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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we investigate starlikeness of certain operators which are defined here by means of convolution. Also, by using the technique of finite Blaschke product (see [3,8]), we prove the sharpness of those results which were obtained earlier by authors in [1].
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## 1. Introduction

Let $H=H(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of all analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{\mathrm{z} \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<l\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ denote the subclass of $H$ containing the functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+a_{n+2} z^{n+2}+\cdots ;(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

with $\mathcal{A}_{l}=\mathcal{A}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike if it is univalent and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is starlike domain (withrespect to the origin). The class of starlike functions is denoted by $S^{*}$. A special subclass of $S^{*}$ is the class of starlike functions of order $\gamma$ with $0 \leq \gamma<1$, given by

$$
S^{*}(\gamma)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\gamma, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

It is well known that $S^{*}(0)=S^{*}$,(see [2]).
For functions $f, g \in H$ given by

$$
f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}
$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution)of $f, g$ in $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}=(g * f)(z)
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0<\alpha \leq 1,0 \leq \mu<\alpha n$ and $\lambda>0$ let $U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ be defined as follows

$$
U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}_{n}:\left|(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\lambda, z \in \mathbb{D}\right\}
$$

with $U_{l}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)=U(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$. The special case of this class has been studied in [5].
For $f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ we define the operator $G(z)$ by

$$
G(z)=z\left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}
$$

where $a, c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0,-1,-2, \cdots,\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(a ; c ; z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} z^{k},(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(a)_{k}=a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots(a+k-1)$ and $(a)_{0}=1$. Also, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z)=z\left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z)}\right)^{\frac{l}{\mu}},\left(f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m<1, \gamma \neq 0$, Re $\gamma \geq 0,\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(m, \gamma, z)=1+(1-m) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k \gamma+1},(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [1] certain sufficient conditions in terms of $\alpha, \mu, \lambda, \gamma$ and $n$ were obtained, so that functions in $U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ belong to $S^{*}(\gamma)$. Similarly, other conditions for these parameters were obtained such that the analytic functions $G(z)$ and $H(z)$ be in $S^{*}$. In all these cases, the sharpness part was not proved. In this paper, by using the same techniques as in [8] we prove the sharpness part. Also, we give another proof for the starlikeness of $G(z)$ and $H(z)$.In order to prove our results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. ([3])Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$. There exists a sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ of finite Blaschke products such that $b_{n}(0)=0, b_{n}(1)=e^{i \varphi}$ and $b_{n}(z) \longrightarrow e^{i \psi} z$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$.

Here a finite Blaschke product is a function as the type

$$
b(z)=e^{i \gamma} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z-a_{k}}{l-\overline{a_{k}} z},\left(\left\{a_{k}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

Lemma 1.2. ([7]) If $f$ and $g$ are analytic and $F$ and $G$ are convex (univalent) such that $f<F$ and $g \prec G$, then $f * g \prec F * G$, where $<$ denotes the usual subordination, (see [2]).

Lemma 1.3. ([6]) Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c<1$ and $F_{c}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-c}{n-c} z^{n-1} \in H$. Then

$$
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|f(z) * F_{c}(z)\right| \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}|f(z)|,(f \in H) .
$$

## 2.Main Results

We begin with the following lemma that will be used in the next theorems.
Lemma 2.1. For fixed real numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0<\alpha \leq 1,0<\mu<\alpha n$ and $\lambda>0$ let $f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$. There exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ where $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=$ $w^{(n-1)}(0)=0$, such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+\lambda w(z)}{1-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} d t}+\alpha-1\right),(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Proof. For $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$, we can write

$$
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}=\frac{1}{1+(\mu+l) a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots}=1-(\mu+1) a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots
$$

So, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z) \\
=\left(1-(\mu+1) a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots\right)\left(1+(1+\alpha n) a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots\right) \\
=1+(\alpha n-\mu) a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots \\
=1+\lambda\left(\frac{\alpha n-\mu}{\lambda} a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=$ $w^{(n-1)}(0)=0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)=1+\lambda w(z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p(z)=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}$. Then $p(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and $p(0)=1$. Differentiating $p(z)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)-\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z p^{\prime}(z)=1+\lambda w(z) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the first order differential equation (6) we conclude that

$$
p(z)=1-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} d t
$$

or equally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_{O}^{l w(t z)}} \frac{{ }_{t}^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+l}}{} d t . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5) and (7) we obtain the required result. This completes the proof.
Now, we restate the sharp version ofTheorem 2.1 in [1] and prove the sharpness part.

Theorem 2.2 [1. Theorem 2.1]. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \frac{n+1}{2 n}<\alpha \leq 1$ and $n(1-\alpha)<\mu<\alpha n$. If $f \in$ $U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$, then $f \in S^{*}(\gamma)$ for $0<\lambda \leq \lambda(\alpha, \mu, n, \gamma)$, where

$$
\lambda(\alpha, \mu, n, \gamma)= \begin{cases}\frac{(\alpha n-\mu) \sqrt{2 \alpha(1-\gamma)-1}}{\sqrt{(\alpha n-\mu)^{2}+\mu^{2}(2 \alpha(1-\gamma)-1)}} ; & 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\mu-n(1-\alpha)}{\mu(1+n)} \\ \frac{(\alpha n-\mu)(1-\gamma)}{n+\mu(\gamma-1)} ; & \frac{\mu-n(1-\alpha)}{\mu(1+n)}<\gamma<1\end{cases}
$$

also, all bounds for $\lambda$ are the best possible.
Proof. Suppose that $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|w(z)|<1$ and $w(0)=w^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=w^{(n-1)}(0)=0$ such that

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1+\lambda w(z)}{1-\frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{l} \frac{1(t z)}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}+l}} d t}+\alpha-1\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\frac{1}{l-\gamma}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\gamma\right)=\frac{((\alpha-l)-\alpha \gamma)\left(\alpha-\lambda \mu \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+l}} d t\right)+\alpha(l+\lambda w(z))}{\alpha(l-\gamma)\left(\alpha-\lambda \mu \int_{0}^{1} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+l}} d t\right)}
$$

Now, we have to show that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>\gamma$. To do this, according to a well-known result in [6]and the last equation, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\frac{((\alpha-l)-\alpha \gamma)\left(\alpha-\lambda \mu \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}+1} d t\right)+\alpha(l+\lambda w(z))}{\alpha(l-\gamma)\left(\alpha-\lambda \mu \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\alpha}+l} d t\right)} \neq-i T,(T \in \mathbb{R})
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\lambda\left(\frac{w(z)+\mu\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+l-\alpha}{\alpha}-T(l-\gamma) i\right) \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+l}} d t}{\alpha(l-\gamma)(l+T i)}\right) \neq-1,(T \in \mathbb{R}) .
$$

Let

$$
M=\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in B_{n}, T \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\frac{w(z)+\mu\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+l-\alpha}{\alpha}-T(l-\gamma) i\right) \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{\frac{\underline{\alpha}}{\alpha}+l} d t}{\alpha(l-\gamma)(l+T i)}\right|,
$$

with

$$
B_{n}=\left\{w \in H(\mathbb{D}):|w(z)|<1 \text { and } w^{(k)}(0)=0, k=0,1,2, \cdots, n-1\right\} .
$$

Then $f \in S^{*}(\gamma)$ if $\lambda M \leq 1$. This shows that it is sufficient to find $M$. By the general Schwarz lemma we have $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{n}$, so we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \leq \sup _{T \in \mathbb{R}}\left\{\frac{1+\frac{\mu \alpha}{n \alpha-\mu} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} T^{2}}}{\alpha(1-\gamma) \sqrt{1+T^{2}}}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, in the sequel, we prove that equality holds in the above relation, hence the sharpness is established. By Lemma 1.1 given $\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a sequence of finite Blaschke products $\left\{w_{k}(z)\right\}$ such that $w_{k}(1)=e^{i \psi}$ and $w_{k}(z) \longrightarrow e^{i \varphi} z^{n}$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, we have the following relation for each $T \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in B_{n}}\left|\frac{\left\lvert\, w(z)+\mu\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+1-\alpha}{\alpha}-T(1-\gamma) i\right) \int_{0}^{l} \frac{w(t z)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} d t\right.}{\alpha(1-\gamma)(1+T i)}\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left|e^{i \psi}+\frac{\mu \alpha}{n \alpha-\mu} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+1-\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{2}+(1-\gamma)^{2} T^{2}} e^{i\left(\varphi+\theta_{l}\right)}\right|}{\alpha(1-\gamma) \sqrt{1+T^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{l}=\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma+1-\alpha}{\alpha}-T(1-\gamma) i\right)$. Fixing $\varphi$ and choosing $\psi=\varphi+\theta_{l}$, we get the required equality in (8). Thus the bound for $M$ is sharp as a function of $T$.

By taking $\gamma=0$ in Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following sharp result.
Corollary 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \frac{n+1}{2 n}<\alpha \leq 1$ and $n(1-\alpha)<\mu<\alpha n$. If $f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$, then $f \in S^{*}$ for $0<\lambda \leq \frac{(\alpha n-\mu) \sqrt{2 \alpha-1}}{\sqrt{(\alpha n-\mu)^{2}+\mu^{2}(2 \alpha-1)}}$, and the bound for $\lambda$ is sharp.

Theorem 2.4.Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \frac{n+1}{2 n}<\alpha \leq 1$ and $n(1-\alpha)<\mu<\alpha n$. Also, let $\varphi(z)=1+b_{1} z+$ $b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ with $b_{n} \neq 0$ be convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{D}$. If $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ and $\Phi(a ; c ; z)$ defined by (2) satisfy the conditions

$$
\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z) \neq 0, \Phi(a ; c ; z) \prec \varphi(z),(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

then the function $G(z)$ defined by (1) has the following properties:

- $G \in U_{n}\left(\alpha, \mu, \lambda\left|b_{n}\right|\right)$,
- $G \in S^{*}$ for $0<\lambda \leq \frac{(\alpha n-\mu) \sqrt{2 \alpha-1}}{\left|b_{n}\right| \sqrt{(\alpha n-\mu)^{2}+\mu^{2}(2 \alpha-1)}}$.

In the case 2 the bound for $\lambda$ is sharp.
Proof. The definition of $G$ shows that

$$
\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)
$$

Also, a simple calculation gives

$$
\frac{z}{\mu}\left(\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} G^{\prime}(z)
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
(l-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} G^{\prime}(z)=\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}-\frac{\alpha z}{\mu}\left(\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)^{\prime} \\
=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)-\frac{\alpha}{\mu}\left(\left\{z\left(\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)\right\} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)\right) \\
=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)-\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z)+\alpha\left(\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)\right) * \Phi(a ; c ; z) \\
=\left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)\right) * \Phi(a ; c ; z) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $1+\lambda z^{n}$ and $\varphi(z)$ are convex in $\mathbb{D}$ and by the assumption (also, see relation (5))

$$
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\lambda z^{n}, \Phi(a ; c ; z) \prec \varphi(z)
$$

so, by Lemma 1.2, we deduce that

$$
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} G^{\prime}(z) \prec 1+\lambda b_{n} z^{n}
$$

Case 1 now follows from the last subordination, while 2 is a simple consequence of Corollary 2.3.

It is well-known that if $a>0$ and $c \geq \max \{2, a\}$, then $\Phi(a ; c ; z)$ defined by (2) is convex in $\mathbb{D}$, (see [4]). So, if we take $\varphi(z)=\Phi(a ; c ; z)$ in Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following sharp result.

Corollary 2.5.Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, a>0$ and $c \geq \max \{2, a\}$. Also, let $\frac{n+1}{2 n}<\alpha \leq 1$ and $n(1-\alpha)<$ $\mu<\alpha n$.If $f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ and $\Phi(a ; c ; z)$ defined by (2) satisfy the condition $\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a ; c ; z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then the function $G(z)$ defined by (1) has the following properties:

- $G \in U_{n}\left(\alpha, \mu, \frac{\lambda\left|(a)_{n}\right|}{\left|(c)_{n}\right|}\right)$,
- $G \in S^{*}$ where $0<\lambda \leq \frac{\left|(c)_{n}\right|(\alpha n-\mu) \sqrt{2 \alpha-1}}{\left|(a)_{n}\right| \sqrt{(\alpha n-\mu)^{2}+\mu^{2}(2 \alpha-1)}}$.

Also, the bound for $\lambda$ is sharp.
Theorem 2.6. For $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2, \frac{n+1}{2 n}<\alpha \leq 1$ and $n(1-\alpha)<\mu<\alpha n \quad \operatorname{let} f \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$. If $m<1$, Re $\gamma>0$ and $\Psi(m, \gamma, z)$ defined by (4) satisfy the condition $\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then then the function $H(z)$ given by (3) has the following properties:

- $\quad H \in U_{n}(\alpha, \mu, \lambda(1-m))$,
- $H \in S^{*}$ where $0<\lambda \leq \frac{(\alpha n-\mu) \sqrt{2 \alpha-1}}{(1-m) \sqrt{(\alpha n-\mu)^{2}+\mu^{2}(2 \alpha-1)}}$.

In the case 2 the bound for $\lambda$ is best possible.
Proof. Using the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} H^{\prime}(z)-1= \\
=\left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)\right) * \Psi(m, \gamma, z)-1 \\
=\left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right) *\left(1+(1-m) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k \gamma+1}\right) \\
=(1-m)\left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right) *\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 / \gamma) z^{k-1}}{k-(1-l / \gamma)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.3 with $c=1-\frac{1}{\gamma}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} H^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \\
\leq(1-m) \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left|(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}+\alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \\
\leq(1-m) \lambda .
\end{gathered}
$$

This proves the case 1 . Case 2 follows simply from Corollary 2.3 .
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