

# An optimal fourth order method for solving nonlinear equations 

M. A. Hafiz ${ }^{\text {a }}$, M. Q. Khirallah ${ }^{\text {a,b,* }}$<br>${ }^{\text {a D Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Najran University, Najran 1988, Saudi Arabia. }}$<br>${ }^{b}$ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Ibb University, Yemen.


#### Abstract

In this paper, we use both weight functions and composition techniques together for solving non-linear equations. We designed a new fourth order iterative method to increase the order of convergence without increasing the functional evaluations in a drastic way. This method uses one evaluation of the function and two evaluations of the first derivative. The new method attains the optimality with efficiency index 1.587. The convergence analysis of our new methods is discussed. Furthermore, the correlations between the attracting domains and the corresponding required number of iterations have also been illustrated and discussed. The comparison with several numerical methods and the use of complex dynamics and basins of attraction show that the new method gives good results.


Keywords: Nonlinear equations, basins of attraction, iterative methods, optimal methods, complex dynamics.
2020 MSC: 41A25, 65H05, 65K05.
(C)2021 All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Numerical analysis has interesting applications in several branches of pure and applied science that can be studied in the general framework of the non-linear equations [2, 12, 17, 26, 32]. Searching out a solution for non-linear equations is highly significant. Due to their importance, several numerical methods have been suggested and analyzed under different conditions $[1,6,9,12,21,23,25,30]$. These numerical methods have been constructed by using different techniques for solving the non-linear equations such as Taylor series, quadrature formula, the variational iteration method, and the decomposition method [3, 7, 11, 15, 18, 20, 21, 33].

In this research, we describe a new iterative method from the first derivative to find a simple root $r$ of a non-linear equation by using both weight functions and composition techniques together. We designed a new iterative method to increase the order of convergence without increasing the functional evaluations in a drastic way. We can achieve the optimal order without difficulties, as we will show, the obtained two-step method is of fourth-order of convergence and requires three evaluations of the function $f(x)$. Commonly in literature, the efficiency of an iterative method is measured by the efficiency

[^0]index defined as $I \approx p^{1 / d}$, where $p$ is the order of convergence and $d$ is the total number of functional evaluations per iteration. Therefore, this method has efficiency index $4^{1 / 3} \approx 1.5874$ that is the new method which reaches the optimal order of convergence four. In this research, we also study and analyze the stability of the designed method by using tools of complex dynamics. Through the use of these tools, it is possible to compare different algorithms in terms of their basins of attraction and the dynamical behavior of the iterative method on the complex plane [19, 28, 29, 31]. Furthermore, comparisons with several numerical methods, and the use of complex dynamics and basins of attraction are done in this paper $[4,5,8,10,13,14,24,27]$.

## 2. The fourth-order method

To constrict the method of optimal fourth order of convergence, we designed the following iterative methods through the composition of two steps, in which the first step is similar to Jarrett's method [14], and by using a weight function $W$ depending on which is included in the second step. Provided that the number of functional evaluations per full iteration is three; thus, we consider the iterative expression as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{3 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} W(\eta) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W(\eta)=\frac{1}{6}[a+b \eta+c \ln (2-\eta)], 2-\eta \geqslant 1$ and $\eta=\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{f^{\prime}(y)}$ without the index $n$. The following theorem indicates the conditions on the weight functions in (2.1), such that the order of convergence will arrive at the optimal level four.

Theorem 2.1. Let $e_{n}=x_{n}-r$ be a real sufficiently differentiable function in an open interval $I$ and let $r \in I$ be a simple root of $f(x)=0$. If $x_{0}$ is close enough to $\alpha$ and $W(\eta)$ that satisfies $a=1, b=3$, and $c=-4$, then iterative family (2.1) converges to $r$ with order of convergence four, and its error equation is

$$
e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{234}\left(299 c_{2}^{3}-234 c_{2} c_{3}+27 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
$$

where $c_{n}=\frac{f^{(k)}(r)}{n!f^{\prime}(r)}, n \geqslant 2$.
Proof. Let $e_{n}=x_{n}-r$ be the error at $n^{\text {th }}$ computing step. By using Taylor's expansion of $x_{n}$ for the root $r$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(r)\left[e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(r)\left[1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+5 c_{5} e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now from (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(7 c_{2} c_{3}-4 c_{2}^{3}+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=\frac{r}{3}+\frac{2}{3} c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{4}{3}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\frac{2}{3}\left(4 c_{2}^{3}-7 c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(r)\left[1+\frac{2}{3} c_{2} e_{n}+\frac{1}{3}\left(c_{3}+4 c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}-\frac{2}{3} c_{2}^{3}+\frac{1}{27} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}\right.  \tag{2.6}\\
& +\left(\frac{8}{3}\left(2 c_{2}^{4}+c_{3}^{2}-4 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}\right)+\frac{44}{9} c_{2} c_{4}+\frac{5}{81} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The expansion of the weight function variable $\eta$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta= & \frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}=1-\frac{4}{3} c_{2} e_{n}+\frac{4}{9}\left(-5 c_{2}^{2}+6 c_{3}\right)_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\frac{8}{27}\left(8 c_{2}^{3}-21 c_{2} c_{3}+13 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3} \\
& -\frac{4}{81}\left(8 c_{2}^{4}-135 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}+27 c_{3}^{2}+155 c_{2} c_{4}-100 c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, weight function $W(\eta)$ around zero results in:

$$
\begin{align*}
W(\eta)= & \frac{1}{6}(a+b)+\frac{2}{9}(b-c) c_{2} e_{n}-\frac{2}{27}\left(c_{2}^{2}(5 b-3 c)-6 c_{3}(b-c)\right) e_{n}^{2} \\
& +\frac{4}{243}\left[2 c_{2}^{3}(12 b-c)+9 c_{2} c_{3}(3 c-7 b)+39 c_{4}(b-c)\right] e_{n}^{3}  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\frac{2}{243}\left[2 c_{2}^{4}(4 b+21 c)-3 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}(45 b+19 c)+72 c_{3}^{2} b\right. \\
& \left.+c_{2} c_{4}(155 b-51 c)+100 c_{5}(c-b)\right] e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

And so, from (2.2), (2.3), and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}=\frac{1}{2} e_{n}-\frac{1}{6} c_{2} e^{2}-\frac{1}{9}\left(c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\frac{1}{54}\left(50 c_{2}^{3}-15 c_{2} c_{3}-29 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, when using (2.7) and (2.8), the error equation of any method of (2.1) is:

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}-r= & y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} W(\eta)-r \\
e_{n+1}= & \frac{1}{12}(4-a-b) e_{n}+\frac{1}{36} c_{2}(24+a-3 b+4 c) e_{n}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{54}\left[3 c_{3}(24+a-3 b+4 c)+c_{2}^{2}(a+13 b-8 c-72)\right] e_{n}^{3}  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\frac{1}{972}\left[\left(c_{2}^{3}(2592-150 a-378 b+28 c)+9 c_{2} c_{3}(5 a+77 b-40 c-504)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+c_{4}(648+29 a-75 b+104 c)\right] e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The conditions on the weight function $W$ are:

$$
4-a-b=0, \quad 24+a-3 b+4 c=0, \quad a+13 b-8 c-72=0
$$

The solution of this system is: $a=1, b=3$, and $c=-4$. Upon the input of these values on (2.9), the error equation of any method of (2.1) is:

$$
e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{234}\left(299 c_{2}^{3}-234 c_{2} c_{3}+27 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+O\left(e_{n}^{5}\right)
$$

where $e_{n+1}=x_{n+1}-r$. Therefore, the typical algorithms for our proposed method can be written in the following explicit form:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{3 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{6\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)}\left[1+3 \frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}-4 \ln \left(2-\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)\right] . \tag{MHK}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us remark that in terms of computational cost, the developed method (MHK) requires only three functional evaluations per step. So, they have efficiency indices, that is, the new form of method (MHK) which reaches the optimal order of convergence four, conjectured by Kung and Traub [16]. Also, it should be remarked that many literatures have used similar techniques; however, they introduced different methods that are less efficient than the method suggested here [4, 5, 8, 13, 24, 27].

## 3. Graphical comparison by means of attraction basins

It is known that a good selection of initial guesses plays an important role in iterative methods; therefore, all methods converge if the initial estimation is chosen suitably. As a result, the use of classical comparison between different numerical methods is unsuitable. Because of that, to show that a new method is better than an established one, the proposer usually applies his/her new algorithm to find a root of a function and compares its convergence rate with that of an established method. Unfortunately, this procedure does not prove anything as the proposer might have randomly picked a starting point that belongs to the convergent regions of the new method. This can raise a contradictory result such as shown in, e.g., [22]. However, the study of convergence and stability of the iterative method based on basins of attractions of the function gives important information and comprehension of the method behavior at a glance. Therefore, it is an appropriate and important tool to do this. The basic strategy to generate a picture illustrating the global behavior of methods for a polynomial $f(z)$ is as follows. We choose a rectangular region $D$ of the complex plane only for the domain of convergence which contains all roots of concerned nonlinear equation $f(z)$. We finally subdivide the region into rectangles, say $360 \times 360$ for each of them, corresponding to a complex number. We apply the iterative methods from each point of complex numbers as an initial guess $z_{0}$ and color its point according to which root the sequence converges. If the sequence does not converge to a root, we mark it as a black point. Note that the black color denotes the lack of convergence to any of the roots. This happens particularly when the method converges to a fixed point that is not a root or if it ends in a periodic cycle or at infinity. Different colors are used for different roots. In the basins of attraction, the number of iterations needed to achieve the root is shown by the brightness of colors. Brighter color means less iteration steps, and dark color is assigned for showing more iteration. It should be noted that the presence of black dots in the image does not necessarily mean that the method is not convergent at these points or that it is unable to find a solution at these points; however, it means that the method failed to find the solution under the conditions established for convergence, such as the number of steps and the fixed stopping criterion of error allowed in the solution (tolerance).

In order to graphically compare by means of attraction basins, we investigate the dynamics of the new methods with the most recent, most famous, and most effective methods of optimal fourth order.

Because numerical methods for solving nonlinear equations have developed greatly, they are now able to solve any simple equations. Therefore, very complicated equations must be chosen to test the efficiency of the new methods. That is why we also consider the corresponding figure for finding the roots of the function.

For comparisons, in addition to the Newton's method, we will use the more efficient fourth-order methods as mentioned in Table 1, where $y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{2}{3} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$, and the methods in Table 2 of which the first step is Newton's method, where $P_{1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=2\left(\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{y_{n}-x_{n}}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$.

Table 1: Some formulas which are used to solve the problems.

| Method | Second step of the method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jarratt (JR) [14] | $x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{5 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{8 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{3 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{8 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}$ |
| Jaiswal (JPJ) [13] | $x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\left(\frac{2 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{3 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) \frac{3}{16 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}-\frac{3 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{4 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}+\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right)^{2} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$ |
| Changbum (CMB) [5] | $x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{-5 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+30 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)-9 f^{\prime 2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{-f^{\prime}\left(f_{n}\right)}$ |
| Sharma (SB) [24, 27] | $x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\left(\frac{-1}{2}+\frac{9 f^{\prime}\left(n_{n}\right)}{8 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{3 f^{\prime}\left(n_{n}\right)}{8 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right) \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$ |

Table 2: Second step of the method

| Method | Second step of the method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Behzad (BG)[8] | $x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)+f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)} \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$ |
| Chicharro (FC) [4] | $x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f^{2}\left(x_{n}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)+2 f^{2}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$ |
| HBM [10] | $x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\left[\frac{4 f\left(y_{n}\right.}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+P_{1}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right]$ |

## 4. Numerical examples in complex domain

In this section, we present some examples, (6 examples), to illustrate the efficiency of the new proposed iterative method to find complex roots of complex function $f: C \rightarrow C$. We compare between the new fourth order iterative method, namely (MHK) and eight different methods as Jaiswal method (JPJ) [13], Sharma Methods (SB) [24, 27], Changbum method (CMB) [5], Jarratt method (JR)[14], Behzad method (BG)[8], Chicharro method (FC)[4], classical Newton's method (NM), and Bahgat method (HBM) [10]. All these examples are solved in complex domain, and the method proposed used in this paper is by using Mathematica program.

The proposed methods are studied in the complex plane by using basins of attraction. The comparison illustrates the superiority of our proposed method (MHK) in several cases.

Table 3: Some examples and their roots in complex domain.

| Functions | Roots |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{f}_{1}(z)=z^{2}-1$ | $\pm 1$. |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2}(z)=z^{3}-1$ | $-0.5 \pm 0.866025 \mathrm{I}, 1$. |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}(z)=z^{4}-1$ | $\pm \mathrm{I}, \pm 1$. |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}(z)=z^{11}-1$ | $-0.959493 \pm 0.281733 \mathrm{I},-0.654861 \pm 0.75575 \mathrm{I}$, |
|  | $-0.142315 \pm 0.989821 \mathrm{I}, 0.415415 \pm 0.909632 \mathrm{I}, 0.841254 \pm 0.540641 \mathrm{I}, 1$, |
| $\mathrm{f}_{5}(z)=z^{2}-z+1 / z$ | $0.877439 \pm 0.744862 \mathrm{I},-0.754878$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{6}(z)=z^{6}+10 z^{3}-8$ | $0.906359,-0.45318 \pm 0.78493 \mathrm{I},-2.20663,1.10332 \pm 1.911 \mathrm{I}$ |

Table 4: Examples 1 and 2.

| $\mathrm{f}_{1}(z)=z^{2}-1$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=8$, step $=0.01$ | Time | $\mathrm{f}_{2}(z)=z^{3}-1$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=20$, step $=0.01$ | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (MHK) | 100 | 8.83 | (MHK) | 166 | 7.64 |
| JPJ [13] | 4080 | 10.77 | JPJ [13] | 8445 | 10.08 |
| SB [24, 27] | 678 | 9.73 | SB [24, 27] | 7409 | 13.84 |
| CMB [5] | 2375 | 10.37 | CMB [5] | 13517 | 10.67 |
| JR [14] | 3621 | 15.41 | JR [14] | 5393 | 17.43 |
| BG [8] | 1126 | 12.37 | BG [8] | 9219 | 12.36 |
| FC [4] | 4080 | 8.86 | FC [4] | 19188 | 12.06 |
| NM | 797 | 6.94 | NM | 12197 | 6.66 |
| HBM[10] | 2835 | 7.14 | HBM[10] | 10566 | 19.2 |

From Figures 1-6, we illustrate the efficiency of the new proposed iterative method to find complex roots of complex functions, and we compare between the new fourth order iterative method (MHK) and six different methods (JPJ), (SB), (CMB), (JR), (BG), and (HBM). All these examples are solved in complex domain, and the method proposed and used in this paper is by using Mathematica program (11).

From examples 1-6 in Table 4-6 and the Figures 1-6, we see that (MHK) method is better than the other method, and it gives good results.

Table 5: Examples 3 and 4.

| $\mathrm{f}_{3}(z)=z^{4}-1$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=8$, step $=0.01$ | Time | $\mathrm{f}_{4}(z)=z^{11}-1$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=20$, step $=0.01$ | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (MHK) | 1729 | 11.39 | (MHK) | 14082 | 9.41 |
| JPJ [13] | 19446 | 8.08 | JPJ [13] | 27587 | 21.18 |
| SB [24, 27] | 23174 | 11.39 | SB [24, 27] | 41012 | 12.22 |
| CMB [5] | 27706 | 16.5 | CMB [5] | 34524 | 10.78 |
| JR [14] | 15237 | 10.55 | JR [14] | 41561 | 24.31 |
| BG [8] | 26904 | 12.71 | BG [8] | 45622 | 14.29 |
| FC [4] | None | - | FC [4] | None | - |
| NM | 30999 | 9.47 | NM | 74982 | 8.95 |
| HBM [10] | 10810 | 31.82 | HBM [10] | 18978 | 22.43 |

Table 6: Examples 5 and 6.

| $\mathrm{f}_{5}(z)=z^{2}-z+1 / z$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=8$, step $=0.01$ | Time | $\mathrm{f}_{6}(z)=z^{6}+10 z^{3}-8$ <br> Method | Black Points <br> $\mathrm{N}=20$, step $=0.01$ | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (MHK) | 4 | 13.46 | (MHK) | 692 | 33.96 |
| JPJ [13] | 197 | 7.6 | JPJ [13] | 7752 | 36.97 |
| SB [24, 27] | 177 | 11.92 | SB [24, 27] | 16998 | 45.88 |
| CMB [5] | 1902 | 10.33 | CMB [5] | 15343 | 46.27 |
| JR [14] | 424 | 23.87 | JR [14] | 16350 | 67.22 |
| BG [8] | 134 | 13.45 | BG [8] | 24417 | 36.83 |
| FC [4] | 846 | 15.27 | FC [4] | -- |  |
| NM | 494 | 9.47 | NM | 24823 | 37.21 |
| HBM [10] | 7703 | 24.63 | HBM $[10]$ | 5061 | 47.7 |



Figure 1: Example 1, $\mathrm{f}_{1}=z^{2}-1$.


Figure 2: Example 2, $\mathrm{f}_{2}=z^{3}-1$.


Figure 3: Example 3, $\mathrm{f}_{2}=z^{4}-1$.


Figure 4: Example 4, $\mathrm{f}_{2}=z^{11}-1$.


Figure 5: Example 5, $f_{5}=z^{2}-z+1 / z$.


Figure 6: Example 6, $\mathrm{f}_{6}=z^{6}+10 z^{3}-8$.

## 5. Numerical examples in real domain

In numerical analysis, many methods produce sequences of real numbers such as the iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations. Sometimes, the convergence of these sequences is slow and their utility in solving practical problems is quite limited. Convergence acceleration methods try to transform a slowly converging sequence into a fast convergent one. Due to this, this paper has aimed to give a rapidly convergent two-point class for approximating simple roots. The highest possible convergence order was attained by using the smallest possible number of evaluations per full cycle. The local order of our class of iterations was established theoretically, and it has been seen that our class supports the optimality conjecture of Kung-Traub [15]. In the sequel, numerical examples have been used in order to show the
efficiency and accuracy of the novel methods from our suggested second derivative-free class.
In this section, we have developed a new optimal fourth-order iterative method for solving non-linear equations. Using both weight functions and composition techniques together, we designed this method to increase the order of convergence without increasing the functional evaluations in a drastic way.

We considered some numerical examples to demonstrate the performance of the new fourth order iterative method, namely (MHK), and we compared this new method with Jaiswal method (JPJ) [13], Sharma Methods (SB) [24, 27], Changbum method (CMB) [5], Jarratt method(JR) [14], Behzad method (BG)[8], Chicharro method (FC)[4], classical Newton's method (NM), and Bahgat method (HBM) [10]. All these examples were solved in real domain.

In Table 7, our examples are tested with precision $\varepsilon=10^{-200}$. The following stopping criterion is used for computer programs: $\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

And the computational order of convergence (COC) can be approximated using the following formula:

$$
\operatorname{COC} \approx \frac{\ln \left|\left(x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right) /\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right|}{\ln \left|\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) /\left(x_{n-1}-x_{n-2}\right)\right|}
$$

Table 7 shows the difference of the root $r$ and the approximation $x_{n}$ to $r$, where $r$ is the exact root computed with 2000 significant digits, but only 25 digits are displayed for $x_{n}$. In Table 1, we listed the number of iterations for various methods. The absolute values of the function $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and the computational order of convergence (COC) are also shown in Tables 3 and 4. All the computations are performed using Maple 15. The following examples are used for numerical testing:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f_{1}(x)=x^{3}+4 x^{2}-10, & x_{0}=1, & f_{2}(x)=\sin ^{2} x-x^{2}+1, & x_{0}=1.3 \\
f_{3}(x)=x^{2}-e^{x}-3 x+2, & x_{0}=2, & f_{4}(x)=\cos x-x, & x_{0}=1.7 \\
f_{5}(x)=(x-1)^{3}-1, & x_{0}=2.5, & f_{6}(x)=x^{3}-10, & x_{0}=2,
\end{array}
$$

$$
f_{7}(x)=e^{x^{2}+7 x-30}-1, \quad x_{0}=3.1
$$

Table 7: Comparisons of the number of iterations with the various methods required, such that $\left|\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}+1}\right)\right|<10^{-200}$.

| Method | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guess | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 2 | 3.1 |
| (MHK) | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| JPJ [13] | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| SB [24, 27] | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| CMB [5] | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| JR [14] | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 9 |
| BG [8] | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| FC [4] | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| NM | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 10 |
| HBM [10] | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

Results are summarized in Table 7 as shown, and new algorithms are comparable with all of the methods and in most cases give better or equal results.

## 6. Comparison of different methods

Finally, it should be noted that, like all other iterative methods, the new methods from the classes (HBM1)-(HBM5) have their own domains of validity and in certain circumstances should not be used.

Table 8: Comparison of different methods.

| Method | $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{5}$ | COC | $\left\|\mathrm{x}_{5}-\mathrm{x}_{4}\right\|$ | $\left\\|f\left(x_{5}\right)\right\\|$ | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-173$ | 0.4e-694 | 0.11 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | 0.2e-152 | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-610$ | 0.03 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | 0.1e-139 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-558$ | 0.05 |
| CMB [5] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | 0.7e-144 | 0.1e-575 | 0.06 |
| JR [14] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | 0.8e-168 | 0.1e-671 | 0.06 |
| BG [8] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | $0.1 \mathrm{e}-126$ | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-506$ | 0.08 |
| FC [4] |  | 1.36523001341409 | 4 | 0.1e-98 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-393$ | 0.06 |
| NM |  | 1.365230013414096 | 2 | 2.1e-9 | 3.6e-19 |  |
| HBM[10] |  | 1.365230013414096 | 3.99 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-125$ | 3.1e-505 |  |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | 0.1e-66 | 0.2e-267 | 0.19 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | 0.1e-253 | 0.1e-1014 | 0.25 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | 0.1e-238 | 0.1e-954 | 0.23 |
| CMB [5] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | 0.1e-244 | 0.5e-978 | 0.20 |
| JR [14] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-261$ | $0.8 \mathrm{e}-1046$ | 0.23 |
| BG [8] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | $0.6 \mathrm{e}-227$ | 0.4e-908 | 0.17 |
| FC [4] |  | 1.40449164821534 | 4 | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-204$ | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-816$ | 0.22 |
| NM |  | 1.404491648215341 | 2 | $1.5 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-33$ | 0.09 |
| HBM[10] |  | 1.404491648215341 | 4 | 6.1e-226 | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-907$ | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 0.25753028543986 | 4 | 0.2e-65 | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-384$ | 0.08 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 0.25753028543986 | 4 | 0.2e-101 | $0.6 \mathrm{e}-408$ | 0.08 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 0.25753028543986 | 4 | 0.4e-133 | 0.4e-535 | 0.09 |
| CMB [5] |  | 0.25753028543986 | 4 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-117$ | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-471$ | 0.06 |
| JR [14] |  | 0.25753028543986 | 4 | 0.1e-108 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-437$ | 0.06 |
| BG [8] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.2e-157 | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-632$ | 0.06 |
| FC [4] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.1e-138 | 0.2e-557 | 0.09 |
| NM |  | 0.257530285439860 | 2 | $9.8 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $3.4 \mathrm{e}-25$ | 0.04 |
| HBM[10] |  | 0.257530285439860 | 4 | 2.2e-156 | $2.9 \mathrm{e}-231$ | 0.09 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.4e-196 | 0.1e-786 | 0.22 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.1e-194 | 0.1e-780 | 0.19 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-192$ | $0.6 \mathrm{e}-770$ | 0.19 |
| CMB [5] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.4e-193 | 0.1e-774 | 0.19 |
| JR [14] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.3e-195 | $0.6 \mathrm{e}-783$ | 0.20 |
| BG [8] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.9e-185 | 0.7e-741 | 0.17 |
| FC [4] |  | 0.73908513321516 | 4 | 0.2e-180 | 0.2e-723 | 0.19 |
| NM |  | 0.739085133215160 | 1.99 | $2.3 \mathrm{e}-14$ | 2.0e-30 | 0.10 |
| HBM[10] |  | 0.739085133215160 | 3.99 | 9.7e-184 | $6.9 \mathrm{e}-740$ | 0.21 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 2 | 4 | 0.1e-116 | 0.3e-467 | 0.09 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 2 | 4 | 0.4e-110 | 0.1e-440 | 0.06 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 2 | 4 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-95$ | 0.7e-383 | 0.06 |
| CMB [5] |  | 2 | 4 | 0.1e-108 | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-434$ | 0.06 |
| JR [14] |  | 2 | 4 | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-10$ | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-20$ | 0.06 |
| BG [8] |  | 2 | 4 | $0.4 \mathrm{e}-89$ | 0.3e-356 | 0.06 |
| FC [4] |  | 2 | 4 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-77$ | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-308$ | 0.06 |
| NM |  | 2.000000000000011 | 2 | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $3.4 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.03 |
| HBM[10] |  | 2 | 3.99 | $4.7 \mathrm{e}-88$ | 3.9e-355 | 0.08 |
| (MHK) |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | 0.3e-72 | 0.2e-289 | 0.09 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-257$ | 0.1e-1101 | 0.06 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | 0.8e-259 | 0.1e-1035 | 0.03 |
| CMB [5] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | 0.1e-256 | 0.1e-1061 | 0.06 |
| JR [14] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | 0.4e-283 | 0.6e-1133 | 0.06 |
| BG [8] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | 0.3e-247 | 0.4e-989 | 0.06 |
| FC [4] |  | 2.15443469003188 | 4 | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-224$ | $0.6 \mathrm{e}-896$ | 0.08 |
| NM |  | 2.154434690031883 | 2 | 2.2e-16 | 3.2e-33 | 0.03 |
| HBM[10] |  | 2.154434690031883 | 4 | 3.2e-246 | $4.0 \mathrm{e}-988$ | 0.07 |
| $\mathrm{f}_{7}$ | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (MHK) |  | 3 | 4 | 0.7e-94 | 0.5e-373 | 0.14 |
| JPJ [13] |  | 3 | 4 | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-83$ | $0.7 \mathrm{e}-331$ | 0.09 |
| SB [24, 27] |  | 3 | 4 | $0.8 \mathrm{e}-65$ | $0.3 \mathrm{e}-256$ | 0.09 |
| CMB [5] |  | 3 | 4 | 0.6e-71 | $0.1 \mathrm{e}-280$ | 0.09 |
| JR [14] |  | 3 | 4 | 0.1e-78 | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-311$ | 0.09 |
| BG [8] |  | 3 | 4 | 0.1e-58 | $0.8 \mathrm{e}-232$ | 0.08 |
| FC [4] |  | 3 | 4 | $0.5 \mathrm{e}-48$ | $0.2 \mathrm{e}-188$ | 0.09 |
| NM |  | 3.000000000089992 | 2.03 | 3.6e-4 | 1.1e-7 | 0.04 |
| HBM[10] |  | 3 | 3.99 | $1.0 \mathrm{e}-57$ | 8.7e-231 | 0.10 |

## 7. Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed an optimal method of fourth order for finding the roots in complex domain and real domain of non-linear equations. The correlations between the attracting domains and the corresponding required a number of iterations, which also have been illustrated and discussed. Comparisons with several numerical methods and the use of complex dynamics and basins of attraction show that the new method gives good results.
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