



Contents list available at JMCS

Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science

Journal Homepage: www.tjmcs.com



A Note on t -Derivations of B -Algebras

Rasoul Soleimani¹

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, I.R of IRAN

r_soleimani@pnu.ac.ir & rsoleimani@yahoo.com

Somayeh Jahangiri

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, I.R of IRAN

sjahan1389@gmail.com

Article history:

Received February 2014

Accepted March 2014

Available online April 2014

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of t -derivation on B -algebras and obtain some of its related properties.

Keywords: B -algebra, 0-commutative, t -derivation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06F35, 03G25.

1. Introduction

Imai and Is'eki [4, 5] introduced two classes of logical algebras: BCK and BCI -algebras. It is known that the class of BCK -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI -algebras. Neggers and Kim [7] introduced the notion of B -algebras which is related to several classes of algebras such as BCI/BCK -algebras. Abujabal and Al-Shehrie [1] defined and studied the notion of left derivation of BCI -algebras. Further, Al-Shehrie [2] has applied the notion of left-right derivation in BCI -algebra to B -algebra and obtained some of its properties. Furthermore This logical algebra Have been studied by another authors, see for example [3], [6], [8], [9]. In this paper, we introduce the notion of t -derivation on B -algebras and investigate some properties of 0-commutative B -algebras.

(see [2], [3], [6], [7], [9]) A B -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation " $*$ " satisfying the following axioms:

¹ This research was in part supported by a grant from Payame Noor University

$$(B1) x * x = 0;$$

$$(B2) x * 0 = x;$$

$$(B3) (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

In any B -algebra X the following properties satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(1) (x * y) * (0 * y) = x.$$

$$(2) x * y = z * y \text{ implies } x = z.$$

$$(3) x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y.$$

$$(4) x * y = 0 \text{ implies } x = y.$$

$$(5) x = 0 * (0 * x).$$

$$(6) x * y = x * z \text{ implies } y = z.$$

$$(7) 0 * (x * y) = y * x.$$

$$(8) (x * y) * (z * y) = x * z.$$

A B -algebra $(X, *, 0)$ is said to be 0-commutative if $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for any $x, y \in X$.

For any 0-commutative B -algebra X and all $x, y, z, u \in X$, the following properties hold:

$$(9) (0 * x) * (0 * y) = y * x.$$

$$(10) (x * y) * (z * u) = (u * y) * (z * x).$$

$$(11) (x * y) * (x * z) = z * y.$$

$$(12) (x * y) * z = (0 * y) * (z * x).$$

$$(13) x * (y * z) = z * (y * x).$$

$$(14) (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y.$$

$$(15) x * (x * y) = y.$$

Let X be a B -algebra. Then X is called associative if for all $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(16) (x * y) * z = x * (y * z).$$

For a B -algebra X , we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

2. t-Derivation of B-Algebras

In this section we investigate the notion of t -derivation for a B -algebra and study some of its properties.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a B -algebra. For any $t \in X$, we define a self map $d_t: X \rightarrow X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. Let d_t be a self map of a B -algebra X . Then the following hold:

(i) d_t is one-one.

(ii) $d_t(x) * d_t(y) = x * y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove (ii). By applying (8) we obtain

$$d_t(x) * d_t(y) = (x * t) * (y * t) = x * y.$$

Definition 2.3. A self map d_t of a B -algebra X is said to be t -regular if $d_t(0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.4. Let d_t be a self map of a 0-commutative B -algebra X . Then the following hold:

(i) $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

(ii) If d_t is t -regular, then it is an identity.

Proof. (i) Since d_t is a self map of a B -algebra X , by (14),

$$d_t(x * y) = (x * y) * t = (x * t) * y = d_t(x) * y.$$

(ii) Let d_t be t -regular and $x \in X$. Then $0 = d_t(0)$ and by (i), $0 = d_t(x) * x$. Hence by (4) $d_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Therefore d_t is an identity. This completes the proof.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a B -algebra. Then for any $t \in X$, the self map $d_t : X \rightarrow X$ is called a left-right t -derivation (or briefly (l, r) - t -derivation) of X if it satisfies the identity $d_t(x * y) = (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Similarly, if d_t satisfies the identity $d_t(x * y) = (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then it is called a right-left t -derivation (or briefly (r, l) - t -derivation) of X .

Moreover, if d_t is both a (l, r) - and a (r, l) - t -derivation of X , then d_t is a t -derivation of X .

Example 2.6. Let X be a B -algebra of all real numbers except for a negative integer $-n$, with a binary operation $*$ on X by $x * y = \frac{n(x-y)}{n+y}$.

For any $t \in X$, define a self map $d_t : X \rightarrow X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t$ for all $x \in X$. First, we show that X is a 0-commutative B -algebra. For any $x, y \in X$:

$$x * (0 * y) = x * \frac{n(0-y)}{n+y} = x * \frac{-ny}{n+y} = \frac{nx + xy + ny}{n}.$$

$$\text{Also, } y * (0 * x) = y * \frac{n(0-x)}{n+x} = y * \frac{-nx}{n+x} = \frac{ny + yx + nx}{n}.$$

Hence X is a 0-commutative B -algebra. Next for all $x, y, t \in X$,

$$(x * y) * t = n \frac{n(x-y)-t(n+y)}{(n+y)(n+t)}, \text{ and } (x * t) * y = n \frac{n(x-y)-t(n+y)}{(n+y)(n+t)}.$$

Since X is a 0-commutative B -algebra, by (15) for all $x, y, t \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y)) &= (x * (y * t)) * ((x * (y * t)) * ((x * t) * y)) \\ &= (x * t) * y = n \frac{n(x-y) - t(n+y)}{(n+y)(n+t)} = (x * y) * t = d_t(x * y). \end{aligned}$$

So d_t is a (l, r) - t -derivation of X . It is easy to check that d_t is not a (r, l) - t -derivation of X .

Example 2.7 Let $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a B -algebra with the following table,

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

For any $t \in X$, define a self map $d_t : X \rightarrow X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t$ for all $x \in X$. Then it is easy to check that d_t is a (l, r) - t -derivation of X , which is not a (r, l) - t -derivation of X . If we set $x := 0, y := 2$ and $t := 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= (x * y) * t = 0 \neq 2 = ((x * t) * y) * (((x * t) * y) * (x * (y * t))) \\ &= (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y). \end{aligned}$$

But if for any $t \in X$, define a self map $d_t : X \rightarrow X$ by $d_t(x) = x * t = x$ then X is a t -derivation of X , which is t -regular.

Theorem 2.8. Let d_t be a self map of a B -algebra X . Then

(i) If d_t is a (l, r) - t -derivation and t -regular of X , then $d_t(x) = d_t(x) \wedge x$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) If d_t is a (r, l) - t -derivation of X , then $d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x)$ for all $x \in X$ if and only if d_t is t -regular.

Proof. (i) If d_t is a (l, r) - t -derivation and t -regular of X , then by (B2)

$$d_t(x) = d_t(x * 0) = (d_t(x) * 0) \wedge (x * d_t(0)) = d_t(x) \wedge (x * 0) = d_t(x) \wedge x.$$

(ii) Let d_t be a (r, l) - t -derivation of X . If d_t is t -regular, then by (B2)

$$d_t(x) = d_t(x * 0) = (x * d_t(0)) \wedge (d_t(x) * 0) = (x * 0) \wedge d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x).$$

Conversely, suppose that $d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then

$$d_t(0) = 0 \wedge d_t(0) = d_t(0) * (d_t(0) * 0) = d_t(0) * d_t(0) = 0.$$

So d_t is t -regular.

3. t -Derivation of 0-Commutative B -Algebras

In this section, we investigate the notion of t -derivation for 0-commutative B -algebras.

Theorem 3.1. Let d_t be a self map of an associative 0-commutative B -algebra X . Then d_t is a t -derivation of X .

Proof. Since X is an associative 0-commutative B -algebra, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= (x * y) * t \\ &= (x * (y * t)) * 0 && \text{[by (B2)]} \\ &= (x * (y * t)) * ((x * (y * t)) * (x * (y * t))) && \text{[by (B1)]} \\ &= (x * (y * t)) * ((x * (y * t)) * ((x * y) * t)) && \text{[by (16)]} \\ &= (x * (y * t)) * ((x * (y * t)) * ((x * t) * y)) && \text{[by (14)]} \\ &= ((x * t) * y) \wedge (x * (y * t)) \\ &= (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= (x * y) * t = ((x * t) * y) * 0 && \text{[by (14) and (B2)]} \\ &= ((x * t) * y) * (((x * t) * y) * ((x * t) * y)) && \text{[by (B1)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= ((x * t) * y) * (((x * t) * y) * ((x * y) * t)) && \text{[by (14)]} \\
 &= ((x * t) * y) * (((x * t) * y) * (x * (y * t))) && \text{[by (16)]} \\
 &= (x * (y * t)) \wedge ((x * t) * y) = (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. Let d_t be a (r, l) - t -derivation of a 0-commutative B -algebra X . Then

$$d_t(x * y) = x * d_t(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proof. Since d_t is a (r, l) - t -derivation of X , by (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y) = (d_t(x) * y) * ((d_t(x) * y) * (x * d_t(y))) \\
 &= x * d_t(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.3. Let X be a B -algebra and d_t, d'_t be two self maps of X . Then we define $d_t \circ d'_t : X \rightarrow X$ by $(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = d_t(d'_t(x))$, for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.4. Let X be a 0-commutative B -algebra and d_t, d'_t are (r, l) - t -derivations of X . Then $d_t \circ d'_t$ is a t -derivation of X .

Proof. Since d_t, d'_t are two self maps of X , by Lemma 2.4(i) and (15) for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) &= d_t(d'_t(x * y)) = d_t(d'_t(x) * y) = d_t(d'_t(x)) * y = \\
 &= (x * d_t(d'_t(y))) * (x * d_t(d'_t(y))) * (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) = (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(d'_t(y))) \\
 &= ((d_t \circ d'_t)(x) * y) \wedge (x * (d_t \circ d'_t)(y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, since d_t, d'_t are (r, l) - t -derivations of X , by Lemma 3.2 and (15), for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) &= d_t(d'_t(x * y)) = d_t(x * d'_t(y)) = x * d_t(d'_t(y)) \\
 &= (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) * ((d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) * (x * d_t(d'_t(y)))) = \\
 &= (x * d_t(d'_t(y))) \wedge (d_t(d'_t(x)) * y) = (x * (d_t \circ d'_t)(y)) \wedge ((d_t \circ d'_t)(x) * y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.5. Let X be a 0-commutative B -algebra and let d_t be a (r, l) - t -derivation and d'_t be a self map of X . Then $d_t \circ d'_t = d'_t \circ d_t$

Proof. Suppose d_t is a (r, l) - t -derivation and d'_t is a self map of X . By Lemmas 2.4(i) and 3.2, for all $x, y \in X$, $(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = d_t(d'_t(x * y)) = d_t(d'_t(x) * y) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y)$.

Again, by Lemmas 3.2 and 2.4(i), for all $x, y \in X$,

$$(d'_t \circ d_t)(x * y) = d'_t(d_t(x * y)) = d'_t(x * d_t(y)) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y).$$

Therefore, $(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = (d'_t \circ d_t)(x * y)$

By putting $y := 0$, for all $x \in X$, we get

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x) = (d'_t \circ d_t)(x). \text{ Hence, } d_t \circ d'_t = d'_t \circ d_t.$$

This completes the proof.

Definition 3.6. Let X be a B -algebra and let d_t and d'_t be two self maps of X . Then we define $d_t * d'_t : X \rightarrow X$ by $(d_t * d'_t)(x) = d_t(x) * d'_t(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.7. Let d_t, d'_t be two (r, l) - t -derivations of a 0-commutative B -algebra X . Then $d_t * d'_t = d'_t * d_t$.

Proof. Since d_t is a (r, l) - t -derivation of X , for all $x, y \in X$, by Lemmas 2.4(i) and 3.2,

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = d_t(d'_t(x * y)) = d_t(d'_t(x) * y) = d'_t(x) * d_t(y).$$

Again, since d'_t is a (r, l) - t -derivation of X , then by Lemmas 3.2 and 2.4(i),

$$(d_t \circ d'_t)(x * y) = d_t(d'_t(x * y)) = d_t(x * d'_t(y)) = d_t(x) * d'_t(y).$$

Therefore, $d'_t(x) * d_t(y) = d_t(x) * d'_t(y)$. By putting $y := x$, for all $x \in X$, we get

$$d'_t(x) * d_t(x) = d_t(x) * d'_t(x). \text{ Hence } d_t * d'_t = d'_t * d_t. \text{ This proves the theorem.}$$

1. References

- [1] H.A.S. Abujabal, N.O. Al-Shehrie, On left Derivations of BCI -algebras, *Soochow J. Math.*, 33(3) (2007), 435-444.
- [2] N.O. Al-Shehrie, Derivations of B -algebras, *JKAU: Sci.*, 22(1) (2010), 71-83.
- [3] J.R. Cho, H.S. Kim, On B -algebras and quasigroups, *Quasigroups and Related Systems*, 8 (2001), 1-6.
- [4] Y.Imai, K. Is'eki, On axiom systems of propositional calculi. XIV, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 42(1966), 19-22.
- [5] K. Is'eki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 42(1966), 26-29.
- [6] H.S. Kim, H.G. Park, On 0-commutative B -algebras, *Sci. Math. Japonicae Online*, (2005), 31-36.
- [7] J. Neggers, H.S. Kim, On B -algebras, *Mate. Vesnik*, 54 (2002), 21-29.
- [8] S.A. Nematoalah Zadeh, A. Radfar, A. Borumand Saied, On BP -algebras and QS -algebras, *TJMCS*, 5(1) (2012), 17-21.
- [9] A. Walendziak, Some axiomatizations of B -algebras, *Math. Slovaca*, 56(3) (2006), 301-306.