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#### Abstract

One of discussions in multivariable analysis is defining the factor and main vectors by calculating eigenvalue. In this paper we deal with an unbiased estimator of eigenvector and as a result we define eigenvalues. The purpose was introducing a new statistical method that is different from other numerical methods, which it defines the eigenvalue matrix. On the other hand, the efficiency of this method is up when the mass and dimension of matrix are high. Therefore, this is a low cast and efficient method in calculation. This paper covers some background of data compression and how Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) and principal component analysis (PCA) has been and can be used for calculating eigenvalue.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors and determining the principal components with Monte Carlo method. On the other hand, the Monte Carlo method is illustrated on the
base of repetition systems, so in this paper the answer of liner equations system $x=A x+f$ with $\|A\|<1$ is determined with Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC). At first, we define an unbiased estimator for defining x factor and analysis in some different algorithms. Suppose that there is a linear equations system $\mathrm{Bx}=\mathrm{f}$ which B is non-singular matrix $n \times n$ and the vector $f^{t}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ is known. Now, the vector x is estimated by Monte Carlo (MC). At first, we define $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}$ which I is sameness matrix from degree. Therefore, the system $\mathrm{Bx}=\mathrm{f}$ change to $B x=f \Leftrightarrow(I-A) x=f \Leftrightarrow x=A x+f$ and we have $\|A\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|$
Theorem: The estimator $T_{k}(h)=\frac{h_{i_{0}}}{p_{i_{0}}} \sum_{m=0}^{k} w_{m} f_{i_{m}}$ is an unbiased estimator for $\left.<h, x^{(k+1)}\right\rangle$.

## 2. Principal component analysis (PCA)

Analyze of principal component is depends on variance-covariance structure with helping some liner combinations. The main purposes are 1.data mass reduction 2.infrence of data.

The principal components are the special liner combinations of p random variable $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}$ from algebraic view. From geometric view, these liner combinations show the new coordinate system which is gained from circulate of primer system with $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$ as coordinate vectors.
Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a covariance matrix of random vector of $\dot{x}=\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$. Suppose that $\Sigma$ has couple eigenvalue-eigenvector $\left(\lambda_{1}, e_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, e_{2}\right), \ldots\left(\lambda_{p}, e_{p}\right)$
hcihw $\quad \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ principal
htiw i tnenopmoc ni

$$
Y_{i}=e_{i}^{\prime} X=e_{1 i} X_{1}+e_{2 i} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{\rho i} X_{\rho}
$$

$$
i=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

Now, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=e_{i}^{\prime} \sum e_{i}=\lambda_{i} & i=1,2, \ldots, p \\
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i} \cdot Y_{k}\right)=e_{i}^{\prime} \sum e_{k}=0 & i \neq k
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { we know; } \max _{l \neq 0} \frac{l^{\prime} \sum l}{l^{\prime} l}=\lambda_{1} \text { (it is reachable when } l=e_{1} \text { ), nevertheless by }
$$ normaling the eigenvalue then $e_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}=1$

Therefore $\max _{l \neq 0} \frac{l^{\prime} \sum l}{l^{\prime} l}=\lambda_{1}=\frac{e_{1}^{\prime} \sum e_{1}}{e_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}}=e_{1}^{\prime} \sum e_{1}=\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{1}\right)$

## Result 2-1

suppose that $\dot{x}=\left[\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}\right]$ has covariance matrix $\Sigma$ with couple eigenvalue-vector value $\left(\lambda_{1}, e_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, e_{2}\right), \ldots\left(\lambda_{p}, e_{p}\right), \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ niam era neht tnenopmoc

$$
\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}+\ldots \sigma_{p p}=\sum_{i=1}^{P} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{P}=\sum_{i=1}^{P} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)
$$

Finally, the rate of the final variance of principal component $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ is

$$
=\frac{\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p}} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

For large $p$ the most of the final population variance(e.g. $\% 80-90$ ) could attribution to 3 first characters therefore, these characters could replace by p primer variable without losing so many information.

## Result 2-2

Another common way for the rate of different characters [Cotel 1966] is ' slope point plot' (it means $\lambda$ versus $i$ is plotted). Most of the time this plot shows that where the large special values sre stopped and where the small special values are started. Thus, if the variance-covariance matrix is $\Sigma$ then we will use bellow ratio:
The rate of total variance $=\frac{\hat{\lambda}_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \hat{\lambda}_{j}}$

## Result2-3

The Bartlet test could show the number of including characters. Special structure $\operatorname{always} \operatorname{Cov}\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)=\sqrt{\sigma_{i i} \sigma_{k k} \rho}$ or $\operatorname{corr}\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)=\rho$ for each $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{k}$ is the sagittal structure that eigenvalues $\Sigma$ are not different and previous results do not have application. The test is as follow

$$
H_{\mathrm{o}}: \rho=\rho_{\mathrm{o}(p \times p)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho \\
\rho & \rho & \cdots & \rho \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\rho & \rho & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad H_{1}: \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { о }
\end{gathered}
$$

The test of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ v.s. $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ could on the base of likelihood ratio statistics. The test $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ v.s. could stablish on the base of maximum likelihood ratio statistics. In this method the quantities are

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\bar{r}_{k}=\frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{P} r_{i k} & k=1,2, \ldots, p \\
i \neq k & \hat{\gamma}=\frac{(P-1)^{2}\left[1-(1-\bar{r})^{2}\right]}{P-(p-2)(1-\bar{r})^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

$\overline{r_{k}}$ the average of non-diagonal in Colom (or row) $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ the R and $\bar{r}$ is the average of all non-diagonal members. The approximately test of large samples in level $\alpha$ is rejected $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ when

$$
T=\frac{(n-1)}{(1-\bar{r})^{2}}\left[\sum_{i<k} \sum\left(r_{i k}-\bar{r}\right)^{2}-\hat{\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{P}\left(\bar{r}_{k}-\bar{r}\right)^{2}\right]>\chi_{\frac{(p+1)(p-2)}{2}}^{2}(\alpha)
$$

## 2. Monte Carlo Method and calculating eigenvalues

Calculating of eigenvalue of one matrix is one of the important parameters. Suppose that
 esoppuS .dohtem noitateper olraC etnoM yb eulavnegie fo gnitaulave si $A f=\lambda_{(A)} f$ dna $a_{i j}=a_{j i} \quad, \quad \mathrm{n}, \ldots,, 1,2=\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{i}$
xirtam cirtemys si A snaem tI

$$
\lambda_{\min }=\lambda_{n}<\lambda_{n-1} \leq \lambda_{n-2} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{\max } \text { then }
$$

$$
\lambda_{\max }(\boldsymbol{A})=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{<\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{A}^{i} \boldsymbol{f}>}{<\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{A}^{i-1} \boldsymbol{f}>} \text {. A xirtam eulavnegie tsegib eht etamitse ew }
$$ niahc vokram eht ,tsrif tA $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ si htap modnar wolleb htiw $\{\mathrm{n}, \ldots, 1,2\}=\mathrm{s}$ ecaps sutats htiw redisnoc $k_{0} \rightarrow k_{1} \rightarrow k_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow k_{i}$. we define $\boldsymbol{h}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{h}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right\rangle$ dna

$x=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle_{\text {hcihw }}$
$<\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{x}>=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{h}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}+\boldsymbol{h}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}+\cdots+\boldsymbol{h}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ then we define sequences
$\boldsymbol{P}=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=, \text { dna }}^{n} \boldsymbol{P}=\left\{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ hcihw
$\left\{\begin{array}{ll}p_{i}>0 \\ p_{i}=0\end{array} \quad\right.$ if $\quad \begin{array}{l}h_{i} \neq 0 P_{r}\left\{k_{0}=\alpha\right\}=p_{\alpha} \\ h_{i}=0 P_{r}\left\{k_{j}=\beta \mid k_{j-1}=\alpha\right\}=p_{\alpha \beta}\end{array}$
sa senifed $n, \ldots, 1,2=j$ elbairav modnar eht , lla retfa

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{W}_{j}= \begin{cases}\frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{k_{0}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{k_{0}}} & j=0 \\
\frac{\boldsymbol{h}_{k_{0}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{k_{0}}} \cdot \frac{a_{k_{0} k_{1}} \ldots \boldsymbol{a}_{k_{j-1} k_{j}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{k_{0} k_{1}} \ldots \boldsymbol{p}_{k_{j-1} k_{j}}} & j \geq 1\end{cases} \\
& \boldsymbol{W}_{j}=\boldsymbol{W}_{j-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{k_{j-1} k_{j}}}{\boldsymbol{P}_{k_{j-1} k_{j}}} j=1,2, \ldots, i
\end{aligned}
$$

neht hguone egral si i fi snoitpmussa evoba gniredisnoc yB :meroehT

$$
\frac{E\left\{w_{i} f_{k_{i}}\right\}}{E\left\{w_{i-1} f_{k_{i-1}}\right\}} \approx \lambda_{\max }(A)
$$

Example: Data of bellow table shows the information of 5 social economical variables for 14 zones of Madison Wisconsin:

Table 1: The census data

| emoh fo egarevA <br> etar <br> $(\$ 10.000)$ | tnemyolpmE <br> yhtlaeh <br> eitilicafs <br> (tnecrep) | latoT <br> tnemyolpme | fo naideM <br> ga loohcse | noitaluppop latoT <br> (sdnasuoht) | enoz <br> 2.91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.62 | 0.75 | 0.597 | 13.1 | 1.523 | 2 |
| 1.72 | .11 | 1.237 | 12.7 | 2.599 | 3 |
| 3.02 | 0.81 | 1.649 | 15.2 | 4.009 | 4 |
| 2.22 | 2.50 | 2.312 | 14.7 | 4.687 | 5 |
| 2.36 | 4.51 | 3.648 | 15.6 | 8.04 | 6 |
| 1.97 | 1.03 | 1.244 | 13.3 | 2.766 | 7 |
| 1.85 | 2.39 | 2.618 | 17.0 | 6.538 | 8 |
| 2.01 | 5.52 | 3.147 | 12.9 | 6.451 | 9 |
| 1.82 | 2.18 | 1.606 | 12.2 | 3.314 | 10 |
| 1.80 | 2.83 | 2.119 | 13.0 | 3.777 | 11 |
| 4.25 | 0.84 | 0.798 | 13.8 | 1.530 | 12 |
| 2.64 | 1.75 | 1.336 | 13.6 | 2.768 | 13 |
| 3.17 | 1.91 | 2.763 | 14.9 | 6.585 | 14 |

The Bellow statistics are gained by these data:

$$
\bar{X}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
4.32 & 14.01 & 1.95 & 2.17 & 2.45
\end{array}\right]
$$

$S=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}4.308 & 1.683 & 1.803 & 2.155 & -0.253 \\ 1.683 & 1.768 & 0.588 & 0.177 & 0.176 \\ 1.803 & 0.588 & 0.801 & 1.065 & -0.158 \\ -2.155 & 0.177 & 1.065 & 1.970 & -0.357 \\ -0.253 & 0.176 & -0.158 & -0.357 & 0.504\end{array}\right]$

The follow table is:

Table 2: The principal component coefficients (numbers in parentheses are the correlation coefficients)

| $\hat{e}_{5}$ | $\hat{e}_{4}$ | $\hat{e}_{3}$ | $\hat{e}_{2}\left(r_{\hat{y}_{2}, x_{k}}\right)$ | $\hat{e}_{1}\left(r_{\hat{y}_{1}, x_{k}}\right)$ | elbairav |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.302 | 0.542 | 0.004 | $-0.071(-0.04)$ | $0.781(0.99)$ | noitalupop latoT |
| -0.010 | -.0 .545 | -0.162 | $-0.264(-0.76)$ | $03.6(0.61)$ | ega loohcs fo naideM |
| 0.937 | 0.05 | 0.015 | $0.083(0.12)$ | $0.334(0.98)$ | Total <br> employments |
| -0.173 | -0.636 | 0.220 | $0.579(0.55)$ | $0.426(0.80)$ | yhtlaeH tnemyolpmE <br> seitilicaf |
| -0.024 | -0.051 | 0.962 | $-0.262(-0.42)$ | $-054(-0.20)$ | eulav emoh fo naideM |
| 0.014 | 0.230 | 0.390 | 1.786 | 6.639 | ecnairav $\left(\hat{\lambda}_{i}\right)$ |
| 100 | 99.9 | 97.4 | 93.2 | 74.1 | ecnairav latoT <br> tnecrep evitalumuc |

The first principal component expresses $\% 74.4$ variance of total sample. The first couple of principal component expresses $\% 93.3$ of total variance. As a result, good changes are obvious with 2 principal component and one reduction of 14 observation on the 5 variables on principal component is much more better.

Numerical comparison of statistical methods and numerical methods versus eigenvalue:

Table 3: Comparison of the eigenvalues calculated by the Monte Carlo method and Matlab software

| $\lambda_{m t l b}$ | $\hat{\lambda}_{m c m c}$ | $\left\|\lambda_{\text {mtlb }}-\hat{\lambda}_{m c m c}\right\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.639 | 6.8791 | 0.2401 |
| 1.786 | 1.8077 | 0.0217 |
| 0.390 | 0.3881 | 0.0019 |
| 0.230 | 0.2311 | 0.0011 |
| 0.014 | 0.0123 | 0.0017 |

## 4. Conclusion

The result of statistical methods and numerical methods in this table shows that they have not obvious difference in eigenvalue estimation. Estimating of eigenvalue even by not large enough matrix is not enough efficient. On the other hand, calculating det $(\lambda I-A)=0$ has large error and take large mass of memory and long time calculation. However, the statistical methods in high dimensions are much more efficient than numerical methods.
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