



Hyers-Ulam Stability of Fibonacci Functional Equation in Modular Functional Spaces

Maryam Naderi Parizi¹, Madjid Eshaghi Gordji^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics, Payame noor University, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Mathematics, Semnan University, P.O.BOX35195-363, Semnan, Iran

E-mail

mrym_ndr@yahoo.com¹, madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com²

*The corresponding author (M. E. Gordji)

Received January 2014

Accepted March 2014

Available online March 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equation

$$f(x) = f(x-1) + f(x-2) \quad (1.1)$$

which called the Fibonacci functional equation in modular functional space.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, Fibonacci functional equation, modular functional space.

1. Introduction

In 1950 Nakano [16] introduced the theory of modular spaces in connection with the theory of ordered spaces, Musielak and Orlicz [15] in 1959, redefined and generalized it to obtain a generalization of the classical function spaces L^p .

Also the stability of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [19] in 1940. In the next year, Hyers [9] proved the problem for the Cauchy functional equation. The stability problems of functional equations have been extensively investigated by several mathematician (see [3,4,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,14,17] and [18]).

Recently, Jung [13] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of Fibonacci functional equation $f(x)=f(x-1)+f(x-2)$. Here we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of this functional equation in modular functional space. By α and β we denote roots of the equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$. $\alpha + \beta = 1$ α is greater than one and β is negative root. We have $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha\beta = -1$.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic notions and facts about Modular spaces.

Definition2.1: Let X be an arbitrary vector space over a complex or real field.

(a) A function $\rho: X \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a modular If

$$(i) \rho(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0,$$

$$(ii) \rho(\alpha x) = \rho(x) \text{ for every scalar } |\alpha| \text{ with } |\alpha| = 1,$$

$$(iii) \rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y) \quad \alpha + \beta = 1, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$.

(b) If (iii) is replaced by

$$\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \rho(x) + \beta \rho(y) \text{ if } \alpha + \beta = 1$$

And $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ we say that ρ is convex modular.

(c) A modular ρ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e. the vector space X_ρ given by

$$X_\rho = \{x \in X : \rho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}$$

Example2.2: Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a norm space, then $\|\cdot\|$ is a convex modular

on X . But the converse is not true.

In general the modular ρ does not behave as a norm or a distance because it is not sub-additive. But one can associate to a modular the F-norm (see [9]).

Definition2.3: The modular space X_ρ can be equipped with the F-norm defined by

$$|x|_\rho = \inf\{\alpha > 0; \rho(\frac{x}{\alpha}) \leq \alpha\}$$

Namely, if ρ be convex, then the functional

$$\|x\|_\rho = \inf\{\alpha > 0; \rho(\frac{x}{\alpha}) \leq 1\}$$

is a norm called the Luxemburg norm in X_ρ which is equivalent to the $|\cdot|_\rho$.

Definition2.4: Let X_ρ be a modular space.

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X_ρ is said to be:

(i) ρ -convergent to x if $\rho(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

(ii) ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(x_n - x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$;

(b) X_ρ is ρ -complete if every ρ -Cauchy sequence is ρ -convergent.

(c) A subset $B \subseteq X_\rho$ is said to be ρ -closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x \in B$.

(d) A subset $B \subseteq X_\rho$ is called ρ -bounded if

$$\delta_{\rho}(B) = \sup\{\rho(x - y) : x, y \in B\} < \infty$$

where $\delta_{\rho}(B)$ is called the ρ -diameter of B .

(e) We say that ρ has the Fatou property if

$$\rho(x - y) \leq \lim \rho(x_n - y_n)$$

whenever $\rho(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0, \rho(y_n - y) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(f) ρ is said to satisfies the Δ_2 -condition if

$$\rho(2x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ whenever } \rho(x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is easy to check that for every modular ρ and $x, y \in X_{\rho}$;

(1) $\rho(\alpha x) \leq \rho(\beta x)$ for each positive numbers with $\alpha \leq \beta$.

(2) $\rho(x + y) \leq \rho(2x) + \rho(2y)$.

3. Hyers-Ulam Stability of Fibonacci equation in modular space

As already stated, α denotes the positive root of the equation $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$ and β is its negative root. We can prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Fibonacci functional equation (1.1) as we see in the following theorem.

Theorem3.1: Let (X, ρ) be a Banach modular space. If $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ satisfies the inequality

$$\rho(f(x) - f(x-1) - f(x-2)) \leq \varepsilon \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and for some $\varepsilon > 0$ then there exists a Fibonacci function $G: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\rho(f(x) - G(x)) \leq (1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}})\varepsilon \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. We get from (3.1):

$$\rho(f(x) - \alpha f(x-1) - \beta[f(x-1) - \alpha f(x-2)]) \leq \varepsilon$$

If we replace x by $x - k$ in the last inequality, then we have,

$$\rho(f(x-k) - \alpha f(x-k-1) - \beta[f(x-k-1) - \alpha f(x-k-2)]) \leq \varepsilon$$

and furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\beta^k(f(x-k) - \alpha f(x-k-1) - \beta^{k+1}(f(x-k-1) - \alpha f(x-k-2))) \\ & \leq |\beta^k| \rho(f(x-k) - \alpha f(x-k-1) - \beta[f(x-k-1) - \alpha f(x-k-2)]) \\ & \leq |\beta^k| \varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and natural number k . By (3.3), we obviously have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(f(x) - \alpha f(x-1) - \beta^n[f(x-n) - \alpha f(x-n-1)]) \\ & = \rho\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta^k(f(x-k) - \alpha f(x-k-1) - \beta^{k+1}(f(x-k-1) - \alpha f(x-k-2)))\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta|^k \rho((f(x-k) - \alpha f(x-k-1) - \beta(f(x-k-1) - \alpha f(x-k-2)))) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\beta|^k \leq \frac{1}{1-|\beta|} \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and natural number n .

For any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ (3.3) implies that $\{\beta^n(f(x-n) - \alpha f(x-n-1))\}$ is a cauchy sequence .

Therefore, we can define a function by

$$G_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta^n [f(x-n) - \alpha f(x-n-1)]$$

since X is complete. In view of the above definition of G_1 , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(x-1) + G_1(x-2) &= \beta^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{n+1} [f(x-(n+1)) - \alpha f(x-(n+1)-1)] \\ &\quad + \beta^{-2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{n+2} [f(x-(n+2)) - \alpha f(x-(n+2)-1)] \\ &= \beta^{-1} G_1(x) + \beta^{-2} G_1(x) = G_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence, G_1 is a Fibonacci function. If n goes to infinity, then (3.3) yields:

$$\rho(f(x) - \alpha f(x-1) - G_1) \leq \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} \varepsilon \quad (3.5) .$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. on the other hand, it also follows from (3.1) that

$$\rho(f(x) - \beta f(x-1) - \alpha[f(x-1) - \beta f(x-2)]) \leq \varepsilon$$

Analogous to (3.3), replacing x by $x+k$ in the above

Inequality, then we have:

$$\rho(f(x+k) - \beta[f(x+k-1) - \alpha f(x+k-1) - \beta f(x+k-2)]) \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\rho(\alpha^{-k} [f(x+k) - \beta f(x+k-1)] - \alpha^{-k+1} [f(x+k-1) - \beta f(x+k-2)]) \leq \alpha^{-k} \varepsilon \quad (3.6)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. By using (3.6), we further obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(\alpha^{-n} [f(x+n) - \beta f(x+n-1)] - [f(x) - \beta f(x-1)]) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(\alpha^{-k} [f(x+k) - \beta f(x+k-1)] - \alpha^{-k+1} [f(x+k-1) - \beta f(x+k-2)]) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha^{-k} \rho([f(x+k) - \beta f(x+k-1)] - \alpha[f(x+k-1) - \beta f(x+k-2)]) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha^{-k} \varepsilon \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Thus $\{\alpha^{-n} [f(x+n) - \beta f(x+n-1)]\}$ is a cauchy sequence,

for any fixed $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence, we can define a function by

$$G_2(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-n} [f(x+n) - \beta f(x+n-1)]$$

Using the above definition of G_2 , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(x-1) + G_2(x-2) &= \alpha^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-(n-1)} [f(x+n-1) - \beta f(x+(n-1)-1)] \\ &+ \alpha^{-2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{-(n-2)} [f(x+n-2) - \beta f(x+(n-2)-1)] \\ &= \alpha^{-1} G_2(x) + \alpha^{-2} G_2(x) = G_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

For any $x \in \mathbf{R}$. So, G_2 is also a Fibonacci function. If we

Let n goes to infinity, then it follows from (3.7) that

$$\rho(G_2(x) - f(x) - \beta f(x-1)) \leq \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \varepsilon \quad (3.8)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}$. By (3.5), (3.8) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(f(x) - [\frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha} G_1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} G_2]) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} \rho(f(x) - \beta f(x-1) - G_2) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta} \rho(f(x) - \alpha f(x-1) - G_1) \\ &= \rho(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-\beta} (f(x) - \beta f(x-1) - G_2) - \frac{\beta}{\alpha-\beta} (f(x) - \alpha f(x-1) - G_1)) \leq (1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}) \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. We now set:

$$G(x) = \frac{\beta}{\beta-\alpha} G_1 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} G_2$$

It is easy to show that G is a Fibonacci function satisfying (3.2).

References

- [1] M. Bidkham and M. Hosseini, Hyers-Ulam stability of β -Fibonacci functional equation, *Internat. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 2 (2011), 42--49.
- [2] M. Bidkham, M. Hosseini, C. Park and M. Eshaghi Gordji, Nearly (k, s) -Fibonacci functional equations in β -normed spaces, *Aequationes Math.* 83 (2012), 131--141.
- [3] M. Eshaghi Gordji and H. Khodaei, *Stability of Functional Equations*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2010.
- [4] G.L. Forti, Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables, *Aequationes Math.* 50 (1995), 143--190.
- [5] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991), 431--434.
- [6] P. Gavrota, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994), 431--436.
- [7] P. Gavruta and L. Gavruta, A new method for the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 1 (2010), 11--18.
- [8] R. Ger and P. Semrl, The stability of the exponential equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124 (1996), 779--787.
- [9] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 27 (1941), 221--224.
- [10] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.
- [11] D.H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, *Aequationes Math.* 44 (1992), 125--153.

- [12] S. Jung, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [13] S. Jung, Hyers-Ulam stability of Fibonacci functional equation, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 35 (2009), 217--227.
- [14] S. Jung, Hyers--Ulam--Rassias stability of functional equations in nonlinear analysis, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, 48, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [15] J. Musielak and W. Orlicz On modular spaces, Studia Mathematica.18 (1959), 49--65.
- [16] H. Nakano, Modular semi-ordered spaces, Tokyo Mathematical Book Series, Maruzen Co. Ltd,Tokyo, Japan, (1950).
- [17] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297--300.
- [18] Th.M. Rassias, Approximate homomorphisms, Aequationes Math. 44 (1992), 125--153.
- [19] S.M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics, Chapter VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York, 1940.