over a hyperring R is a right hypernear – module.

**Example 2.7** Let (R,+) be a hypergroup (not necessarily commutative) and let  $(M_0(R),+,\circ)$ be a hypernear-ring of mapping from R into itself (see[8]). Then  $(R,\oplus, e)$  be a hypernear-ring over  $(M_0(R),+,\circ)$ , Where the action  $\mu: R \times M_0(R) \to R$  is given by  $(a, f) \to (a) f$ , for all  $a \in R$  and  $f \in M_0(R)$ .

Let A be a subhypernear-module of an R-hypernear-module M. Then the hyperquotient group  $M/A = \{m+A \mid m \in M\}$  endowed with the following external composition  $M/A \times R \rightarrow M/A, (m+A, r) \rightarrow mr + A$ , is an R-hypernear-module, and M/A is called the quotient R-hypernear-module of M by A.

In what follows, all the hypernear-modules are right hypernear-modules.

**Definition 2.8** A fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of a hypernear-module M over a hypernear-ring R is called a

*fuzzy subhypernear-module of M if the following conditions hold:* 

(i)  $min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \le inf_{z \in x+y}\mu(z)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ ;

(*ii*)  $\mu(x) \le \mu(-x)$ , for all  $x \in M$ ;

(*iii*)  $\mu(x) \le \mu(x.r)$ , for all  $r \in R$  and  $x \in M$ .

A fuzzy subhypernear-module  $\mu$  of M is called *normal* if  $\mu(y) \le \inf_{z \in x+y-x} \mu(z)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ .

If  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subhypernear-module of M, then it is clear that  $\mu(-x) = \mu(x)$ ,  $min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \le inf_{z \in x-y}\mu(z)$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Let M be an R-hypernear-module. Then, for a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of M, the level subset  $\mu_t$  and the strong level subset  $\mu_t^>$  are defined by

 $\mu_t = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) \ge t\}, t \in [0,1]$ 

and

 $\mu_t^{>} = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) > t\}, t \in [0,1].$ 

A fuzzy subhypernear-module can be characterized by using its level subsets and strong level subsets. The following proposition is obvious.

**Proposition 2.9** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of an *R*-hypernear-module *M*. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mu$  is a fuzzy subhypernear-module of M,
- (2) each non-empty strong level subset of  $\mu$  is a subhypernear-module of M,
- (3) each non-empty level subset of  $\mu$  is a subhypernear-module of M.

**Definition 2.10** A mapping  $f: M \to M$  is called a homomorphism if for all  $a, b \in M$  and  $r \in R$ , we have:

f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b), f(a.r) = f(a).r and f(0) = 0

It is clear that a homomorphism f is an isomorphism if f is both injective and surjective and write  $M \cong M'$  if M is isomorphic to M'.

### 3 The isomorphism theorem

In what follows, M is always a hypernear-module over a hypernear-ring R unless state otherwise.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $\mu$  be a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of *M*. Define the following relation on *M*.

 $x \equiv y(mod\mu)$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in (x-y)$  such that  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(0)$ .

now denote the above relation by  $x\mu^*y$ . Then, for this relation, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** The relation  $\mu^*$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* For all  $x, y, z \in M$ , we have

(*i*)  $0 \in x - x$  implies  $x \mu^* x$ , i.e.,  $\mu^*$  is reflexive;

(*ii*) if  $x\mu^*y$  then there exist  $\alpha \in (x-y)$  such that  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(0)$ . Since

 $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(-\alpha)$  and  $-\alpha \in (y-x)$ ,  $y\mu^*x$ . Thus,  $\mu^*$  is symmetric.

(*iii*) To prove that  $\mu^*$  is transitive, let  $x\mu^*y$  and  $y\mu^*z$ . Then there exist then there exist  $\alpha \in (x-y)$  and  $\beta \in (y-z)$  such that  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(\beta) = \mu(0)$ . Therefore,  $x \in \alpha + y$  and  $-z \in y + \beta$ . Hence, we have  $-z + x \subseteq -y + \beta + \alpha + y$ , and so for every  $a \in -z + x$ , there exists  $b \in \beta + \alpha$  such that  $a \in -y + b + y$ . Since  $\mu$  is normal,  $\mu(b) \le \mu(a)$  and  $\mu(0) = \min\{\mu(\alpha), \mu(\beta)\} \le \mu(b)$ . These imply that  $\mu(b) = \mu(0)$ . Consequently, we have  $a \in -z + x$  and  $\mu(a) = \mu(0)$ , and so  $(-z)\mu^*(-x)$ , that is,  $x\mu^*z$ . This completes the proof.

#### **Lemma 3.3** *If* $x\mu^* y$ , then $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ .

*Proof.* if  $x\mu^* y$  then there exist  $\alpha \in x - y$  such that  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(0)$ . Since  $\alpha \in x - y$  implies  $x \in \alpha + y$  and so  $\min\{\mu(\alpha), \mu(y)\} \le \mu(x)$ , that is,  $\mu(y) \le \mu(x)$ . Similarly, we have  $\mu(x) \le \mu(y)$ . Hence  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ .

Let v be an equivalence relation on M. If A, B are non-empty subsets of M, then we write  $A \overline{vB}$  to denote that

 $\forall a \in A, \exists b \in B \text{ such that } a vb \text{ and}$  $\forall b \in B, \exists a \in A \text{ such that } a vb.$ An equivalence relation v on M is called regular if for every  $x, y \in M$ ,  $xvy \Rightarrow x + z\overline{v}y + z$ , for all  $z \in M$ .

#### **Lemma 3.4** $\mu^*$ is a regular relation.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x\mu^*y$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in x-y$  such that  $\mu(\alpha') = \mu(0)$ . Now, for every  $z \in M$  and  $a \in x+z$ , we have  $x \in a-z$  which implies that  $x-y \subseteq a-z-y$  or  $x-y \subseteq a-(y+z)$ . Hence  $\alpha \in a-(y+z)$  and so there exists  $b \in y+z$  such that  $\alpha' \in a-b$ . Thus,  $a\mu^*b$  and so  $(x+z)\overline{\mu}^*(y+z)$ .

Let  $\mu^*[x]$  be the equivalence class containing the element x. Then we denote  $M/\mu$  the set of all equivalence classes, i.e.,  $M/\mu = \{\mu^*[x] | x \in M\}$ . Define the following two operations on  $M/\mu$ :

$$\mu^{*}[x](\mu^{*}[y] = \{\mu^{*}[z] | z \in \mu^{*}[x] + \mu^{*}[y]\};$$
  
$$\mu^{*}[x]^{*}r = \mu^{*}[x.r].$$

Since  $\mu^*$  is regular, we can easily deduce the following theorem: **Theorem 3.5**  $(M/\mu, (,^*)$  *is a hypernear-module.* 

Let  $f: M \to M$  be a map and  $\mu, \lambda$  be the fuzzy subsets of M, M respectively. Then the image  $f(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  is the fuzzy subset of M defined by

 $f(\mu)(y) = \{ll \sup_x f^{-1}(y) \{(x)\} \ if \ f^{-1}(y) \ 0 \ otherwise..$ 

for all  $y \in M^{'}$ . The inverse image  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  is the fuzzy subset of M defined by

 $f^{-1}(\lambda)(x) = \lambda(f(x))$  for all  $x \in M$ . The following two lemmas can be easily proved and hence, we omit the details.

**Lemma 3.6** Let  $f: M \to M'$  be a homomorphism of hypernear-modules and  $\mu$  a (normal) fuzzy subhypernear-module of M. Then  $f(\mu)$  is a (normal) fuzzy subhypernear-module of M'

**Lemma 3.7** Let  $f: M \to M'$  be a homomorphism of hypernear-modules and  $\mu, \lambda$  a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of M, M', respectively. Then, the following statements hold:

(*i*) If f is an epimorphism, then  $f(f^{-1}(\lambda)) = \lambda$ ;

(*ii*) If  $\mu$  is a constant on Ker f, then  $f^{-1}(f(\mu)) = \mu$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a normal subhypernear-module of M. We now denote  $M_{\mu} = \{x \in M \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$ . Clearly,  $M_{\mu}$  is a normal subhypernear-module of M. We now use the normal subhypernear-module of M to establish the isomorphism theorems.

**Theorem 3.8** (First fuzzy isomorphism theorem) Let  $f: M \to M'$  be an epimorphism of hypernear-modules and  $\mu$  a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of M with  $M_{\mu} \supseteq Kerf$ . Then  $M/\mu \cong M'/f(\mu)$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $M/\mu$  and  $M'/f(\mu)$  are hypernear-modules. Now, Define  $\varphi: M/\mu \to M'/f(\mu)$  by  $\varphi(\mu^*[x] = f(\mu)^{a}[f(x)])$ , for all  $x \in M$ . Then  $\varphi$  is clearly well-defined. In fact, if  $\mu^*[x] = \mu^*[y]$ , then  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$  by Lemma 3.3. Since  $M_\mu \supseteq Kerf$ ,  $\mu$  is a constant on *Kerf*. By Lemma 3.7(*ii*), we have  $f^{-1}(f(\mu)) = \mu$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(f(\mu))(x) = f^{-1}(f(\mu))(y)$ . It follows from above the definition that  $f(\mu)(f(x)) = f(\mu)(f(y))$ . Hence we  $f(\mu)^{a}[f(x)] = f(\mu)^{a}[f(y)]$ . Moreover, we have

(i)  $\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mu^*[x](\mu^*[y]) &= \varphi(\{\mu^*[z] \mid z \in \mu^*[x] + \mu^*[y]\}) = \{f(\mu)^*[f(z)] \mid z \in \mu^*[x] + \mu^*[y]\} \\ &= f(\mu)^*(f(\mu^*[f(x)])) + f(\mu)^*(f(\mu^*[f(y)])) = \varphi(\mu^*[x])(\varphi(\mu^*[y]); \end{aligned}$ 

(*ii*)  $\varphi(\mu^*[x]^*r) = \varphi(\mu^*[x.r]) = f(\mu)^*(f(x.r)) = f(\mu)^*(f(x).r) = f(\mu)^*([f(x)])^*r = \varphi(\mu^*[x]^*r.$ (*iii*)  $\varphi(\mu^*[0]) = f(\mu)^{a}[f(0)] = f(\mu)^{a}[0] = 0.$ 

Hence, we have shown that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Clearly  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism. To show that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism, Let  $f(\mu)^{a}[f(x)] = f(\mu)^{a}[f(y)]$ . Then  $f(\mu)(f(x)) = f(\mu)(f(y))$ , that is  $f^{-1}(f(\mu))(x) = f^{-1}(f(\mu))(y)$ , Hence  $\mu(x) = \mu(y)$ , and so  $\mu^{*}[x] = \mu^{*}[y]$ , therefore,  $M/\mu \cong M'/f(\mu)$ .

**Lemma 3.9** Let  $f: M \to M'$  be an epimorphism of hypernear-modules. If  $\lambda$  be a (normal) fuzzy subhypernear-module of M', then  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a (normal) fuzzy subhypernear-module of M.

**Corollary 3.10** Let  $f: M \to M'$  be an epimorphism of hypernear-modules. If  $\lambda$  be a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of M', then  $M/f^{-1}(\lambda) \cong M'/\lambda$ 

*Proof.* First we observe that  $M/f^{-1}(\lambda)$  and  $M'/\lambda$  are hypernear-modules by Lemma 3.9. In order to prove that  $M_{f^{-1}(\lambda)} \supseteq kerf$ , we consider  $x \in Kerf$ . Then we have f(x) = f(0), and hence  $\lambda(f(x)) = \lambda(f(0))$ , i.e.,  $f^{-1}(\lambda)(x) = f^{-1}(\lambda)(0)$ , This leads to  $x \in M_{f^{-1}(\lambda)}$ , and so  $M_{f^{-1}(\lambda)} \supseteq kerf$ . By Theorem 3.8, we have  $M/f^{-1}(\lambda) \cong M'/\lambda$ .

Now, we proceed to establish the Second and Third Fuzzy Isomorphism Theorems. The following two lemmas are obvious.

**Lemma 3.11** Let A be a normal subhypernear-module of M and  $\mu$  a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of M. Then the following statements hold:

(i) If  $\mu$  is restricted to A, then  $\mu$  is a normal fuzzy subhypernear-module of A;

(*ii*)  $A/\mu$  is a normal subhypernear-module of  $M/\mu$ .

**Lemma 3.12** If  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are any two normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of *M*, then so is  $\mu \cap \lambda$ .

We now prove our second fuzzy isomorphism theorem:

**Theorem 3.13** (Second fuzzy isomorphism theorem) If  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are any two normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of M with  $\mu(0) = \lambda(0)$ , then,

$$M_{\mu}/(\mu \cap \lambda) \cong (M_{\mu} + M_{\lambda})/\lambda.$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu \cap \lambda$  are two normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of  $M_{\mu} + M_{\lambda}$  and  $M_{\mu}$ , respectively. Now, it is clear that  $(M_{\mu} + M_{\lambda})/\lambda$  and  $M_{\mu}/(\mu \cap \lambda)$  are both hypernear-modules. Define  $\psi: M_{\mu} \to (M_{\mu} + M_{\lambda})/\lambda$  by  $\psi(x) = \lambda^{a}[x]$ , for all  $x \in M_{\mu}$ . Then, it is easy to check that  $\psi$  is an epimorphism. To show that  $Ker \psi = M_{\mu \cap \lambda}$ . we consider the following equalities:

$$\begin{split} & Ker \, \psi = \{ x \in M_{\mu} \mid \psi(x) = \lambda^{\hat{a}}[0] \} = \{ x \in M_{\mu} \mid \lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] = \lambda^{\hat{a}}[0] \} = \\ & \{ x \in M_{\mu} \mid \lambda(x) = \lambda(0) \} = \{ x \in M_{\mu} \mid \mu(x) = \mu(0) = \lambda(0) = \lambda(x) \} \\ & = \{ x \in M_{\mu} \mid x \in M_{\lambda} \} = M_{\mu \cap \lambda} \\ & \text{Therefore, } M_{\mu} / (\mu \cap \lambda) \cong (M_{\mu} + M_{\lambda}) / \lambda \,. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.14** (Third fuzzy isomorphism theorem) Let  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are any two normal fuzzy subhypernear-modules of M with  $\mu \ge \lambda$  and  $\mu(0) = \lambda(0)$ . then,

### $(M/\lambda)/(M_{\mu}/\lambda) \cong M/\mu.$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.11(*ii*), it is known that  $M_{\mu}/\lambda$  is a normal subhypernear-module of  $M/\lambda$ . Define  $f: M/\lambda \to M/\mu$  by  $f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x]) = \mu^{\hat{a}}[x]$ , for all  $x \in M$ . If  $\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] = \lambda^{\hat{a}}[y]$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ , then there exists  $\alpha \in x - y$  such that  $\lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(0)$ . Since  $\mu \ge \lambda$  and  $\mu(0) = \lambda(0)$ , we have  $\mu(\alpha) \ge \lambda(\alpha) = \lambda(0) = \mu(0)$ . This implies that  $\mu(\alpha) = \mu(0)$ , and so  $\mu^{a}[x] = \mu^{a}[y]$ . Hence, f is well-defined. Moreover, we have

(i) 
$$f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x](\lambda^{\hat{a}}[y])$$
  
=  $f(\{\lambda^{\hat{a}}[z] | z \in \lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] + \lambda^{\hat{a}}[y]\})$   
=  $\{\mu^{\hat{a}}[z] | z \in \lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] + \lambda^{\hat{a}}[y]\}$   
=  $\mu^{\hat{a}}[\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x]](\mu^{\hat{a}}[\lambda^{\hat{a}}[y]])$   
=  $\mu^{\hat{a}}[x](\mu^{\hat{a}}[y])$   
=  $f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x])(f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[y]))$   
(ii)  $f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x]^*r) = f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x.r]) = \mu^{\hat{a}}[x.r] = \mu^{\hat{a}}[x]^*r = f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x])^*r,$   
(iii)  $f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[0]) = \mu^{\hat{a}}[0] = 0.$ 

Hence, f is a homomorphism. Clearly, f is an epimorphism. Now we show that  $Kerf = M_{\mu}/\lambda$ . In fact

$$\begin{aligned} &kerf = \{\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] \in M/\lambda \mid f(\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x]) = \mu^{\hat{a}}[0]\} \\ &= \{\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] \in M/\lambda \mid \mu^{\hat{a}}[x] = \mu^{\hat{a}}[0]\} \\ &= \{\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] \in M/\lambda \mid \mu[x] = \mu[0]\} \\ &= \{\lambda^{\hat{a}}[x] \in M/\lambda \mid x \in M_{\mu}\} \\ &= M_{\mu}/\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(M/\lambda)/(M_{\mu}/\lambda) \cong M/\mu$ .

### References

- [1] R. Ameri, On categories of hypergroups and hypermodules. J Discrete Math Sci Cryptogr 6(2-3): 121-132 (2003).
- [2] R. Ameri, E. Hendoukolaii, Fuzzy Hypernear-rings, to appear.
- [3] R. Ameri, E. Hendoukolaii, Fuzzy Hypernear-modules, to appear.
- [4] P. Corsini, Fuzzy sets, join spaces and factor spaces, PU.M.A. 11 (3) 439 446 (2000).
- [5] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Fuzzy sets and join spaces associated with rough sets, Circ. Mat. Palermo 51 527 536 (2002).

[6] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Join spaces associated with fuzzy sets, J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. 20 (1 4) 293 303 (**1995**).

[7] P. Corsini, Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory, second ed., Aviani Editor, (1993).

[8] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructures Theory, Advanced in Mathematics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2003).

[9] P. Corcini, I. Tofan, On fuzzy hypergroups, P.U.M.A. (8), 29-37 (1997).

[10] V. Dasic, Hypernear-rings, in: Proc. Fourth Int. Congress on AHA, World Scientific, 1991, pp. 75—85 (**1990**).

- [11] B. Davvaz, Fuzzy Hv-groups, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 101, 191 195 (1999).
- [12] B. Davvaz, Fuzzy Hv submodules, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 117, 477 484 (2001).
- [13] V.M. Gontineac, On hypernear-rings and H-hypergroups, in: Proc. Fifth Int. Congress on

AHA, Hadronic Press Inc., USA, (1994), 171-179 (1993).

[14] E. Hendukolaie, On fuzzy homomorphisms between Hypernear-rings, The journal of mathematics and computer science, vol.2, num.4, 702-716 (**2011**).

[15] E. Hendukolaie, A.A. Ghasemi, G. Ghasemi, On fuzzy isomorphisms theorems of  $\Gamma$  -Hypernear-rings, to appear.

[20] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, n-Hypergroups and binary relations, European J. Combin. 29, 1207 1218 (**2008**).

[17] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, Fuzzy hyperrings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2008.11.007 (2009).

[18] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, Fuzzy hypermodules, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 57, 466 475 (2009).

[19] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, Join n-spaces and lattices, Multiple Valued Logic Soft Comput. 15, accepted for publication (2008).

[20] F. Marty, Sur une généralisation de la notion de group, in: 4th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, pp. 45 49 (**1934**).

[21] J.N. Mordeson, M.S. Malik, Fuzzy Commutative Algebra, Word Publ., (1998).

[22] W. Prenowitz, J. Jantosciak, Join Geometries, Springer UTM, (1979).

[23] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzygroups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35, 512-517 (1971).

[24] M.K. Sen, R. Ameri, G. Chowdhury, Fuzzy hypersemigroups, Soft Comput., doi: http://10.1007/s00500-007-0257-9 (2007).

[25] T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and Their Representations, Hadronic Press Inc., Palm Harber, p. 115 (**1994**).

[26] T. Vougiouklis, Fundamental relations in hyperstructures, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. 42, 113 118 (**1999**).

[27] Zhan J, Davvaz B, Shum KP A new view of fuzzy hyper-modules. Acta Math Sin Engl Ser 23(4) (2007b).

[28] Jianming Zhan · Bijan Davvaz · K. P. Shum, On fuzzy isomorphism theorems of hypermodules, Soft Comput, 11:1053-1057 DOI 10.1007/s00500-007-0152-4 (**2007**).

[29] M.M. Zahedi, R. Ameri, On the prime, primary and maximal subhypermodules, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. 5, 61-80 (**1999**).