



Some results on fixed points of nonlinear operators and solutions of equilibrium problems

Peng Cheng^{a,*}, Zhaocui Min^b

^aSchool of Mathematics and Information Science, North China University of Water Resources and Electric Power, Henan, China.

^bSchool of Science, Hebei University of Engineering, Hebei, China.

Communicated by Y. J. Cho

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate fixed points of an asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and a bifunction equilibrium problem. We obtain a strong convergence theorem of solutions in the framework of Banach spaces. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping, equilibrium problem, fixed point, variational inequality, iterative process.

2010 MSC: 65J15, 65K10.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space and let C be a convex closed subset of E . Let $B : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{R} denotes the set of real numbers, be a bifunction. Recall that the following equilibrium problem in the terminology of Blum and Oettli [4]. Find $\bar{x} \in C$ such that

$$B(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

In this paper, we use $Sol(B)$ to denote the solution set of equilibrium problem (1.1). That is, $Sol(B) = \{x \in C : B(x, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$.

The following restrictions on bifunction B are essential in this paper.

(Q1) $B(a, a) \equiv 0, \forall a \in C$;

*Corresponding author

Email address: hschengp@yeah.net (Peng Cheng)

(Q2) $B(b, a) + B(a, b) \leq 0, \forall a, b \in C;$

(Q3) $B(a, b) \geq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} B(tc + (1 - t)a, b), \forall a, b, c \in C;$

(Q4) $b \mapsto B(a, b)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous and convex, $\forall a \in C.$

Equilibrium problem (1.1), which includes complementarity problems, variational inequality problems and inclusion problems as special cases, provides us a natural and unified framework to study a wide class of problems arising in physics, economics, finance, transportation, network, elasticity and optimization; see [3], [8], [10], [12], [14], [23], [28], and the references therein. Recently, equilibrium problem (1.1) has been extensively investigated based on fixed point algorithms in Banach spaces; see [9], [11], [13], [15]-[18], [24]-[27], [29]-[32] and the references therein.

Let E^* be the dual space of E . Let S^E be the unit sphere of E . Recall that E is said to be a strictly convex space iff $\|x+y\| < 2$ for all $x, y \in S^E$ and $x \neq y$. Recall that E is said to have a Gâteaux differentiable norm iff $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t}(\|x\| - \|x + ty\|)$ exists for each $x, y \in S^E$. In this case, we also say that E is smooth. E is said to have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm if for each $y \in B_E$, the limit is attained uniformly for all $x \in S^E$. E is also said to have a uniformly Fréchet differentiable norm iff the above limit is attained uniformly for $x, y \in S^E$. In this case, we say that E is uniformly smooth.

Recall that the normalized duality mapping J from E to 2^{E^*} is defined by

$$Jx = \{y \in E^* : \|x\|^2 = \langle x, y \rangle = \|y\|^2\}.$$

It is known

- if E is uniformly smooth, then J is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on every bounded subset of E ;
- if E is a strictly convex Banach space, then J is strictly monotone;
- if E is a smooth Banach space, then J is single-valued and demicontinuous, i.e., continuous from the strong topology of E to the weak star topology of E ;
- if E is a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space with a strictly convex dual E^* and $J^* : E^* \rightarrow E$ is the normalized duality mapping in E^* , then $J^{-1} = J^*$;
- if E is a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then J is single-valued, one-to-one and onto.

From now on, we use \rightharpoonup and \rightarrow to stand for the weak convergence and strong convergence, respectively. Recall that E is said to have the Kadec-Klee property (KK property) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$, and $x \in E$ with $x_n \rightharpoonup x$, and $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let T be a mapping on C . Recall that a point p is said to be a fixed point of T if and only if $p = Tp$. p is said to be an asymptotic fixed point [22] of T if and only if C contains a sequence $\{x_n\}$, where $x_n \rightharpoonup p$ such that $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$. From now on, we use $Fix(T)$ to stand for the fixed point set and $\widetilde{Fix}(T)$ to stand for the asymptotic fixed point set.

Next, we assume that E is a smooth Banach space which means J is single-valued. Study the functional

$$\phi(x, y) := \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - 2\langle x, Jy \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Let C be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . For any $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in C , denoted by P_Cx , such that $\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\|$, for all $y \in C$. The operator P_C is called the metric projection from H onto C . It is known that P_C is firmly nonexpansive. In [2], Alber studied a new mapping $Proj_C$ in a Banach space E which is an analogue of P_C , the metric projection, in Hilbert spaces. Recall that the generalized projection $Proj_C : E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping that assigns to an arbitrary point $x \in E$ the minimum point of $\phi(x, y)$, which implies from the definition of ϕ that

$$(\|y\| + \|x\|)^2 \geq \phi(x, y) \geq (\|x\| - \|y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

Recall that T is said to be relatively nonexpansive [6], [7] iff

$$Fix(T) = \widetilde{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in Fix(T).$$

T is said to be relatively asymptotically nonexpansive [1] iff

$$Fix(T) = \widetilde{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, T^n x) \leq (\mu_n + 1)\phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in Fix(T), \forall n \geq 1,$$

where $\{\mu_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ is a sequence such that $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

T is said to be relatively asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense iff $Fix(T) = \widetilde{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x)) \leq 0.$$

Putting $\xi_n = \max\{0, \sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x))\}$, we see $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

T is said to be quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive [19] iff

$$Fix(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, Tx) \leq \phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in Fix(T).$$

T is said to be asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive [20] iff there exists a sequence $\{\mu_n\} \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$Fix(T) \neq \emptyset, \phi(p, T^n x) \leq (\mu_n + 1)\phi(p, x), \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in Fix(T), \forall n \geq 1.$$

T is said to be asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive in the intermediate sense [21] iff $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x)) \leq 0.$$

Putting $\xi_n = \max\{0, \sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x))\}$, we see $\xi_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.1. The class of relatively asymptotically nonexpansive mappings covers the class of relatively nonexpansive mappings. The class of (asymptotically) quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings (in the intermediate sense) is more desirable than the class of relatively (asymptotically) nonexpansive mappings (in the intermediate sense) because of restriction $Fix(T) = \widetilde{Fix}(T)$.

Remark 1.2. The class of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense is reduced to the class of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense, which was considered in [5] as a non-Lipschitz continuous mappings, in the framework of Hilbert spaces.

Lemma 1.3 ([2]). *Let E be a strictly convex, reflexive, and smooth Banach space and let C be a closed and convex subset of E . Let $x \in E$. Then*

$$\phi(y, x) - \phi(\Pi_C x, x) \geq \phi(y, \Pi_C x), \quad \forall y \in C,$$

$\langle y - x_0, Jx - Jx_0 \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C$ if and only if $x_0 = \Pi_C x$.

Lemma 1.4 ([24]). *Let E be a strictly convex, smooth, and reflexive Banach space and let C be a closed convex subset of E . Let B be a function with restrictions (Q1), (Q2), (Q3) and (Q4). Let $x \in E$ and let $r > 0$. Then there exists $z \in C$ such that $rB(z, y) + \langle z - y, Jz - Jx \rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C$. Define a mapping $W^{B,r}$ by*

$$W^{B,r} x = \{z \in C : rB(z, y) + \langle y - z, Jz - Jx \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C\}.$$

The following conclusions hold:

- (1) $W^{B,r}$ is single-valued quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive.
- (2) $Sol(B) = Fix(W^{B,r})$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 1.5 ([21]). *Let E be a strictly convex, smooth and reflexive Banach space such that both E^* and E have the KK property. Let C be a convex and closed subset of E and let T be an asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense on C . Then $Fix(T)$ is convex.*

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space such that both E and E^* have the KK property and let C be a convex and closed subset of E . Let B be a bifunction satisfying (Q1), (Q2), (Q3) and (Q4) and let T be an asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense on C . Assume that T is uniformly asymptotically regular and closed and $Fix(T) \cap Sol(B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, x_1 = Proj_{C_1}x_0, \\ r_n B(u_n, \mu) \geq \langle u_n - \mu, Ju_n - Jx_n \rangle, \mu \in C, \\ Jy_n = \alpha_n JT^n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Jx_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, x_n) + \xi_n \geq \phi(z, y_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = Proj_{C_{n+1}}x_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_n = \max\{\sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^n x) - \phi(p, x)), 0\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, 1]$, where $a \in (0, 1]$ is a real number, and $\{r_n\} \subset [r, \infty)$ is a real sequence, where r is some positive real number. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $Proj_{Fix(T) \cap Sol(B)}x_1$.

Proof. The proof is split into seven steps.

Step 1. Prove $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T)$ is convex and closed.

Using Lemma 1.4 and Lemma 1.5, we find that $Sol(B)$ is convex and closed and $Fix(T)$ is convex. Since T is closed, one has $Fix(T)$ is also closed. So, $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T)$ is convex and closed. $Proj_{Sol(B) \cap Fix(T)}x$ is well defined, for any element x in E .

Step 2. Prove C_n is convex and closed.

It is obvious that $C_1 = C$ is convex and closed. Assume that C_m is convex and closed for some $m \geq 1$. Let $p_1, p_2 \in C_{m+1}$. It follows that $p = sp_1 + (1 - s)p_2 \in C_m$, where $s \in (0, 1)$. Notice that $\phi(p_1, y_m) - \phi(p_1, x_m) \leq \xi_m$, and $\phi(p_2, y_m) - \phi(p_2, x_m) \leq \xi_m$. Hence, one has

$$\xi_m + \|x_m\|^2 - \|y_m\|^2 \geq 2\langle p_1, Jx_m - Jy_m \rangle,$$

and

$$\xi_m + \|x_m\|^2 - \|y_m\|^2 \geq 2\langle p_2, Jx_m - Jy_m \rangle.$$

Using the above two inequalities, one has $\phi(p, x_m) + \xi_m \geq \phi(z, y_m)$. This shows that C_{m+1} is closed and convex. Hence, C_n is a convex and closed set. This proves that $Proj_{C_{n+1}}x_1$ is well defined.

Step 3. Prove $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_n$.

Note that $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_1 = C$ is clear. Suppose that $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_m$ for some positive integer m . For any $w \in Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_m$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(w, y_m) &= \|(1 - \alpha_m)Jx_m + \alpha_m JT^m u_m\|^2 + \|w\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\langle w, (1 - \alpha_m)Jx_m + \alpha_m JT^m u_m \rangle \\ &\leq \|w\|^2 - 2\alpha_m \langle w, JT^m u_m \rangle - 2(1 - \alpha_m) \langle w, Jx_m \rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha_m \|T^m u_m\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_m) \|x_m\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_m \phi(w, u_m) + \alpha_m \xi_m + (1 - \alpha_m) \phi(w, x_m) \\ &\leq \phi(w, x_m) + \xi_m, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_m = \max\{\sup_{p \in Fix(T), x \in C} (\phi(p, T^m x) - \phi(p, x)), 0\}$. This shows that $w \in C_{m+1}$. This implies that $Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_n$.

Step 4. Prove $\{x_n\}$ is bounded.

Using Lemma 1.3, one has $\langle z - x_n, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \leq 0$, for any $z \in C_n$. It follows that

$$0 \geq \langle w - x_n, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle, \forall w \in Sol(B) \cap Fix(T) \subset C_n.$$

Using Lemma 1.3 yields that

$$\phi(\Pi_{Fix(T) \cap Sol(B)}x_1, x_1) \geq \phi(x_n, x_1) \geq 0,$$

which implies that $\{\phi(x_n, x_1)\}$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is also a bounded sequence. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_n \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Since C_n is convex and closed, we see $\bar{x} \in C_n$.

Step 5. Prove $\bar{x} \in Fix(T)$.

Using the fact $\phi(x_n, x_1) \leq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1)$, one has

$$\phi(\bar{x}, x_1) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n\|^2 + \|x_1\|^2 - 2\langle x_n, Jx_1 \rangle) \geq \phi(\bar{x}, x_1).$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, x_1) = \phi(\bar{x}, x_1)$. Hence, we have

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_1) - \phi(x_n, x_1) \geq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$. Since $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1}$, one sees that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n \geq \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) \geq 0.$$

It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) = 0$. Hence, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_n\| - \|x_{n+1}\|) = 0$. This implies that

$$\|\bar{x}\| = \|J\bar{x}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jy_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\|.$$

This implies that $\{Jy_n\}$ is bounded. Assume that $\{Jy_n\}$ converges weakly to $y^* \in E^*$. In view of the reflexivity of E , we see that $J(E) = E^*$. This shows that there exists an element $u \in E$ such that $Jy = y^*$. It follows that $\phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) + 2\langle x_{n+1}, Jy_n \rangle = \|x_{n+1}\|^2 + \|Jy_n\|^2$. Taking $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, one has $0 \geq \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, y^* \rangle + \|y^*\|^2 = \|\bar{x}\|^2 + \|Jy\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Jy \rangle = \phi(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0$. That is, $\bar{x} = y$, which in turn implies that $J\bar{x} = y^*$. Hence, $Jy_n \rightharpoonup J\bar{x} \in E^*$. Using the KK property, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Jy_n = J\bar{x}$. Since J^{-1} is demicontinuous and E has the KK property, one gets $y_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the restriction on $\{\alpha_n\}$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Jx_n - JT^n u_n\| = 0$. This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|JT^n u_n - J\bar{x}\| = 0$. Since J^{-1} is demicontinuous, one has $T^n u_n \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$. Since

$$\|T^n u_n\| - \|\bar{x}\| \leq \|J(T^n u_n) - J\bar{x}\|,$$

one has $\|T^n u_n\| \rightarrow \|\bar{x}\|$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since E has the KK property, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{x} - T^n u_n\| = 0$. Since T is also uniformly asymptotically regular, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{x} - T^{n+1} u_n\| = 0$. That is, $T(T^n u_n) \rightarrow \bar{x}$. Using the closedness of T , we find $T\bar{x} = \bar{x}$. This proves $\bar{x} \in Fix(T)$.

Step 6. Prove $\bar{x} \in Sol(B)$.

Since $\alpha_n \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \xi_n$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) = 0$. Hence, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|u_n\| - \|x_{n+1}\|) = 0$. This implies that

$$\|\bar{x}\| = \|J\bar{x}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ju_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|.$$

This implies that $\{Ju_n\}$ is bounded. Assume that $\{Ju_n\}$ converges weakly to $y^* \in E^*$. In view of the reflexivity of E , we see that $J(E) = E^*$. This shows that there exists an element $u \in E$ such that $Ju = u^*$. It follows that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) + 2\langle x_{n+1}, Ju_n \rangle = \|x_{n+1}\|^2 + \|Ju_n\|^2.$$

Taking $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, one has

$$0 \geq \|\bar{x}\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, u^* \rangle + \|u^*\|^2 = \|\bar{x}\|^2 + \|Ju\|^2 - 2\langle \bar{x}, Ju \rangle = \phi(\bar{x}, u) \geq 0.$$

That is, $\bar{x} = u$, which in turn implies that $u^* = J\bar{x}$. Hence, $Ju_n \rightharpoonup J\bar{x} \in E^*$. Using the KK property, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ju_n = J\bar{x}$. Since J^{-1} is demi-continuous and E has the KK property, one gets $u_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$r_n B(y, u_n) + \langle u_n - y, Ju_n - Jy_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C_n,$$

we see that $B(y, \bar{x}) \leq 0$. Let $0 < t < 1$ and define $y_t = ty + (1 - t)\bar{x}$. It follows that $y_t \in C$, which yields that $B(y_t, \bar{x}) \leq 0$. It follows from the (Q1) and (Q4) that

$$0 = B(y_t, y_t) \leq tB(y_t, y) + (1 - t)B(y_t, \bar{x}) \leq tB(y_t, y).$$

That is, $B(y_t, y) \geq 0$. It follows from (Q3) that $B(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C$. This implies that $\bar{x} \in \text{Sol}(B)$. This completes the proof that $\bar{x} \in \text{Sol}(B) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$.

Step 7. Prove $\bar{x} = \text{Proj}_{\text{Sol}(B) \cap \text{Fix}(T)} x_1$.

Note the fact $\langle w - x_n, Jx_1 - Jx_n \rangle \leq 0, \forall w \in \text{Sol}(B) \cap \text{Fix}(T)$. It follows that

$$\langle \bar{x} - w, Jx_1 - J\bar{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall w \in \text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Sol}(B).$$

Using Lemma 1.3, we find that that $\bar{x} = \text{Proj}_{\text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Sol}(B)} x_1$. This completes the proof. □

From Theorem 2.1, the following results are not hard to derive.

Corollary 2.2. *Let E be a smooth, strictly convex, and reflexive Banach space such that both E and E^* have the KK property and let C be a convex and closed subset of E . Let B be a bifunction satisfying (Q1), (Q2), (Q3) and (Q4). Assume that $\text{Sol}(B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, x_1 = \text{Proj}_{C_1} x_0, \\ r_n B(u_n, \mu) \geq \langle u_n - \mu, Ju_n - Jx_n \rangle, \mu \in C, \\ Jy_n = \alpha_n Ju_n + (1 - \alpha_n) Jx_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \phi(z, x_n) \geq \phi(z, y_n)\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \text{Proj}_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, 1]$, $a \in (0, 1]$ is a real number and $\{r_n\} \subset [r, \infty)$ is a real sequence, where r is some positive real number. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\text{Proj}_{\text{Sol}(B)} x_1$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let E be a Hilbert space and let C be a convex and closed subset of E . Let B be a bifunction satisfying (Q1), (Q2), (Q3) and (Q4) and let T be an asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense on C . Assume that T is uniformly asymptotically regular and closed and $\text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Sol}(B) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in E \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ C_1 = C, x_1 = P_{C_1} x_0, \\ r_n B(u_n, \mu) \geq \langle u_n - \mu, u_n - x_n \rangle, \mu \in C, \\ y_n = \alpha_n T^n u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) x_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|z - x_n\|^2 + \xi_n \geq \|z - y_n\|^2\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}} x_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\xi_n = \max\{\sup_{p \in \text{Fix}(T), x \in C} (\|p - T^n x\|^2 - \|p - x\|^2), 0\}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $[a, 1]$, where $a \in (0, 1]$ is a real number, and $\{r_n\} \subset [r, \infty)$ is a real sequence, where r is some positive real number. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $P_{\text{Fix}(T) \cap \text{Sol}(B)} x_1$.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the reviewers for the useful suggestions which improve the contents of this article.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, Y. J. Cho, X. Qin, *Generalized projection algorithms for nonlinear operators*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **28** (2007), 1197–1215. 1
- [2] Y. I. Alber, *Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces: properties and applications*, in: A.G. Kartsatos (Ed.), Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1996).1, 1.3
- [3] B. A. Bin Dehaish, X. Qin, A. Latif, H. Bakodah, *Weak and strong convergence of algorithms for the sum of two accretive operators with applications*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **16** (2015), 1321–1336.1
- [4] E. Blum, W. Oettli, *From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems*, Math. Student, **63** (1994), 123–145.1
- [5] R. E. Bruck, T. Kuczumow, S. Reich, *Convergence of iterates of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with the uniform Opial property*, Colloq. Math., **65** (1993), 169–179.1.2
- [6] D. Butnariu, S. Reich, A. J. Zaslavski, *Asymptotic behavior of relatively nonexpansive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Appl. Anal., **7** (2001), 151–174.1
- [7] Y. Censor, S. Reich, *Iterations of paracontractions and firmly nonexpansive operators with applications to feasibility and optimization*, Optimization, **37** (1996), 323–339.1
- [8] Y. J. Cho, J. Li, N. J. Huang, *Solvability of implicit complementarity problems*, Math. Comput. Model., **45** (2007), 1001–1009.1
- [9] S. Y. Cho, X. Qin, *On the strong convergence of an iterative process for asymptotically strict pseudocontractions and equilibrium problems*, Appl. Math. Comput., **235** (2014), 430–438.1
- [10] S. Y. Cho, X. Qin, L. Wang, *Strong convergence of a splitting algorithm for treating monotone operators*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 15 pages.1
- [11] B. S. Choudhury, S. Kundu, *A viscosity type iteration by weak contraction for approximating solutions of generalized equilibrium problem*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **5** (2012), 243–251.1
- [12] J. Gwinner, F. Raciti, *Random equilibrium problems on networks*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **43** (2006), 880–891.1
- [13] Y. Hao, *Some results on a modified Mann iterative scheme in a reflexive Banach space*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 14 pages.1
- [14] R. H. He, *Coincidence theorem and existence theorems of solutions for a system of Ky Fan type minimax inequalities in FC-spaces*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, **2** (2012), 47–57.1
- [15] J. K. Kim, *Strong convergence theorems by hybrid projection methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of the asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2011** (2011), 15 pages.1
- [16] J. K. Kim, S. Y. Cho, X. Qin, *Some results on generalized equilibrium problems involving strictly pseudocontractive mappings*, Acta Math. Sci., **31** (2011), 2041–2057.
- [17] B. Liu, C. Zhang, *Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl., **16** (2011), 365–385.
- [18] R. X. Ni, J. S. Jin, C. F. Wen, *Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 23 pages.1
- [19] X. Qin, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, *Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **225** (2009), 20–30.1
- [20] X. Qin, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, *On hybrid projection methods for asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings*, Appl. Math. Comput., **215** (2010), 3874–3883.1
- [21] X. Qin, L. Wang, *On asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense*, Abst. Appl. Anal., **2012** (2012), 13 pages.1, 1.5
- [22] S. Reich, *A weak convergence theorem for the alternating method with Bregman distance*, in: A.G. Kartsatos (Ed.), Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Operators of Accretive and Monotone Type, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1996).1
- [23] T. V. Su, *Second-order optimality conditions for vector equilibrium problems*, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal., **2015** (2015), 31 pages.1
- [24] W. Takahashi, K. Zembayashi, *Strong and weak convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **70** (2009), 45–57.1, 1.4
- [25] Z. M. Wang, X. Zhang, *Shrinking projection methods for systems of mixed variational inequalities of Browder type, systems of mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems*, J. Nonlinear Funct. Anal., **2014** (2014), 25 pages.

- [26] Z. M. Wang, Y. Su, D. Wang, Y. Dong, *A modified Halpern-type iteration algorithm for a family of hemi-relatively nonexpansive mappings and systems of equilibrium problems in Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **235** (2011), 2364–2371.
- [27] L. Yang, F. Zhao, J. K. Kim, *Hybrid projection method for generalized mixed equilibrium problem and fixed point problem of infinite family of asymptotically quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), 6072–6082.1
- [28] Q. Yu, D. Fang, W. Du, *Solving the logit-based stochastic user equilibrium problem with elastic demand based on the extended traffic network model*, Eur. J. Oper. Res., **239** (2014), 112–118.1
- [29] L. Zhang, H. Tong, *An iterative method for nonexpansive semigroups, variational inclusions and generalized equilibrium problems*, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2014), 325–343., 1
- [30] J. Zhao, S. He, *Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, **19** (2011), 347-364.
- [31] J. Zhao, *Approximation of solutions to an equilibrium problem in a nonuniformly smooth Banach space*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013** (2013), 10 pages.
- [32] L. C. Zhao, S. S. Chang, *Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of strict pseudo-contraction mappings*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **2** (2009), 78–91.1