

Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications Print: ISSN 2008-1898 Online: ISSN 2008-1901



Positive solutions for Caputo fractional differential equations involving integral boundary conditions

Yong Wang*, Yang Yang

School of Science, Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214122, China.

Communicated by J. J. Nieto

Abstract

In this work we study integral boundary value problem involving Caputo differentiation

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are constants with $\alpha > \beta > 0$, $\gamma > \delta > 0$, $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $g, h \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $^{c}D_t^q$ is the standard Caputo fractional derivative of fractional order q(1 < q < 2). By using some fixed point theorems we prove the existence of positive solutions. ©2015 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Caputo fractional boundary value problem, fixed point theorem, positive solution. 2010 MSC: 34B15, 34B25.

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional differential equations have been widely used in diffusion and transport theory, chaos and turbulence, viscoelastic mechanics, non-newtonian fluid mechanics etc. It has received highly attention and becomes one of the hottest issues in the international research field. For instance, Westerlund [14] utilized fractional differential equations to depict the transmission of electromagnetic wave, the one dimensional model is

$$\mu_0\varepsilon_0\frac{\partial^2 E(x,t)}{\partial t^2}+\mu_0\varepsilon_0x_{00}D_t^\nu E(x,t)+\frac{\partial^2 E(x,t)}{\partial t^2}=0,$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: yongwangjn@163.com (Yong Wang), yynjnu@126.com (Yang Yang)

where $\mu_0, \varepsilon_0, x_0$ are constants, ${}_0D_t^{\nu}E(x,t) = \frac{\partial^{\nu}E(x,t)}{\partial t^{\nu}}$ is a fractional derivative.

As an excellent tool, fixed point method is used for investigating nonlinear boundary value problems and there are a lot of papers devoted to this direction. We refer the reader to some papers involving fractional differential equations [1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18] and the references therein. For example, Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem is used to study the existence of multiple positive solutions for some integral boundary value problems [4, 11, 16]. However, all these works were done under assumption that the nonlinear term is nonnegative. Therefore, it is natural to discuss the existence of positive solutions while the nonlinear term is sign-changing, for instance, see [15, 17, 18].

In [17] the author obtained the existence of positive solutions for the coupled integral boundary value problem for systems of nonlinear fractional q-difference equations

$$D_q^{\alpha}u(t) + \lambda f(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \ D_q^{\beta}v(t) + \lambda g(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \ t \in (0, 1), \ \lambda > 0,$$

$$D_q^j u(0) = D_q^j v(0) = 0, \ 0 \le j \le n - 2, \\ u(1) = \mu \int_0^1 v(s) d_q s, \ v(1) = \nu \int_0^1 u(s) d_q s.$$
 (1.1)

Under the semipositone nonlinearities, by applying the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorems, several existence theorems for (1.1) had been established.

Motivated by the above works, we investigate the existence of positive solutions for integral boundary value problems involving Caputo differentiation

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = f(t, u(t)), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are real constants with $\alpha > \beta > 0$, $\gamma > \delta > 0$, $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$, $g, h \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $^{c}D_{t}^{q}$ is the standard Caputo fractional derivative of fractional order q(1 < q < 2). We consider the two cases:

(1) The nonlinearity is asymptotically linear at infinity, maybe it is negative and unbounded.

(2) The nonlinearity is bounded from below, including sign-changing.

2. Preliminaries

We first offer some basic definitions and facts used throughout this paper. For more details, see [7, 9, 10].

Definition 2.1. For a function f given on the interval [a, b], the Caputo derivative of fractional order q is defined as

$$^{c}D^{q}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{n-q-1} f^{(n)}(s) \mathrm{d}s, \quad n = [q] + 1,$$

where [q] denotes the integer part of q.

Definition 2.2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order q for a function f is defined as

$$I^{q}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{q-1} f(s) \mathrm{d}s, \quad q > 0,$$

provided that such integral exists.

Lemma 2.3. Let q > 0. Then the differential equation ${}^{c}D^{q}u(t) = 0$ has solutions

$$u(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n = [q] + 1$.

Lemma 2.4. Let q > 0. Then

$$I^{q}(^{c}D^{q}u)(t) = u(t) + c_{0} + c_{1}t + c_{2}t^{2} + \dots + c_{n-1}t^{n-1},$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n = [q] + 1$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $q \in (1,2)$ and $y \in C[0,1]$. Then boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = y(t), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = 0, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution u in the form

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\delta(q-1)t(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)}, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1, \\ \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\delta(q-1)t(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)}, & 0 \le t \le s \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Definitions 2.1, 2.2 and Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, we have

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) \mathrm{d}s + c_1 + c_2 t$$
(2.1)

for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$u(0) = c_1, \quad u(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + c_1 + c_2,$$

$$u'(0) = c_2, \quad u'(1) = \frac{q-1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-2} y(s) ds + c_2.$$

In view of the boundary conditions $\alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = 0$, $\gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = 0$, we obtain

$$c_{1} = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{q-1} y(s) ds + \frac{\beta \delta(q - 1)}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{q-2} y(s) ds,$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{\delta(q - 1)}{(\gamma - \delta)\Gamma(q)} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - s)^{q-2} y(s) ds.$$

Substituting c_1, c_2 into the equation (2.1), we find

$$\begin{split} u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} y(s) \mathrm{d}s + \frac{\delta(q-1)t}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-2} y(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-1} y(s) \mathrm{d}s + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-2} y(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \int_0^1 G(t,s) y(s) \mathrm{d}s. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $q \in (1, 2)$. Then boundary value problem

.

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = 0, 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt \end{cases}$$

can be expressed in the form

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)\mathrm{d}t + \phi(t) \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)\mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\phi(t) := \frac{\beta + (\alpha - \beta)t}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

 $\mathit{Proof.}\,$ By Lemma 2.3 we see

$$u(t) = c_3 + c_4 t$$
, where $c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consequently,

$$(\alpha - \beta)c_3 - \beta c_4 = \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt, \ (\gamma - \delta)c_4 = \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt.$$

Hence

$$u(t) = \frac{t}{\gamma - \delta} \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt + \frac{\beta}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)} \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt.$$

This completes the proof.

Let $q \in (1,2)$ and $y \in C[0,1]$. Then from Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 we can obtain the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = y(t), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

is equivalent to

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)ds + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt + \phi(t) \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt.$$
 (2.3)

Throughout this paper we always assume that the following condition holds: (H1) $\kappa = \kappa_1 \kappa_4 - \kappa_2 \kappa_3 > 0, \kappa_1 \ge 0, \kappa_4 \ge 0$, where

$$\kappa_{1} = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{0}^{1} h(t) dt, \quad \kappa_{2} = \int_{0}^{1} h(t) \phi(t) dt,$$

$$\kappa_{3} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{0}^{1} g(t) dt, \quad \kappa_{4} = 1 - \int_{0}^{1} g(t) \phi(t) dt.$$

Lemma 2.7. Suppose (H1) holds. Then (2.3) is equivalent to

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s,$$

where

4

$$H(t,s) = G(t,s) + \frac{1}{\kappa(\alpha-\beta)} \left[\kappa_4 \int_0^1 h(t)G(t,s)dt + \kappa_2 \int_0^1 g(t)G(t,s)dt \right]$$

+ $\frac{\phi(t)}{\kappa} \left[\kappa_3 \int_0^1 h(t)G(t,s)dt + \kappa_1 \int_0^1 g(t)G(t,s)dt \right].$

Proof. Multiplying h(t) on both sides of (2.3) and integrating over [0, 1], we find

$$\int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} h(t) \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)y(s)dsdt + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{0}^{1} h(t)dt \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt + \int_{0}^{1} h(t)\phi(t)dt \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt$

Similarly,

$$\int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt$$

= $\int_{0}^{1} g(t) \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)y(s)dsdt + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_{0}^{1} g(t)dt \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt + \int_{0}^{1} g(t)\phi(t)dt \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt.$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1 & -\kappa_2 \\ -\kappa_3 & \kappa_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt \\ \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^1 h(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)dsdt \\ \int_0^1 g(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)dsdt \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}\int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt\\\int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt\end{array}\right] = \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[\begin{array}{cc}\kappa_4 & \kappa_2\\\kappa_3 & \kappa_1\end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{cc}\int_0^1 h(t)\int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)dsdt\\\int_0^1 g(t)\int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)dsdt\end{array}\right].$$

As a result, we have

$$\begin{split} u(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{1}{\kappa(\alpha - \beta)} \left[\kappa_4 \int_0^1 h(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t + \kappa_2 \int_0^1 g(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t \right] \\ &+ \frac{\phi(t)}{\kappa} \left[\kappa_3 \int_0^1 h(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t + \kappa_1 \int_0^1 g(t) \int_0^1 G(t,s)y(s)\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}t \right]. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.8. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa(\alpha - \beta)} \left(\kappa_4 \int_0^1 h(t) dt + \kappa_2 \int_0^1 g(t) dt \right) + \frac{\phi(0)}{\kappa} \left(\kappa_3 \int_0^1 h(t) dt + \kappa_1 \int_0^1 g(t) dt \right),$$
$$\mathcal{K}_2 := 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa(\alpha - \beta)} \left(\kappa_4 \int_0^1 h(t) dt + \kappa_2 \int_0^1 g(t) dt \right) + \frac{\phi(1)}{\kappa} \left(\kappa_3 \int_0^1 h(t) dt + \kappa_1 \int_0^1 g(t) dt \right).$$

Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\mathcal{K}_1\mathcal{M}(s) \le H(t,s) \le \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\mathcal{K}_2\mathcal{M}(s), \ \forall t \in [0,1], s \in (0,1).$$

Proof. Let

$$g_1(t,s) = \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\delta(q-1)t(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)}, \ 0 \le s \le t \le 1,$$

and

$$g_2(t,s) = \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\delta(q-1)t(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)}, \ 0 \le t \le s \le 1.$$

For given $s \in (0,1)$, g_1, g_2 are increasing with respect to t for $t \in [0,1]$. Hence,

$$\min_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s) = \min\{\min_{t \in [s,1]} g_1(t,s), \min_{t \in [0,s]} g_2(t,s)\} = \min\{g_1(s,s), g_2(0,s)\} = g_2(0,s)$$
$$= \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} := \mathcal{M}(s),$$

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t,s) = \max\{\max_{t \in [s,1]} g_1(t,s), \max_{t \in [0,s]} g_2(t,s)\} = \max\{g_1(1,s), g_2(s,s)\} = g_1(1,s)$$
$$= \frac{(1-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta(1-s)^{q-1}}{(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\delta(q-1)(1-s)^{q-2}}{(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\mathcal{M}(s).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{M}(s) \le G(t,s) \le \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{M}(s), \ \forall t \in [0,1], \ s \in (0,1)$$

This yields

$$\mathcal{K}_{1}\mathcal{M}(s) \leq G(t,s) + \frac{1}{\kappa(\alpha-\beta)} \left[\kappa_{4} \int_{0}^{1} h(t)G(t,s)dt + \kappa_{2} \int_{0}^{1} g(t)G(t,s)dt \right] \\ + \frac{\phi(t)}{\kappa} \left[\kappa_{3} \int_{0}^{1} h(t)G(t,s)dt + \kappa_{1} \int_{0}^{1} g(t)G(t,s)dt \right] \\ \leq \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{M}(s).$$

This completes the proof.

Let $E := C[0,1], ||u|| := \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|, P := \{u \in E : u(t) \ge 0, \forall t \in [0,1]\}$. Then $(E, ||\cdot||)$ becomes a real Banach space and P is a cone on E.

Definition 2.9. Given a cone P in a real Banach space E, a functional $\alpha : P \to [0, \infty)$ is said to be nonnegative continuous concave on P, provided $\alpha(tx + (1-t)y) \ge t\alpha(x) + (1-t)\alpha(y)$, for all $x, y \in P$ with $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let a, b, r > 0 be constants and α as defined above, we denote $P_r = \{y \in P : ||y|| < r\}, P\{\alpha, a, b\} = \{y \in P : \alpha(y) \ge a, ||y|| \le b\}.$

Lemma 2.10. (Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, see [3, 8]) Assume E is a real Banach space, $P \subset E$ is a cone. Let $A : \overline{P}_c \to \overline{P}_c$ be completely continuous and α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P such that $\alpha(y) \leq ||y||$, for $y \in \overline{P}_c$. Suppose that there exist $0 < a < b < d \leq c$ such that (1) $\{y \in P(\alpha, b, d) | \alpha(y) > b\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(Ay) > b$, for all $y \in P(\alpha, b, d)$, (2) ||Ay|| < a, for all $||y|| \leq a$, (3) $\alpha(Ay) > b$ for all $y \in P(\alpha, b, c)$ with ||Ay|| > d.

Then A has at least three fixed points y_1, y_2, y_3 satisfying

$$||y_1|| < a, \quad b < \alpha(y_2), \quad ||y_3|| > a, \quad \alpha(y_3) < b.$$

Lemma 2.11. (see [6]) Let E be a Banach space, and $A : E \to E$ be a completely continuous operator. Assume that $T : E \to E$ is a bounded linear operator such that 1 is not an eigenvalue of T and

$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} \frac{\|Au - Tu\|}{\|u\|} = 0.$$

Then A has a fixed point in E.

Define $A: E \to E$

$$(Au)(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)f(s,u(s))\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then, by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7, the existence of solutions for (1.2) is equivalent to the existence of fixed points for the operator A. Furthermore, in view of the continuity H and f, we can adopt the Ascoli-Arzela theorem to prove A is a completely continuous operator.

3. Main results

For convenience, we set

$$\xi = \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) ds = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \left(\frac{\beta}{q(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)\Gamma(q)} \right), \quad \theta = \frac{\mathcal{K}_1 \beta}{\mathcal{K}_2 \alpha}$$
$$D_1 = \frac{\alpha^2 \mathcal{K}_2^2}{\mathcal{K}_1 \beta^2} \left(\frac{\beta}{q(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)\Gamma(q)} \right),$$
$$l = \mathcal{K}_1 \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(s) ds = \mathcal{K}_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{q(\alpha - \beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \delta)\Gamma(q)} \right).$$

Theorem 3.1. Let (H1) hold true. Moreover, suppose that (H2) $f(t,0) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$, (H3) $\lim_{u \to +\infty} \frac{f(t,u)}{u} = \lambda$, uniformly for $t \in [0,1]$, where $|\lambda| < \xi^{-1}$. Then (1.2) has a positive solution.

Proof. Define $T: P \to P$

$$(Tu)(t) = \lambda \int_0^1 H(t,s)u(s)\mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.1)

Clearly, T is a bounded linear operator, and by Lemmas 2.5, 2.6 and 2.7 we know that (3.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = \lambda u(t), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Next we show 1 is not an eigenvalue of T. We divide two cases.

Case 1. $\lambda = 0$.

This implies ${}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = 0$, and by Lemma 2.6 we get

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt + \phi(t) \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt$$

Multiplying h(t), g(t) on both sides and integrating over [0, 1], we find

$$\int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 h(t)dt \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt + \int_0^1 h(t)\phi(t)dt \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt,$$
$$\int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt = \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \int_0^1 g(t)dt \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt + \int_0^1 g(t)\phi(t)dt \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{array}{cc} \kappa_1 & -\kappa_2 \\ -\kappa_3 & \kappa_4 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt \\ \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right].$$

This, together with (H1), yields

$$\int_0^1 h(t)u(t)dt = 0, \quad \int_0^1 g(t)u(t)dt = 0.$$

Also, $u(t) \equiv 0, t \in [0,1]$ for the fact that $g, h \geq 0$ and $g, h \neq 0$. This contradicts to the definition of eigenvalue and eigenfunction.

Case 2. $\lambda \neq 0$.

We assume that 1 is an eigenvalue of T, i.e., Tu = u. So,

$$\|u\| = \|Tu\| = |\lambda| \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 H(t,s)u(s) ds \le |\lambda| \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) ds \|u\|$$
$$= |\lambda| \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \left(\frac{\beta}{q(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} \right) \|u\| < \|u\|.$$

This is impossible.

Above all, 1 is not an eigenvalue of T, as required.

On the other hand, by (H3), for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that $|f(t, u) - \lambda u| \le \varepsilon u$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, $u \ge M_1$. Moreover, if $u \le M_1$, then $|f(t, u) - \lambda u|$ is bounded for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Consequently, there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$|f(t,u) - \lambda u| \le \varepsilon u + \zeta$$
, for $t \in [0,1], u \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Hence

$$\begin{split} \|Au - Tu\| &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \int_0^1 H(t,s)(f(s,u(s)) - \lambda u(s)) \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 H(t,s) |(f(s,u(s)) - \lambda u(s))| \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 H(t,s) \mathrm{d}s(\varepsilon \|u\| + \zeta), \end{split}$$

and

$$\lim_{\|u\|\to\infty}\frac{\|Au-Tu\|}{\|u\|}\leq \lim_{\|u\|\to\infty}\frac{\max_{t\in[0,1]}\int_0^1H(t,s)\mathrm{d}s(\varepsilon\|u\|+\zeta)}{\|u\|}=0$$

So, A has a fixed point in E. Note that 0 is not a fixed point of A, and thus A has a positive fixed point, i.e., (1.2) has a positive solution. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let (H1) hold true. Moreover, suppose that (H4) There exists M > 0 such that $f(t, u) \ge -M$ for $(t, u) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+$, There exist positive constants e, b, c, N with $MD_1 < e < e + MD_1\theta < b < \theta^2 c$, $\frac{1}{\theta} < N < \frac{cl}{b\xi}$ such that (H5) $f(t, u) < \frac{e}{\xi} - M$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $0 \le u \le e$, (H6) $f(t, u) \ge \frac{b}{l}N - M$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $b - MD_1\theta \le u \le \frac{b}{\theta^2}$, (H7) $f(t, u) \le \frac{c}{\xi} - M$ for $t \in [0, 1]$, $0 \le u \le c$. Then (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Proof. Let ω be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = 1, 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt \end{cases}$$

and $z = M\omega$. By Lemma 2.7 we have

$$z(t) = M\omega(t) = M \int_0^1 H(t,s) ds \le M \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) ds$$
$$= M \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \left(\frac{\beta}{q(\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(q)} + \frac{\beta\delta}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)\Gamma(q)} \right)$$
$$= M D_1 \theta < e\theta.$$

We easily obtain that (1.2) has a positive solution u if and only if $u + z = \tilde{u}$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D_{t}^{q}u(t) = \widetilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)), 0 < t < 1, \\ \alpha u(0) - \beta u(1) = \int_{0}^{1} h(t)u(t)dt, \gamma u'(0) - \delta u'(1) = \int_{0}^{1} g(t)u(t)dt, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3)$$

and $\widetilde{u} \geq z$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, where $\widetilde{f} : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{f}(t,y) = \begin{cases} f(t,y) + M, & (t,y) \in [0,1] \times [0,+\infty), \\ f(t,0) + M, & (t,y) \in [0,1] \times (-\infty,0). \end{cases}$$

For $u \in P$, we define

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^1 H(t,s)\widetilde{f}(s,u(s) - z(s))\mathrm{d}s.$$

Next we check $T(P) \subseteq P_0$, where $P_0 = \{u \in P : \min_{t \in [0,1]} u(t) \ge \theta ||u||\}, \theta = \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\beta}{\mathcal{K}_2\alpha}$. Indeed, for $u \in P$, Lemma 2.8 implies

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{M}(s) \widetilde{f}(s, u(s) - z(s)) \mathrm{d}s \le T u(t) \le \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) \widetilde{f}(s, u(s) - z(s)) \mathrm{d}s$$

Hence,

$$Tu(t) \ge \frac{\mathcal{K}_1\beta}{\mathcal{K}_2\alpha} \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) \widetilde{f}(s, u(s) - z(s)) \mathrm{d}s \ge \theta \|Tu\|.$$

In what follows, we show that all the conditions of Lemma 2.10 are satisfied. We first define the nonnegative, continuous concave functional $\alpha: P \to [0, \infty)$ by $\alpha(u) = \min_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$. For each $u \in P$, it is easy to see $\alpha(u) \leq ||u||$. We prove that $T(\overline{P}_c) \subseteq \overline{P}_c$. Let $u \in \overline{P}_c$. Then

(i) if $u(t) \ge z(t)$, we have $0 \le u(t) - z(t) \le u(t) \le c$ and $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) = f(t, u(t) - z(t)) + M \ge 0$. By (H7) we have $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) \le \frac{c}{\xi}$.

(ii) if u(t) < z(t), we have u(t) - z(t) < 0 and $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) = f(t, 0) + M \ge 0$. By (H7) we have $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) \le \frac{c}{\xi}$.

Therefore, we have proved that, if $u \in \overline{P}_c$, then $\widetilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) \leq \frac{c}{\xi}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then,

$$||Tu|| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 H(t,s)\widetilde{f}(s,u(s)-z(s)) \mathrm{d}s \le \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{M}(s) \mathrm{d}s \frac{c}{\xi} = c.$$

Therefore, we have $T(\overline{P}_c) \subseteq \overline{P}_c$. Especially, if $u \in \overline{P}_e$, then (H5) yields $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) \leq \frac{e}{\xi}$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. So, we have $T : \overline{P}_e \to P_e$, i.e., the assumption (2) of Lemma 2.10 holds.

To verify condition (1) of Lemma 2.10, let $u(t) = \frac{b}{\theta^2}$, then $u \in P$, $\alpha(u) = b/\theta^2 > b$, i.e., $\{u \in P(\alpha, b, \frac{b}{\theta^2}) : \alpha(u) > b\} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, if $u \in P(\alpha, b, \frac{b}{\theta^2})$, then $\alpha(u) \geq b$, and $b \leq ||u|| \leq \frac{b}{\theta^2}$. Thus, $0 < b - MD_1\theta \leq u(t) - z(t) \leq u(t) \leq \frac{b}{\theta^2}$, $t \in [0, 1]$. From (H6) we obtain $\tilde{f}(t, u(t) - z(t)) \geq \frac{b}{l}N$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. By the definition of α , we have

$$\alpha(Tu) = \min_{t \in [0,1]} Tu(t) \ge \theta \|Tu\| \ge \theta \max_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 H(t,s) \widetilde{f}(s,u(s) - z(s)) \mathrm{d}s \ge \theta \frac{b}{l} N \int_0^1 \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{M}(s) \mathrm{d}s$$
$$= \theta Nb > b.$$

Therefore, condition (1) of Lemma 2.10 is satisfied with $d = b/\theta^2$.

Finally, we show condition (3) of Lemma 2.10 is satisfied. For this we choose $u \in P(\alpha, b, c)$ with $||Tu|| > b/\theta^2$. Then we have $\alpha(Tu) = \min_{t \in [0,1]} Tu(t) \ge \theta ||Tu|| \ge \frac{b}{\theta} > b$. Hence, condition (3) of Lemma 2.10 holds with $||Tu|| > b/\theta^2$.

From now on, all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.10 are satisfied. Hence T has at least three positive fixed points \widetilde{u}_1 , \widetilde{u}_2 and \widetilde{u}_3 such that

$$\|\widetilde{u}_1\| < e, \quad b < \alpha(\widetilde{u}_2), \quad \|\widetilde{u}_3\| > e, \quad \alpha(\widetilde{u}_3) < b$$

Furthermore, $\tilde{u}_i = u_i + z$ (i = 1, 2, 3) are solutions of (3.3). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{u}_2(t) &\geq \theta \| \widetilde{u}_2 \| \geq \theta \alpha(\widetilde{u}_2) > \theta b > \theta M D_1 \geq z(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \\ \widetilde{u}_3(t) &\geq \theta \| \widetilde{u}_3 \| > \theta e > \theta M D_1 \geq z(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

So $u_2 = \tilde{u}_2 - z$, $u_3 = \tilde{u}_3 - z$ are two positive solutions of (1.2). This completes the proof.

4. Examples

We now present two simple examples to explain our results. Let q = 1.5, $\alpha = \gamma = 2$, $\beta = \delta = 1$, $h(t) = t^3$, $g(t) = t^2$. Then $\phi(t) = 1 + t$, $\kappa_1 = \frac{3}{4}$, $\kappa_2 = \frac{9}{20}$, $\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{3}$, $\kappa_4 = \frac{5}{12}$, $\kappa = \frac{13}{80}$, $\mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{60}{13}$, $\mathcal{K}_2 = \frac{20}{3}$, $\int_0^1 \mathcal{M}(s) ds = \frac{10}{3\sqrt{\pi}}$, $\xi = \frac{400}{9\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 25.08$, $\xi^{-1} \approx 0.04$, $\theta = \frac{9}{26}$, $D_1 = \frac{10400}{81\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 72.44$, $l = \frac{200}{13\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 8.68$.

Example 4.1. Let $f(t, u) = \lambda u + \rho u^{\sigma} - e^{t} + \eta$, $\forall t \in [0, 1], u \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, where $\sigma \in (0, 1), |\lambda| < 0.04, \rho \neq 0$, $\eta \neq 0$. Then (H2) and (H3) hold, by Theorem 3.1, (1.2) has at least one positive solution.

Example 4.2. We choose M = 0.01, e = 0.85, b = 2, N = 4, c = 30, and

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} 0.01u, & 0 \le u \le 1, \\ -0.08u^2 + 1.54u - 1.45, & 1 \le u \le 1.9, \\ 1.1872, & 1.9 \le u < +\infty \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$. Furthermore, let $f(t, u) = \varphi(u) - 0.01$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then $MD_1 = \frac{104}{81\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.72$, $MD_1\theta = \frac{4}{9\sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.25$, $\theta^2 c = \frac{1215}{338} \approx 3.59$, $\theta^{-1} \approx 2.89$ and $\frac{cl}{b\xi} = \frac{135}{26} \approx 5.19$. Clearly, $MD_1 < e < e + MD_1\theta < b < \theta^2 c$, $\theta^{-1} < N < \frac{cl}{b\xi}$ and $f(t, u) \ge -0.01 = -M$. On the other hand,

(i) $f(t, u) < 0.02 < \frac{e}{\xi} - M = \frac{153\sqrt{\pi}}{8000} - 0.01 \approx 0.024$ for $t \in [0, 1], 0 \le u \le 0.85$,

(ii) $f(t,u) > f(1.74) \approx 0.98 > \frac{b}{l}N - M = \frac{13\sqrt{\pi}}{25} - 0.01 \approx 0.91$ for $t \in [0,1], 1.74 < 2 - \frac{4}{9\sqrt{\pi}} \le u \le \frac{1325}{81} \approx 0.91$ 16.69,

(iii) $f(t, u) \leq 1.1772 < 1.186 < \frac{c}{\xi} - M = \frac{27\sqrt{\pi}}{40} - 0.01$ for $t \in [0, 1], 0 \leq u \leq 30$. Hence, (H4)-(H7) are satisfied, by Theorem 3.2, (1.2) has at least two positive solutions.

Acknowledgements

Research supported by the NNSF-China (No. 61375004 and 11202084), NSFC-Tian Yuan Special Foundation (No. 11226116), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province of China for Young Scholar (No. BK2012109), the China Scholarship Council (No. 201208320435).

References

- [1] B. Ahmad, S. Ntouyas, A. Alsaedi, Existence of solutions for fractional q-integro-difference inclusions with fractional q-integral boundary conditions, Advances in Difference Equations, 2014 (2014), 18 pages. 1
- [2] A. Cabada, G. Wang, Positive solutions of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 389 (2012), 403-411. 1
- [3] J. Henderson, H. Thompson, Multiple symmetric positive solutions for second order boundary-value problems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128 (2000), 2373-2379. 2.10
- [4] M. Jia, X. Liu, Three nonnegative solutions for fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Comput. Math. Appl., 62 (2011), 1405–1412. 1

- [5] M. Jia, X. Liu, Multiplicity of solutions for integral boundary value problems of fractional differential equations with upper and lower solutions, Appl. Math. Comput., 232 (2014), 313–323. 1
- [6] M. Krasnoselskii, Zabreiko, Geometrical methods of nonlinear analysis, Springer-verlag, New York, (1984). 2.11
- [7] A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava, J. Trujillo, Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 204, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, (2006). 2
- [8] R. Leggett, R. Williams, Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, Indiana. Univ. Math. J., 28 (1979), 673–688. 2.10
- [9] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 198, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, USA, (1999). 2
- [10] S. Samko, A. Kilbas, O. Marichev, Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, (1993). 2
- [11] J. Tariboon, S. Ntouyas, W. Sudsutad, Positive solutions for fractional differential equations with three-point multi-term fractional integral boundary conditions, Advances in Difference Equations, 2014 (2014), 17 pages. 1
- [12] S. Vong, Positive solutions of singular fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Math. Comput. Modelling, 57 (2013), 1053–1059. 1
- [13] Z. Wei, C. Pang, Y. Ding, Positive solutions of singular Caputo fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 17 (2012), 3148–3160. 1
- [14] S. Westerlund, Dead Matter Has Memory, Physica Scripta., 43 (1991), 174–179. 1
- [15] X. Xu, D. Jiang, C. Yuan, Multiple positive solutions to singular positone and semipositone Dirichlet-type boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Anal., 74 (2011), 5685–5696. 1
- [16] W. Yang, Positive solutions for nonlinear Caputo fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, J. Appl. Math. Comput., 44 (2014), 39–59. 1
- [17] W. Yang, Positive solutions for nonlinear semipositone fractional q-difference system with coupled integral boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Comput., 244 (2014), 702–725. 1
- [18] X. Zhang, Positive solution for a class of singular semipositone fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Boundary Value Problems, 2012 (2012), 17 pages. 1