



Fixed point theorems for generalized α - ψ type contractive mappings in b-metric spaces and applications

Xianbing Wu^{a,*}, Leina Zhao^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Yangtze Normal University, Fuling, Chongqing 408100, P. R. China.

^bCollege of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing Jiaotong University, Chongqing, 400074, P. R. China.

Abstract

In this paper, we establish fixed point theorems for a new generalized α - ψ type contractive mapping in complete b-metric spaces. As applications of our results, we obtain fixed point theorems on metric space endowed with a partial order or a graph. We also obtain fixed point theorems for cyclic contractive mappings. Moreover, an application to integral equation is given here to illustrate the usability of the obtained results.

Keywords: α - ψ contractive mapping, b-metric space, fixed point theorem.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 47H09, 49J40.

©2018 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Fixed point theorems for α - ψ type contractive mappings in metric spaces were firstly obtained in 2012 by Samet et al. [29]. In this direction several authors obtained further results (see, e.g., [3–7, 16, 18, 19, 27, 31]).

Let Ψ be family of functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ψ is increasing;
- (ii) ψ is continuous bijective;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^n(t) = 0$, for all $t \geq 0$, where ψ^n is the n -th iterate of ψ .

It is easy to see that $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$ and $\psi(0) = 0$.

In this paper we denote $G(t) = t - \lambda\psi(t)$, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. We easily obtain that G is increasing continuous bijective, hence G^{-1} is increasing and continuous and $G^{-1}(0) = 0$.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is an α - ψ contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: flwxbing@163.com (Xianbing Wu), zhao_leina@163.com (Leina Zhao)

doi: [10.22436/jmcs.018.01.06](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.018.01.06)

Received 2016-11-01

Clearly, any contractive mapping is an α - ψ contractive mapping with $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\psi(t) = kt$, $k \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 1.2. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping if for all $x, y \in X$ we have the following implication:

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1.$$

Definition 1.3. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We say that T is a triangular α -admissible mapping if for all $x, y, z \in X$ we have

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1,$$

and

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1, \alpha(y, z) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(x, z) \geq 1.$$

Various examples of the above mappings are presented in [16, 29] and [18].

Some results of fixed point in b-metric space have been obtained (see, e.g., [8, 9, 11, 12]). Now, we present some definitions in b-metric space.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set and the mapping $b : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies:

- (b1) $b(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (b2) $b(x, y) = b(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (b3) there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that $b(x, y) \leq s[b(x, z) + b(z, y)]$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then b is called a b-metric on X and (X, b) is called a b-metric space with coefficient s .

Remark 1.5. It is clear that every metric space is a b-metric space with coefficient $s = 1$.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, b) be a b-metric space, then for $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the b-ball with center x and radius ϵ is

$$B(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X | b(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

Definition 1.7. Let (X, b) be a b-metric space, $A \subset X$. A is said to be a closed if and only if $x \in X$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$, $B(x, \epsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then $x \in A$.

Definition 1.8. Let (X, b) be a b-metric space, $A \subset X$. The diameter of A is

$$\delta(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} b(x, y).$$

Definition 1.9 ([32]). A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a b-metric space (X, b) is said to be:

- (i) a Cauchy sequence if and only if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$ we have $b(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$;
- (ii) a convergent sequence if and only if there exists $x \in X$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $n \geq n(\epsilon)$ we have $b(x_n, x) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.10. A b-metric space (X, b) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ converges to some $x \in X$.

Definition 1.11. Let (X, b) be a b-metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. T is continuous at $x \in X$, if and only if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to x , then $\{Tx_n\}$ is convergent to Tx .

2. Main results

We introduce a new concept of generalized α - ψ contractive type mappings as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is a generalized α - ψ contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\psi \in \Psi$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}).$$

Remark 2.2. Since ψ is increasing, clearly every α - ψ contractive mapping is generalized α - ψ contractive mapping.

Our results are the following.

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, b) be a complete b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. If there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s}]$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}), \quad (2.1)$$

and which satisfies:

- (i) T is triangular α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) T is continuous,

then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Take $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = T^n x_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some n_0 , then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T . So, we can assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for all n . Since T is triangular α -admissible, we have

$$\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) = \alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1.$$

Moreover

$$\alpha(x_0, x_1) \geq 1, \quad \alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(x_1, x_3) \geq 1.$$

Inductively, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n < m$, we easily obtain

$$\alpha(x_n, x_m) \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Let us denote $O_T(x_0; n) = \{x_0, Tx_0, \dots, T^n x_0\}$ and $\delta O_T(x_0; n)$ denotes the diameter of $O_T(x_0; n)$. From (2.1) and (2.2), for each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(x_i, x_j) &= b(Tx_{i-1}, Tx_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(x_{i-1}, x_{j-1})b(Tx_{i-1}, Tx_{j-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x_{i-1}, x_{j-1}), b(x_{i-1}, x_i), b(x_{j-1}, x_j), b(x_{i-1}, x_j), b(x_i, x_{j-1})\}) \\ &\leq \lambda\psi(\delta O_T(x_0; n)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\leq \psi(\delta O_T(x_0; n)). \quad (2.4)$$

It is easy to see that there exists $k \leq n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b(x_0, T^k x_0) = \delta O_T(x_0; n). \quad (2.5)$$

Indeed, if there exists $i, j \neq 0$, $i < j$ such that $\delta O_T(x_0; n) = b(x_i, x_j)$, from (2.4) we have

$$\delta O_T(x_0; n) = b(x_i, x_j) \leq \psi(\delta O_T(x_0; n)) < \delta O_T(x_0; n).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence, by applying (2.3), (2.5) and the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta O_T(x_0; n) &= b(x_0, T^k x_0) \\ &\leq sb(x_0, Tx_0) + sb(Tx_0, T^k x_0) \\ &\leq sb(x_0, Tx_0) + s\lambda\psi(\delta O_T(x_0; n)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\delta O_T(x_0; n) - s\lambda\psi(\delta O_T(x_0; n)) \leq sb(x_0, Tx_0).$$

For $G(t) = t - s\lambda\psi(t)$, since G^{-1} is increasing, then

$$\delta O_T(x_0; n) \leq G^{-1}(sb(x_0, Tx_0)). \quad (2.6)$$

Also, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m > n$, using (2.4), it results

$$b(x_n, x_m) \leq \psi(r_1), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$r_1 = \delta O_T(x_{n-1}; m - n + 1).$$

Now, by (2.5), there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_1 \leq m - n + 1$ such that

$$r_1 = \delta O_T(x_{n-1}; m - n + 1) = b(x_{n-1}, T^{k_1} x_{n-1}).$$

By using again (2.5) we have

$$r_1 = b(x_{n-1}, T^{k_1} x_{n-1}) = b(Tx_{n-2}, T^{k_1+1} x_{n-2}) \leq \psi(r_2), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$r_2 = \delta O_T(x_{n-2}; k_1 + 1).$$

Since ψ is monotone increasing and $k_1 + 1 \leq m - n + 2$, from (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain

$$b(x_n, x_m) \leq \psi^2(\delta O_T(x_{n-2}; m - n + 2)).$$

So, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $m > n$, by induction, we get

$$b(x_n, x_m) \leq \psi^n(\delta O_T(x_0; m)).$$

By (2.6), we get

$$b(x_n, x_m) \leq \psi^n(G^{-1}(sb(x_0, Tx_0))). \quad (2.9)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9), we get

$$b(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.10)$$

It implies $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence it is convergent. So there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(x_n, x^*) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Next we will show that $x^* \in F(T)$. Since T is continuous, then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the triangular inequality, we have

$$b(x^*, Tx^*) \leq sb(x^*, x_{n+1}) + sb(Tx_n, Tx^*). \quad (2.12)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get $b(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$, which means $x^* \in F(T)$. \square

Example 2.4. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, endow with the b-metric $b(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ with $s = 2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4}, & x \in [0, 1], \\ 2x - \frac{7}{4}, & x \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

We define the mapping $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} e^{|x-y|}, & \text{if } x, y \in (0, \frac{1}{4}], \\ e^{-|x-y|}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly, T is a triangular α -admissible and generalized α - ψ contractive mapping with $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. In fact taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}).$$

Moreover, there exists $x_0 = \frac{1}{4} \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) = \alpha(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}) = e^{\frac{3}{16}} \geq 1.$$

Obviously T is continuous.

Now, all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, T has a fixed point. In this example, 0 and $\frac{7}{4}$ are two fixed points of T .

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, b) be a complete b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s}]$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}), \quad (2.13)$$

and which satisfies:

- (i) T is triangular α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in (X, b) such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x^*) \geq 1$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.3, we know that the sequence x_n defined by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and converges to some $x^* \in X$. By applying (2.2) and condition (iii), we obtain $d(x_n, x^*) \geq 1$. So, by (2.1) and the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq sb(x^*, x_{n+1}) + sb(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sb(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\alpha(x_n, x^*)b(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq sb(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda\psi(\max\{b(x_n, x^*), b(x_n, Tx^*), b(x_{n+1}, x^*), b(x^*, Tx^*), b(x_n, Tx_n)\}) \\ &= sb(x^*, x_{n+1}) + s\lambda\psi(M), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$M = \max\{b(x_n, x^*), b(x_n, Tx^*), b(x_{n+1}, x^*), b(x^*, Tx^*), b(x_n, Tx_n)\}.$$

There are three cases.

Case 1. If $M = \max\{b(x_n, x^*), b(x_{n+1}, x^*), b(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$.

Since ψ is continuous, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14). By (2.10) and (2.11) we get $b(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$.

Case 2. If $M = b(x^*, Tx^*)$.

From (2.14), we have

$$b(x^*, Tx^*) - s\lambda\psi(b(x^*, Tx^*)) \leq sb(x_{n+1}, x^*),$$

this implies $b(x^*, Tx^*) \leq G^{-1}(sb(x_{n+1}, x^*))$, since G^{-1} is continuous and $G^{-1}(0) = 0$, let $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (2.11) we obtain $b(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$.

Case 3. If $M = b(x_n, Tx^*)$.

Since ψ is continuous, let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.14), by (2.11) we get

$$b(x^*, Tx^*) \leq s\lambda\psi(b(x^*, Tx^*)).$$

This implies $b(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$, or

$$b(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \psi(b(x^*, Tx^*)) < b(x^*, Tx^*).$$

It is a contradiction.

From the above three cases, we all obtain $b(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$, hence x^* is a fixed point of T . □

Example 2.6. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, endow with the b-metric $b(x, y) = (x - y)^2$ with $s = 2$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4}, & x \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ x^2 - 1, & x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

We define the mapping $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, T is a triangular α -admissible and generalized α - ψ contractive mapping with $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. In fact, taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}).$$

Moreover, there exists $x_0 = \frac{1}{4} \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) = \alpha\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16}\right) = 1.$$

Take $x_n = T^n x_0$. We easily obtain

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \alpha\left(\frac{1}{4^n}, \frac{1}{4^{n+1}}\right) = 1,$$

and as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$x_n = \frac{1}{4^n} \rightarrow x = 0 \in X.$$

So

$$\alpha(x_n, x) = \alpha\left(\frac{1}{4^n}, 0\right) = 1.$$

Now, all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied, T has a fixed point. In this example, $0, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ are three fixed points of T .

(H) For all $x, y \in F(T)$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.7. Adding condition (H) to Theorem 2.3 (resp., Theorem 2.5), then that x^* is the unique fixed point of T .

Proof. Let that $x^*, y^* \in F(T)$. By condition (H), there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\alpha(x^*, z) \geq 1, \quad \alpha(y^*, z) \geq 1.$$

Since T is α -admissible, from the above inequalities, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\alpha(x^*, T^n z) \geq 1, \quad \alpha(y^*, T^n z) \geq 1.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} b(x^*, T^n z) &\leq \alpha(x^*, T^{n-1} z) b(T^{n-1} x^*, T^n z) \\ &\leq \lambda \psi(\max\{b(x^*, T^{n-1} z), b(T^{n-1} z, T^n z), d(x^*, Tx^*), b(x^*, T^n z), b(x^*, T^{n-1} z)\}) \\ &= \lambda \psi(N) \\ &\leq \psi(N), \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$N = \max\{b(x^*, T^{n-1} z), b(T^{n-1} z, T^n z), b(x^*, Tx^*), b(x^*, T^n z), b(x^*, T^{n-1} z)\}.$$

There are four cases.

Case 1. If $N = b(x^*, Tx^*)$.

It implies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $b(x^*, T^n z) = 0$.

Case 2. If $N = b(x^*, T^{n-1} z)$.

It results

$$b(x^*, T^n z) \leq \psi(b(x^*, T^{n-1} z)),$$

recursively, we obtain

$$b(x^*, T^n z) \leq \psi^n(b(x^*, z)).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(x^*, T^n z) = 0.$$

Case 3. If $N = b(x^*, T^n z)$.

We get

$$b(x^*, T^n z) \leq \psi(b(x^*, T^n z)).$$

It implies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $b(x^*, T^n z) = 0$.

Case 4. If $N = b(T^{n-1} z, T^n z)$.

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15). From (2.10) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(x^*, T^n z) = 0.$$

From the above four cases, we all obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(x^*, T^n z) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b(y^*, T^n z) = 0.$$

Using the triangular inequality, we have

$$b(x^*, y^*) \leq sb(x^*, T^n z) + sb(y^*, T^n z).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $b(x^*, y^*) = 0$, i.e., $x^* = y^*$. Hence T has the unique fixed point. \square

3. Applications

Next, we will show that some results can be deduced easily from our Theorem 2.7.

3.1. Standard fixed point theorems

Letting $s = 1$ in Theorem 2.7, we may get the following fixed point theorem.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If there exists a function $\psi \in \Psi$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}),$$

and which satisfies:

- (i) T is triangular α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) T is continuous or if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in (X, d) such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x^*) \geq 1$,

then

- (1) T has a fixed point;
- (2) if the condition (H) is satisfied, T has a unique fixed point.

Letting $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ in Theorem 2.7, for all $x, y \in X$, we get the following fixed point theorem.

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, b) be a complete b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s}]$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda\psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}),$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If there exists a function $\psi \in \Psi$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}),$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $k \in (0, 1)$, for all $x, y \in X$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\},$$

then T has a unique fixed point.

3.2. Fixed point theorem on b -metric spaces endowed with a partial order

Many exciting fixed point theorems on metric space with a partial have been obtained (see, e.g., [1, 13, 20, 24, 25, 28]). According to our Theorem 2.7, we will deduce fixed point theorems on metric space with a partial, and know that those exciting theorems will be obtained easily by our result. At first, we present some concepts.

Definition 3.5. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. We say that T is increasing with respect to \preceq , if for all $x, y \in X$

$$x \preceq y \Rightarrow Tx \preceq Ty.$$

Definition 3.6. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be increasing with respect to \preceq , if $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all n .

Definition 3.7. Let (X, \preceq, d) be partially ordered metric space. We say that (X, \preceq, d) is regular if for every increasing sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$ for all k .

We obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.8. Let (X, \preceq, b) be complete partially ordered b -metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an increasing mapping with respect to \preceq . Suppose there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s}]$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda \psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\})$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \succeq y$ and suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$.
- (ii) T is continuous or (X, \preceq, b) is regular.

Then T has a fixed point. And, suppose for all $x, y \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$, therefore the fixed point is unique.

Proof. Define the mapping $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \preceq y \text{ or } x \succeq y, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that T is a generalized α - ψ contractive mapping, that is,

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda \psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\})$$

for all $x, y \in X$. From condition (i), we have $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Moreover, for all $x, y \in X$, from the monotone property of T , we have

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \Rightarrow x \preceq y \quad \text{or} \quad x \succeq y \Rightarrow Tx \succeq Ty \quad \text{or} \quad Tx \preceq Ty \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1,$$

and

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1, \alpha(y, z) \geq 1 \Rightarrow x \preceq y \preceq z \quad \text{or} \quad x \succeq y \succeq z \Rightarrow \alpha(y, z) \geq 1.$$

Thus T is triangular α -admissible. One the case that if T is continuous, then all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied, so T has a fixed point. The other case if that (X, \preceq, b) is regular. Take $Tx_n = x_n$, we may obtain $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, that is, $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for all n and $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$ for all k . This implies that $\alpha(x_{n(k)}, x) \geq 1$ for all k . Then all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. So T has a fixed point. Next, we show the uniqueness. By hypothesis for $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$. So we get $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(y, z) \geq 1$. Hence the uniqueness of the fixed point is obtained from Theorem 2.7. \square

Corollary 3.9. Let (X, \preceq, d) be complete partially ordered metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an increasing mapping with respect to \preceq . Suppose there exists a function $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\})$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \succeq y$. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$.

(ii) T is continuous or (X, \preceq, d) is regular.

Then T has a fixed point. And, suppose for all $x, y \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$, so the fixed point is unique.

Corollary 3.10. Let (X, \preceq, d) be complete partially ordered metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an increasing mapping with respect to \preceq . Suppose there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \succeq y$. Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$.

(ii) T is continuous or (X, \preceq, d) is regular.

Then T has a fixed point. And, suppose for all $x, y \in X$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $x \preceq y$ and $y \preceq z$, so the fixed point is unique.

3.3. Fixed point theorems for cyclic contractive mappings

Some fixed point theorems for cyclic contractive mappings are obtained (see, e.g., [15, 17, 22, 23, 26, 32]). Next, we will show that some fixed point theorems for cyclic contractive mappings are obtained by our Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.11. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^2$ be nonempty closed subsets of complete b -metric space (X, b) with coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a given mapping, where $Y = A_1 \cup A_2$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $T(A_1) \subseteq A_2$ and $T(A_2) \subseteq A_1$;

(ii) there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and constant $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s}]$, for all $(x, y) \in A_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$b(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda \psi(\max\{b(x, y), b(x, Tx), b(y, Ty), b(x, Ty), b(y, Tx)\}).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point that belongs to $A_1 \cap A_2$.

Proof. Since A_1 and A_2 are closed subsets in the complete b -metric space (X, b) , then (Y, b) is complete. So, all the conditions of Corollary 3.2 are satisfied. Thus we may get that T has a unique fixed point, and it belongs to $A_1 \cap A_2$ (from (i)). □

Corollary 3.12. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^2$ be nonempty closed subsets of complete metric space (X, d) , $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping, where $Y = A_1 \cup A_2$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $T(A_1) \subseteq A_2$ and $T(A_2) \subseteq A_1$;

(ii) there exists a function $\psi \in \Psi$, for all $(x, y) \in A_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}).$$

Then T has a unique fixed point that belongs to $A_1 \cap A_2$.

Corollary 3.13. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^2$ be nonempty closed subsets of complete metric space (X, d) , $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping, where $Y = A_1 \cup A_2$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $T(A_1) \subseteq A_2$ and $T(A_2) \subseteq A_1$;

(ii) there exists constant $k \in (0, 1)$, for all $(x, y) \in A_1 \times A_2$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}.$$

Then T has a unique fixed point that belongs to $A_1 \cap A_2$.

3.4. Fixed point theorem on metric spaces endowed with a graph

Recently, Jachymski [14] obtained fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph. Following the paper [14], some fixed point theorems on a metric space with a graph have appeared (see, e.g., [10, 21, 30]). At first, we need to introduce some concepts.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and Δ be the diagonal of $X \times X$. Let G be a directed graph such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices coincides with X and $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$, $E(G)$ being the set of the edges of the graph. Assuming that G has no parallel edges, we will suppose that G can be identified with the $(V(G), E(G))$.

If x and y are vertices of G , then a path in G from x to y of length $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a finite sequence $(x_i)_0^k$ of vertices such that $x_0 = x$, $x_k = y$ and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Let us denote by \tilde{G} the undirected graph obtained from G by ignoring the direction of edges. Notice that a graph G is connected if there is a path between any two vertices and it is weakly connected if \tilde{G} is connected.

The following results are obtained by Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.14. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and G be a directed graph and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose there exists a function $\psi \in \Psi$, for all $x, y \in E(G)$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(\max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}),$$

and which satisfies:

- (i) $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$, and $(x, y) \in E(G), (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) T is continuous or if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in (X, d) such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x^*) \in E(G)$.

Then

- (1) T has a fixed point;
- (2) if $x, y \in F(T)$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G), (y, z) \in E(G)$, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define the mapping $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x, y \in E(G), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which means all the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1 are satisfied. So we can deduce that T has a unique fixed point. \square

Corollary 3.15. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and G be a directed graph and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. Suppose there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ for all $x, y \in E(G)$ such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\},$$

and which satisfies:

- (i) $(x, y) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (Tx, Ty) \in E(G)$, and $(x, y) \in E(G), (y, z) \in E(G) \Rightarrow (x, z) \in E(G)$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$;
- (iii) T is continuous or if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in (X, d) such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $(x_n, x^*) \in E(G)$.

Then

- (1) T has a fixed point;
- (2) if $x, y \in F(T)$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in E(G), (y, z) \in E(G)$, T has a unique fixed point.

3.5. Application to integral equations

Here, we are concerned with the nonlinear quadratic integral equation

$$x(t) = h(t) + \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $X = C([0, T])$ be the set of continuous functions in $[0, T]$ and

$$b(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t) - y(t)|^p, \quad x, y \in C([0, T]).$$

It is easy to see that (X, b) is the complete b -metric space with $s = 2^{p-1}$, $p \geq 1$ [2].

We consider (3.1) under the following assumptions:

- (i) $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous;
- (ii) $f : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and for all $t \in [0, T]$, if $x \leq y$, we have

$$f(t, x) \leq f(t, y), \quad |f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq L|x - y|,$$

where $L > 0$ is a constant;

- (iii) $k : [0, T] \times [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^t k(t, s)|x(s) - y(s)|ds \leq K, \quad t \in [0, T];$$

- (iv) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0(t) = h(t) + \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)f(s, x_0(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T > 0.$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.16. *Suppose the above conditions (i)–(iv) are satisfied. If $\theta LKT < \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$, then the integral equation (3.1) has a unique continuous solution $x^* \in C[0, T]$.*

Proof. We consider the operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$Tx(t) = h(t) + \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad T > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

We show that T is an α - ψ generalized contractive mapping in b -metric spaces, that is,

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \leq \lambda\psi(M(x, y)), \quad (3.3)$$

where $M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$.

Now we let the function $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x(t) \leq y(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and the function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\psi(t) = (\theta LKT)^{p-1}t, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

Obviously, $\psi \in \Psi$.

$$b(Tx(t), Ty(t)) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Tx(t) - Ty(t)|^p. \quad (3.4)$$

Also, if $x(t) \leq y(t)$ is not satisfied, then the inequality (3.3) holds immediately. So we may suppose $x(t) \leq y(t), t \in [0, T]$. From conditions (ii), (iii) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx(t) - Ty(t)| &= |h(t) + \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds - h(t) - \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)f(s, y(s))ds| \\ &\leq \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)|f(s, x(s)) - f(s, y(s))|ds \\ &\leq \theta \int_0^t k(t, s)L|x(s) - y(s)|ds \\ &\leq \theta KLT|x(s) - y(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

So, from (3.4), we get

$$b(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \leq (\theta KLT)^p b(x, y) \leq (\theta KLT)^p M(x, y). \quad (3.5)$$

Taking $\lambda = \theta KLT$ and by (3.5) we obtain

$$\alpha(x, y)b(Tx(t), Ty(t)) \leq \lambda \psi(M(x, y)).$$

So, T is an α - ψ generalized contractive mapping in b -metric spaces.

Take $x_n = T^n x_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$. From condition (iv), we get $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) = 1$. And from condition (ii) we may obtain

$$\alpha(x, y) = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, Ty) = 1.$$

So by induction, we get easily $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1$. Also from the proof of Theorem 2.3, we know that $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$, then $\alpha(x_n, x^*) = 1$. Hence all assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied. So, according to Theorem 2.5 we can deduce that x^* is a fixed point of T , that is, x^* is a solution to the integral equation (3.1).

Also, take $z(t) = \max\{x(t), y(t)\}, t \in [0, T]$. Then for all $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) = \alpha(y, z) = 1$. From Theorem 2.7, we know that x^* is the unique solution to the integral equation (3.1). □

Acknowledgment

The first author is supported by the Educational Science Foundation of Chongqing, Chongqing of China (KG111309). The second author is supported by Chongqing City Board of Education (KJ1705136)

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. A. El-Gebeily, D. O'Regan, *Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Appl. Anal., **87** (2008), 109–116. [3.2](#)
- [2] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas, J. R. Roshan, *Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b -metric spaces*, Math. Slovaca, **4** (2014), 941–960. [3.5](#)
- [3] H. Alikhani, V. Rakočević, S. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, *Fixed points of proximinal valued β - ψ -contractive multifunctions*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **16** (2015), 2491–2497. [1](#)
- [4] H. H. Alsulami, S. Chandok, M. A. Taoudi, I. M. Erhan, *Some fixed point theorems for (α, ψ) -rational type contractive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 12 pages.
- [5] P. Amiri, S. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, *Fixed points of generalized α - ψ -contractions*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fs. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM, **108** (2014), 519–526.

- [6] J. H. Asl, S. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, *On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 6 pages.
- [7] M. Berzig, E. Karapinar, *Note on "Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications*, Thai J. Math., **13** (2015), 147–152. [1](#)
- [8] M. Boriceanu, *Fixed point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-metrics*, Stud. Univ. Babe-Bolyai Math., **54** (2009), 3–14. [1](#)
- [9] M. Boriceanu, *Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b-metric spaces*, Int. J. Mod. Math., **4** (2009), 285–301. [1](#)
- [10] C. Chifu, G. Petruşel, *Generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 9 pages. [3.4](#)
- [11] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11. [1](#)
- [12] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263–276. [1](#)
- [13] J. Harjani, K. Sadarangani, *Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 3403–3410. [3.2](#)
- [14] J. Jachymski, *The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **136** (2008), 1359–1373. [3.4](#)
- [15] E. Karapinar, *Fixed point theory for cyclic weak ϕ -contraction*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011), 822–825. [3.3](#)
- [16] E. Karapinar, B. Samet, *Generalized α - ψ contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2012** (2012), 11 pages. [1](#), [1](#)
- [17] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 79–89. [3.3](#)
- [18] A. Latif, M. Eshaghi Gordji, E. Karapinar, W. Sintunavarat, *Fixed point results for generalized (α, ψ) -Meir-Keeler contractive mappings and applications*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2014** (2014), 11 pages. [1](#), [1](#)
- [19] B. Mohammadi, S. Rezapour, *On modified α - ϕ -contractions*, J. Adv. Math. Stud., **6** (2013), 162–166. [1](#)
- [20] J. J. Nieto, R. Rodríguez-López, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations*, Order, **22** (2005), 223–239. [3.2](#)
- [21] M. Öztürk, E. Girgin, *On some fixed-point theorems for ψ -contraction on metric space involving a graph*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2014** (2014), 10 pages. [3.4](#)
- [22] M. Păcurar, I. A. Rus, *Fixed point theory for cyclic ϕ -contractions*, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 1181–1187. [3.3](#)
- [23] M. A. Petric, *Some results concerning cyclical contractive mappings*, Gen. Math., **18** (2010), 213–226. [3.3](#)
- [24] A. Petruşel, I. A. Rus, *Fixed point theorems in ordered L-spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134** (2006), 411–418. [3.2](#)
- [25] A. C. M. Ran, M. C. B. Reurings, *A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **132** (2004), 1435–1443. [3.2](#)
- [26] I. A. Rus, *Cyclic representations and fixed points*, Ann. Tiberiu Popoviciu Semin. Funct. Equ. Approx. Convexity, **3** (2015), 171–178. [3.3](#)
- [27] P. Salimi, N. Hussain, A. Latif, *Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 19 pages. [1](#)
- [28] B. Samet, *Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **72** (2010), 4508–4517. [3.2](#)
- [29] B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 2154–2165. [1](#), [1](#)
- [30] S. Shukla, *Fixed point theorems of G-fuzzy contractions in fuzzy metric spaces endowed with a graph*, Commun. Math., **22** (2014), 1–12. [3.4](#)
- [31] X.-B. Wu, *Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ contractive mappings in partial b-metric spaces and related fixed point theorems*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), 3255–3278. [1](#)
- [32] X.-B. Wu, L.-N. Zhao, *Viscosity approximation methods for multivalued nonexpansive mappings*, Mediterr. J. Math., **13** (2016), 2645–2657. [1.9](#), [3.3](#)