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#### Abstract

Viscosity iterations which include contraction mapping have been widely used to find solutions of equilibrium problems. Here we introduce a modification of the viscosity iteration scheme by replacing the contraction with a weak contraction. Weakly contractive mappings are intermediate to contractive and nonexpansive mappings and are known to have unique fixed points in complete metric spaces. We apply this iteration to the case of a generalized equilibrium problem. The special case where the weak contraction is a contraction has also been discussed. (c) 2012 NGA. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

An equilibrium problem in a real Hilbert space is the following:
Let $F: C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a bifunction where $R$ is a the set of real numbers and $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $A$ be an $\alpha$ - inverse strongly monotone mapping from $C$ to $H$, that is,

[^0]a mapping $A: C \rightarrow H$ such that
$\langle x-y, A x-A y\rangle \geq \alpha\|A x-A y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in C$ where $\alpha>0$. Then the generalized equilibrium problem is to find $x \in C$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { for all } y \in C \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The above mentioned problem is a very general problem which includes as special cases several optimization problems, variational inequalities, minimax problems etc [2, 9].
The set of solutions of 1.1 is denoted by $G E P(F)$, that is,
$G E P(F)=\{x \in C: F(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C\}$.
Several authors have developed iterative algorithms for finding common elements of $G E P(F)$ and the set of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces [3, 4, 21, 23, 25]. In particular, viscosity approximation methods were applied to this problem in a number of works like [8, 16, 22]. Further the problem of finding common elements of $G E P(F)$ and the fixed point set of mappings like $k$ - strict pseudocontraction and asymptotically $k$-strict pseudocontraction mappings have applied in works like [5, 11, 12, 24]. Viscosity approximation method was introduced by Moudafi [14] for approximating fixed point of nonexpansive mappings. This iteration involves a contraction mapping. Here in this paper we have approximated common element of the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem and the set of fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping by a generalized two step viscosity approximation method where we have replaced the contraction mapping by a weak contraction. Weak contractions are mappings which are more general than contractions and more restrictive than nonexpasive mappings. These mappings are somewhat in between contraction and nonexpansion. Weak contraction principle was first introduced by Alber et al [1] in Hilbert spaces and later established in metric spaces by Rhoades [18]. This and similar types of results have been discussed in a large number of works in recent times [6, 7, 10, 15, 17, 19, 26. We have also shown that our result can be improved if we consider the special case where weak contraction is a contraction.
For any $x \in H$, the metric projection $P_{C}$ from $H$ into $C$ is defined as $P_{C} x=\operatorname{Inf}\{\|y-x\|: y \in C\}$. Obviously, $\left\|x-P_{C} x\right\| \leq\|x-y\|$. It is well known that $P_{C}$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping from $H$ onto $C$, that is, $\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle P_{C} x-P_{C} y, x-y\right\rangle$ for all $x, y \in H$.
Also the Hilbert space $H$ satisfies Opial's condition, that is, for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ the inequality $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|$ holds for every $y \in H$ with $y \neq x$.
A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be a nonexpansive mapping if for all $x, y \in C$
$\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\|$.
A mapping $f: C \rightarrow C$ is said to be a weakly contractive mapping if for each $x, y \in C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f x-f y\| \leq\|x-y\|-\phi(\|x-y\|) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous and nondecreasing such that $\phi$ is positive on $(0, \infty), \phi(0)=0$, $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t)=\infty$ and $\phi(t)<t$, for all $t>0$.

Theorem 1.1. [18] Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space, $T$ a weakly contractive map. Then $T$ has a unique fixed point $p$ in $X$.

We will require the results noted in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.2. [20]. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space $E$ and let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in [0,1] with $0<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n}<1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1}=\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) y_{n}+\beta_{n} x_{n}$ for all integers $n \geq 1$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq 0$. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0$.
In the equilibrium problem for the bifunction $F$ from $C \times C \rightarrow R$, we assume that $F$ satisfies following conditions:
(C1) $F(x, x)=0$ for all $x \in C$,
(C2) $F$ is monotone, that is, $F(x, y)+F(y, x) \leq 0$,
(C3) for each $x, y, z \in C$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} F(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq F(x, y)
$$

(C4) for each $x \in C, y \rightarrow F(x, y)$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.
Lemma 1.3. [9]. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$ and let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into $R$ satisfying conditions (C1)- (C4). Then for any $r>0$ and $x \in H$ there exists $z \in C$ such that $F(z, y)+(1 / r)\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$.
Further, if $T_{r} x=\{z \in C: F(z, y)+(1 / r)\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C\}$ then the following hold:
(1) $T_{r}$ is single valued,
(2) $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive, that is, for any $x, y \in H$

$$
\left\|T_{r} x-T_{r} y\right\|^{2} \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, x-y\right\rangle
$$

(3) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=G E P(F)$,
(4) $G E P(F)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 1.4. [23]. Let $C, H, F$ and $T_{r} x$ be described as in Lemma 1.2. Then the following holds :

$$
\left\|T_{s} x-T_{t} y\right\|^{2} \leq((s-t) / s)\left\langle T_{s} x-T_{t} y, T_{s} x-x\right\rangle
$$

Lemma 1.5. [13]. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ be three sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$
a_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}+c_{n}, \quad n \geq n_{0}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is some nonnegative integer, $\lambda_{n} \in[0,1], \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\infty, b_{n}=o\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}<\infty$.
Then $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Lemma 1.6. [16]. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $A$ be an $\alpha$ inverse strongly monotone mapping from $C$ to $H$. Then for any real number $r>0, I-r A$ is nonexpansive.

Lemma 1.7. [16]. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Given $z \in H$ and $x \in C$, the inequality $\langle x-z, y-x\rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$ holds if and only if $x=P_{C} z$, where $P_{C}$ denotes the metric projection from $H$ onto $C$.

The following lemma is a well known result of functional analysis.

Lemma 1.8. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space. Then every bounded sequence in $X$ has a weakly convergent subsequence.

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H, A$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone mapping from $C$ into $H, S$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself and $f$ be a weakly contractive mapping of $C$ into itself. Let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow R$ satisfying (C1)-(C4). Suppose that $F(S) \cap G E P(F) \neq \phi$. Let $x_{0} \in C$. The sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ are constructed iteratively as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { For } n \geq 0, F\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle A x_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle+\left(1 / r_{n}\right)\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } y \in C  \tag{2.1}\\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S y_{n} \\
y_{n}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}
\end{array} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$. Assume that,
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right)=0$,
(iv) $0<a \leq r_{n} \leq b<2 \alpha$,
(v) $0<c \leq \beta_{n} \leq d<1$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, then there exists $z \in F(S) \cap G E P(F)$ such that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|=0$.
Proof. The bifunction $F$ satisfies conditions (C1)- (C4). Hence, by Lemma (1.3), for given $r>0$ and $x \in C$, the mapping $T_{r}$ given by:
$T_{r} x=\{z \in C: F(z, y)+(1 / r)\langle y-z, z-x\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C\}$
is a single valued mapping from $H \rightarrow C$.
Again $z \in G E P(F) \Leftrightarrow z \in C$ such that $F(z, y)+\langle A z, y-z\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Leftrightarrow z \in C \text { such that } F(z, y)+\left(1 / r_{n}\right)\left\langle z-\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z, y-z\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } y \in C \\
& \Leftrightarrow z=T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z \Leftrightarrow z \in F\left(T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By an application of Lemma (1.3), we conclude that $G E P(F)=F\left(T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right)\right.$ ). Again by Lemma (1.6) , $I-r_{n} A$ is non expansive so that $T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right)$ is firmly nonexpansive for each $n \geq 0$. Thus, $G E P(F)$ is closed and convex so that the mapping $P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)}$ is well defined.
Now $P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f$ is a mapping of $C$ into $F(S) \cap G E P(F) \subset C$ such that
$\left\|P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f(x)-P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f(y)\right\|$
$\leq\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq\|x-y\|-\phi(\|x-y\|)$.
Therefore $P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f$ is a weakly contractive mapping. Hence, by Theorem (1.1),
$P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f$ possesses a unique fixed point. Therefore, there exists a unique element

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \in F(S) \cap G E P(F) \subset C \text { such that } z=P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma (1.3) and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(since $\left.z_{n}=T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}, z=T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z\right)$
Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\},\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{A x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{r_{n}} x_{n}\right\}$ are all bounded.
Next we prove that $\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Putting $u_{n}=\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}$, it follows from lemma that there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} u_{n}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right) / r_{n+1}\right)\left\langle T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} u_{n}, T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right| / r_{n+1}\right)\left(\left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} u_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq\left\{\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right| / a\right\} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right\| & =\left\|T_{r_{n+1}}\left(I-r_{n+1} A\right) x_{n+1}-T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|T_{r_{n+1}}\left(I-r_{n+1} A\right) x_{n+1}-T_{r_{n+1}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}\right\|+\left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} u_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right| \cdot\left\|A x_{n}\right\|+\sqrt{\left\{\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right| / a\right\} M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for all $n \geq 0$,
$\left\|S y_{n+1}-S y_{n}\right\|$
$\leq\left\|y_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\|$
$=\left\|\alpha_{n+1}\left(f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\left(\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\right)\left(z_{n+1}-z_{n}\right)\right\|$
$\leq \alpha_{n+1}\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\|+\left|\alpha_{n+1}-\alpha_{n}\right| \cdot\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z_{n}\right\|$
$+\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|+\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right|\left\|A x_{n}\right\|+\sqrt{\left\{\left|r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right| / a\right\} M}$.
By the assumption imposed on $\alpha_{n}$ and $r_{n}$ we have
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|S y_{n+1}-S y_{n}\right\|-\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq 0$.
From lemma 1.2 we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S y_{n}-x_{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Therefore } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \text {. } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $z \in F(S) \cap G E P(F)$, since $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}$, for all $n \geq 0$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \|\left(x_{n}-z\right)-\left(r_{n}\left(A x_{n}-A z\right) \|^{2}\right. \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 r_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-z, A x_{n}-A z\right\rangle+r_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+r_{n}\left(r_{n}-2 \alpha\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.8), for all $n \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-z\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(S y_{n}-z\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) r_{n}\left(r_{n}-2 \alpha\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) r_{n}\left(r_{n}-2 \alpha\right)\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By the fact that $0<c \leq \beta_{n} \leq d<1, \alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and (2.7) and (2.9) we have $\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\| \rightarrow 0$.
Using lemma (1.3) and (2.5), for all $n \geq 0$, we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq\left\langle\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z, z_{n}-z\right\rangle \\
& =(1 / 2)\left(\left\|\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& -(1 / 2)\left(\left\|\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}-\left(I-r_{n} A\right) z-\left(z_{n}-z\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leq(1 / 2)\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-\left.z\right|^{2}-\right\|\left(x_{n}-z_{n}\right)-r_{n}\left(A x_{n}-A z\right) \|^{2}\right) \\
& =(1 / 2)\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& -(1 / 2)\left(r_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2}-2 r_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, A x_{n}-A z\right\rangle\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { or, }\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}-r_{n}^{2}\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\|^{2}+2 r_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-z_{n}, A x_{n}-A z\right\rangle . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.2) and 2.11), for all $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} & \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) r_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $(1-d)\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) r_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\|\left\|A x_{n}-A z\right\| . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (2.7), 2.10 and the fact $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty,\left\|x_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Since $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right\}\right.$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ are bounded, $y_{n}=\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-x_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|S y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|S y_{n}-x_{n}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|$, from (2.6) and (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S y_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $(2.4), z=P_{F(S) \cap G E P(F)} f(z)$, we shall prove that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle=0$.
We take a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n_{i}}-z\right\rangle . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and the Hilbert space $H$ is reflexive, by lemma 1.8 there exists a subsequence $\left\{y_{n_{i}}\right\}$ of $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ which converges weakly to $w . C$ is closed and bounded, hence it is weakly closed and hence $w \in C$. Next we show that $w \in F(S) \cap G E P(F)$. Since $z_{n}=T_{r_{n}}\left(I-r_{n} A\right) x_{n}$ for any $y \in C$ we have,
$F\left(z_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle A x_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle+\left(1 / r_{n}\right)\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0$.
From (C2) we have, $\left\langle A x_{n}, y-z_{n}\right\rangle+\left(1 / r_{n}\right)\left\langle y-z_{n}, z_{n}-x_{n}\right\rangle \geq F\left(y, z_{n}\right)$.
Replacing $n$ by $n_{i}$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A x_{n_{i}}, y-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle+\left\langle y-z_{n_{i}},\left(z_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right) / r_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq F\left(y, z_{n_{i}}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \in(0,1]$ and $y \in C$, we define $z_{t}=t y+(1-t) w$. Since $C$ is convex have $z_{t} \in C$.
Therefore, from (2.17) we have,
$\begin{aligned}\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, A z_{t}\right\rangle & \geq\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, A z_{t}\right\rangle-\left\langle A x_{n_{i}}, z_{t}-z_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}},\left(z_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right) / r_{n_{i}}\right\rangle+F\left(z_{t}, z_{n_{i}}\right) \\ & =\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, A z_{t}-A x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle-\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}},\left(z_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right) / r_{n_{i}}\right\rangle+F\left(z_{t}, z_{n_{i}}\right) .\end{aligned}$
Since $\left\|z_{n_{i}}-x_{n_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, we have, $\left\|A z_{n_{i}}-A x_{n_{i}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. From the monotonicity of $A$, we have , $\left\langle z_{t}-z_{n_{i}}, A z_{t}-\right.$ $\left.A x_{n_{i}}\right\rangle \geq 0$. Therefore, from (C4), we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle z_{t}-w, A z_{t}\right\rangle \geq F\left(z_{t}, w\right) \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (C1), (C4) and 2.18 we also have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=F\left(z_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq t F\left(z_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) F\left(z_{t}, w\right) \\
& \leq t F\left(z_{t}, y\right)+(1-t)\left\langle z_{t}-w, A z_{t}\right\rangle \\
& =t F\left(z_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) t\left\langle y-w, A z_{t}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $0 \leq F\left(z_{t}, y\right)+(1-t)\left\langle y-w, A z_{t}\right\rangle$. Taking $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$, for each $y \in C$, we have

$$
0 \leq F(w, y)+\langle y-w, A w\rangle \text { which holds for any } y \in C
$$

This implies that $w \in G E P(F)$.
Next we show that $w \in F(S)$. If $w \notin F(S)$ we have $w \neq S w$. From Opial's condition and 2.15)
$\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-w\right\|<\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-S w\right\|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-S y_{n_{i}}+S y_{n_{i}}-S w\right\| \\
& \leq \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n_{i}}-w\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $w \in F(S)$. Since $w \in F(S) \cap G E P(F)$, from Lemma (1.7), we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n_{i}}-z\right\rangle(\text { by using } 2.16)\right) \\
& =\langle f(z)-z, w-z\rangle \leq 0 \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

From 2.19 we can consider for some positive integer $n \geq n_{l}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $n \geq n_{l},\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2}=\left\|\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-z\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(S y_{n}-z\right)\right\|^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|S y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-2 \alpha_{n}+\alpha_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(1-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(z), y_{n}-z\right\rangle+\left\langle f(z)-z, y_{n}-z\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \leq\left(1-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right)\right\}\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|\right](\operatorname{using}(2.20) \\
& \leq\left(1-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\right. \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|-\left\{\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{0}=\sup _{n \geq 0}\left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}\right\}\left(M_{0}\right.$ finitely exists since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{f\left(x_{n}\right\}\right.$ are bounded sequence $)$.
Now, $2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\left\{1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]$
$\leq \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]\left[\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right]$
Now, $\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\|^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} M_{0}+\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]\left[\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right]$
$\leq 2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]$
$\leq 2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]$
Therefore, $\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} \leq\left[1-2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)+2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}$

$$
+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left[2-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]
$$

Next we have to prove $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|=0$.
If not, let $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \neq 0$.
By the above consideration and the condition imposed on ' $\phi$ ' we can consider for some positive integer $n_{k}$, $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|>\rho$ where $\rho$ is a positive number, for $n \geq n_{k}$. Let $G=\max \left\{n_{l}, n_{k}\right\}$ Now for all $n \geq G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} & \leq\left[1-2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \rho\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right] \\
& \leq\left[1-2 \alpha_{n}(1-d) \rho\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left[1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the lemma 1.5 we can say that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|=0$ which is a contradiction to our initial assumption. Therefore we are left with only alternative $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|=0$. This proves the theorem.

Note: As an example, if $C=[0,1]$, then in the viscosity iteration (2.1) - (2.3) in Theorem (2.1) we can choose the weak contraction $f$ as $f x=x-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}$ for all $x \in C$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H, A$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone mapping from $C$ into $H, S$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself and $f$ be a weakly contractive mapping of $C$ into itself. Let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow R$ satisfying (C1)-(C4). Suppose that $F(S) \cap G E P(F) \neq \phi$. The sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ are constructed as in Theorem (2.1) Assume that,
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right)=0$,
(iv) $0<a \leq r_{n} \leq b<2 \alpha$,
(v) $0<c \leq \beta_{n} \leq d<1$.

If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent then it converges to a point in $F(S) \cap G E P(F)$.
Proof. Since a convergent sequence is bounded, proceeding exactly as in Theorem (2.1) we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\|^{2} \leq\left[1-2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)+2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\{1-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}\right]\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{0}\left[2-\phi\left(\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right) /\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by 2.21 we can say that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent and converges to $z$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H, A$ be an $\alpha$-inverse strongly monotone mapping from $C$ into $H, S$ be a nonexpansive mapping of $C$ into itself and $f$ be $a$ contractive mapping of $C$ into itself. Let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C \rightarrow R$ satisfying (C1)-(C4). Suppose that $F(S) \cap G E P(F) \neq \phi$.The sequences $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ are constructed as in Theorem 2.1) Assume that,
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$,
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$,
(iii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n+1}-r_{n}\right)=0$,
(iv) $0<a \leq r_{n} \leq b<2 \alpha$,
(v) $0<c \leq \beta_{n} \leq d<1$.

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is convergent to a point in $F(S) \cap G E P(F)$.
Proof. The proof is identical with that of theorem 2.1 except that the boundedness of the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ now follows from the given condition of the theorem.
By (2.3) we have for any $z \in F(S) \cap G E P(F)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y_{n}-z\right\| & =\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-z\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(z_{n}-z\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(z)+f(z)-z\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|z_{n}-z\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(z)\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(z)-z\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} \theta\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(z)-z\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is the contraction coefficient.

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\theta)\right)\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|+\alpha_{n}\|f(z)-z\| \\
& \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|,(1 /(1-\theta))\|f(z)-z\|\right\} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by $(2.2)$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\| & =\left\|\beta_{n}\left(x_{n}-z\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left(S y_{n}-z\right)\right\| \\
& \left.\leq \beta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \| y_{n}-z\right) \| \\
& \left.\leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|,(1 /(1-\theta))\|f(z)-z\|\right\} .(\text { by } 2.22)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the same process we obtain
$\left\|x_{n+1}-z\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left\|x_{1}-z\right\|,(1 /(1-\theta))\|f(z)-z\|\right\}$.
This implies that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $H$.
Now proceeding exactly as in theorem (2.1) the theorem can be proved.
Remark 1. In this paper we have generalized the viscosity approximation scheme by replacing the contraction mapping conventionally present in the definition of the viscosity approximation with a weak contraction. Its effect on an application of the scheme to a generalized equilibrium problem has been studied here. From the results obtained in this paper we have the following observation. We have strong convergence result $\left\|x_{n}-z\right\| \rightarrow 0$ when we use a contraction as in theorem (2.3) while in the theorem 2.1), where we have used the iteration scheme with a weak contraction, we can only conclude that the limit infimum of $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|\right\}$ is zero. In the above sense the viscosity iteration is weakened with the weak contraction replacing the contraction.
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