



Cone A_b -metric space and some coupled fixed point theorems



K. Anthony Singh^{a,*}, M. R. Singh^b, M. Bina Devi^a, Th. Chhatrajit Singh^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, D. M. College of Science, Dhanamanjuri University, Imphal (Manipur), India.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Manipur University, Canchipur (Manipur), India.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Manipur Technical University, Takyelpat (Manipur)-795004, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we extend the definition of coupled fixed point to mappings on cone A_b -metric space and prove some coupled fixed point theorems. Our results extend the coupled fixed point results of Singh and Singh [K. A. Singh, M. R. Singh, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 10 (2020), 891–905] to cone A_b -metric space. An example is also given to illustrate the validity of our result.

Keywords: Coupled fixed point, cone metric space, cone A_b -metric space.

2020 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

©2022 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The classical Banach contraction principle which forms the foundation of metric fixed point theory has been studied and generalized in various directions by many authors. As a generalization of metric space, Huang and Zhang [5] introduced the concept of cone metric space by replacing the set of real numbers by a general Banach space E which is partially ordered with respect to a cone $P \subset E$.

On the other hand, Sedghi et al. [9] generalized metric space to S -metric space and Bakhtin [2] generalized it to b -metric space. Nizar and Nabil [13] introduced the concept of S_b -metric space. The concepts of S -metric space and S_b -metric space are further extended to A -metric space and A_b -metric space respectively by Abbas et al. [1] and Ughade et al. [14]. Dhamodharan and Krishnakumar [3] also again extended S -metric space to cone S -metric space. Singh and Singh [10] then generalized cone S -metric space to cone S_b -metric space and prove some fixed point theorems. Further, Singh et al. [12] generalized cone S_b -metric space to cone A_b -metric space and proved some fixed point theorems. In the meantime, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [4] introduced the concept of coupled fixed point of a mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$. Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [6] investigated some more coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets. Then, Sabetghadam et al. [8] considered the corresponding definition of coupled

*Corresponding author

Email address: anthonykumam@manipuruniv.ac.in (K. Anthony Singh)

doi: [10.22436/jmcs.024.03.06](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.024.03.06)

Received: 2020-04-10 Revised: 2021-01-28 Accepted: 2021-01-29

fixed point for mappings on cone metric spaces and proved some coupled fixed point theorems. Singh and Singh [11] further extended these results of Sabetghadam et al. [8] to cone S_b -metric space.

The aim of this paper is to further extend the definition of coupled fixed point to mappings on cone A_b -metric space and prove some coupled fixed point theorems. Our results extend the coupled fixed point results of Singh and Singh [11] to cone A_b -metric space. We also give an example to illustrate the validity of our result.

2. Preliminaries

Following definitions, properties will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 ([5]). Let E be a Banach space. A subset P of E is called a cone if and only if

1. P is closed, nonempty and $P \neq \{0\}$;
2. $\alpha x + \beta y \in P$ for all $x, y \in P$ and nonnegative real numbers α, β ;
3. $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$.

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq in E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We will write $x < y$ to indicate that $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{int}.P$, where $\text{int}.P$ denotes the interior of P . The cone P is called normal if there is a number $K > 0$ such that $0 \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq K \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$. The least positive number satisfying the above is called the normal constant of P .

The cone P is called regular if every increasing sequence which is bounded from above is convergent. That is, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y$ for some $y \in E$, then there is $x \in E$ such that $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Equivalently, the cone P is regular if and only if every decreasing sequence which is bounded from below is convergent. It is well known that a regular cone is a normal cone.

Definition 2.2 ([11]). Let X be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$, and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, $\forall x, y \in X$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $\forall x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $\forall x, y, z \in X$.

Then (X, d) is called a cone metric space or simply CMS.

Example 2.3 ([5]). Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, $P = \{(x, y) : x, y \geq 0\}$, $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $d : X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that

$$d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha |x - y|),$$

where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition 2.4 ([7]). Let X be a non-empty set and let $b \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $A : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an A_b -metric on X if for all $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the following conditions are satisfied

1. $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$;
2. $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$;
3.

$$A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq b[A(x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) + A(x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) + \dots + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) + A(x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)].$$

The pair (X, A) is called an A_b -metric space.

Example 2.5 ([7]). Let $X = [1, \infty)$. Define $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$A_b(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2,$$

for all $x_i \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric space with $b = 2 > 1$.

Definition 2.6 ([12]). Suppose that E is a real Banach space, P is a cone in E with $\text{int}.P \neq \emptyset$ and \leq is partial ordering in E with respect to P . Let X be a non-empty set, and let the function $A : X^n \rightarrow E$ satisfy the following conditions

1. $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$;
2. $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$;

3.

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq & b[A(x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) + A(x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) + \dots \\ & + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)], \quad \forall x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

where $b \geq 1$ is a constant. Then, the function A is called a cone A_b -metric on X and the pair (X, A) is called a cone A_b -metric space.

We note that cone A_b -metric spaces are generalizations of cone S_b -metric spaces since every cone S_b -metric is a cone A_b -metric with $n = 3$.

Example 2.7 ([12]). Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2$, the Euclidean plane, and $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \geq 0\}$, a normal cone in E . Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A : X^n \rightarrow E$ be such that $A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = A_*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are constants and A_* is an A_b -metric on X . Then A is a cone A_b -metric on X . In particular, we have the function

$$A_*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2, \quad x_i \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

is an A_b -metric on X with $b = 2$. Therefore, the function

$$A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2, \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2 \right),$$

is a cone A_b -metric on X with $b = 2$.

Definition 2.8 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space.

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to converge to x if for each $c \in E, 0 \ll c$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0, A(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x) \ll c$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $c \in E, 0 \ll c$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m \geq n_0, A(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x_m) \ll c$.
3. The cone A_b -metric space (X, A) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Lemma 2.9 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space. Then, for all $x, y, a \in X$,

(i) $A(x, x, \dots, x, y) \leq bA(y, y, \dots, y, x)$;

$$(ii) A(x, x, \dots, x, y) \leq (n-1)bA(x, x, \dots, x, a) + bA(y, y, \dots, y, a).$$

Lemma 2.10 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space, P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $A(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.11 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space, P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If $\{x_n\}$ converges to w_1 and $\{x_n\}$ converges to w_2 , then $w_1 = w_2$. That is, the limit of a convergent sequence is unique.

Lemma 2.12 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space, P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Then a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $A(x_n, x_n, \dots, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.13 ([12]). Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space, P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If $\{x_n\}$ converges to w , then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. That is, every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

3. Main results

We now state and prove our main results.

First we give the corresponding definition of coupled fixed point in cone A_b -metric space.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, A) be a cone A_b -metric space. An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is said to be a coupled fixed point of $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq kA(x, \dots, x, u) + lA(y, \dots, y, v), \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where k, l are nonnegative constants with $k + l \in [0, \frac{1}{b^2})$. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let us choose $x_0, y_0 \in X$ and set $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$, $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$, $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$, $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1), \dots$, $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$, $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$. Then using condition (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) &= A(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\leq kA(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) + lA(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) &= A(F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \dots, F(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), F(y_n, x_n)) \\ &\leq kA(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) + lA(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

If we let $A_n = A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (k+l)(A(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) + A(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ &= (k+l)A_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if we take $\alpha = k + l < 1$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$0 \leq A_n \leq \alpha A_{n-1} \leq \alpha^2 A_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n A_0.$$

If $A_0 = 0$, (x_0, y_0) is a coupled fixed point of F . Let us therefore suppose that $A_0 > 0$. Then for $m > n$, we have

$$A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_m) \leq b[(n-1)A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + A(x_m, \dots, x_m, x_{n+1})]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq (n-1)bA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + b^2A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_m) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + (n-1)b^3A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + b^4A(x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+2}, x_m) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + (n-1)b^3A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + (n-1)b^5A(x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots + b^{2(m-n-1)}A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m) \\
 &\leq (n-1)b\{A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + b^2A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + b^4A(x_{n+2}, \dots, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots + b^{2(m-n-1)}A(x_{m-1}, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_m) &\leq (n-1)b\{A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) + b^2A(y_{n+1}, \dots, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \\
 &\quad + b^4A(y_{n+2}, \dots, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}) + \dots + b^{2(m-n-1)}A(y_{m-1}, \dots, y_{m-1}, y_m)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_m) + A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_m) &\leq (n-1)b\{A_n + b^2A_{n+1} + b^4A_{n+2} + \dots \\
 &\quad + b^{2(m-n-1)}A_{m-1}\} \\
 &\leq (n-1)b\{\alpha^n + b^2\alpha^{n+1} + b^4\alpha^{n+2} + \dots \\
 &\quad + b^{2(m-n-1)}\alpha^{m-1}\}A_0 \\
 &= (n-1)b\alpha^n\{1 + b^2\alpha + b^4\alpha^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + b^{2(m-n-1)}\alpha^{m-n-1}\}A_0 \\
 &= (n-1)b\alpha^n\{1 + b^2\alpha + (b^2\alpha)^2 + \dots + (b^2\alpha)^{m-n-1}\}A_0 \\
 &\leq \frac{(n-1)b\alpha^n}{1-b^2\alpha}A_0 \\
 \Rightarrow \|A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_m) + A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_m)\| &\leq \frac{(n-1)b\alpha^n K}{1-b^2\alpha} \|A_0\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Using the completeness hypothesis, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$. We show that (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F . Using condition 3 of Definition 2.6 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), F(x_n, y_n)) + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bkA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_n) + (n-1)blA(y^*, \dots, y^*, y_n) \\
 &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 \Rightarrow \|A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*)\| &\leq K[(n-1)bk \|A(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_n)\| \\
 &\quad + (n-1)bl \|A(y^*, \dots, y^*, y_n)\| \\
 &\quad + b \|A(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1})\|] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
 \Rightarrow \|A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*)\| &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow F(x^*, y^*) &= x^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$. Thus, (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Now if (x', y') is another coupled fixed point of F , then we have

$$A(x', \dots, x', x^*) = A(F(x', y'), \dots, F(x', y'), F(x^*, y^*))$$

$$\leq kA(x', \dots, x', x^*) + lA(y', \dots, y', y^*),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(y', \dots, y', y^*) &= A(F(y', x'), \dots, F(y', x'), F(y^*, x^*)) \\ &\leq kA(y', \dots, y', y^*) + lA(x', \dots, x', x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$A(x', \dots, x', x^*) + A(y', \dots, y', y^*) \leq (k + l)(A(x', \dots, x', x^*) + A(y', \dots, y', y^*)). \tag{3.2}$$

Since $k + l < 1$, (3.2) implies that $A(x', \dots, x', x^*) + A(y', \dots, y', y^*) = 0$. This means that

$$A(x', \dots, x', x^*) = 0,$$

and $A(y', \dots, y', y^*) = 0$. Hence we have $(x', y') = (x^*, y^*)$. Therefore, the coupled fixed point of F is unique. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq kA(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), x) + lA(F(u, v), \dots, F(u, v), u), \tag{3.3}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where k, l are nonnegative constants with $k + l \in \left[0, \frac{1}{(n-1)b^3}\right)$. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let us choose $x_0, y_0 \in X$ and set $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$, $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$, $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$, $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1), \dots$, $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$, $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$. Using (3.3) and condition 3 of Definition 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) &= A(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\leq kA(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + lA(F(x_n, y_n), \dots, F(x_n, y_n), x_n) \\ &\leq kA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n-1}) + lA(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq kbA(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) + lbA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\Rightarrow A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{kb}{1 - lb} A(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\Rightarrow A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \beta A(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad \text{where } \beta = \frac{kb}{1 - lb} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \beta A(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n).$$

Therefore for $p > q$, we get

$$A(x_q, \dots, x_q, x_p) \leq \frac{(n-1)b\beta^q}{1 - b^2\beta} A(x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1),$$

and

$$A(y_q, \dots, y_q, y_p) \leq \frac{(n-1)b\beta^q}{1 - b^2\beta} A(y_0, \dots, y_0, y_1).$$

$$\Rightarrow A(x_q, \dots, x_q, x_p) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad A(y_q, \dots, y_q, y_p) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } q, p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here we note that $b^2\beta = \frac{kb^3}{1 - lb} < 1$.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Using the completeness hypothesis, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$. We show that (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Using condition 3 of Definition 2.6 and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\ &= (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), F(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq (n-1)bkA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) \\ &\quad + (n-1)blA(F(x_n, y_n), \dots, F(x_n, y_n), x_n) + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq \frac{(n-1)bl}{1-(n-1)bk}A(F(x_n, y_n), \dots, F(x_n, y_n), x_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{b}{1-(n-1)bk}A(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq \frac{(n-1)bl}{1-(n-1)bk}A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + \frac{b^2}{1-(n-1)bk}A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*) \\ \Rightarrow \|A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*)\| &\leq K\left[\frac{(n-1)bl}{1-(n-1)bk} \|A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n)\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{b^2}{1-(n-1)bk} \|A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*)\| \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\ \Rightarrow \|A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*)\| &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow F(x^*, y^*) &= x^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$. Thus (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Now if (x', y') is another coupled fixed point of F , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x', \dots, x', x^*) &= A(F(x', y'), \dots, F(x', y'), F(x^*, y^*)) \\ &\leq kA(F(x', y'), \dots, F(x', y'), x') \\ &\quad + lA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A(x', \dots, x', x^*) = 0$ and so $x' = x^*$. Similarly, we can get $y' = y^*$. Hence we have $(x', y') = (x^*, y^*)$ which shows that the coupled fixed point of F is unique. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq kA(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), u) + lA(F(u, v), \dots, F(u, v), x), \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where k, l are nonnegative constants with $k + l \in \left[0, \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 b^3}\right)$. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let us choose $x_0, y_0 \in X$ and set $x_1 = F(x_0, y_0)$, $y_1 = F(y_0, x_0)$, $x_2 = F(x_1, y_1)$, $y_2 = F(y_1, x_1), \dots$, $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n)$, $y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n)$. Using (3.4) and Condition 3 of Definition 2.6, we get

$$A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = A(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), F(x_n, y_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq kA(F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), x_n) \\
 &\quad + lA(F(x_n, y_n), \dots, F(x_n, y_n), x_{n-1}) \\
 &= kA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_n) + lA(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) \\
 &= lA(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n-1}) \\
 &\leq l((n-1)bA(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_n) + bA(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\
 &\leq l((n-1)b^2A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) + bA(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\
 \Rightarrow A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \frac{lb}{1 - (n-1)lb^2} A(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \\
 \Rightarrow A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \delta A(x_{n-1}, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \quad \text{where } \delta = \frac{lb}{1 - (n-1)lb^2} < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get

$$A(y_n, \dots, y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \delta A(y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n).$$

Therefore for $p > q$, we get

$$A(x_q, \dots, x_q, x_p) \leq \frac{(n-1)b\delta^q}{1 - b^2\delta} A(x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1),$$

and

$$A(y_q, \dots, y_q, y_p) \leq \frac{(n-1)b\delta^q}{1 - b^2\delta} A(y_0, \dots, y_0, y_1).$$

$$\Rightarrow A(x_q, \dots, x_q, x_p) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad A(y_q, \dots, y_q, y_p) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } q, p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here we note that $b^2\delta = \frac{lb^3}{1 - (n-1)lb^2} < 1$.

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Using the completeness hypothesis, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$. We show that (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Using condition 3 of Definition 2.6 and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 &= (n-1)bA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), F(x_n, y_n)) \\
 &\quad + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bkA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x_n) \\
 &\quad + (n-1)blA(F(x_n, y_n), \dots, F(x_n, y_n), x^*) + bA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x_{n+1}) \\
 &\leq (n-1)bkA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x_n) \\
 &\quad + (n-1)blA(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*) + b^2A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*) \\
 &\leq (n-1)^2b^2kA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) \\
 &\quad + (n-1)b^2kA(x_n, \dots, x_n, x^*) \\
 &\quad + ((n-1)bl + b^2)A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &\leq \frac{(n-1)b^2k}{1 - (n-1)^2b^2k} A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x^*) \\
 &\quad + \frac{(n-1)bl + b^2}{1 - (n-1)^2b^2k} A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \| A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) \| &\leq K \left[\frac{(n-1)b^2k}{1-(n-1)^2b^2k} \| A(x_n, \dots, x_n, x^*) \| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(n-1)bl + b^2}{1-(n-1)^2b^2k} \| A(x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}, x^*) \| \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \Rightarrow \| A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) \| &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow A(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x^*) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow F(x^*, y^*) &= x^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get $F(y^*, x^*) = y^*$. Thus (x^*, y^*) is a coupled fixed point of F .

Now if (x', y') is another coupled fixed point of F , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x', \dots, x', x^*) &= A(F(x', y'), \dots, F(x', y'), F(x^*, y^*)) \\ &\leq kA(F(x', y'), \dots, F(x', y'), x^*) \\ &\quad + lA(F(x^*, y^*), \dots, F(x^*, y^*), x') \\ &= kA(x', \dots, x', x^*) + lA(x^*, \dots, x^*, x') \\ &\leq (k + lb)A(x', \dots, x', x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k + lb < 1$, the above inequality implies $A(x', \dots, x', x^*) = 0$ and so $x' = x^*$. Similarly, we can get $y' = y^*$. Hence we have $(x', y') = (x^*, y^*)$ which shows that the coupled fixed point of F is unique. \square

When $k = l$ in Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq k(A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)),$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2b^2})$ is a constant. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Corollary 3.6. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq k(A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), x) + A(F(u, v), \dots, F(u, v), u)),$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2(n-1)b^3})$ is a constant. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Corollary 3.7. Let (X, A) be a complete cone A_b -metric space and P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the following contractive condition

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq k(A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), u) + A(F(u, v), \dots, F(u, v), x)),$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2(n-1)^2b^3})$ is a constant. Then, F has a unique coupled fixed point.

Here we give an example to illustrate Corollary 3.5.

Example 3.8. Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, the Euclidean plane, and $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \geq 0\}$, a normal cone in E . Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A : X^n \rightarrow E$ such that

$$A(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_i - x_n|, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_i - x_n| \right),$$

$x_i \in X$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Then A is a cone A_b -metric on X with $b = 1$ and (X, A) is a complete cone A_b -metric space. Let us consider the mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $F(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{5}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) &= A\left(\frac{x+y}{5}, \dots, \frac{x+y}{5}, \frac{u+v}{5}\right) \\ &= \left((n-1) \left| \frac{x+y}{5} - \frac{u+v}{5} \right|, (n-1) \left| \frac{x+y}{5} - \frac{u+v}{5} \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{(n-1)}{5} (|x+y-u-v|, |x+y-u-v|) \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)}{5} ((|x-u|, |x-u|) + (|y-v|, |y-v|)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v) &= ((n-1) |x-u|, (n-1) |x-u|) \\ &\quad + ((n-1) |y-v|, (n-1) |y-v|) \\ &= (n-1)((|x-u|, |x-u|) + (|y-v|, |y-v|)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$A(F(x, y), \dots, F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq k(A(x, \dots, x, u) + A(y, \dots, y, v)),$$

for $k = \frac{1}{5} \in [0, \frac{1}{2b^2}) = [0, \frac{1}{2})$. Thus the condition of Corollary 3.5 is satisfied and F has a unique coupled fixed point $(0, 0)$.

References

- [1] M. Abbas, B. Ali, Y. I. Suleiman, *Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A-metric space*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 24 pages. 1
- [2] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces*, Funct. Anal. Ulianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst., **30** (1989), 26–37. 1
- [3] D. Dhamodharan, R. Krishnakumar, *Cone S-Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings*, Ann. Pure Appl. Math., **14** (2017), 237–243. 1
- [4] T. Gnana Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal., **65** (2006), 1379–1393. 1
- [5] L.-G. Huang, X. Zhang, *Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **332** (2007), 1468–1476. 1, 2.1, 2.3
- [6] V. Lakshmikantham, L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **70** (2009), 4341–4349. 1
- [7] N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen, N. Mlaiki, *Complex valued Sb-metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal., **8** (2017), 13–24. 2.4, 2.5
- [8] F. Sabetghadam, H. P. Masiha, A. H. Sanatpour, *Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2009** (2009), 8 pages. 1
- [9] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point in S-metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik, **64** (2012), 258–266. 1
- [10] K. A. Singh, M. R. Singh, *Some fixed point theorems of cone Sb-metric space*, J. Indian Acad. Math., **40** (2018), 255–272. 1
- [11] K. A. Singh, M. R. Singh, *Some coupled fixed point theorems in cone Sb-metric space*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **10** (2020), 891–905. 1, 2.2
- [12] K. A. Singh, M. R. Singh, T. Chhatrajit Singh, *Some fixed point theorems in cone Ab-metric space*, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **9** (2021), 112–123. 1, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, 2.11, 2.12, 2.13
- [13] N. Souayah, N. Mlaiki, *A fixed point theorem in Sb-metric spaces*, J. Math. Computer Sci., **16** (2016), 131–139. 1
- [14] M. Ughade, D. Turkoglu, S. Raj Singh, R. D. Daheriya, *Some fixed point theorems in Ab-metric space*, British J. Math. Comput. Sci., **19** (2016), 1–24. 1