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#### Abstract

We consider the semilinear Schrödinger equation $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\triangle u+V(x) u=f(x, u), x \in R^{N} \\ u \in H^{1}\left(R^{N}\right) \end{array}\right.
$$ where $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic and sign-changing, $f(x, u)$ is a superlinear, subcritical nonlinearity. Under asymptotically periodic $V(x)$ and a super-quadratic condition about $f(x, u)$. We prove that the above problem has a ground state solution which minimizes the corresponding energy among all nontrivial solutions. © 2016 All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Consider the following semilinear Schrodinger equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\triangle u+V(x) u=f(x, u), x \in R^{N},  \tag{1.1}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(R^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V: R^{N} \rightarrow R$ and $f: R^{N} \times R \rightarrow R$ satisfy the following standard assumptions, respectively: (V) $V \in C\left(R^{N}\right)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup [\sigma(-\Delta+V) \cap(-\infty, 0)]:=\underline{\Lambda}<0<\bar{\Lambda}:=\inf [\sigma(-\Delta+V) \cap(0, \infty)] ; \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0](F1) $f \in C\left(R^{N} \times R\right)$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}, F(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s \geq 0$;
(F2) $f(x, t)=o(|t|)$, as $|t| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $x \in R^{N}$;
(F3) $\lim _{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x, t)|}{|t|^{2}}=\infty$, a.e. $x \in R^{N}$;
(F4) there exists a $\theta_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that
$$
\frac{1-\theta^{2}}{2} f(x, t) t \geq \int_{\theta t}^{t} f(x, s) d s, \forall \theta \geq 0,(x, t) \in R^{N} \times R
$$

The existence of a nontrivial solution of (1.1) has been obtained in [5, 8, 12, 15, 22, 24] under some other standard assumptions of $V$ and $f$. In some very recent papers, under the above conditions, Xianhua Tang [18, 19, 20, 21] proved the problem (1.1) has a ground state solution of Nehari-Pankov type.

If $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic, not only that the functional $\Phi$ loses the $Z^{N}$-translation invariance, but also the operator $-\Delta+V$ has also discrete spectrum except for continuous spectrum. For the periodic problem, it is very crucial to show (PS)-sequence or (C)-sequence is bounded that the operator $-\Delta+V$ has only continuous spectrum [16]. For the above knowledge, there are no existence results for (1.1) when $V(x)$ is asymptotically periodic and sign-changing and $f(x, u)$ is asymptotically linear as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$. Motivated by the works [4, 7, 21, in this paper, we will use some tricks introduced in [9, 10] to overcome the difficulties caused by the dropping of periodicity of $V(x)$.

Before presenting our theorem, we make the following assumptions.
$(\mathrm{V} 1) V(x)=V_{0}(x)+V_{1}(x), V_{0} \in C\left(R^{N}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(R^{N}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(-\infty, 0)\right]<0<\bar{\Lambda}:=\inf \left[\sigma\left(-\Delta+V_{0}\right) \cap(0, \infty)\right] \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$V_{1} \in C\left(R^{N}\right)$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V_{1}(X)=0 ;$
(V2) $V_{0}$ is 1-periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$, and

$$
0 \leq-V_{1}(x) \leq \sup _{R^{N}}\left(-V_{1}\right)<\bar{\Lambda}, \forall x \in R^{N}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}=-\Delta+V_{0}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is self-adjoint in $L^{2}\left(R^{N}\right)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=H^{2}\left(R^{N}\right)$ (see [2], Theorem 4.26). Let $\{\varepsilon(\lambda):-\infty<\lambda<+\infty\}$ and $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$ be the spectral family and the absolute value of $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, respectively, and $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}$ be the square root of $\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|$. Set $\mathcal{U}=i d-\varepsilon(0)-\varepsilon(0-)$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ commutes with $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ (see [1], Theorem IV 3.3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\mathcal{D}\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2}\right), \quad E^{-}=\varepsilon(0) E, \quad E^{+}=[i d-\varepsilon(0)] E \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in E$, it is easy to see that $u=u^{-}+u^{+}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{-}:=\varepsilon(0) u \in E^{-}, \quad u^{+}:=[i d-\varepsilon(0)] u \in E^{+} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{0} u^{-}=-\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| u^{-}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{0} u^{+}=\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right| u^{+} \quad \forall u \in E \cap \mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define an inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)=\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} u,\left.\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} v\right)_{L^{2}}, \quad u, v \in E \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|=\left\|\left|\mathcal{A}_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{2}, \quad u \in E \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ denotes the inner product of $L^{2}\left(R^{N}\right)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of $L^{s}\left(R^{N}\right)$. By (V1), $E=$ $H^{1}\left(R^{N}\right)$ with equivalent norms. Therefore, E embeds continuously in $L^{s}\left(R^{N}\right)$ for all $2 \leq s \leq 2^{*}$. In addition, one has the decomposition $E=E^{-} \oplus E^{+}$orthogonal with respect to both $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(x) u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{R^{N}} F(x, u) d x, \forall u \in E \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V_{0}(x) u^{2}\right) d x-\int_{R^{N}} F(x, u) d x \quad \forall u \in E \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$. Then $\Phi_{0}(u)$ is also of class $C^{1}(E, R)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{R^{N}}\left(\nabla u \nabla v+V_{0}(x) u v\right) d x-\int_{R^{N}} f(x, u) v d x \quad \forall u, v \in E . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $1.5,1.8$ and 1.10 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{R^{N}} F(x, u) d x \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{R^{N}} f(x, u) u d x \quad \forall u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in E . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we are in a position to state the main result of this paper.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $V$ and $f$ satisfy (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4). Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $u_{0} \in E$. such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\inf _{\mathcal{N}_{-}} \Phi>0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}^{-}=\left\{u \in E \backslash E^{-}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall v \in E^{-}\right\} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\mathcal{N}^{-}$was first introduced by Pankov [13, 14], which is a subset of the Nehari manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\left\{u \in E \backslash\{0\}:\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some preliminary results and the proofs of Theorem 1.1 are presented.

## 2. Main results

Let X be a real Hilbert space with $X=X^{-} \bigoplus X^{+}$and $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$. For a functional $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, R), \varphi$ is said to be weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous if for any $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in X one has $\varphi(u) \leq \lim _{\inf }^{n \rightarrow \infty} \boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(u_{n}\right)$, and $\varphi^{\prime}$ is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle$ for each $v \in X$.

Lemma 2.1 ([3], [6], [7]). Let $X$ be a real Hilbert space with $X=X^{-} \bigoplus X^{+}$and $X^{-} \perp X^{+}$, and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, R)$ of the form

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|u^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\psi(u), \quad u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in X^{-} \oplus X^{+}
$$

Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied:
(LS1) $\psi \in C^{1}(X, R)$ is bounded from below and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous;
(LS2) $\psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(LS3) there exist $r>\rho>0$ and $e \in X^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$ such that

$$
k:=\inf \varphi\left(S_{\rho}^{+}\right)>\sup \varphi(\partial Q)
$$

where

$$
S_{\rho}^{+}=\left\{u \in X^{+}:\|u\|=\rho\right\}, \quad Q=\left\{w+s e: w \in X^{1}, s \geq 0,\|w+s e\| \leq r\right\}
$$

Then for some $c \in[k, \sup \Phi(Q)]$, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \quad\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a sequence is called a Cerami sequence on the level c or a $(C)_{c}$ sequence.
We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u)=\int_{R^{N}}\left[-V_{1}(x) u^{2}+F(x, u)\right] d x \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that (V1), (V2), (F1), (F2) and (F3) are satisfied. Then $\Psi$ is nonnegative, weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous, and $\Psi^{\prime}$ is weakly sequentially continuous.

Using Sobolev's embedding theorem, one can check easily the above lemma, so we omit the proof.
Lemma 2.3. Suppose that (V1), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) are satisfied. Then for $u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u) \geq & \Phi(t u+w)+\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) w^{2} d x+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle  \tag{2.3}\\
& -t\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle \quad \forall u \in E, t \geq 0, w \in E^{-}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For any $x \in R^{N}$ and $\tau \neq 0$, (F4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau f(x, \tau) \geq \int_{t \tau}^{\tau} f(x, s) d s, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau-t \tau\right) f(x, \tau) \geq \int_{t \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s) d s, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \sigma \in R \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show (2.5), we consider four possible cases. By virtue of 2.4) and $s f(x, s) \geq 0$, one has Case 1) $0 \leq t \tau+\sigma \leq \tau$ or $\quad t \tau+\sigma \leq \tau \leq 0$,

$$
\int_{t \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s) d s \leq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{t \tau+\sigma}^{\tau}|s| d s \leq\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau-t \tau\right) f(x, \tau)
$$

Case 2) $t \tau+\sigma \leq 0 \leq \tau$,

$$
\int_{t \tau+\sigma}^{\tau} f(x, s) d s \leq \int_{0}^{\tau} f(x, s) d s \leq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{t \tau+\sigma}^{\tau}|s| d s \leq\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau-t \tau\right) f(x, \tau)
$$

Case 3) $0 \leq \tau \leq t \tau+\sigma$ or $\quad \tau \leq t \tau+\sigma \leq 0$,

$$
\int_{\tau}^{t \tau+\sigma} f(x, s) d s \geq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau}^{t \tau+\sigma}|s| d s \geq-\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau-t \tau\right) f(x, \tau)
$$

Case 4) $\tau \leq 0 \leq t \tau+\sigma$,

$$
\int_{\tau}^{t \tau+\sigma} f(x, s) d s \geq \int_{\tau}^{0} f(x, s) d s \geq \frac{f(x, \tau)}{|\tau|} \int_{\tau}^{0}|s| d s \geq-\left(\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} \tau-t \tau\right) f(x, \tau)
$$

The above four cases show that (2.5 holds.
We let $b: E \times E \rightarrow R$ denote the symmetric bilinear from given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(u, v)=\int_{R^{N}}(\nabla u \nabla v+V(x) u v) d x \quad \forall u, v \in E . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of 1.9 and (2.6), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\frac{1}{2} b(u, u)-\int_{R^{N}} F(x, u) d x \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=b(u, v)-\int_{R^{N}} f(x, u) v d x \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (1.9), 1.11, (2.6), 2.7) and 2.8, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u)-\Phi(t u+w)= & \frac{1}{2}[b(u, u)-b(t u+w, t u+w)]+\int_{R^{N}}[F(x, t u+w)-F(x, u)] d x \\
= & \frac{1-t^{2}}{2} b(u, u)-t b(u, w)-\frac{1}{2} b(w, w)+\int_{R^{N}}[F(x, t u+w)-F(x, u)] d x \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} b(w, w)+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle-t\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{R^{N}}\left[\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} f(x, u) u-t f(x, u) w-\int_{t u+w}^{u} f(x, s) d s\right] d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) w^{2} d x+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle-t\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle \\
& +\int_{R^{N}}\left[\frac{1-t^{2}}{2} f(x, u) u-t f(x, u) w-\int_{t u+w}^{u} f(x, s) d s\right] d x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) w^{2} d x+\frac{1-t^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle-t\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), w\right\rangle \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad w \in E^{-} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (2.3) holds.
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that (V1), (F1), (F2) and (F4) are satisfied. Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m:=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi \geq \kappa:=\inf \left\{\Phi(u): u \in E^{+},\|u\|=\rho\right\}>0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4 can be proved in the same way as (17], Lemmas 2.4).
Lemma 2.5. Suppose that (V1), (F1), (F2) and (F3) are satisfied. Let $e \in E^{+}$with $\|e\|=1$.
Then there is a $r_{0}>0$ such that $\sup \Phi(\partial Q) \leq 0$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left\{s e+w: w \in E^{-}, s \geq 0,\|s e+w\| \leq r_{0}\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (F1) yields that $F(x, t) \geq 0$ for $(x, t) \in R^{N} \times R$, so we have $\Phi(u) \leq 0$ for $u \in E^{-}$. Next, it is sufficient to show that $\Phi(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $u \in E^{-} \oplus R e,\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$. Arguing indirectly, assume that for some sequence $\left\{w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\} \subset E^{-} \oplus R e$ with $\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\| \rightarrow \infty$, there is $M>0$ such that $\Phi\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right) \geq-M$ for all $n \in N$. Set $v_{n}=\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right) /\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|=v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e$, then $\left\|v_{n}^{-}+t_{n} e\right\|=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $E$, then $v_{n} \rightarrow v \quad$ a.e. on $R^{N}, v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow v^{-}$in $E, t_{n} \rightarrow \bar{t}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{M}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \leq \frac{\Phi\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}}=\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} d x \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\bar{t}=0$, then it follows from (2.11) that

$$
0 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}+\int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} d x \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{M}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} \rightarrow 0
$$

which yields $\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, and so $1=\left\|v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, is a contradiction.
If $\bar{t} \neq 0$, then $v \neq 0$, it follows from (2.11), (F3) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left\|w_{n}+s_{n} e\right\|^{2}} d x\right] \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)^{2}} v_{n}^{2} d x\right] \\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}^{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)^{2}} v_{n}^{2} d x\right] \\
& \left.\leq \frac{\bar{t}^{2}}{2}-\int_{R^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(x, w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)}{\left(w_{n}+s_{n} e\right)^{2}} v_{n}^{2} d x\right] \\
& =-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

is a contradiction.
Lemma 2.6. Suppose that (V1), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) are satisfied. Then there exist a constant $c \geq \kappa$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Lemma 2.6 is a direct corollary of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5.
Lemma 2.7. Suppose that (V1), (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) are satisfied. Then any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is bounded in $E$.
Proof. To prove the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=$ $u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}$. Then $1=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}$. By Sobolev imbedding theorem, there exists a constant $C_{4}>0$ such that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{4}$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in E. There are two possible cases: i) $\bar{v}=0$ and ii) $\bar{v} \neq 0$.

Case i) $\bar{v}=0$, i.e. $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $E$. Then $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{l o c}^{s}\left(R^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ and $v_{n}^{-} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $R^{N}$. By (V1) and (V2), it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2} d x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2} d x=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\delta:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in R^{N}} \int_{B_{1}(y)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x=0
$$

then by Lion's concentration compactness principle [11] or ([23], Lemma 1.21), $v_{n}^{+} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{s}\left(R^{N}\right)$ for $2<s<2^{*}$. Fix $R>\left[2\left(1+c_{*}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$. By virtue of (F0) and (F1), for $\epsilon=1 / 4\left(R C_{4}\right)^{2}>0$, there exists $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that 1.12 holds. Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} F\left(x, R v_{n}^{+}\right) d x & \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\epsilon R^{2}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{2}^{2}+C_{\epsilon} R^{P}\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{P}^{P}\right]  \tag{2.15}\\
& \leq \epsilon\left(R C_{4}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $t_{n}=R /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}$. Hence, by virtue of 2.10 and 2.11, one can get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{*}+o(1)= & \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\int_{R^{N}} F\left(x, t_{n} u_{n}^{+}\right) d x+\frac{1-t_{n}^{2}}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +t_{n}^{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle+\frac{t_{n}^{2}}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left[\left(u_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(u_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
= & \frac{R^{2}}{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\int_{R^{N}} F\left(x, R v_{n}^{+}\right) d x+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{R^{2}}{2\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}}\right)\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& +\frac{R^{2}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}^{-}\right\rangle+\frac{R^{2}}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left[\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] d x \\
\geq & \frac{R^{2}}{2}-\int_{R^{N}} F\left(x, R v_{n}^{+}\right) d x+o(1) \\
\geq & \frac{R^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{4}+o(1)>c_{*}+\frac{3}{4}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction shows that $\delta>0$.

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $\kappa_{n} \in Z^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)}\left|v_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $w_{n}(x)=v_{n}\left(x+\kappa_{n}\right)$. Since $V_{0}(x)$ is 1 -periodic in each of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|w_{n}^{+}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define $\tilde{u_{n}}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+\kappa_{n}\right)$, then $\tilde{u_{n}} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}=w_{n}$ and $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{0}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}=1$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$ in $E, w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L_{l o c}^{s}\left(R^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ a.e. on $R^{N}$. Obviously we have $w \neq 0$. Hence, it follows from 2.17, (F4) and Fatou's lemma that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{*}+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}^{2}} \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|v_{n}^{+}\right\|_{0}^{2}-\left\|v_{n}^{-}\right\|_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x)\left[\left(v_{n}^{+}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{n}^{-}\right)^{2}\right] d x\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x+\kappa_{n}, \tilde{u_{n}}\right)}{{\tilde{u_{n}}}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x\right] \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} \frac{F\left(x+\kappa_{n}, \tilde{u_{n}}\right)}{{\tilde{u_{n}}}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{2}-\int_{R^{N}} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F\left(x+\kappa_{n}, \tilde{u_{n}}\right)}{{\tilde{u_{n}}}^{2}} w_{n}^{2} d x \\
= & -\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
Case ii) $\bar{v} \neq 0$. In this case, we can also deduce a contradiction by a standard argument.
Case i) and ii) show that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Applying Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, we deduce that there exists a bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying (2.8). Passing to a subsequence, we have $u_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$ in $E$. Next, we prove $\bar{u} \neq 0$.

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that $\bar{u}=0$, i.e. $u_{n}-\overline{0}$ in $E$, and so $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{l o c}^{s}\left(R^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $R^{N}$. By (V1) and (V2), it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) u_{n}^{2} d x=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) u_{n} v d x=0 \quad \forall v \in E \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(u)=\Phi(u)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) u^{2} d x \quad \forall u \in E \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi_{0}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle-\int_{R^{N}} V_{1}(x) u v d x \quad \forall u, v \in E \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $2.10-2.13$, one can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to a subsequence, we may assume the existence of $\kappa_{n} \in Z^{N}$ such that $\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2}$ for some $\delta>0$. Let $v_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(x+\kappa_{n}\right)$. Then $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{1+\sqrt{N}}(0)}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2} d x>\frac{\delta}{2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to a subsequence, we have $v_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in $E, v_{n} \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $L_{l o c}^{s}\left(R^{N}\right), 2 \leq s<2^{*}$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow \bar{v}$ a.e. on $R^{N}$. Obviously, 2.21) implies that $\bar{v} \neq 0$. Since $V_{0}(x)$ and $f(x, u)$ are periodic in $x$, then by (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{*} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\Phi_{0}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|\left(1+\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a standard argument, one has $\Phi^{\prime}(\bar{v})=0$. This shows that $\bar{v} \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$and so $\Phi(\bar{v}) \geq m$. On the other hand, by using 2.22, (F4) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & \geq c_{*}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}\right\rangle\right]=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^{N}}\left[\frac{1}{2} f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}-F\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right] d x \\
& \geq \int_{R^{N}} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\frac{1}{2} f\left(x, v_{n}\right) v_{n}-F\left(x, v_{n}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\Phi(\bar{v})-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(\bar{v}), \bar{v}\right\rangle=\Phi(\bar{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\Phi(\bar{v}) \leq m$ and so $\Phi(\bar{v})=m=\inf _{\mathcal{N}^{-}} \Phi>0$.
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