



The stability of quadratic α -functional equations

Sungsik Yun^a, Choonkill Park^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Financial Mathematics, Hanshin University, Gyeonggi-do 18101, Republic of Korea.

^bResearch Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea.

Communicated by C. Alaca

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the quadratic α -functional equation

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x - y) + \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x + y)), \quad (1)$$

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x + y) + \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x - y)), \quad (2)$$

where α is a fixed nonzero real or complex number with $\alpha^{-1} \neq \pm\sqrt{3}$.

Using the fixed point method and the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equations (1) and (2) in Banach spaces. ©2016 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Hyers-Ulam stability, quadratic α -functional equation, fixed point method, direct method, Banach space.

2010 MSC: 39B52, 39B62, 47H10.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [21] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation $f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y)$ is called the *Cauchy equation*. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*. Hyers [13] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [20] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [10] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach. See [2, 3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 18] for more information on functional equations.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ssyun@hs.ac.kr (Sungsik Yun), baak@hanyang.ac.kr (Choonkill Park)

Theorem 1.1 ([4, 8]). *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \quad \forall n \geq n_0$;
- (2) *the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;*
- (3) y^* *is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;*
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy)$ *for all $y \in Y$.*

In 1996, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias [14] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [5, 6, 16, 17, 19]).

In Section 2, we solve the quadratic α -functional equation (1) in vector spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (1) in Banach spaces by using the fixed point method.

In Section 3, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (1) in Banach spaces by using the direct method.

In Section 4, we solve the quadratic α -functional equation (2) in vector spaces and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (2) in Banach spaces by using the fixed point method.

In Section 5, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (2) in Banach spaces by using the direct method.

Throughout this paper, assume that X is a normed space and that Y is a Banach space. Let α be a fixed nonzero real or complex number with $\alpha^{-1} \neq \pm\sqrt{3}$.

2. Quadratic α -functional equation (1) in Banach spaces I

We solve the quadratic α -functional equation (1) in vector spaces.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X and Y be vector spaces. If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x - y) + \alpha^{-2} f(\alpha(x + y)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic.

Proof. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.1).

Letting $x = y = 0$ in (2.1), we get $3f(0) = \alpha^{-2} f(0)$. So $f(0) = 0$.

Letting $y = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(x) = \alpha^{-2} f(\alpha x)$ and so $f(\alpha x) = \alpha^2 f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x - y) + \alpha^{-2} f(\alpha(x + y)) = f(x - y) + f(x + y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, as desired. □

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (2.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{4} \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x - y) - \alpha^{-2} f(\alpha(x + y))\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x)) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (2.3), we get

$$\|4f(x) - \alpha^{-2}f(2\alpha x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Replacing x by $2x$ and letting $y = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$\|f(2x) - \alpha^{-2}f(2\alpha x)\| \leq \varphi(2x, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x)\| \leq \varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x) \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S :

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \mu(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x)), \forall x \in X\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \phi = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [15]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| &= \left\| 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 4\varepsilon \left(\varphi\left(x, 0\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \right) \\ &\leq 4\varepsilon \frac{L}{4} (\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x)) \leq L\varepsilon (\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, 0) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{4} (\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{4}$.

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) Q is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$Q(x) = 4Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (2.8)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that Q is a unique mapping satisfying (2.8) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \mu(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$;

(2) $d(J^l f, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) = Q(x)$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, Jf)$, which implies

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)}(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2Q(x) + 2Q(y) - Q(x-y) - \alpha^{-2}Q(\alpha(x+y))\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}\right) - \alpha^{-2}f\left(\alpha\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}\right)\right) \right\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$2Q(x) + 2Q(y) - Q(x-y) - \alpha^{-2}Q(\alpha(x+y)) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x-y) - \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x+y))\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2^r + 2}{2^r - 4}\theta\|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{2-r}$ and we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 4L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (2.3). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)}(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{4}g(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let $r < 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2 + 2^r}{4 - 2^r} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.4 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{r-2}$ and we get desired result. \square

3. Quadratic α -functional equation (1) in Banach spaces II

In this section, using the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (2.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}\right) < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x - y) - \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x + y))\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\Psi(2x, 0) + \Psi(x, x)) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, 0) + \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 4^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 4^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 4^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left(4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, 0\right) + 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.3) that the sequence $\{4^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is a Banach space, the sequence $\{4^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we get (3.2).

Now, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - T(x)\| &= \left\| 4^q A\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| 4^q Q\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| + \left\| 4^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{4^q}{2} \Psi\left(\frac{2x}{2^q}, 0\right) + \frac{4^q}{2} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}, \frac{x}{2^q}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $Q(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of Q .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2^r + 2}{2^r - 4} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (3.1), and*

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\Psi(2x, 0) + \Psi(x, x)) \tag{3.4}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{4} f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} (\varphi(2x, 0) + \varphi(x, x))$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) \right\| &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{4^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{4^{j+1}} \varphi(2^{j+1} x, 0) + \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.5) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), we get (3.4).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 2.2 and 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $r < 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.9). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2 + 2^r}{4 - 2^r} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. \square

4. Quadratic α -functional equation (2) in Banach spaces I

We solve the quadratic α -functional equation (2) in vector spaces.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X and Y be vector spaces. If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies*

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x + y) + \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x - y)) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic.

Proof. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (4.1).

Letting $x = y = 0$ in (4.1), we get $3f(0) = \alpha^{-2}f(0)$. So $f(0) = 0$.

Letting $y = 0$ in (4.1), we get $f(x) = \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha x)$ and so $f(\alpha x) = \alpha^2 f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Thus

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(x + y) + \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x - y)) = f(x + y) + f(x - y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, as desired. \square

Using the fixed point method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (4.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{4} \varphi(x, y) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x + y) - \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x - y))\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \quad (4.3)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)} \varphi(x, x) \quad (4.4)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (4.3), we get

$$\|4f(x) - f(2x)\| \leq \varphi(x, x) \quad (4.5)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S :

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \mu\varphi(x, x), \forall x \in X\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [15]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| = \left\|4g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 4h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq 4\varepsilon\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq 4\varepsilon\frac{L}{4}\varphi(x, x) \leq L\varepsilon\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (4.5) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{4}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. So $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{L}{4}$.

By Theorem 1.1, there exists a mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) Q is a fixed point of J , that is,

$$Q(x) = 4Q\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (4.6)$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping Q is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(f, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that Q is a unique mapping satisfying (4.6) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \mu\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$;

(2) $d(J^l f, Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) = Q(x)$$

for all $x \in X$;

(3) $d(f, Q) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, Jf)$, which implies

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{4(1-L)}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

It follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2Q(x) + 2Q(y) - Q(x+y) - \alpha^{-2}Q(\alpha(x-y))\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) + 2f\left(\frac{y}{2^n}\right) - f\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}\right) - \alpha^{-2}f\left(\alpha\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}\right)\right) \right\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So

$$2Q(x) + 2Q(y) - Q(x+y) - \alpha^{-2}Q(\alpha(x-y)) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By Lemma 4.1, the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ is quadratic. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x+y) - \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x-y))\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) \quad (4.7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2^r - 4}\|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.2 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{2-r}$ and we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with*

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq 4L\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and (4.3). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4(1-L)}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (4.5) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(x) := \frac{1}{4}g(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let $r < 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4.7). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{4 - 2^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 4.4 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then we can choose $L = 2^{r-2}$ and we get desired result. \square

5. Quadratic α -functional equation (2) in Banach spaces II

In this section, using the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic α -functional equation (4.1) in Banach spaces.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}\right) < \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(x+y) - \alpha^{-2}f(\alpha(x-y))\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \Psi(x, x) \quad (5.2)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (4.5) that

$$\left\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\left\|4^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 4^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\|4^j f\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 4^{j+1} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} 4^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \quad (5.3)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (5.3) that the sequence $\{4^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is a Banach space, the sequence $\{4^k f(\frac{x}{2^k})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 4^k f\left(\frac{x}{2^k}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.3), we get (5.2).

Now, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic mapping satisfying (5.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - T(x)\| &= \left\|4^q A\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \left\|4^q Q\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right)\right\| + \left\|4^q T\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 4^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right)\right\| \\ &\leq \frac{4^q}{2} \Psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}, \frac{x}{2^q}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $Q(x) = T(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of Q .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2. \square

Corollary 5.2. Let $r > 2$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4.7). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2^r - 4} \theta \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 5.1 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. \square

Theorem 5.3. Let $\varphi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f(0) = 0$, (5.1) and

$$\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \Psi(x, x) \quad (5.4)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (4.5) that

$$\left\| f(x) - \frac{1}{4} f(2x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \varphi(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{4^j} f(2^j x) - \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{4^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x, 2^j x) \quad (5.5)$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (5.5) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.5), we get (5.4).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorems 4.2 and 5.1. \square

Corollary 5.4. Let $r < 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4.7). Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{4 - 2^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 5.3 by taking $\varphi(x, y) = \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$. \square

Acknowledgment

S. Yun was supported by Hanshin University Research Grant.

References

- [1] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2** (1950), 64–66.1
- [2] A. Bahyrycz, M. Piszczek, *Hyperstability of the Jensen functional equation*, Acta Math. Hungar., **142** (2014), 353–365.1
- [3] M. Balcerowski, *On the functional equations related to a problem of Z. Boros and Z. Daróczy*, Acta Math. Hungar., **138** (2013), 329–340.1
- [4] L. Cădariu, V. Radu, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen’s functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **4** (2003), 7 pages.1.1
- [5] L. Cădariu, V. Radu, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber., **346** (2004), 43–52.1
- [6] L. Cădariu, V. Radu, *Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2008** (2008), 15 pages.1
- [7] Z. Daróczy, Gy. Maksa, *A functional equation involving comparable weighted quasi-arithmetic means*, Acta Math. Hungar., **138** (2013), 147–155.1
- [8] J. Diaz, B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 305–309.1.1
- [9] W. Fechner, *On some functional inequalities related to the logarithmic mean*, Acta Math. Hungar., **128** (2010), 31–45.1
- [10] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184** (1994), 431–436.1
- [11] A. Gilányi, *Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung*, Aequationes Math., **62** (2001), 303–309.1
- [12] A. Gilányi, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **5** (2002), 707–710.1
- [13] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **27** (1941), 222–224.1
- [14] G. Isac, Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **19** (1996), 219–228.1
- [15] D. Mihet, V. Radu, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **343** (2008), 567–572.2, 4
- [16] C. Park, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2007** (2007), 15 pages.1
- [17] C. Park, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2008** (2008), 9 pages.1
- [18] W. Prager, J. Schwaiger, *A system of two inhomogeneous linear functional equations*, Acta Math. Hungar., **140** (2013), 377–406.1
- [19] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 91–96.1
- [20] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 297–300.1
- [21] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, (1960).1