

WHEN IS A QUASI-P-PROJECTIVE MODULE DISCRETE?

Y. TALEBI^{1*} AND I. KHALILI GORJI²

ABSTRACT. It is well-known that every quasi-projective module has D_2 -condition. In this note it is shown that for a quasi-p-projective module M which is self-generator, duo, then M is discrete.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout, R is an associative ring with identity and right R -modules are unitary. Let M be a right R -module. A module N is called M -generated if there is an epimorphism $M^{(I)} \rightarrow N$ for some index set I . In particular, N is called M -cyclic if it is isomorphism to M/L for submodule $L \subseteq M$. Following [3] a module M is called *self-generate* if it generates all its submodules. For standard notation and terminologies, we refer to [4], [3].

Let M be a right R -module. A right R -module N is called M - p -projective if every homomorphism from N to an M -cyclic submodule of M can be lifted to an R -homomorphism from N to M . A right R -module M is called *quasi-p-projective*, if it is M - p -projective. A submodule A of M is said to be a *small submodule* of M (denoted by $A \ll M$) if for any $B \subseteq M$, $A + B = M$ implies $B = M$. A module M is called *hollow* if every its submodule is small.

In [2], S.Chotchaisthit showed that a quasi-p-injective module M is continuous, if M is duo and semiprefect. Here we study, when a quasi-p-projective module is discrete.

Consider the following conditions for a module M which have studied in [3] :

D_1 : For every submodules N of M there exist submodules K, L of M such that $M = K \oplus L$ and $K \leq N$ and $N \cap L \ll L$.

Date: Received: 27 September 2008.

* Corresponding author.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16D40; Secondary 16D60, 16D90.

Key words and phrases. Supplemented Module, H -Supplemented Module, Lifting Module.

D_2 : If N is a submodule of M such that M/N is isomorphism to a direct summand of M , then N is a direct summand of M .

D_3 : For every direct summands K, L of M with $M = K + L$, $K \cap L$ is a direct summand of M .

If the module M satisfies D_1 and D_2 then it is called a *discrete* module.

It is clear that if M is hollow, then it has D_1 and D_2 conditions, since hollow module is indecomposition.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Recall that a submodule N of M is called a *fully invariant* submodule if $s(N) \subseteq N$, for any endomorphism s of M . A right R -module is called a *duo* module if every submodule is fully invariant. A ring R is right duo if every right ideal is two sided. The proof of the following Lemma is routine.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a duo right R -module and A its direct summand. Then:*

- (1) *A is itself a duo module;*
- (2) *If M is a self-generator, then A is also a self-generator.*

Proof. (1) Let $f \in \text{End}(A)$, $\pi : M \rightarrow A$, $i : A \rightarrow M$ be the projection and inclusion maps. Then $g = if\pi \in \text{End}(M)$. It follows that for any submodule X of A , $f(X) = g(X) \subset X$, proving our Lemma.

(2) Let $M = A \oplus B$. Then $f(M) = f(A) + f(B)$ for any $f \in \text{End}(M)$. Let X be a submodule of A . Since M is a self-generator, we can write $X = \sum_{f \in I} f(M) = \sum_{f \in I} (f(A) + f(B))$, for some subset I of $\text{End}(M)$. Since $f(B) \subset B$, it follows that $f(B) = 0$ for all $f \in I$. Hence $X = \sum_{f \in I} f(A)$. Moreover, f can be considered as an endomorphism of A , since $f(A) \subset A$. This shows that A is a self-generator. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a quasi-p-projective. If $S = \text{End}(M_R)$ is local, then for any non-trivial fully invariant M -cyclic submodules A and B of M , $A + B \neq M$.*

Proof. let $0 \neq s(M) = A$ and $0 \neq t(M) = B$, $s, t \in S$ and $A + B = M$. Define the map $f : M = (s+t)(M) \rightarrow M/(A \cap B)$ such that $f(s+t)(m) = s(m) + (A \cap B)$. For any $m, m' \in M$, $(s+t)(m) = (s+t)(m')$ implies $s(m - m') = t(m' - m) \in A \cap B$. So $s(m) + (A \cap B) = s(m') + (A \cap B)$. Clearly f is an R -homomorphism. By quasi-p-projective, there exist $g \in S$ such that $\pi \circ g = f$ and $\pi : M \rightarrow M/(A \cap B)$ is natural epimorphism. It follows $\pi \circ g(s+t)(m) = \pi(s(m))$. Then $((1-g) \circ s - g \circ t)(M) \subseteq (A \cap B)$. Since S is local, g or $1 - g$ is invertible. If $1 - g$ be invertible we have $(s - (1-g)^{-1} \circ g \circ t)(M) \subseteq (A \cap B)$. $A \subseteq (s - (1-g)^{-1} \circ g \circ t)(M) \subseteq (1-g)^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq (A \cap B)$. Then $A \subseteq (A \cap B)$, that is contradiction. If g be invertible we have $B \subseteq (g^{-1} \circ (1-g) \circ s - t) \subseteq g^{-1}(A \cap B) \subseteq (A \cap B)$. Then $B \subseteq (A \cap B)$, that is contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If M is quasi-p-projective duo module which is a self-generator with local endomorphism ring, then M is hollow, hence it is discrete.*

Proof. It is clear by Lemma 2.2 \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} B_i$ be duo module. Then for any submodule A of M we have $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (A \cap B_i)$.*

Proof. See [1]. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let M be a duo module. If A and B are direct summands of M , then so $A \cap B$.*

Proof. Let $M = A \oplus A_1 = B \oplus B_1$, then by lemma 2.4 $B = B \cap (A \oplus A_1) = (A \cap B) \oplus (B \cap A_1)$. hence $M = (A \cap B) \oplus (B \cap A_1) \oplus B_1$. So $A \cap B$ is a direct summand of M . □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ be quasi- p -projective module where each M_i is hollow. If M is duo module, $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$ then M is discrete.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 every submodule A of M can be written in the form $A = \bigoplus_{j \in J} (A \cap M_j)$ where $J \subseteq I$ and $A \cap M_j \neq 0$. Since $A \cap M_j$ is small in M_j we see that A is small in M . Thus we have proved. □

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that M is semisimple quasi- p -projective duo module and $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$. If M is self-generator, then M is discrete.*

Proof. We have $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ such that M_i is simple, then $\text{End}(M_i)$ is local. By Lemma 2.1 each M_i is duo and self-generator. Since any direct summand of a quasi- p -projective is again quasi- p -projective, it follows from Corollary 2.3 that each M_i is discrete. From Theorem 2.6 that M is discrete, proving our Theorem. □

Acknowledgements. This research partially is supported by the "research center in Algebraic Hyperstructure and Fuzzy Mathematics University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran".

REFERENCES

1. G. F. Birkenmeier, B. J. Muller and S. T. Rizvi, *Modules in which Every Fully Invariant submodule is Essential in a Fully Invariant Direct Summand*, Comm. Algebra, **30** (2002) 1833-1852. 2
2. S. Chotchaisthit, *When is a Quasi- p -injective Module Continuous?*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **26** (2002) 391-394. 1
3. S. M. Mohamed, and B. J. Muller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Math, Soc, Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge, University Press, (1990). 1
4. R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, (1991). 1

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN.
E-mail address: talebi@umz.ac.ir