



Refinements of bounds for Neuman means with applications

Yue-Ying Yang^a, Wei-Mao Qian^b, Yu-Ming Chu^{c,*}

^aSchool of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Huzhou Vocational & Technical College, Huzhou 313000, China.

^bSchool of Distance Education, Huzhou Broadcast and TV University, Huzhou 313000, China.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou 313000, China.

Communicated by S. Wu

Abstract

In this article, we present the sharp bounds for the Neuman means derived from the Schwab-Borchardt, geometric, arithmetic and quadratic means in terms of the arithmetic and geometric combinations of harmonic, arithmetic and contra-harmonic means. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Neuman mean, Schwab-Borchardt mean, harmonic mean, geometric mean, quadratic mean, contra-harmonic mean, arithmetic mean.

2010 MSC: 26E60.

1. Introduction

Let $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Then the Schwab-Borchardt mean $SB(a, b)$ [2, 3] of a and b is respectively defined by

$$SB(a, b) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b^2-a^2}}{\arccos(a/b)}, & a < b, \\ \frac{\sqrt{a^2-b^2}}{\cosh^{-1}(a/b)}, & a > b, \end{cases}$$

where $\cosh^{-1}(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})$ is the inverse hyperbolic cosine function.

It is well known that the Schwab-Borchardt mean $SB(a, b)$ is strictly increasing in both a and b , nonsymmetric and homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to a and b . Many symmetric bivariate means are special

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: xiafangli2005@126.com (Yue-Ying Yang), qwm661977@126.com (Wei-Mao Qian), chuyuming2005@126.com (Yu-Ming Chu)

cases of the Schwab-Borchardt mean. For example, $SB[G(a, b), A(a, b)] = (a - b)/[2 \arcsin((a - b)/(a + b))] = P(a, b)$ is the first Seiffert mean, $SB[A(a, b), Q(a, b)] = (a - b)/[2 \arctan((a - b)/(a + b))] = T(a, b)$ is the second Seiffert mean, $SB[Q(a, b), A(a, b)] = (a - b)/[2 \sinh^{-1}((a - b)/(a + b))] = M(a, b)$ is the Neuman-Sándor mean, $SB[A(a, b), G(a, b)] = (a - b)/[2 \tanh^{-1}((a - b)/(a + b))] = L(a, b)$ is the logarithmic mean, where $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$, $A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$ and $Q(a, b) = \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)/2}$ are respectively the geometric, arithmetic and quadratic means, $\sinh^{-1}(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1})$ is the inverse hyperbolic sine function and $\tanh^{-1}(x) = \log[(1 + x)/(1 - x)]/2$ is the inverse hyperbolic tangent function.

Let $X(a, b)$ and $Y(a, b)$ be the symmetric bivariate means of a and b . Then the Neuman mean $N_{XY}(a, b)$ [1] is defined by

$$N_{XY}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left(X(a, b) + \frac{Y^2(a, b)}{SB(X(a, b), Y(a, b))} \right). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $a > b > 0$, $v = (a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, 1)$. Then the following explicit formulas and inequalities can be found in the literature [3].

$$\begin{aligned} N_{AG}(a, b) &= \frac{A(a, b)}{2} \left[1 + (1 - v^2) \frac{\tanh^{-1}(v)}{v} \right], \\ N_{GA}(a, b) &= \frac{A(a, b)}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 - v^2} + \frac{\arcsin(v)}{v} \right], \\ N_{AQ}(a, b) &= \frac{A(a, b)}{2} \left[1 + (1 + v^2) \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} \right], \\ N_{QA}(a, b) &= \frac{A(a, b)}{2} \left[\sqrt{1 + v^2} + \frac{\sinh^{-1}(v)}{v} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(a, b) < G(a, b) < L(a, b) < N_{AG}(a, b) < P(a, b) < N_{GA}(a, b) < A(a, b) \\ < M(a, b) < N_{QA}(a, b) < T(a, b) < N_{AQ}(a, b) < Q(a, b) < C(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

where $H(a, b) = 2ab/(a + b)$ and $C(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/2(a + b)$ are respectively the harmonic and contraharmonic means.

Recently, the Neuman means $N_{AG}(a, b)$, $N_{GA}(a, b)$, $N_{AQ}(a, b)$ and $N_{QA}(a, b)$ have attracted the attention of many researchers.

Neuman [1] proved that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)G(a, b) &< N_{GA}(a, b) < \beta_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)G(a, b), \\ \alpha_2 Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)A(a, b) &< N_{AQ}(a, b) < \beta_2 Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)A(a, b), \\ \alpha_3 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_3)G(a, b) &< N_{AG}(a, b) < \beta_3 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_3)G(a, b), \\ \alpha_4 Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_4)A(a, b) &< N_{QA}(a, b) < \beta_4 Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta_4)A(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \leq 2/3$, $\beta_1 \geq \pi/4$, $\alpha_2 \leq 2/3$, $\beta_2 \geq (\pi - 2)/[4(\sqrt{2} - 1)] = 0.689\dots$, $\alpha_3 \leq 1/3$, $\beta_3 \geq 1/2$, $\alpha_4 \leq 1/3$ and $\beta_4 \geq [\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2} - 2]/[2(\sqrt{2} - 1)] = 0.356\dots$.

In [7], Zhang et al. presented the best possible parameters $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1/2]$ and $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \beta_3, \beta_4 \in [1/2, 1]$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} G(\alpha_1 a + (1 - \alpha_1)b, \alpha_1 b + (1 - \alpha_1)a) &< N_{AG}(a, b) < G(\beta_1 a + (1 - \beta_1)b, \beta_1 b + (1 - \beta_1)a), \\ G(\alpha_2 a + (1 - \alpha_2)b, \alpha_2 b + (1 - \alpha_2)a) &< N_{GA}(a, b) < G(\beta_2 a + (1 - \beta_2)b, \beta_2 b + (1 - \beta_2)a), \\ Q(\alpha_3 a + (1 - \alpha_3)b, \alpha_3 b + (1 - \alpha_3)a) &< N_{QA}(a, b) < Q(\beta_3 a + (1 - \beta_3)b, \beta_3 b + (1 - \beta_3)a), \\ Q(\alpha_4 a + (1 - \alpha_4)b, \alpha_4 b + (1 - \alpha_4)a) &< N_{AQ}(a, b) < Q(\beta_4 a + (1 - \beta_4)b, \beta_4 b + (1 - \beta_4)a) \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Qian et. al. [4] proved that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)L(a, b) &< N_{AG}(a, b) < \beta_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)L(a, b), \\ \alpha_2 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)P(a, b) &< N_{GA}(a, b) < \beta_2 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)P(a, b), \\ \alpha_3 Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_3)M(a, b) &< N_{QA}(a, b) < \beta_3 Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta_3)M(a, b), \\ \alpha_4 Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_4)T(a, b) &< N_{AQ}(a, b) < \beta_4 Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta_4)T(a, b)\end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \leq 0, \beta_1 \geq 1/2, \alpha_2 \leq 0, \beta_2 \geq (\pi^2 - 8)/(4\pi - 8), \alpha_3 \leq 0, \beta_3 \geq [\sqrt{2} \log^2(1+\sqrt{2})+2 \log(1+\sqrt{2})-2\sqrt{2}]/[4 \log(1+\sqrt{2})-2\sqrt{2}], \alpha_4 \leq 0$ and $\beta_4 \geq (\pi^2+2\pi-16)/(4\sqrt{2}\pi-16)$.

In [5, 6], the authors presented the double inequalities

$$H^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) < N_{AG}(a, b) < \frac{1}{3}H(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b), \quad (1.2)$$

$$C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) < N_{AQ}(a, b) < \frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b), \quad (1.3)$$

$$H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) < N_{GA}(a, b) < \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b), \quad (1.4)$$

$$C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) < N_{QA}(a, b) < \frac{1}{6}C(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) \quad (1.5)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Motivated by inequalities (1.2)-(1.5), it is natural to ask what are the best possible parameters $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2, \lambda_3, \mu_3, \lambda_4$ and μ_4 such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1[H(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \lambda_1)H^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) &< N_{AG}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_1[H(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \mu_1)H^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_2[C(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \lambda_2)C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) &< N_{AQ}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_2[C(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \mu_2)C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_3[H(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \lambda_3)H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &< N_{GA}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_3[H(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \mu_3)H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_4[C(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \lambda_4)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &< N_{QA}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_4[C(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \mu_4)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)\end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. The main purpose of this paper is to answer this question and present sharp bounds for the logarithmic mean $L(a, b)$, first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$, second Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$ and Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$.

2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$ and*

$$f(x) = px^4 + 2px^3 + (4p - 1)x^2 + 2(2p - 1)x + 4p - 3. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (1) if $p = 2/5$, then $f(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$;
- (2) if $p = 3/4$, then $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$;
- (3) if $p = (3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) / (16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0.3470 \dots$, then there exists $\xi_1 \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \xi_1)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\xi_1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.

Proof. For part (1), if $p = 2/5$, then (2.1) becomes

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{5}(x-1)(2x^3 + 6x^2 + 9x + 7). \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.2).

For part (2), if $p = 3/4$, then (2.1) becomes

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{4}x(3x^3 + 6x^2 + 8x + 4). \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.3).

For part (3), if $p = (3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) / (16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2})$, then simple computations lead to

$$11p - 2 = 1.8174 \dots > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$2p - 1 = -0.3059 \dots < 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$f(1) = 3(5p - 2) = -0.7943 \dots < 0, \quad (2.6)$$

$$f(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0.4961 \dots > 0 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$f'(x) = 4px^3 + 6px^2 + 2(4p-1)x + 2(2p-1). \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.8) that

$$f'(x) > 4px + 6px + 2(4p-1)x + 2(2p-1)x = 2(11p-2)x > 0 \quad (2.9)$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.

Therefore, part (3) follows easily from (2.6) and (2.7) together with (2.9). \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $q \in (0, 1)$ and

$$g(x) = 3qx^9 + 6qx^8 + 9qx^7 + 6(2q-1)x^6 + 3(5q-4)x^5 + 2(q-1)(5x^4 + 4x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 1). \quad (2.10)$$

Then the following statements are true:

- (1) if $q = 16/25$, then $g(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$;
- (2) if $q = 3\pi/10$, then exists $\xi_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, \xi_2)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (\xi_2, 1)$;
- (3) if $q = [3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}] / (7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.5730 \dots$, then there exists $\xi_3 \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$ such that $g(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \xi_3)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\xi_3, \sqrt[6]{2})$.

Proof. For part (1), if $q = 16/25$, then (2.10) becomes

$$g(x) = \frac{6}{25}(x-1)(8x^8 + 24x^7 + 48x^6 + 55x^5 + 45x^4 + 30x^3 + 18x^2 + 9x + 3). \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (2.11).

For part (2), if $q = 3\pi/10$, then simple computations lead to

$$2q - 1 = \frac{3\pi - 5}{5} > 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$5q - 4 = \frac{3\pi - 8}{2} > 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$g(0) = 2(q-1) = \frac{3\pi - 10}{5} < 0, \quad (2.14)$$

$$g(1) = 3(25q - 16) = \frac{3(15\pi - 32)}{2} > 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$g'(x) = 27qx^8 + 48qx^7 + 63qx^6 + 36(2q-1)x^5 + 15(5q-4)x^4 + 4(q-1)(10x^3 + 6x^2 + 3x + 1). \quad (2.16)$$

Let $g_1(x) = g'(x)$, $g_2(x) = g'_1(x)/6$, $g_3(x) = g'_2(x)$, $g_4(x) = g'_3(x)/4$, $g_5(x) = g'_4(x)/15$. Then simple computations lead to

$$g_1(0) = 4(q-1) < 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$g_1(1) = 365q - 176 = \frac{219\pi - 352}{2} > 0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$g_2(x) = 36qx^7 + 56qx^6 + 63qx^5 + 30(2q-1)x^4 + 10(5q-4)x^3 + 2(q-1)(10x^2 + 4x + 1),$$

$$g_2(0) = 2(q-1) < 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$g_2(1) = 5(59q - 20) = \frac{177\pi - 200}{2} > 0, \quad (2.20)$$

$$g_3(x) = 252qx^6 + 336qx^5 + 315qx^4 + 120(2q-1)x^3 + 30(5q-4)x^2 + 8(q-1)(5x+1),$$

$$g_3(0) = 8(q-1) < 0, \quad (2.21)$$

$$g_3(1) = 9(149q - 32) = \frac{4023\pi - 2880}{10} > 0, \quad (2.22)$$

$$g_4(x) = 378qx^5 + 420qx^4 + 315qx^3 + 90(2q-1)x^2 + 15(5q-4)x + 10(q-1),$$

$$g_4(0) = 10(q-1) < 0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$g_4(1) = 2(689q - 80) = \frac{2067\pi - 800}{5} > 0, \quad (2.24)$$

$$g_5(x) = 126qx^4 + 112qx^3 + 63qx^2 + 12(2q-1)x + (5q-4). \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) together with (2.25) we clearly see that g_4 is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$. Then (2.23) and (2.24) lead to the conclusion that there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that g_3 is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_0)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_0, 1)$.

It follows from (2.21) and (2.22) together with the piecewise monotonicity of g_3 that there exists $x_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that g_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_1)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_1, 1)$.

Inequalities (2.19) and (2.20) together with the piecewise monotonicity of g_2 imply that there exists $x_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that g_1 is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_2)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_2, 1)$.

From (2.17) and (2.18) together with the piecewise monotonicity of g_1 we clearly see that exists $x_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that g is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_3)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_3, 1)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows easily from (2.14) and (2.15) together with the piecewise monotonicity of g .

For part (3), if $q = [3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}]/(7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.5730\dots$, then numerical computations lead to

$$5q - 4 = -1.1347\dots < 0, \quad (2.26)$$

$$365q - 176 = 33.1638\dots > 0, \quad (2.27)$$

$$g(1) = 3(25q - 16) = -5.0211\dots < 0, \quad (2.28)$$

$$g(\sqrt[6]{2}) = 3.1861\dots > 0. \quad (2.29)$$

From (2.16), (2.26) and (2.27) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &> 27qx^5 + 48qx^5 + 63qx^5 + 36(2q-1)x^5 + 15(5q-4)x^5 + 4(q-1)(10x^5 + 6x^5 + 3x^5 + x^5) \\ &= (365q - 176)x^5 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$.

Therefore, part (3) follows from (2.28)-(2.30). \square

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *The double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1[H(a,b)/3 + 2A(a,b)/3] + (1 - \lambda_1)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) < N_{AG}(a,b) \\ & < \mu_1[H(a,b)/3 + 2A(a,b)/3] + (1 - \mu_1)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq 2/5$ and $\mu_1 \geq 3/4$.

Proof. Since $H(a,b)$, $N_{AG}(a,b)$ and $A(a,b)$ are symmetric and homogeneous of degree 1, without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b > 0$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0,1)$, $x = \sqrt[3]{1-v^2} \in (0,1)$ and $p \in (0,1)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) &= A(a,b)\sqrt[3]{1-v^2}, \\ \frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b) &= A(a,b)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}v^2\right), \\ \frac{N_{AG}(a,b) - H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)}{H(a,b)/3 + 2A(a,b)/3 - H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[1 + (1-v^2)\frac{\tanh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[3]{1-v^2}}{(1 - \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1-v^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{AG}(a,b) - \left[p\left(\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right) + (1-p)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)\right] &= A(a,b)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + (1-v^2)\frac{\tanh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right) - \frac{1}{3}p(3-v^2) - (1-p)\sqrt[3]{1-v^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{A(a,b)(1-v^2)}{2v}F(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-x^3}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{1-x^3}(2px^3 + 6(1-p)x + 4p - 3)}{3x^3}, \\ F(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$F'(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{x^4\sqrt{1-x^3}}f(x), \quad (3.4)$$

where $f(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1.1 ($p = 2/5$). Then from Lemma 2.1 (1) and (3.4) we know that F is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$N_{AG}(a,b) > \frac{2}{5}\left[\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] + \frac{3}{5}H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.2) and (3.3) together with the monotonicity of F .

Case 1.2 ($p = 3/4$). Then from Lemma 2.1 (2) and (3.4) we clearly see that F is strictly increasing on $(0,1)$. Therefore,

$$N_{AG}(a,b) < \frac{3}{4}\left[\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] + \frac{1}{4}H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \quad (3.6)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.2) and (3.3) together with the monotonicity of F .

Note that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (1 - v^2) \frac{\tanh^{-1}(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[3]{1 - v^2}}{(1 - \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1 - v^2}} = \frac{2}{5}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (1 - v^2) \frac{\tanh^{-1}(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[3]{1 - v^2}}{(1 - \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1 - v^2}} = \frac{3}{4}. \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows from (3.5) and (3.6) together with the following statements.

- If $\lambda_1 > 2/5$, then (3.1) and (3.7) imply that there exists small enough $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $N_{AG}(a, b) < \lambda_1(H(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3) + (1 - \lambda_1)H^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b)$ for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, \delta_1)$.
- If $\mu_1 < 3/4$, then (3.1) and (3.8) imply that there exists small enough $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ such that $N_{AG}(a, b) > \mu_1(H(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3) + (1 - \mu_1)H^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b)$ for all $a > b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$.

□

Theorem 3.2. The double inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2[C(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \lambda_2)C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) &< N_{AQ}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_2[C(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3] + (1 - \mu_2)C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_2 \leq (3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2})/(16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0.3470 \dots$ and $\mu_2 \geq 2/5$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, 1)$, $x = \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2} \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) &= A(a, b)\sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}, \\ \frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b) &= A(a, b) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}v^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{N_{AQ}(a, b) - C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b)}{C(a, b)/3 + 2A(a, b)/3 - C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (1 + v^2) \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (1 + v^2) \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}} = \frac{2}{5}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (1 + v^2) \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{3}v^2) - \sqrt[3]{1 + v^2}} = \frac{3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}{16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}. \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{AQ}(a, b) - \left[p \left(\frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b) \right) + (1 - p)C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) \right] \\ = A(a, b) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (1 + v^2) \frac{\arctan(v)}{v} \right) - \frac{1}{3}p(3 + v^2) - (1 - p)\sqrt[3]{1 + v^2} \right] \\ = \frac{A(a, b)(1 + v^2)}{2v} G(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$G(x) = \arctan\left(\sqrt{x^3 - 1}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{x^3 - 1}(2px^3 + 6(1-p)x + 4p - 3)}{3x^3},$$

$$G(1) = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$G(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \frac{3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2} - (16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2})p}{12}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$G'(x) = -\frac{(x-1)^2}{x^4\sqrt{x^3 - 1}}f(x), \quad (3.15)$$

where $f(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.1.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 2.1 ($p = (3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2})/(16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0.3470\cdots$). Then from Lemma 2.1 (3) together with (3.14) and (3.15) we clearly see that there exists $\xi_1 \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $G(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \xi_1]$ and strictly decreasing on $[\xi_1, \sqrt[3]{2})$, and

$$G(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore,

$$N_{AQ}(a, b) > \left(\frac{3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}{16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}\right) \left[\frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b)\right] + \left(1 - \frac{3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}{16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}}\right) C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) \quad (3.17)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.16) together with the piecewise monotonicity of G .

Case 2.2 ($p = 2/5$). Then from Lemma 2.1 (1) and (3.15) we know that G is strictly decreasing on $(1, \sqrt[3]{2})$. It follows from (3.12) and (3.13) together with the monotonicity of G that

$$N_{AQ}(a, b) < \frac{2}{5} \left[\frac{1}{3}C(a, b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a, b)\right] + \frac{3}{5}C^{1/3}(a, b)A^{2/3}(a, b) \quad (3.18)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows from (3.9)-(3.11), (3.17) and (3.18). \square

Theorem 3.3. The double inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_3[H(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \lambda_3)H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &< N_{GA}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_3[H(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1 - \mu_3)H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_3 \leq 16/25$ and $\mu_3 \geq 3\pi/10$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b > 0$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, 1)$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1-v^2} \in (0, 1)$ and $q \in (0, 1)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &= A(a, b)\sqrt[6]{1-v^2}, \\ \frac{1}{6}H(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) &= A(a, b)\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}v^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{N_{GA}(a, b) - H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)}{H(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6 - H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{1-v^2} + \frac{\arcsin(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}v^2\right) - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{1-v^2} + \frac{\arcsin(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}v^2\right) - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}} = \frac{16}{25}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{1-v^2} + \frac{\arcsin(v)}{v} \right] - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}v^2\right) - \sqrt[6]{1-v^2}} = \frac{3\pi}{10}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{GA}(a, b) &- \left[q \left(\frac{1}{6}H(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) \right) + (1-q)H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \right] \\ &= A(a, b) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{1-v^2} + \frac{\arcsin(v)}{v} \right) - \frac{1}{6}q(6-v^2) - (1-q)\sqrt[6]{1-v^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{A(a, b)}{2v} H(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H(x) &= \arcsin \left(\sqrt{1-x^6} \right) - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{1-x^6} [qx^6 - 3x^3 - 6(1-q)x + 5q], \\ H(0) &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{5}{3}q, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

$$H(1) = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

$$H'(x) = \frac{(x-1)^2}{\sqrt{1-x^6}} g(x), \quad (3.25)$$

where $g(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 3.1 ($q = 16/25$). Then Lemma 2.2 (1) and (3.25) lead to the conclusion that $H(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$N_{GA}(a, b) > \frac{16}{25} \left[\frac{1}{6}H(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) \right] + \frac{9}{25}H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \quad (3.26)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (3.22) and (3.24) together with the monotonicity of $H(x)$.

Case 3.2 ($q = 3\pi/10$). Then from Lemma 2.2 (2), (3.23) and (3.25) we know that

$$H(0) = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

and there exists $\xi_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $H(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \xi_2)$ and strictly increasing on $(\xi_2, 1)$. It follows from (3.22), (3.24), (3.27) and the piecewise monotonicity of $H(x)$ that

$$N_{GA}(a, b) < \frac{3\pi}{10} \left[\frac{1}{6}H(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) \right] + \left(1 - \frac{3\pi}{10}\right) H^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \quad (3.28)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Therefore, Theorem 3.3 follows easily from (3.19)-(3.21), (3.26) and (3.28). \square

Theorem 3.4. *The double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_4[C(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1-\lambda_4)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &< N_{QA}(a, b) \\ &< \mu_4[C(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6] + (1-\mu_4)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_4 \leq [3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}]/(7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.5730 \dots$ and $\mu_4 \geq 16/25$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b > 0$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (0, 1)$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1+v^2} \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$ and $q \in (0, 1)$. Then simple computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) &= A(a, b)\sqrt[6]{1+v^2}, \\ \frac{1}{6}C(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b) &= A(a, b)\left(1 + \frac{1}{6}v^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{N_{QA}(a, b) - C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)}{C(a, b)/6 + 5A(a, b)/6 - C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{1+v^2} + \frac{\sinh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{6}v^2) - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}}, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{1+v^2} + \frac{\sinh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{6}v^2) - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}} = \frac{16}{25}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{1+v^2} + \frac{\sinh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right] - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{6}v^2) - \sqrt[6]{1+v^2}} = \frac{3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}{7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_{QA}(a, b) - &\left[q\left(\frac{1}{6}C(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b)\right) + (1-q)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b)\right] \\ = &A(a, b)\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{1+v^2} + \frac{\sinh^{-1}(v)}{v}\right) - \frac{1}{6}q(6+v^2) - (1-q)\sqrt[6]{1+v^2}\right] \\ = &\frac{A(a, b)}{2v}J(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(x) &= \sinh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x^6-1}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{x^6-1}\left[qx^6 - 3x^3 + 6(1-q)x + 5q\right], \\ J(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

$$J(\sqrt[6]{2}) = \log(1+\sqrt{2}) + \sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt[6]{2} - (7/3 - 2\sqrt[6]{2})q, \quad (3.34)$$

$$J'(x) = -\frac{(x-1)^2}{\sqrt{x^6-1}}g(x), \quad (3.35)$$

where $g(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 2.2.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 4.1 ($q = [3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}]/(7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.5730 \dots$). Then Lemma 2.2 (3), (3.34) and (3.35) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\xi_3 \in (1, \sqrt[6]{2})$ such that $J(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(1, \xi_3]$ and strictly decreasing on $[\xi_3, \sqrt[6]{2}]$, and

$$J(\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{QA}(a, b) &> \left(\frac{3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}{7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{6}C(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b)\right] \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1+\sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}{7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}}\right)C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (3.32), (3.33) and (3.36) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $J(x)$.

Case 4.2 ($q = 16/25$). Then Lemma 2.2 (1) and (3.35) lead to the conclusion that $J(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(1, \sqrt[6]{2})$. It follows from (3.32) and (3.33) together with the monotonicity of $J(x)$ that

$$N_{QA}(a, b) < \frac{16}{25}\left[\frac{1}{6}C(a, b) + \frac{5}{6}A(a, b)\right] + \frac{9}{25}C^{1/6}(a, b)A^{5/6}(a, b) \quad (3.38)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Therefore, Theorem 3.4 follows easily from (3.29)-(3.31), (3.37) and (3.38). \square

Remark 3.5. Let $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = 1$. Then we clearly see that the inequalities in Theorems 3.1-3.4 become inequalities (1.2)-(1.5). Therefore, Theorems 3.1-3.4 are the refinements and improvements of inequalities (1.2)-(1.5).

From (1.1) we clearly see that

$$N_{AG}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left[A(a, b) + \frac{G^2(a, b)}{L(a, b)} \right], \quad N_{GA}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left[G(a, b) + \frac{A^2(a, b)}{P(a, b)} \right], \quad (3.39)$$

$$N_{QA}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left[Q(a, b) + \frac{A^2(a, b)}{M(a, b)} \right], \quad N_{AQ}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \left[A(a, b) + \frac{Q^2(a, b)}{T(a, b)} \right]. \quad (3.40)$$

Theorems 3.1-3.4 together with (3.39) and (3.40) lead to the sharp bounds for the logarithmic mean $L(a, b)$, first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$, second Seiffert mean $T(a, b)$ and Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ in terms of the harmonic mean $H(a, b)$, geometric mean $G(a, b)$, arithmetic mean $A(a, b)$, quadratic mean $Q(a, b)$ and contra-harmonic mean $C(a, b)$.

Theorem 3.6. *The double inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3G^2(a, b)}{2\mu_1 H(a, b) + (4\mu_1 - 3) A(a, b) + 6(1 - \mu_1) H^{1/3}(a, b) A^{2/3}(a, b)} < L(a, b) \\ & < \frac{3G^2(a, b)}{2\lambda_1 H(a, b) + (4\lambda_1 - 3) A(a, b) + 6(1 - \lambda_1) H^{1/3}(a, b) A^{2/3}(a, b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3Q^2(a, b)}{2\mu_2 C(a, b) + (4\mu_2 - 3) A(a, b) + 6(1 - \mu_2) C^{1/3}(a, b) A^{2/3}(a, b)} < T(a, b) \\ & < \frac{3Q^2(a, b)}{2\lambda_2 C(a, b) + (4\lambda_2 - 3) A(a, b) + 6(1 - \lambda_2) C^{1/3}(a, b) A^{2/3}(a, b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3A^2(a, b)}{\mu_3[H(a, b) + 5A(a, b)] + 6(1 - \mu_3) H^{1/6}(a, b) A^{5/6}(a, b) - 3G(a, b)} < P(a, b) \\ & < \frac{3A^2(a, b)}{\lambda_3[H(a, b) + 5A(a, b)] + 6(1 - \lambda_3) H^{1/6}(a, b) A^{5/6}(a, b) - 3G(a, b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3A^2(a, b)}{\mu_4[C(a, b) + 5A(a, b)] + 6(1 - \mu_4) C^{1/6}(a, b) A^{5/6}(a, b) - 3Q(a, b)} < M(a, b) \\ & < \frac{3A^2(a, b)}{\lambda_4[C(a, b) + 5A(a, b)] + 6(1 - \lambda_4) C^{1/6}(a, b) A^{5/6}(a, b) - 3Q(a, b)} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq 2/5$, $\mu_1 \geq 3/4$, $\lambda_2 \leq (3\pi + 6 - 12\sqrt[3]{2})/(16 - 12\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0.3470\cdots$, $\mu_2 \geq 2/5$, $\lambda_3 \leq 16/25$, $\mu_3 \geq 3\pi/10$, $\lambda_4 \leq [3\sqrt{2} + 3\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - 6\sqrt[6]{2}]/(7 - 6\sqrt[6]{2}) = 0.5730\cdots$ and $\mu_4 \geq 16/25$.

Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61374086, the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grant LY13A010004, and the Natural Science Foundation of the Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University under Grant XKT-15G17.

References

- [1] E. Neuman, *On a new bivariate mean*, Aequationes Math., **88** (2014), 277–289.1, 1
- [2] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean*, Math. Pannon., **14** (2003), 253–266.1
- [3] E. Neuman, J. Sándor, *On the Schwab-Borchardt mean II*, Math. Pannon., **17** (2006), 49–59.1, 1
- [4] W. M. Qian, Z. H. Shao, Y. M. Chu, *Sharp inequalities involving Neuman means of the second kind*, J. Math. Inequal., **9** (2015), 531–540.1
- [5] Y. Y. Yang, W. M. Qian, *The optimal convex combination bounds of harmonic, arithmetic and contraharmonic means for the Neuman means*, Int. Math. Forum, **9** (2014), 1295–1307.1
- [6] L. Yang, Y. Y. Yang, Q. Wang, W.-M. Qian, *The optimal geometric combination bounds for Neuman means of harmonic, arithmetic and contra-harmonic means*, Pac. J. Appl. Math., **6** (2014), 283–292.1
- [7] Y. Zhang, Y. M. Chu, Y.-L. Jiang, *Sharp geometric mean bounds for Neuman means*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2014** (2014), 6 pages.1