



Some common fixed point theorems in dislocated metric spaces

Samia Bennani^a, Hicham Bourijal^a, Soufiane Mhanna^a, Driss El Moutawakil^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences Ben M'sik, BP. 7955, Sidi Othmane, University Hassan II-Mohammédia, Casablanca, Morocco.

^bLaboratory of Applied Mathematics and Technology of Information and Communication, Faculty Polydisciplinary of Khouribga, BP. 145, University Hassan I - Settat, Khouribga, Morocco.

Communicated by R. Saadati

Abstract

Our purpose in this paper is to establish some new common fixed point theorems for four self-mappings of a dislocated metric space. ©2015 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed point, common fixed point, dislocated metric space, weak compatibility.

2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction

In 2012, Panthi and Jha [3] have established the following result.

Theorem 1.1. ([3]) *Let A, B, T and S be four continuous self-mappings of a complete d -metric space (X, d) such that*

1. $TX \subset AX$ and $SX \subset BX$;
2. The pairs (S, A) and (T, B) are weakly compatible;
3. $d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)] + \beta [d(Ax, Sx) + d(By, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ax, By)$
for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$.

Then A, B, T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

*Corresponding author

Email address: d.elmoutawakil@gmail.com (Driss El Moutawakil)

Our purpose, here, is to prove that this theorem can be improved without any continuity requirement. Further, we will point out that if one supposes that $\gamma > 0$, then one can replace condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$ by $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Recall that the notion of dislocated metric, introduced in 2000 by Hitzler and Seda [1], is characterized by the fact that self distance of a point need not be equal to zero and has useful applications in topology, logical programming and in electronics engineering. For further details on dislocated metric spaces, see, for example [2, 4]. We begin by recalling some basic concepts of the theory of dislocated metric spaces.

Definition 1.2. Let X be a non empty set and let $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following conditions

1. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0$ implies $x = y$
3. $d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called dislocated metric (or simply d-metric) on X .

Definition 1.3. A sequence (x_n) in a d-metric space (X, d) is called a Cauchy sequence if for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq n_0$, we have $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$.

Definition 1.4. A sequence in a d-metric space converges if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 1.5. A d-metric space (X, d) is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Remark 1.6. It is easy to verify that in a dislocated metric space, we have the following technical properties:

- A subsequence of a Cauchy sequence in d-metric space is a Cauchy sequence.
- A Cauchy sequence in d-metric space which possesses a convergent subsequence, converges.
- Limits in a d-metric space are unique.

Definition 1.7. Let A and S be two self-mappings of a d-metric space (X, d) .

A and S are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincident point; that is, $Ax = Sx$ for some $x \in X$ implies $ASx = SAx$.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let A, B, T and S be four self-mappings of a d-metric space (X, d) such that

1. $TX \subset AX$ and $SX \subset BX$;
2. The pairs (S, A) and (T, B) are weakly compatible;
3. For all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)] + \beta [d(Ax, Sx) + d(By, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ax, By); \quad (2.1)$$

4. The range of one of the mappings A, B, S or T is a complete subspace of X .

Then A, B, T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X . Choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Sx_0$. Choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_2 = Tx_1$. Continuing in this fashion, choose $x_n \in X$ such that $Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$ and $Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. To simplify, we consider the sequence (y_n) defined by $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We claim that (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, by using (2.1) for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + (3\alpha + \beta)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$$

where $h = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - 3\alpha - \beta} \in [0, 1[$. Hence (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X and therefore, according to Remarks 1.1, (Sx_{2n}) , (Bx_{2n+1}) , (Tx_{2n+1}) and (Ax_{2n+2}) are also Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that SX is a complete subspace of X , then the sequence (Sx_{2n}) converges to some Sa such that $a \in X$. According to Remark (1.6), (y_n) , (Bx_{2n+1}) , (Tx_{2n+1}) and (Ax_{2n+2}) converge to Sa . Since $SX \subset BX$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $Sa = Bu$. We show that $Bu = Tu$. In fact, by using (2.1), we have

$$d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) \leq \alpha [d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) + d(Bu, Sx_{2n})] + \beta [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Ax_{2n}, Bu)$$

and therefore, on letting n to infity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Bu, Tu) &\leq \alpha [d(Bu, Tu) + d(Bu, Bu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Bu, Bu) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(Bu, Bu) + (\alpha + \beta) d(Bu, Tu) \\ &\leq 2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(Bu, Tu) + (\alpha + \beta) d(Bu, Tu) \\ &\leq (3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma) d(Bu, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - 3\alpha - 3\beta - 2\gamma) d(Bu, Tu) \leq 0$$

and therefore $d(Bu, Tu) = 0$, since $(1 - 3\alpha - 3\beta - 2\gamma) < 0$, which implies that $Tu = Bu$. Since $TX \subset AX$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Tu = Av$. We show that $Sv = Av$. Indeed, by using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sv, Av) &= d(Sv, Tu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Tu) + d(Bu, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Av, Bu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Av) + d(Av, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Av, Av)] + \gamma d(Av, Av) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av) + d(Av, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av)] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av)] \\ &\leq (3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma) d(Av, Sv) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - 3\alpha - 3\beta - 2\gamma) d(Av, Sv) \leq 0$$

and therefore $d(Av, Sv) = 0$, since $1 - 3\alpha - 3\beta - 2\gamma < 0$, which implies that $Av = Sv$. Hence $Bu = Tu = Av = Sv$.

The weak compatibility of S and A implies that $ASv = SAV$, from which it follows that $AAv = ASv =$

$$SAv = SSv.$$

The weak compatibility of B and T implies that $BTu = TBu$, from which it follows that $BBu = BTu = TBu = TTu$.

Let us show that Bu is a fixed point of T . Indeed, from (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Bu, TBu) &= d(Sv, TBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, TBu) + d(BBu, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(BBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(Av, BBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Bu, TBu) + d(TBu, Bu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(TBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(Bu, TBu) \\ &\leq 2\alpha d(Bu, TBu) + \beta [d(Bu, TBu) + d(TBu, Bu) + d(TBu, Bu) + d(Bu, TBu)] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(Bu, TBu) \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma) d(Bu, TBu) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d(Bu, TBu) = 0$, since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma < 0$, which implies that $TBu = Bu$. Hence Bu is a fixed point of T . It follows that $BBu = TBu = Bu$, which implies that Bu is a fixed point of B .

On the other hand, in view of (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(SBu, Bu) &= d(SBu, TBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(ABu, TBu) + d(BBu, SBu)] + \beta [d(ABu, SBu) + d(BBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(ABu, BBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(SBu, Bu) + d(Bu, SBu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(Bu, Bu)] + \gamma d(Bu, Bu) \\ &\leq 2\alpha d(Bu, SBu) + \beta [d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu) + d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu)] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu)] \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + 2\gamma) d(Bu, SBu) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d(Bu, SBu) = 0$, since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - 2\gamma < 0$, which implies that $SBu = Bu$. Hence Bu is a fixed point of S . It follows that $ABu = SBu = Bu$, which implies that Bu is also a fixed point of S . Thus Bu is a common fixed point of S, T, A and B .

Finally to prove uniqueness, suppose that there exists $u, v \in X$ such that $Su = Tu = Au = Bu$ and $Su = Tu = Au = Bv$. If $d(u, v) \neq 0$, then, by using (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(Su, Tv) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Su)] + \beta [d(Au, Su) + d(Bv, Tv)] + \gamma d(Au, Bv) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(u, v) + d(u, v)] + \beta [d(u, u) + d(v, v)] + \gamma d(u, v) \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma)d(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $(1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma) d(u, v) \leq 0$ which is a contradiction since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma < 0$. Hence $d(u, v) = 0$ and therefore $u = v$.

The proof is similar when TX or AX or Bx is a complete subspace of X . This completes the proof. \square

For $A = B$ and $S = T$ in (2.1), we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a d -metric space. Let A and T be two self-mappings of X such that*

1. $TX \subset AX$
2. The pair (T, A) is weakly compatible and
3. $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, Ty) + d(Ay, Tx)] + \beta [d(Ax, Tx) + d(Ay, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ax, Ay)$
for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$
4. TX or AX is a complete subspace of X .

Then A and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

For $A = B = Id_X$ in (2.1), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a d -metric space. Let T and S be two self-mappings of X such that*

1. $d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)] + \beta [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty)] + \gamma d(x, y)$
for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$
2. TX or SX is a complete subspace of X .

Then T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

For $S = T = Id_X$ in (2.1), we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete d -metric space. Let A and B be two surjective self-mappings of X such that

$$d(x, y) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, y) + d(By, x)] + \beta [d(Ax, x) + d(By, y)] + \gamma d(Ax, By)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$. Then A and B have a unique common fixed point in X .

Remark 2.5. Following the procedure used in the proof of Theorem in 2.1, we have the next new result in which we replace the condition $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$ by $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$

Theorem 2.6. Let A, B, T and S be four self-mappings of a d -metric space (X, d) such that

1. $TX \subset AX$ and $SX \subset BX$
2. The pairs (S, A) and (T, B) are weakly compatible and
3. For all $x, y \in X$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \geq \frac{1}{4}$, we have

$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Sx)] + \beta [d(Ax, Sx) + d(By, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ax, By) \quad (2.2)$$

4. The range of one of the mappings A, B, S or T is a complete subspace of X .

Then A, B, T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < \frac{1}{4}$, we apply Theorem 2.1. For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = \frac{1}{4}$, we consider, as in Theorem 2.1, an arbitrary point x_0 in X and the sequence (x_n) defined in X by $Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n+1}$ and $Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. To simplify, we consider the sequence (y_n) defined by $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We claim that (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Indeed, by using (2.2) for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &= d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})] \\ &\quad + \beta [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})] + \gamma d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + (3\alpha + \beta)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + (3\alpha + \beta)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})$, where $h = \frac{1}{4(1 - 3\alpha - \beta)} \in [0, 1[$. Hence (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X and therefore, according to Remarks 1.1, (Sx_{2n}) , (Bx_{2n+1}) , (Tx_{2n+1}) and (Ax_{2n+2}) are also Cauchy sequence.

Suppose that SX is a complete subspace of X , then the sequence (Sx_{2n}) converges to some Sa such

that $a \in X$. According to Remark (1.6), (y_n) , (Bx_{2n+1}) , (Tx_{2n+1}) and (Ax_{2n+2}) converge to Sa . Since $SX \subset BX$, there exists $u \in X$ such that $Sa = Bu$. We show that $Bu = Tu$. In fact, in view of by using (2.1), we have

$$d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) \leq \alpha [d(Sx_{2n}, Tu) + d(Bu, Sx_{2n})] + \beta [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Ax_{2n}, Bu)$$

and therefore, on letting n to infity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Bu, Tu) &\leq \alpha [d(Bu, Tu) + d(Bu, Bu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Bu, Bu) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(Bu, Bu) + (\alpha + \beta) d(Bu, Tu) \\ &\leq 2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma) d(Bu, Tu) + (\alpha + \beta) d(Bu, Tu) \\ &\leq (3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma) d(Bu, Tu) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \gamma\right) d(Bu, Tu) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma) d(Bu, Tu) \leq 0$. Therefore $d(Bu, Tu) = 0$, which implies that $Tu = Bu$. Since $TX \subset AX$, there exists $v \in X$ such that $Tu = Av$. We show that $Sv = Av$. In fact, by using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sv, Av) &= d(Sv, Tu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Tu) + d(Bu, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Bu, Tu)] + \gamma d(Av, Bu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Av) + d(Av, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Av, Av)] + \gamma d(Av, Av) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av) + d(Av, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av)] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(Av, Sv) + d(Sv, Av)] \\ &\leq (3\alpha + 3\beta + 2\gamma) d(Av, Sv) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{3}{4} - \gamma\right) d(Av, Sv) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\frac{1}{4} + \gamma) d(Av, Sv) \leq 0$. Therefore $d(Av, Sv) = 0$, which implies that $Av = Sv$. Hence $Bu = Tu = Av = Sv$.

The weak compatibility of S and A implies that $ASv = SAV$, from which it follows that $AAv = ASv = SAV = SSv$.

The weak compatibility of B and T implies that $BTu = TBu$, from which it follows that $BBu = BTu = TBu = TTu$.

Let us show that Bu is a fixed point of T . Indeed, by using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Bu, TBu) &= d(Sv, TBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Av, TBu) + d(BBu, Sv)] + \beta [d(Av, Sv) + d(BBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(Av, BBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Bu, TBu) + d(TBu, Bu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(TBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(Bu, TBu) \\ &\leq 2\alpha d(Bu, TBu) + \beta [d(Bu, TBu) + d(TBu, Bu) + d(TBu, Bu) + d(Bu, TBu)] \\ &\quad + \gamma d(Bu, TBu) \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma) d(Bu, TBu) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d(Bu, TBu) = 0$, since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma \geq 1 - 4(\frac{1}{4} - \gamma) - \gamma = 3\gamma > 0$, which implies that $TBu = Bu$. Hence Bu is a fixed point of T . It follows that $BBu = TBu = Bu$, which implies that Bu is a fixed point of B .

On the other hand, by using (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(SBu, Bu) &= d(SBu, TBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(ABu, TBu) + d(BBu, SBu)] + \beta [d(ABu, SBu) + d(BBu, TBu)] + \gamma d(ABu, BBu) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(SBu, Bu) + d(Bu, SBu)] + \beta [d(Bu, Bu) + d(Bu, Bu)] + \gamma d(Bu, Bu) \\ &\leq 2\alpha d(Bu, SBu) + \beta [d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu) + d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu)] \\ &\quad + \gamma [d(Bu, SBu) + d(SBu, Bu)] \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + 2\gamma) d(Bu, SBu) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $d(Bu, SBu) = 0$, since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - 2\gamma \geq 1 - 4(\frac{1}{4} - \gamma) - 2\gamma = 2\gamma > 0$, which implies that $SBu = Bu$. Hence Bu is a fixed point of S . It follows that $ABu = SBu = Bu$, which implies that Bu is also a fixed point of S . Thus Bu is a common fixed point of S, T, A and B .

Finally to prove uniqueness, suppose that there exists $u, v \in X$ such that $Su = Tu = Au = Bu$ and $Su = Tv = Av = Bv$. If $d(u, v) \neq 0$, then by using (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(Su, Tv) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Su)] + \beta [d(Au, Su) + d(Bv, Tv)] + \gamma d(Au, Bv) \\ &\leq \alpha [d(u, v) + d(u, v)] + \beta [d(u, u) + d(v, v)] + \gamma d(u, v) \\ &\leq (2\alpha + 4\beta + \gamma)d(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $(1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma)d(u, v) \leq 0$ which is a contradiction since $1 - 2\alpha - 4\beta - \gamma < 0$. Hence $d(u, v) = 0$ and therefore $u = v$.

The proof is similar when TX or AX or Bx is a complete subspace of X . This completes the proof. \square

For $A = B$ and $S = T$ in (2.6), we have the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *Let (X, d) be a d -metric space. Let A and T be two self-mappings of X such that*

1. $TX \subset AX$
2. The pair (T, A) is weakly compatible and
3. $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, Ty) + d(Ay, Tx)] + \beta [d(Ax, Tx) + d(Ay, Ty)] + \gamma d(Ax, Ay)$
for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$
4. TX or AX is a complete subspace of X .

Then A and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

For $A = B = Id_X$ in (2.6), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, d) be a d -metric space. Let T and S be two self-mappings of X such that*

1. $d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)] + \beta [d(x, Sx) + d(y, Ty)] + \gamma d(x, y)$
for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$
2. TX or SX is a complete subspace of X .

Then T and S have a unique common fixed point in X .

For $S = T = Id_X$ in (2.6), we have the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, d) be a complete d -metric space. Let A and B be two surjective self-mappings of X such that*

$$d(x, y) \leq \alpha [d(Ax, y) + d(By, x)] + \beta [d(Ax, x) + d(By, y)] + \gamma d(Ax, By)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Then A and B have a unique common fixed point in X .

References

- [1] P. Hitzler, A. K. Seda, *Dislocated Topologies*, J. Electrical Engineering, **51** (2000), 3–7. 1
- [2] P. Hitzler, *Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics*, Ph. D. Thesis, National University of Ireland, (University College, Cork), (2001). 1
- [3] D. Panthi, K. Jha, *A common fixed point of weakly compatible mappings in dislocated metric space*, Kathmandu University J. Sci., Engineering and Technology, **8** (2012), 25–30. 1, 1.1
- [4] I. Rambhadra Sarma, P. Sumati Kumari, *On dislocated metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Archive, **3** (2012), 72–77. 1