



## Positive solutions to a nonlinear eigenvalue problem



Yong-Hui Zhou

School of Mathematics and Statistics, HeXi University, Zhangye, Gansu 734000, P. R. China.

### Abstract

In this paper, the existence of positive solutions to a nonlinear eigenvalue problem is obtained by Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem.

**Keywords:** Existence, positive solutions, Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem.

**2020 MSC:** 34B15.

©2020 All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the nonlinear eigenvalue two-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda h(t)f(u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a positive parameter.

We will make the following assumptions:

- (i)  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $f(0) > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $h(t) \in C[0, 1]$  and there exist two constants  $\tau, \kappa : \tau \in [0, 1], \kappa \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $h(\tau) \neq 0$  and

$$\int_0^1 G(t, s)h^+(s)ds \geq \kappa \left[ \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^-(s)ds \right] \quad (1.2)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , where  $a^+$  is the positive part of  $a$  and  $a^-$  is the negative part of  $a$ .

Next, we state the main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let (i) and (ii) hold. Then there exists a positive number  $\lambda^*$  such that BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution for  $\lambda: 0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ .*

Email address: [2823877618@qq.com](mailto:2823877618@qq.com) (Yong-Hui Zhou)

doi: [10.22436/jmcs.021.01.02](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.021.01.02)

Received: 2019-09-11 Revised: 2020-02-06 Accepted: 2020-02-19

## 2. Preliminaries lemmas

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need several preliminary results.

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $y \in C[0, 1]$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = y(t) & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds,$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{6} \begin{cases} (6t - 3t^2 - s^2)s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ (6s - 3s^2 - t^2)t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $y \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $y \geq 0$ , then the unique solution  $u$  of the (2.1) satisfies

$$u \geq 0, t \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover, if  $y_1(t) \geq y_2(t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then the corresponding solutions  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  satisfy

$$u_1(t) \geq u_2(t), \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let (i) and (ii) hold, then for every  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there exists a positive number  $\lambda_1$  such that, for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda h^+(t)f(u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u'''(1) \end{cases}$$

has a positive solution  $u_{\lambda_1}$  with  $|u_{\lambda_1}|_0 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$u_{\lambda_1} \geq \lambda \delta f(0)p(t), t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$p(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^+(s)ds.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2, we know that  $p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . From Lemma 2.1, (2.2) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^+(s)fu(s)ds := Au(t), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $u \in C[0, 1]$ . Then  $A : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is completely continuous and fixed points of  $A$  are solutions of (2.2). We apply the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem to prove  $A$  has a fixed point.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that

$$f(t) \geq \delta f(0), \text{ for } 0 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Suppose that

$$\lambda < \frac{\varepsilon}{2|p|_0 f_1(\varepsilon)} := \lambda_1,$$

where

$$f_1(t) = \max_{s \in [0, t]} f(s).$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f_1(t)}{t} = +\infty,$$

it follows that there exists  $\tau_\lambda \in (0, \varepsilon)$ , such that

$$\frac{f_1(\tau_\lambda)}{\tau_\lambda} = \frac{1}{2\lambda|p|_0}. \tag{2.4}$$

We note that (2.4) implies

$$\tau_\lambda \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, we consider the equations

$$u = \theta Au, \theta \in (0, 1),$$

let  $u \in C(0, 1)$  and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $u = \theta Au$ . We claim that  $|u|_0 \neq \tau_\lambda$ . In fact

$$u(t) = \theta\lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^+(s)fu(s)ds,$$

set

$$w(t) = \theta\lambda \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^+(s)f_1|u|_0ds \leq \theta\lambda f_1(|u|_0)p(t),$$

then by Lemma 2.2 and the fact that  $f(u) \leq f_1(|u|_0)$ , we know that

$$u(t) \leq w(t), \text{ for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Moreover, we have

$$|u|_0 \leq \lambda|p|_0f_1(|u|_0)$$

or

$$\frac{f_1(|u|_0)}{|u|_0} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda|p|_0}, \tag{2.5}$$

which implies that  $|u|_0 \neq \tau_\lambda$ . Thus by Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem,  $A$  has a fixed point  $u_{\lambda_1}$  with

$$|u_{\lambda_1}|_0 \leq \tau_\lambda < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, combining (2.3), (2.5), and using Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$u_{\lambda_1}(t) \geq \lambda\delta f(0)p(t), t \in [0, 1]. \quad \square$$

### 3. Proof of the main result

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let

$$q(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)h^-(s)ds,$$

then  $q(t) \geq 0$ . By (ii), there exist positive numbers  $c \in (0, 1)$ ,  $d \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$q(t)|f(y)| \leq dp(t)f(0) \tag{3.1}$$

for  $y \in [0, c]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Fix  $\delta \in (d, 1)$ , and let  $\lambda_2 > 0$  be such that

$$|u_{\lambda_1}|_0 + \lambda\delta f(0)|p|_0 \leq c \tag{3.2}$$

for  $\lambda < \lambda_2$ , where  $u_{\lambda_1}$  is given by Lemma 2.3, and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(0)\left(\frac{\delta - d}{2}\right) \tag{3.3}$$

for  $x \in [-c, c]$ ,  $y \in [-c, c]$  with  $|x - y| \leq \lambda_2\delta f(0)|p|_0$ .

Let  $\lambda < \lambda_2$ , we look for a solution  $u_\lambda$  of the form  $u_\lambda + v_\lambda$ . Here  $v_\lambda$  solves

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda h^+(t)(f(u_{\lambda_1} + v) - f(u_{\lambda_1})) - \lambda h^-(t)f(u_{\lambda_1} + v), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

For each  $\omega \in C[0, 1]$ , let  $v = T(\omega)$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda h^+(t)(f(u_{\lambda_1} + \omega) - f(u_{\lambda_1})) - \lambda h^-(t)f(u_{\lambda_1} + \omega), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

then  $T : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is completely continuous. Let  $v \in C[0, 1]$  and  $\theta \in C[0, 1]$  be such that  $v = \theta T v$ . Then we have

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \theta \lambda h^+(t)(f(u_{\lambda_1} + v) - f(u_{\lambda_1})) - \theta \lambda h^-(t)f(u_{\lambda_1} + v), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 = u''(0) = u'''(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We claim that  $|v|_0 \neq \lambda \delta f(0)|p_0|$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $|v|_0 \neq \lambda \delta f(0)|p_0|$ . Then by (3.2) and (3.3), we obtain

$$|u_{\lambda_1} + v|_0 \leq |u_{\lambda_1}|_0 + |v|_0 \leq c$$

and

$$|f(u_{\lambda_1} + v) - f(u_{\lambda_1})|_0 \leq f(0) \left( \frac{\delta - d}{2} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.1), (3.4), Lemmas 2.1, and 2.2, we have

$$|v(t)| \leq \lambda \left( \frac{\delta - d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t) + \lambda d f(0)p(t) = \lambda \left( \frac{\delta + d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t). \quad (3.5)$$

In particular,

$$|v|_0 \leq \lambda \left( \frac{\delta + d}{2} \right) f(0)p_0 < \lambda \delta f(0)|p|_0$$

is a contradiction, and the claim is proved. Thus by Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem,  $T$  has a fixed point  $v_\lambda$  with

$$|v_\lambda|_0 \leq \lambda \delta f(0)|p|_0.$$

Using (2.2) and (3.5), we obtain

$$u_\lambda \geq u_{\lambda_1} - |v_\lambda| \geq \lambda \delta f(0)p(t) - \lambda \left( \frac{\delta + d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t)$$

and

$$\lambda \delta f(0)p(t) - \lambda \left( \frac{\delta + d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t) = \lambda \left( \frac{\delta - d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t).$$

Therefore,

$$u_\lambda \geq \lambda \left( \frac{\delta - d}{2} \right) f(0)p(t) \geq 0,$$

i.e.,  $u_\lambda$  is a positive solution of (1.1). The proof is completed.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by the Youth Fund of Hexi University of China (QN2018008).

## References

- [1] R. I. Avery, *A generalization of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem*, Math. Sci. Res. Hot-line., **3** (1998), 9–14.
- [2] R. I. Avery, A. C. Peterson, *Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **42** (2001), 313–322.

- 
- [3] Z. B. Bai, *The upper and lower solution method for some fourth-order boundary value problems*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **67** (2007), 1704–1709.
  - [4] C. Z. Bai, *Triple positive solutions of three-point boundary value problems of fourth-order differential equations*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **56** (2008), 1364–1371.
  - [5] Z. B. Bai, Y. F. Wang, W. G. Ge, *Triple positive solutions for a class of two-point boundary value problems*, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **2004** (2004), 8 pages.
  - [6] J. R. Graef, L. J. Kong, B. Yang, *Positive solutions of boundary value problems for discrete and continuous beam equations*, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, **41** (2013), 197–208.
  - [7] B. Liu, *Positive solutions of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **148** (2004), 407–420.
  - [8] J.-P. Sun, W.-T. Li, Y.-H. Zhao, *Three positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **288** (2003), 708–716.
  - [9] Y. P. Sun, X. P. Zhang, M. Zhao, *Successive iteration of positive solutions for fourth-order two-point boundary value problems*, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2013** (2013), 8 pages.
  - [10] Y.-R. Yang, *Triple positive solutions of a class of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems*, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23** (2010), 366–370.