

Fixed point for fuzzy mappings in different generalized types of metric spaces



A. Kamal^{a,b,*}, Asmaa M. Abd-Elal^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, AlMithnab, Qassim University, Buridah 51931, Saudi Arabia.

^bDepartment of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt.

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to establish some fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings satisfying an implicit relation in left and right quasi-metric spaces. These theorems generalize the corresponding results in [S. Heilpern, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **83** (1981), 566–569], [V. Popa, Stud. Cercet. Științ. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău, **7** (1997), 127–133].

Keywords: Fixed point, fuzzy mapping, lq-metric space, rq-metric space.

2020 MSC: 46E15, 47B33, 47B38, 54C35.

©2022 All rights reserved.

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we mention some concepts and results for fuzzy mappings in metric spaces.

In [8], an element in any fuzzy set has a degree of belonging, a membership function may be used in order to introduce the value of degree of belonging for any element to a set, the value of degree of belonging takes real values on the whole closed interval $[0, 1]$. The *membership function* is

$$\mu_A : X \longrightarrow [0, 1].$$

Let (X, d) be a metric linear space. A *fuzzy set* in X is a function $A : X \longrightarrow [0, 1]$, i.e., it is an element of I^X where $I = [0, 1]$. If A is a fuzzy set and $x \in X$, then the function value $A(x)$ is called the grade of membership of $x \in A$. The collection of all fuzzy sets in X is denoted by $\mathfrak{F}(X)$. Let $A \in \mathfrak{F}(X)$ and α -level set of A , defined by

$$A_\alpha = \{x : A(x) \geq \alpha\} \text{ if } \alpha \in (0, 1]$$

and

$$A_0 = \overline{\{x : A(x) > 0\}},$$

whenever $\overline{\{ \cdot \}}$ is the closure of set (non fuzzy) $\{ \cdot \}$.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ak.ahmed@qu.edu.sa, alaa_kamal@sci.psu.edu.eg (A. Kamal), asmaamoh1221@yahoo.com (Asmaa M. Abd-Elal)

doi: [10.22436/jmcs.025.01.07](https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.025.01.07)

Received: 2020-12-25 Revised: 2021-04-02 Accepted: 2021-04-08

Definition 1.1 ([5]). A fuzzy set A in X is an *approximate quantity* if its α -level set is a nonempty compact subset (non fuzzy) of X for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. The set of all approximate quantities denoted by $W^*(X)$, is a sub collection of $\mathfrak{F}(X)$.

Definition 1.2 ([5]). Let X be an arbitrary set and Y be a metric space. A mapping F is said to be a *fuzzy mapping* if F is a mapping from the set X into $W^*(Y)$, i.e., $F(x) \in W^*(Y)$ for each $x \in X$.

Definition 1.3 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $A, B \in W^*(X)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $CP(X)$ be a set of all nonempty compact subsets of X . Then it is defined the following

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha(A, B) &= \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha\}, & p(A, B) &= \sup_{\alpha} p_\alpha(A, B), \\ D_\alpha(A, B) &= H(A_\alpha, B_\alpha), & D(A, B) &= \sup\{D_\alpha(A, B) : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}, \end{aligned}$$

where D_α is a α -distance, D is a distance between A and B and the Hausdorff distance H is defined on subsets A and B in the collection $CP(X)$ such that

$$H(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}.$$

Proposition 1.4 ([12]). If $A, B \in CP(X)$ and $a \in A$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that

$$d(a, b) \leq H(A, B).$$

Lemma 1.5 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $x \in X$, $A \in W^*(X)$. Then $x \in A_\alpha$ or $\{x\} \subseteq A$ if and only if

$$p_\alpha(x, A) = 0.$$

Lemma 1.6 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $A \in W^*(X)$. Then

$$p_\alpha(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + p_\alpha(y, A),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 1.7 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\{x_0\} \subseteq A$. Then

$$p_\alpha(x_0, B) \leq D_\alpha(A, B),$$

for each $A, B \in W^*(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 1.8 ([5]). Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be fuzzy mapping and $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset T(x_0)$.

Following Popa [13], let G be the family of all continuous mappings $g : [0, \infty)^6 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

- (g₁) g is non-decreasing in the 1st coordinate and g is non-increasing in 3rd, 4th, 5th, and 6th coordinate variables;
- (g₂) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $u, v \in [0, \infty)$, $g(u, v, u, v, u + v, 0) \leq 0$ implies $u \leq kv$;
- (g₃) if $u \in [0, \infty)$ such that $g(u, 0, 0, u, 0, u) \leq 0$, then $u = 0$.

2. Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in left quasi-metric spaces

First, we give the concept of left quasi-metric spaces (abbrev., lq-metric spaces) as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A map $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *distance* on X . A pair (X, d) is said to be a *distance space*. Now, we list some conditions as follows:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ or $d(y, x) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(ii) $d(x, y) \leq d(z, x) + d(z, y)$,

for every $x, y, z \in X$. If d satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii), then (X, d) is called an *lq-metric space*.

Remark 2.2. Clearly, the concept of lq-metric spaces is a generalization of the concept of metric spaces.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ lq-converges to x if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$. In this case, x is called lq-limit of x_n .

Definition 2.4. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ is *Cauchy* if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq m > N$.

Definition 2.5. An lq-metric space (X, d) is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X is lq-convergent.

Now, we state the following lemmata without proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space and let $x \in X, A \in W^*(X)$. Then $x \in A_\alpha$ (i.e., $\{x\} \subseteq A$) if and only if

$$p_\alpha(x, A) = 0.$$

Lemma 2.7. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space and let $\{x_0\} \subseteq A$. Then

$$p_\alpha(x_0, B) \leq D_\alpha(A, B),$$

for each $A, B \in W^*(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.8. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space, $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping and $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset T(x_0)$.

Lemma 2.9. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space. Then any subsequence of lq-convergent sequence in X is lq-convergent.

Also, we state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. Let (X, d) be an lq-metric space and let $A \in W^*(X)$ and A . Then

$$p_\alpha(x, A) \leq d(y, x) + p_\alpha(y, A),$$

for each $A, B \in W^*(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We fined that

$$p_\alpha(x, A) = \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} d(x, z) \leq \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} (d(y, x) + d(y, z)) = d(y, x) + \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} d(y, z) = d(y, x) + p_\alpha(y, A).$$

□

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main theorem in the following way.

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, d) be complete lq-metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping. If there is a $g \in G$ such that, $\forall x, y \in X$

$$g(D(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), p(Tx, x), p(Ty, y), p(y, Tx), p(x, Ty)) \leq 0,$$

then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X , then by Lemma 2.8, then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset Tx_0$. Also, for $x_1 \in X$, there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $\{x_2\} \subset Tx_1$. $(Tx_0)_1, (Tx_1)_1 \in CP(X)$, from Proposition 1.4, then $d(x_2, x_1) \leq D_1(Tx_1, Tx_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & g(d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_1, x_0) + d(x_2, x_1), 0) \\ &= g(d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_1, x_0) + d(x_2, x_1) + d(x_2, x_2), 0) \\ &\leq g(d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_1, x_0) + d(x_1, x_2), 0) \\ &\leq g(d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_2, x_1), d(x_1, x_0), d(x_0, x_2), 0) \\ &\leq g(D_1(Tx_1, Tx_0), d(x_1, x_0), p(Tx_1, x_1), p(Tx_0, x_0), p(x_0, Tx_1), p(x_1, Tx_0)) \\ &\leq g(D(Tx_1, Tx_0), d(x_1, x_0), p(Tx_1, x_1), p(Tx_0, x_0), p(x_0, Tx_1), p(x_1, Tx_0)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (g_2) , there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(x_2, x_1) \leq kd(x_1, x_0)$. Continuing in this way we produce a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \subset T(x_{n-1})$ and

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq k^{n-1}d(x_1, x_0).$$

It follows by induction that $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq k^{n-1}d(x_1, x_0)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-2}, x_m) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + \cdots + d(x_{m+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq [k^{n-1} + k^{n-2} + \cdots + k^m]d(x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq [k^{n-1} + k^{n-2} + \cdots]d(x_1, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $n \geq m$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-m-1} d(x_{n-i}, x_{n-i-1}) \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} k^i d(x_1, x_0) \leq \frac{k^m}{(1-k)} d(x_1, x_0).$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Since (X, d) is complete, then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$. By Lemma 2.9, we obtain the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x^*$. By Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.10, we get

$$p_\alpha(x^*, Tx^*) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + p_\alpha(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + D_\alpha(Tx_n, Tx^*) = d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + D_\alpha(x_{n+1}, Tx^*),$$

for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Taking supremum on α in the last inequality, we obtain from the property (g_1) of G that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*), d(x_n, x^*), d(x_{n+1}, x_n), p(x^*, Tx^*) + d(x^*, x^*), d(x_{n+1}, x^*) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq g(p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*), d(x_n, x^*), d(x_{n+1}, x_n), p(Tx^*, x^*), d(x^*, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq g(D_1(Tx_n, Tx^*), d(x_n, x^*), p(Tx_n, x_n), p(Tx^*, x^*), p(x^*, Tx_n), p(x_n, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq g(D(Tx_n, Tx^*), d(x_n, x^*), p(Tx_n, x_n), p(Tx^*, x^*), p(x^*, Tx_n), p(x_n, Tx^*)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$g(p(x^*, Tx^*), 0, 0, p(x^*, Tx^*), 0, p(x^*, Tx^*)) \leq 0.$$

By the condition (g_3) of G , we have that $p(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. So by Lemma 2.6 we conclude that T has a fixed point. \square

Remark 2.12. Theorem 2.11 generalizes and improves Theorem 3.1 in [8], where X is complete lq-metric space instead of X is complete metric linear space.

Corollary 2.13. Let (X, d) be complete lq-metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq k d(x, y) \text{ for each } x, y \in X,$$

then T has a fixed point.

Proof. We consider the function $g : [0, \infty)^6 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = x_1 - kx_2.$$

Since $g \in G$ we can apply Theorem 2.11 and obtain Corollary 2.13. \square

3. Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings in right quasi-metric spaces

Now, we introduce the concept of right quasi-metric spaces (abbrev., rq-metric spaces) as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set. A map $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *distance* on X . A pair (X, d) is said to be a distance space. Now, we list some conditions as follows:

- (i) $d(x, y) = 0$ or $d(y, x) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$,

for every $x, y, z \in X$. If d satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii), then (X, d) is called an *rq-metric space*.

Remark 3.2. Clearly, the concept of rq-metric spaces is different generalization of the concept of metric spaces.

Definition 3.3. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ *rq-converges* to x if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$. In this case, x is called *rq-limit* of x_n .

Definition 3.4. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ is *Cauchy* if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $m \geq n > N$.

Definition 3.5. An rq-metric space (X, d) is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X is rq-convergent.

Now, we state the following lemmata without proof.

Lemma 3.6. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space and let $x \in X, A \in W^*(X)$. Then $x \in A_\alpha$ (i.e., $\{x\} \subseteq A$) if and only if

$$p_\alpha(A, x) = 0.$$

Lemma 3.7. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space and let $\{x_0\} \subseteq A$. Then

$$p_\alpha(B, x_0) \leq D_\alpha(B, A),$$

for each $A, B \in W^*(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 3.8. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space, $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping and $x_0 \in X$. Then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subseteq T(x_0)$.

Lemma 3.9. Let (X, d) be an rq-metric space. Then any subsequence of rq-convergent sequence in X is rq-convergent.

Also, we state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. Let (X, d) be rq-metric space and let $A \in W^*(X)$. Then

$$p_\alpha(A, x) \leq p_\alpha(A, y) + d(x, y),$$

for each $A, B \in W^*(X)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We fined that

$$p_\alpha(A, x) = \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} d(z, x) \leq \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} (d(z, y) + d(x, y)) = \inf_{z \in A_\alpha} d(z, y) + d(x, y) = p_\alpha(A, y) + d(x, y).$$

□

Now, we are ready to state and prove our main theorem in the following way.

Theorem 3.11. Let (X, d) be complete rq -metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping. If there is a $g \in G$ such that, $\forall x, y \in X$,

$$g(D(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), p(y, Tx), p(Ty, x)) \leq 0,$$

then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X , then by Lemma 3.8, then there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset Tx_0$. Also, for $x_1 \in X$, there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $\{x_2\} \subset Tx_1$. $(Tx_0)_1, (Tx_1)_1 \in CP(X)$, from Proposition 1.4, then $d(x_1, x_2) \leq D_1(Tx_0, Tx_1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & g(d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2), 0) \\ &= g(d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_2, x_2) + d(x_1, x_2), 0) \\ &\leq g(d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_2, x_1), 0) \\ &\leq g(d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_2), 0) \\ &\leq g(D_1(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(x_0, x_1), p(x_1, Tx_1), p(x_0, Tx_0), p(x_0, Tx_1), p(Tx_0, x_1)) \\ &\leq g(D(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(x_0, x_1), p(x_1, Tx_1), p(x_0, Tx_0), p(x_0, Tx_1), p(Tx_0, x_1)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (g_2) , there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(x_1, x_2) \leq kd(x_0, x_1)$. Continuing in this way we produce a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{n+1} \subset T(x_n)$ and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

It follows by induction that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_m, x_m) + d(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_m, x_{n+2}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_m, x_m) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \cdots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $n < m$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} d(x_{n+i}, x_{n+i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} k^i d(x_0, x_1) \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} d(x_0, x_1).$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Since (X, d) is complete, then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$. By Lemma 3.9, we obtain the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = x^*$. By Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 3.7, we get

$$p_\alpha(Tx^*, x^*) \leq p_\alpha(Tx^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x^*, x_{n+1}) \leq D_\alpha(Tx^*, Tx_n) + d(x^*, x_{n+1}) = D_\alpha(Tx^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x^*, x_{n+1}),$$

for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Taking supremum on α in the last inequality, we obtain from the property (g_1) of G that

$$g(p(Tx^*, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, x^*) + d(Tx^*, x^*), d(x^*, x_{n+1}), p(Tx^*, x_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq g(p(Tx^*, x_{n+1}), d(x^*, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, Tx^*), d(x^*, x_{n+1}), p(Tx^*, x_n)) \\
&\leq g(D_1(Tx^*, Tx_n), d(x^*, x_n), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x^*, Tx^*), p(x^*, Tx_n), p(Tx^*, x_n)) \\
&\leq g(D(Tx^*, Tx_n), d(x^*, x_n), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x^*, Tx^*), p(x^*, Tx_n), p(Tx^*, x_n)) \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$g(d(Tx^*, x^*), 0, 0, d(Tx^*, x^*), 0, d(Tx^*, x^*)) \leq 0.$$

By the condition (g_3) of G , then $d(Tx^*, x^*) = 0$. So by Lemma 3.6 we conclude that T has a fixed point. \square

Remark 3.12. Theorem 3.11 generalizes and improves Theorem 3.1 in [8] where X is complete rq -metric space instead of X being complete metric linear space.

Corollary 3.13. Let (X, d) be complete rq -metric space, $x_0 \in X$, and $T : X \rightarrow W^*(X)$ be a fuzzy mapping. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$D(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \text{ for each } x, y \in X,$$

then T has a fixed point.

Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the editor and reviewers for useful suggestions which improved the contents of this paper.

References

- [1] M. Abbas, B. Damjanović, R. Lazović, *Fuzzy common fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23** (2010), 1326–1330.
- [2] H. M. Abu-Donia, *Common fixed points theorems for fuzzy mappings in metric spaces under φ -contraction condition*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **34** (2007), 538–543.
- [3] A. Azam, M. Arshad, I. Beg, *Fixed points of fuzzy contractive and fuzzy locally contractive maps*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **42** (2009), 2836–2841.
- [4] A. Azam, I. Beg, *Common fixed points of fuzzy maps*, Math. Comput. Model., **49** (2009), 1331–1336.
- [5] I. Beg, M. A. Ahmed, *Fixed point for fuzzy contraction mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Mat. Vesnik, **66** (2014), 351–356. 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8
- [6] R. K. Bose, D. Sahani, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **21** (1987), 53–58.
- [7] A. P. Farajzadeh, A. Kaewcharoen, S. Plubtieng, *An Application of Fixed Point Theory to a Nonlinear Differential Equation*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2014** (2014), 7 pages.
- [8] S. Heilpern, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **83** (1981), 566–569. 1, 2.12, 3.12
- [9] M. Imdad, J. Ali, *A general fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces via an implicit function*, J. Appl. Math. Inform., **26** (2008), 591–603.
- [10] E. Karapinar, B. Samet, *Generalized $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2012** (2012), 793–486.
- [11] B. S. Lee, S. J. Cho, *A fixed point theorem for contractive type fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **61** (1994), 309–312.
- [12] S. B. Nadler, *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 475–488. 1.4
- [13] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings*, Stud. Cercet. Științ. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău, **7** (1997), 127–133. 1
- [14] R. A. Rashwan, M. A. Ahmed, *Common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings*, Arch. Math. (Brno), **38** (2002), 219–226.
- [15] B. E. Rhoades, *A common fixed point theorem for sequence of fuzzy mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **8** (1995), 447–450.
- [16] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. Control, **8** (1965), 338–353.