# Third Hankel determinant and Zalcman functional for a class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points related with sine function 

 Check for updatesMuhammad Ghaffar Khan², Bakhtiar Ahmad ${ }^{\text {b }}$, Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy ${ }^{\text {c }}$, Wali Khan Mashwania ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Sibel Yalçın ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$, Timilehin Gideon Shaba ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$, Zabidin Salleh ${ }^{\mathrm{f}, *}$
${ }^{a}$ Institute of Numerical Sciences, Kohat University of Science and Technology, Kohat, Pakistan.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Govt. Degree College Mardan, 23200 Mardan, Pakistan.
${ }^{c}$ Department of Mathematics, School of Advanced Sciences, Vellore Institute Technology University Vellore - 632014, India.
${ }^{d}$ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Bursa Uludag University, 16059, Bursa ,Turkey.
${ }^{e}$ Department of Mathematics, Physical Sciences, University of IIorin, Nigeria.
${ }^{f}$ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Ocean Engineering Technology and Informatics, University Malaysia Terengganu, 21030 Kuala nerus, Terenggunu, Malaysia.


#### Abstract

In this article we define a class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points in the domain of sine function. Also, we investigate coefficients bounds and upper bounds for the third order Hankel determinant for this defined class. We also evaluate the Zalcman functional $\left|a_{3}^{2}-a_{5}\right|$. Specializing the parameters, we improve Zalcman functional for the class of starlike functions.
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## 1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}$ represents the class of all analytic functions $\mathrm{f}(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

these functions are regular in the region $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. We denote $\mathcal{S}$ as subclass of class $\mathcal{A}$, which are univalent in $\mathbb{D}$.

[^0]For two analytic functions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ we say that $h_{1}$ is subordinated to $h_{2}$ and symbolically is written as $h_{1} \prec h_{2}$, if there is an analytic function $v(z)$ in $\mathbb{D}$, with the properties that $v(0)=0$ and $|v(z)|<1$, such that $h_{1}(z)=h_{2}(v(z)), z \in \mathbb{D}$. In case if $h_{2}(z) \in \mathcal{S}$ then the following relation holds;

$$
h_{1}(z) \prec h_{2}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad h_{1}(0)=h_{2}(0) \quad \& \quad h_{1}(\mathbb{D}) \subset h_{2}(\mathbb{D}) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the family of functions $h(z)$ that are holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\mathfrak{R}(h(z))>0$ and have the power series of the form;

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n},(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$, also known as the class of starlike functions, is the most important subclass of $\mathcal{S}$, which can be defined as

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left\{\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{A}: \mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{z \mathrm{f}^{\prime}(z)}{\mathrm{f}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}\right\} .
$$

A function $f(z)$ in class $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike with respect to symmetric point, denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}$ which was introduced by Sakaguchi [28], if for any $r_{0}<1$ and for any $z_{0}$ on the circle $|z|=r_{0}$, where the angular velocity of $f(z)$ about the point $f\left(z_{0}\right)$ is positive at $z_{0}$ as $z$ traverses the circle $|z|=r_{0}$ in the positive direction, mathematically

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f\left(-z_{0}\right)}\right)>0, \text { for } z=z_{0},|z|=r_{0} .
$$

Cho et al. [6] introduced and studied the class of starlike functions $\mathcal{S}^{*}(1+\sin (z))$ defined by

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec 1+\sin (z), z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Now we define the subclass $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(1+\sin z)$ of $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}$ in Sine domain as follow

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)} \prec 1+\sin (z)=\Psi(z), z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

One of most attracting area of modern Geometric Function Theory is the Hankel determinant of coefficients of functions for various classes. For given parameters $\mathfrak{j}, k \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$, the Hankel determinant $H_{j, k}(f)$ was defined by Pommerenke [22,23] for a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ having series expansion (1.1) as follows:

$$
H_{j, k}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{k} & a_{k+1} & \ldots & a_{k+j-1} \\
a_{k+1} & a_{k+2} & \ldots & a_{k+j} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{k+j-1} & a_{k+j} & \ldots & a_{k+2 j-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

The Hankel determinants for different orders is obtained for different value of $\mathfrak{j}$ and $k$. When $\mathfrak{j}=2$ and $k=1$, the determinant is

$$
\left|H_{2,1}(f)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3}
\end{array}\right|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|, \text { where } a_{1}=1
$$

Note that $H_{2,1}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}$, is classical Fekete-Szegö functional. In year 1933, the maximum value of $\left|\mathrm{H}_{2,1}(\mathrm{f})\right|$ was obtained for a class $\mathcal{S}$. For various subclasses of class $\mathcal{A}$, the maximum value of $\left|\mathrm{H}_{2,1}(\mathrm{f})\right|$ was investigated by different authors, for details see [7, 16-19, 29-34]. Furthermore, second Hankel determinant when $\mathfrak{j}=2$ and $k=2$ is

$$
H_{2,2}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4}
\end{array}\right|=a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2} .
$$

The upper bound of $\left|\mathrm{H}_{2,2}(\mathrm{f})\right|$ has been studied by several authors. For instance readers are advised to see the work of Hayman [8], Noonan and Thomas [20], Janteng et al. [9], Raina et al. [25], and Orhan et al. [21]. The determinant

$$
H_{3,1}(f)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{2} & a_{3}  \tag{1.3}\\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5}
\end{array}\right|=a_{5}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)+a_{3}\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

is known as third order Hankel determinant. Babalola [2] was the first person to study the upper bound of $H_{3,1}(f)$ for subclass of $\mathcal{S}$. For more details on this topic readers are advised to see the work of several researchers like Zaprawa [35], Raza et al. [27], Khan et al. [11], Cho et al. [5], Lecko et al. [13], Srivastava et al. [32], and Khan et al. [12].

Very recently, Arif et al. [1], investigated upper bounds for third hankel determinant for the class of functions $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\Psi)$ associated with trigonometric sine function. Stimulated by aforementioned work, we determine the upper bounds of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Hankel for the class $\mathcal{S}_{s}^{*}(\Psi)$ of symmetric points associated with sine function.

The following set of Lemmas are vital to our main results.
Lemma 1.1. If $h \in \mathcal{P}$ is expressed in series expansion (1.2), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n}\right| & \leqslant 2 \text { for } n \geqslant 1  \tag{1.4}\\
\left|p_{i+j}-\mu p_{i} p_{j}\right| & \leqslant 2 \text { for } 0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and for complex number $\xi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{2}-\xi p_{1}^{2}\right| \leqslant 2 \max \{1,|2 \xi-1|\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inequalities (1.4) and (1.5), are taken from [24] and (1.6) is obtained in [10].
Lemma 1.2 ([1]). Let $h \in \mathcal{P}$ has power series (1.2), then

$$
\left|\alpha p_{1}^{3}-\beta p_{1} p_{2}+\gamma p_{3}\right| \leqslant 2|\alpha|+2|\beta-2 \alpha|+2|\alpha-\beta+\gamma|
$$

Lemma 1.3 ([26]). Let $m, n, l$ and a satisfy the inequalities $0<m<1,0<r<1$, and

$$
8 r(1-r)\left[(m n-2 l)^{2}+(m(r+m)-n)^{2}\right]+m(1-m)(n-2 r m)^{2} \leqslant 4 m^{2}(1-m)^{2} r(1-r)
$$

If $h(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and has power series (1.2), then

$$
\left|l p_{1}^{4}+r p_{2}^{2}+2 m p_{1} p_{3}-\frac{3}{2} n p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-p_{4}\right| \leqslant 2 .
$$

## 2. Coefficients estimates and Fekete-Szegö inequality

In this section we evaluate the coefficients estimates for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\sin )$. Further we evaluate FeketeSzegö functional for this class.

Theorem 2.1. If $\mathrm{f}(z)$ is of the form (1.1) and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad\left|a_{4}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}, \quad\left|a_{5}\right| \leqslant \frac{3}{4} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_{S}^{*}(\Psi)$, then from subordination definition there exists a Schwarz function $v(z)$ with $v(0)=0$ and $|v(z)|<1$, such that

$$
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=\Psi(v(z))=1+\sin (v(z)), \quad(z \in \mathbb{D})
$$

Since $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}=1+2 a_{2} z+2 a_{3} z^{2}+\left(4 a_{4}-2 a_{2} a_{3}\right) z^{3}+\left(4 a_{5}-2 a_{3}^{2}\right) z^{4}+\cdots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function

$$
h(z)=\frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots .
$$

We have $h(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and by using (1.2)

$$
v(z)=\frac{h(z)-1}{h(z)+1}=\frac{p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots}{2+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+p_{3} z^{3}+\cdots} .
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
1+\sin (v(z))= & 1+\frac{1}{2} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{p_{2}}{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{5 p_{1}^{3}}{48}-\frac{p_{1} p_{2}}{2}+\frac{p_{3}}{2}\right) z^{3} \\
& +\left(-\frac{1}{32} p_{1}^{4}+\frac{5}{16} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{3} p_{1}-\frac{1}{4} p_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} p_{4}\right) z^{4}+\cdots \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{2}=\frac{p_{1}}{4},  \tag{2.4}\\
& a_{3}=\frac{p_{2}}{4}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{8},  \tag{2.5}\\
& a_{4}=\frac{1}{96} p_{1}^{3}-\frac{3}{32} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{3},  \tag{2.6}\\
& a_{5}=\frac{3}{64} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{1}{8} p_{3} p_{1}-\frac{1}{32} p_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{4} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now putting (1.4) in (2.4), we obtain

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}
$$

Now using (1.6) with (2.5), we get

$$
\left|a_{3}\right|=\frac{1}{4}\left|p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{1,\left|2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-1\right|\right\}=\frac{1}{2} \max \{1,0\}=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Using triangle inequality and Lemma 1.2 in (2.6), leads us to

$$
\left|a_{4}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}
$$

By rearranging the equation (2.7), we get

$$
\left|a_{5}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{8}\left(p_{4}-\frac{1}{4} p_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{8} p_{1}\left(p_{3}-\frac{3}{8} p_{1} p_{2}\right)\right|,
$$

using (1.4) and (1.5), we get

$$
\left|\mathrm{a}_{5}\right| \leqslant \frac{3}{4}
$$

Theorem 2.2. If $f(z)$ is of the form (1.1) and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$, then for any complex number $\xi$

$$
\left|a_{3}-\xi a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{1, \frac{|\xi|}{2}\right\}
$$

Proof. Utilizing (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$
\left|a_{3}-\xi a_{2}^{2}\right|=\left|\frac{p_{2}}{4}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{8}-\frac{\xi}{16} p_{1}^{2}\right|
$$

This gives

$$
\left|a_{3}-\xi a_{2}^{2}\right|=\frac{1}{4}\left|p_{2}-\left(\frac{2+\xi}{4}\right) p_{1}^{2}\right|
$$

Application of (1.6), leads us to

$$
\left|a_{3}-\xi a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{1, \frac{|\xi|}{2}\right\}
$$

Corollary 2.3. If $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$ and $\xi=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Hankel determinants

Now we obtain other important results on the basis of which we will evaluate the third Hankel for this class.

Theorem 3.1. If f is of the form (1.1) and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), we have

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right|=\left|-\frac{1}{24} p_{1}^{3}+\frac{5}{32} p_{2} p_{1}-\frac{1}{8} p_{3}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{24} p_{1}^{3}-\frac{5}{32} p_{1} p_{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{3}\right| .
$$

Implementation of triangle inequality and Lemma 1.2, in (2.6), leads us to

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{3}-a_{4}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}
$$

Theorem 3.2. If $\mathrm{f}(z)$ is of the form (1.1) and belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{11}{16} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since from (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), we have

$$
\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|=\left|\frac{p_{1} p_{3}}{32}+\frac{5}{288} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}-\frac{5}{389} p_{1}^{4}-\frac{1}{16} p_{2}^{2}\right|=\left|\frac{5}{128} p_{1}^{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{3} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{16}\left(p_{1} p_{3}-p_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{p_{1} p_{3}}{32}\right| .
$$

Using (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6), we get the desired result.

Theorem 3.3. If $\mathrm{f}(z)=z+\mathrm{a}_{2} z^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ belongs to $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{*}(\Psi)$, then

$$
\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right| \leqslant \frac{25}{32} \simeq 0.78125
$$

Proof. Third order Hankel determinant from equation (1.3) can be written as,

$$
H_{3,1}(f)=a_{3}\left(a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right)-a_{4}\left(a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right)+a_{5}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

where $a_{1}=1$. This provides that

$$
\left|H_{3,1}(f)\right| \leqslant\left|a_{3}\right|\left|a_{2} a_{4}-a_{3}^{2}\right|+\left|a_{4}\right|\left|a_{4}-a_{2} a_{3}\right|+\left|a_{5}\right|\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right|
$$

By implementing (2.1), (2.8), (3.1), and (3.2), we obtain our desired result.

## 4. Zalcman functional

In the field of Geometric function theory, one of the classical conjecture proposed by Lawrence Zalcman in 1960 is that the coefficients of class $\mathcal{S}$ satisfy the inequality,

$$
\left|a_{n}^{2}-a_{2 n-1}\right| \leqslant(n-1)^{2}
$$

The above form holds equality only for the famous Koebe function $k(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}$ and its rotation. When $n=2$, the equality holds for the famous Fekete-Szegö inequality. In literature, many researchers [3, 4, 15] studied about Zalcman functional.

Theorem 4.1. If $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots$ belongs to $S_{s}^{*}(\Psi)$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}^{2}-a_{5}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \simeq 0.25
$$

Proof. In order to find Zalcman functional, we use the equations (2.5) and (2.7), then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}^{2}-a_{5}\right| & =\left|\left(\frac{p_{2}}{4}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{8}\right)^{2}-\frac{3}{64} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{3} p_{1}+\frac{1}{32} p_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{8} p_{4}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{p_{1}^{4}}{64}+\frac{3 p_{2}^{2}}{32}-\frac{7}{64} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+\frac{1}{8} p_{3} p_{1}-\frac{1}{8} p_{4}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{8}\left|\frac{p_{1}^{4}}{8}+\frac{3 p_{2}^{2}}{4}-\frac{7}{8} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) p_{3} p_{1}-p_{4}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

using Lemma 1.3, to the last term will give us required results.

## 5. Conclusion

On the basis of various newly defined classes, we introduced a class of starlike functions with respect to symmetric point in the domain of sine function. For this defined class, known results such as coefficient bounds and upper bounds for third order Hankel determinant are evaluated. Also, more geometric results of interest for this class can be looked into.
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