

# STARLIKENESS AND SHARPNESS RESULTS OF SPECIAL SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

## P. ARJOMANDINIA, A. EBADIAN AND R. AGHALARY

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran

p.arjomandinia@urmia.ac.ir a.ebadian@urmia.ac.ir r.aghalary@urmia.ac.ir

Article history: Received October 2013 Accepted December 2013 Available online December 2013

#### Abstract

In the present paper, we investigate starlikeness of certain operators which are defined here by means of convolution. Also, by using the technique of finite Blaschke product (see [3,8]), we prove the sharpness of those results which were obtained earlier by authors in [1].

Keywords and phrases: Starlike function, sharpness, Blaschke product.

### **1. Introduction**

Let  $H = H(\mathbb{D})$  be the class of all analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  denote the subclass of H containing the functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + a_{n+2}z^{n+2} + \dots; (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{A}$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be starlike if it is univalent and  $f(\mathbb{D})$  is starlike domain (withrespect to the origin). The class of starlike functions is denoted by  $S^*$ . A special subclass of  $S^*$  is the class of starlike functions of order  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , given by

$$S^*(\gamma) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \gamma, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

It is well known that  $S^*(0) = S^*$ , (see [2]).

For functions  $f, g \in H$  given by

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f, g in  $\mathbb{D}$  is defined by

P. Arjomandinia, A. Ebadian, R. Aghalary/ J. Math. Computer Sci. 9 (2014), 283-290

$$(f * g)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $0 \le \mu < \alpha n$  and  $\lambda > 0$  let  $U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$  be defined as follows

$$U_n(\alpha,\mu,\lambda) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}_n : \left| (1-\alpha) \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu} + \alpha \left( \frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) - 1 \right| < \lambda, z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}$$

with  $U_1(\alpha, \mu, \lambda) = U(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ . The special case of this class has been studied in [5]. For  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$  we define the operator G(z) by

$$G(z) = z \left( \frac{l}{\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a; c; z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} (1)$$

where  $a, c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots, \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a; c; z) \neq 0$  and

$$\Phi(a;c;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^k, \ (z \in \mathbb{D})$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

with  $(a)_k = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+k-1)$  and  $(a)_0 = 1$ . Also, let

$$H(z) = z \left( \frac{1}{\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}, (f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda))$$
(3)

where  $m < 1, \gamma \neq 0, Re\gamma \ge 0, \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z) \neq 0$  and

$$\Psi(m,\gamma,z) = 1 + (1-m)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k\gamma+1}, (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

In [1] certain sufficient conditions in terms of  $\alpha, \mu, \lambda, \gamma$  and *n* were obtained, so that functions in  $U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$  belong to  $S^*(\gamma)$ . Similarly, other conditions for these parameters were obtained such that the analytic functions G(z) and H(z) be in  $S^*$ . In all these cases, the sharpness part was not proved. In this paper, by using the same techniques as in [8] we prove the sharpness part. Also, we give another proof for the starlikeness of G(z) and H(z). In order to prove our results we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** ([3])Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  of finite Blaschke products such that  $b_n(0) = 0, b_n(1) = e^{i\varphi}$  and  $b_n(z) \to e^{i\psi}z$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Here a finite Blaschke product is a function as the type

$$b(z) = e^{i\gamma} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z - a_k}{1 - \overline{a_k} z}, (\{a_k\} \subseteq \mathbb{D}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}).$$

**Lemma 1.2.** ([7]) If *f* and *g* are analytic and *F* and *G* are convex (univalent) such that  $f \prec F$  and  $g \prec G$ , then  $f \ast g \prec F \ast G$ , where  $\prec$  denotes the usual subordination, (see [2]).

**Lemma 1.3.** ([6]) Let  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $Re \ c < l$  and  $F_c(z) = \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} \frac{l-c}{n-c} z^{n-l} \in H$ . Then

$$\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|f(z)*F_c(z)|\leq \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|, (f\in H).$$

### 2.Main Results

We begin with the following lemma that will be used in the next theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** For fixed real numbers  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ ,  $0 < \mu < \alpha n$  and  $\lambda > 0$  let  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ . There exists an analytic function w(z) in  $\mathbb{D}$  where |w(z)| < 1 and  $w(0) = w'(0) = \cdots = w^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ , such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda w(z)}{1 - \frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha} + 1}} dt} + \alpha - 1 \right), (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

**Proof.** For  $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , we can write

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} = \frac{1}{1 + (\mu+1)a_{n+1}z^n + \dots} = 1 - (\mu+1)a_{n+1}z^n + \dots$$

So, we obtain

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z)$$
  
=  $(1-(\mu+1)a_{n+1}z^n + \cdots)(1+(1+\alpha n)a_{n+1}z^n + \cdots)$   
=  $1+(\alpha n-\mu)a_{n+1}z^n + \cdots$   
=  $1+\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha n-\mu}{\lambda}a_{n+1}z^n + \cdots\right).$ 

Therefore, there exists an analytic function w(z) in  $\mathbb{D}$  with |w(z)| < 1 and  $w(0) = w'(0) = \cdots = w^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ , such that

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) = 1 + \lambda w(z).$$
(5)

Let  $p(z) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}$ . Then p(z) is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and p(0) = 1. Differentiating p(z) we obtain

$$p(z) - \frac{\alpha}{\mu} z p'(z) = 1 + \lambda w(z).$$
(6)

Solving the first order differential equation (6) we conclude that

$$p(z) = 1 - \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} dt$$

or equally

$$\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)^{\mu} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda\mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{lw(tz)}{t\frac{\mu}{\alpha} + 1} dt}.$$
(7)

Using (5) and (7) we obtain the required result. This completes the proof.■

Now, we restate the sharp version of Theorem 2.1 in [1] and prove the sharpness part.

**Theorem 2.2** [1. Theorem 2.1]. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2, \frac{n+l}{2n} < \alpha \le l$  and  $n(l-\alpha) < \mu < \alpha n$ . If  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ , then  $f \in S^*(\gamma)$  for  $0 < \lambda \le \lambda(\alpha, \mu, n, \gamma)$ , where

$$\lambda(\alpha, \mu, n, \gamma) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\alpha n - \mu)\sqrt{2\alpha(1 - \gamma) - 1}}{\sqrt{(\alpha n - \mu)^2 + \mu^2(2\alpha(1 - \gamma) - 1)}}; & 0 \le \gamma \le \frac{\mu - n(1 - \alpha)}{\mu(1 + n)} \\ \frac{(\alpha n - \mu)(1 - \gamma)}{n + \mu(\gamma - 1)}; & \frac{\mu - n(1 - \alpha)}{\mu(1 + n)} < \gamma < 1, \end{cases}$$

also, all bounds for  $\lambda$  are the best possible.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f(z) = z + a_{n+1}z^{n+1} + \dots \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ . By Lemma 2.1 there exists an analytic function w(z) in  $\mathbb{D}$  with |w(z)| < l and  $w(0) = w'(0) = \dots = w^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$  such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1 + \lambda w(z)}{1 - \frac{\lambda \mu}{\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha} + 1}} dt} + \alpha - 1 \right),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{1-\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \gamma \right) = \frac{\left( (\alpha - 1) - \alpha \gamma \right) \left( \alpha - \lambda \mu \int_0^1 \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} dt \right) + \alpha (1 + \lambda w(z))}{\alpha (1-\gamma) \left( \alpha - \lambda \mu \int_0^1 \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}} dt \right)}$$

Now, we have to show that  $Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \gamma$ . To do this, according to a well-known result in [6] and the last equation, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\left((\alpha-1)-\alpha\gamma\right)\left(\alpha-\lambda\mu\int_{0}^{1}\frac{w(tz)}{\frac{\mu}{t\alpha^{+1}}}\,dt\right)+\alpha(1+\lambda w(z))}{\alpha(1-\gamma)\left(\alpha-\lambda\mu\int_{0}^{1}\frac{w(tz)}{\frac{\mu}{t\alpha^{+1}}}\,dt\right)}\neq-iT,(T\in\mathbb{R}),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda\left(\frac{w(z)+\mu\left(\frac{\alpha\gamma+1-\alpha}{\alpha}-T(1-\gamma)i\right)\int_{0}^{1}\frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}+1}}\,dt}{\alpha(1-\gamma)(1+Ti)}\right)\neq-1,(T\in\mathbb{R}).$$

Let

$$M = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in B_n, T \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{w(z) + \mu \left(\frac{\alpha \gamma + l - \alpha}{\alpha} - T(l - \gamma)i\right) \int_0^l \frac{w(tz)}{\frac{\mu}{t\alpha} + l} dt}{\alpha (l - \gamma)(l + Ti)} \right|$$

with

$$B_n = \{ w \in H(\mathbb{D}) : |w(z)| < 1 \text{ and } w^{(k)}(0) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1 \}.$$

Then  $f \in S^*(\gamma)$  if  $\lambda M \leq I$ . This shows that it is sufficient to find *M*. By the general Schwarz lemma we have  $|w(z)| \leq |z|^n$ , so we see that

$$M \leq \sup_{T \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{1 + \frac{\mu \alpha}{n\alpha - \mu} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha \gamma + 1 - \alpha}{\alpha}\right)^2 + (1 - \gamma)^2 T^2}}{\alpha (1 - \gamma) \sqrt{1 + T^2}} \right\}.$$
(8)

In fact, in the sequel, we prove that equality holds in the above relation, hence the sharpness is established. By Lemma 1.1 given  $\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists a sequence of finite Blaschke products  $\{w_k(z)\}$  such that  $w_k(1) = e^{i\psi}$  and  $w_k(z) \rightarrow e^{i\varphi} z^n$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore, we have the following relation for each  $T \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}, w \in B_{n}} \frac{\left| \frac{w(z) + \mu \left( \frac{\alpha \gamma + 1 - \alpha}{\alpha} - T(1 - \gamma)i \right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{w(tz)}{t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha} + 1}} dt}{\alpha (1 - \gamma)(1 + Ti)} \right|}{\leq \sup_{\psi, \varphi \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\left| e^{i\psi} + \frac{\mu \alpha}{n\alpha - \mu} \sqrt{\left( \frac{\alpha \gamma + 1 - \alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{2} + (1 - \gamma)^{2} T^{2}} e^{i(\varphi + \theta_{1})} \right|}{\alpha (1 - \gamma) \sqrt{1 + T^{2}}},$$

where  $\theta_1 = Arg\left(\frac{\alpha\gamma + l - \alpha}{\alpha} - T(l - \gamma)i\right)$ . Fixing  $\varphi$  and choosing  $\psi = \varphi + \theta_1$ , we get the required equality in (8). Thus the bound for *M* is sharp as a function of *T*.

By taking  $\gamma = 0$  in Theorem 2.2 we obtain the following sharp result.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 2, \frac{n+l}{2n} < \alpha \le 1$  and  $n(1-\alpha) < \mu < \alpha n$ . If  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ , then  $f \in S^*$  for  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{(\alpha n - \mu)\sqrt{2\alpha - l}}{\sqrt{(\alpha n - \mu)^2 + \mu^2(2\alpha - l)}}$ , and the bound for  $\lambda$  is sharp.

**Theorem 2.4.**Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\frac{n+l}{2n} < \alpha \le 1$  and  $n(1-\alpha) < \mu < \alpha n$ . Also, let  $\varphi(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$  with  $b_n \ne 0$  be convex (univalent) in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $f(z) = z + a_{n+l} z^{n+l} + \cdots \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$  and  $\Phi(a; c; z)$  defined by (2) satisfy the conditions

$$\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a;c;z) \neq 0, \Phi(a;c;z) \prec \varphi(z), (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

then the function G(z) defined by (1) has the following properties:

•  $G \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda | b_n |),$ 

• 
$$G \in S^*$$
 for  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{(\alpha n - \mu)\sqrt{2\alpha - 1}}{|b_n|\sqrt{(\alpha n - \mu)^2 + \mu^2(2\alpha - 1)}}$ 

In the case 2 the bound for  $\lambda$  is sharp.

**Proof.** The definition of *G* shows that

$$\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu} = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a;c;z).$$

Also, a simple calculation gives

P. Arjomandinia, A. Ebadian, R. Aghalary/ J. Math. Computer Sci. 9 (2014), 283-290

$$\frac{z}{\mu}\left(\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)' = \left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}G'(z).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}G'(z) = \left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu} - \frac{\alpha z}{\mu}\left(\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)'$$
$$= \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a;c;z) - \frac{\alpha}{\mu}\left(\left\{z\left(\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu}\right)'\right\} * \Phi(a;c;z)\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a;c;z) - \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a;c;z) + \alpha\left(\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}f'(z)\right) * \Phi(a;c;z)$$
$$= \left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}f'(z)\right) * \Phi(a;c;z).$$

Since  $1 + \lambda z^n$  and  $\varphi(z)$  are convex in  $\mathbb{D}$  and by the assumption (also, see relation (5))

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) < 1 + \lambda z^{n}, \Phi(a;c;z) < \varphi(z)$$

so, by Lemma 1.2, we deduce that

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\mu+1}G'(z) < 1 + \lambda b_n z^n.$$

Case 1 now follows from the last subordination, while 2 is a simple consequence of Corollary 2.3. ■

It is well-known that if a > 0 and  $c \ge \max\{2, a\}$ , then  $\Phi(a; c; z)$  defined by (2) is convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ , (see [4]). So, if we take  $\varphi(z) = \Phi(a; c; z)$  in Theorem 2.4, we obtain the following sharp result.

**Corollary 2.5.**Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , a > 0 and  $c \ge \max\{2, a\}$ . Also, let  $\frac{n+1}{2n} < \alpha \le 1$  and  $n(1-\alpha) < \mu < \alpha n$ . If  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$  and  $\Phi(a; c; z)$  defined by (2) satisfy the condition  $\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Phi(a; c; z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then the function G(z) defined by (1) has the following properties:

• 
$$G \in U_n\left(\alpha, \mu, \frac{\lambda|(a)_n|}{|(c)_n|}\right)$$
,  
•  $G \in S^*$  where  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{|(c)_n|(\alpha n - \mu)\sqrt{2\alpha - 1}}{|(a)_n|\sqrt{(\alpha n - \mu)^2 + \mu^2(2\alpha - 1)}}$ .

Also, the bound for  $\lambda$  is sharp.

**Theorem 2.6.** For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\frac{n+1}{2n} < \alpha \le 1$  and  $n(1-\alpha) < \mu < \alpha n$  let  $f \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda)$ . If m < 1,  $Re\gamma > 0$  and  $\Psi(m, \gamma, z)$  defined by (4) satisfy the condition  $\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} * \Psi(m, \gamma, z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then then the function H(z) given by (3) has the following properties:

• 
$$H \in U_n(\alpha, \mu, \lambda(1-m)),$$

•  $H \in S^*$  where  $0 < \lambda \le \frac{(\alpha n - \mu)\sqrt{2\alpha - 1}}{(1 - m)\sqrt{(\alpha n - \mu)^2 + \mu^2(2\alpha - 1)}}$ .

In the case 2 the bound for  $\lambda$  is best possible.

**Proof.** Using the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 we obtain

$$(1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} H'(z) - 1 = \\ = \left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z)\right) * \Psi(m,\gamma,z) - 1 \\ = \left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) - 1\right) * \left(1 + (1-m)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{k\gamma+1}\right) \\ = (1-m)\left((1-\alpha)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) - 1\right) * \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1/\gamma)z^{k-1}}{k - (1-1/\gamma)}\right).$$

Now, by using Lemma 1.3 with  $c = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}$ , we conclude that

$$\left| (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha \left(\frac{z}{H(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} H'(z) - 1 \right|$$
  
$$\leq (1-m) \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \left| (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu} + \alpha \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\mu+1} f'(z) - 1 \right|$$
  
$$\leq (1-m)\lambda.$$

This proves the case 1. Case 2 follows simply from Corollary 2.3.■

### References

[1] R. Aghalary, A. Ebadian, Univalence of certain linear operators defined by Hypergeometric

function, J. Ineq. Appl. (2009) 1-12.

[2] P.L. Duren, Univalent functions, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983).

[3] R. Fournier, On integrals of bounded analytic functions in the unit disk, Complex Variables,

Theory and Applications 11 (1989) 125-133.

[4] Y. Ling, F. Liu, G. Bao, Some properties of an integral transform, Appl.Math. Letters 19

(2006) 830-833.

[5] S. Ponnusamy, P. Vasundhra, Criteria for univalence, starlikeness and convexity, Ann. Polo. Math. 85 (2005) 121-133.

[6] St. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in geometric function theory, Montreal Canada, (1982).

[7] St. Ruscheweyh, J. Stankiewicz, Subordination under convex univalent functions, Bull. Poli.

Acad. Sci. Math. 33 (1985) 499-502.

Sinica 5 (2010) 11-24.

<sup>[8]</sup> P. Vasundhra, Sharpness results of certain class of analytic functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad.