



A multi-dimensional functional equation having cubic forms as solutions

Won-Gil Park^a, Jae-Hyeong Bae^{b,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics Education, College of Education, Mokwon University, Daejeon 35349, Republic of Korea.

^bHumanitas College, Kyung Hee University, Yongin 17104, Republic of Korea.

Communicated by C. Park

Abstract

In this paper, we obtain some results on the m -variable cubic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x_1 + y_1, \dots, 2x_m + y_m) + f(2x_1 - y_1, \dots, 2x_m - y_m) \\ = 2f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m) + 2f(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_m - y_m) + 12f(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned}$$

The cubic form $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq m} a_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k$ is a solution of the above functional equation.
©2016 all rights reserved.

Keywords: Cubic form, solution, stability.

2010 MSC: 39B52, 39B82.

1. Introduction

In this paper, let X and Y be real vector spaces. A mapping f is called a *cubic form (homogeneous polynomial of degree 3)* if there exists $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq m$) such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq m} a_{ijk} x_i x_j x_k \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. For a mapping $f : X^m \rightarrow Y$, consider the m -variable cubic functional equation:

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x_1 + y_1, \dots, 2x_m + y_m) + f(2x_1 - y_1, \dots, 2x_m - y_m) \\ = 2f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m) + 2f(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_m - y_m) + 12f(x_1, \dots, x_m). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: wgpark@mokwon.ac.kr (Won-Gil Park), jhbae@khu.ac.kr (Jae-Hyeong Bae)

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, the cubic form $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by (1.1) is a solution of (1.2). For a mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$, consider the cubic functional equation:

$$g(2x + y) + g(2x - y) = 2g(x + y) + 2g(x - y) + 12g(x). \tag{1.3}$$

In 2002, Jun and Kim [4] solved the equation (1.3). Later, many different cubic functional equations were solved by numerous authors ([2–5]).

In 2008, the authors [1] investigated the solution and stability of (1.2) for the case $m = 2$. In this paper, we investigate the relation between (1.2) and (1.3) and some sufficient conditions that satisfy the equation (1.2), and prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.2).

2. Results

The m -variable cubic functional equation (1.2) induces the cubic functional equation (1.3) as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : X^m \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.2) and let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping given by*

$$g(x) := f(x, \dots, x) \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in X$, then g satisfies (1.3).

Proof. By (1.2) and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(2x + y) + g(2x - y) &= f(2x + y, \dots, 2x + y) + f(2x - y, \dots, 2x - y) \\ &= 2f(x + y, \dots, x + y) + 2f(x - y, \dots, x - y) + 12f(x, \dots, x) \\ &= 2g(x + y) + 2g(x - y) + 12g(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. □

The cubic functional equation (1.3) induces the m -variable cubic functional equation (1.2) with an additional condition.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq m$) and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.3). If $f : X^m \rightarrow Y$ is the mapping given by*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) &:= \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii}g(x_i) + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(a_{ijj}[g(2x_i + x_j) - g(2x_i - x_j) - 2g(x_j)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{ijj}[g(x_i + 2x_j) + g(x_i - 2x_j) - 2g(x_i)] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk}[7g(x_i + x_j + x_k) + 2g(x_i) + 2g(x_j) + 2g(x_k) \\ &\quad - g(2x_i + x_j + x_k) - g(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) - g(x_i + x_j + 2x_k)] \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$, then f satisfies (1.2).

Furthermore, (2.1) holds if $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_{ijj} + a_{ijj}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} = 1$.

Proof. By (1.3) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2x_1 + y_1, \dots, 2x_m + y_m) + f(2x_1 - y_1, \dots, 2x_m - y_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} [g(2x_i + y_i) + g(2x_i - y_i)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(a_{ijj} [g(4x_i + 2x_j + 2y_i + y_j) - g(4x_i - 2x_j + 2y_i - y_j)] \right. \\
 & - 2g(2x_j + y_j) + g(4x_i + 2x_j - 2y_i - y_j) - g(4x_i - 2x_j - 2y_i + y_j) - 2g(2x_j - y_j)] \\
 & + a_{ijj} [g(2x_i + 4x_j + y_i + 2y_j) + g(2x_i - 4x_j + y_i - 2y_j) \\
 & - 2g(2x_i + y_i) + g(2x_i + 4x_j - y_i - 2y_j) + g(2x_i - 4x_j - y_i + 2y_j) - 2g(2x_i - y_i)] \Big) \\
 & + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \left[7g(2x_i + 2x_j + 2x_k + y_i + y_j + y_k) + 2g(2x_i + y_i) \right. \\
 & + 2g(2x_j + y_j) + 2g(2x_k + y_k) - g(4x_i + 2x_j + 2x_k + 2y_i + y_j + y_k) \\
 & - g(2x_i + 4x_j + 2x_k + y_i + 2y_j + y_k) - g(2x_i + 2x_j + 4x_k + y_i + y_j + 2y_k) \\
 & + 7g(2x_i + 2x_j + 2x_k - y_i - y_j - y_k) + 2g(2x_i - y_i) \\
 & + 2g(2x_j - y_j) + 2g(2x_k - y_k) - g(4x_i + 2x_j + 2x_k - 2y_i - y_j - y_k) \\
 & \left. - g(2x_i + 4x_j + 2x_k - y_i - 2y_j - y_k) - g(2x_i + 2x_j + 4x_k - y_i - y_j - 2y_k) \right] \\
 = & \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} a_{ijj} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \right) [g(2x_i + y_i) + g(2x_i - y_i)] \\
 & - \left(\frac{1}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} a_{ijj} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \right) [g(2x_j + y_j) + g(2x_j - y_j)] \\
 & + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} [g(2x_k + y_k) + g(2x_k - y_k)] \\
 & + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[a_{ijj} \left(g[2(2x_i + x_j) + (2y_i + y_j)] + g[2(2x_i + x_j) - (2y_i + y_j)] \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - g[2(2x_i - x_j) + (2y_i - y_j)] - g[2(2x_i - x_j) - (2y_i - y_j)] \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + a_{ijj} \left(g[2(x_i + 2x_j) + (y_i + 2y_j)] + g[2(x_i - 2x_j) + (y_i - 2y_j)] \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + g[2(x_i + 2x_j) - (y_i + 2y_j)] + g[2(x_i - 2x_j) - (y_i - 2y_j)] \right) \right] + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \\
 & \left(7g[2(x_i + x_j + x_k) + (y_i + y_j + y_k)] + 7g[2(x_i + x_j + x_k) - (y_i + y_j + y_k)] \right. \\
 & - g[2(2x_i + x_j + x_k) + (2y_i + y_j + y_k)] - g[2(2x_i + x_j + x_k) - (2y_i + y_j + y_k)] \\
 & - g[2(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) + (y_i + 2y_j + y_k)] - g[2(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) - (y_i + 2y_j + y_k)] \\
 & \left. - g[2(x_i + x_j + 2x_k) + (y_i + y_j + 2y_k)] - g[2(x_i + x_j + 2x_k) - (y_i + y_j + 2y_k)] \right) \\
 = & \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} a_{ijj} + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \right) [g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_i - y_i) + 6g(x_i)] \\
 & - \left(\frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} a_{ijj} - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \right) [g(x_j + y_j) + g(x_j - y_j) + 6g(x_j)] \\
 & + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} [g(x_k + y_k) + g(x_k - y_k) + 6g(x_k)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left[a_{ijj} \left(g[(2x_i + x_j) + (2y_i + y_j)] + g[(2x_i + x_j) - (2y_i + y_j)] \right. \right. \\
 & + 6g(2x_i + x_j) - g[(2x_i - x_j) + (2y_i - y_j)] - g[(2x_i - x_j) - (2y_i - y_j)] - 6g(2x_i - x_j) \Big) \\
 & + a_{ijj} \left(g[(x_i + 2x_j) + (y_i + 2y_j)] + g[(x_i + 2x_j) - (y_i + 2y_j)] + 6g(x_i + 2x_j) \right. \\
 & + g[(x_i - 2x_j) + (y_i - 2y_j)] + g[(x_i - 2x_j) - (y_i - 2y_j)] + 6g(x_i - 2x_j) \Big) \Big] \\
 & + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \left(7g[(x_i + x_j + x_k) + (y_i + y_j + y_k)] + 7g[(x_i + x_j + x_k) - (y_i + y_j + y_k)] \right. \\
 & + 42g(x_i + x_j + x_k) - g[(2x_i + x_j + x_k) + (2y_i + y_j + y_k)] \\
 & - g[(2x_i + x_j + x_k) - (2y_i + y_j + y_k)] - 6g(2x_i + x_j + x_k) \\
 & - g[(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) + (y_i + 2y_j + y_k)] - g[(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) - (y_i + 2y_j + y_k)] \\
 & - 6g(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) - g[(x_i + x_j + 2x_k) + (y_i + y_j + 2y_k)] \\
 & \left. - g[(x_i + x_j + 2x_k) - (y_i + y_j + 2y_k)] - 6g(x_i + x_j + 2x_k) \right) \\
 & = 2 \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} [g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_i - y_i) + 6g(x_i)] \\
 & + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(a_{ijj} \left[g(2x_i + x_j + 2y_i + y_j) - g(2x_i - x_j + 2y_i - y_j) \right. \right. \\
 & - 2g(x_j + y_j) + g(2x_i + x_j - 2y_i - y_j) - g(2x_i - x_j - 2y_i + y_j) \\
 & - 2g(x_j - y_j) + 6g(2x_i + x_j) - 6g(2x_i - x_j) - 12g(x_j) \Big] \\
 & + a_{ijj} \left[g(x_i + 2x_j + y_i + 2y_j) + g(x_i - 2x_j + y_i - 2y_j) \right. \\
 & - 2g(x_i + y_i) + g(x_i + 2x_j - y_i - 2y_j) + g(x_i - 2x_j - y_i + 2y_j) \\
 & \left. \left. - 2g(x_i - y_i) + 6g(x_i + 2x_j) + 6g(x_i - 2x_j) - 12g(x_i) \right] \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} [7g(x_i + x_j + x_k + y_i + y_j + y_k) + 2g(x_i + y_i) + 2g(x_j + y_j) + 2g(x_k + y_k) \\
 & - g(2x_i + x_j + x_k + 2y_i + y_j + y_k) - g(x_i + 2x_j + x_k + y_i + 2y_j + y_k) \\
 & - g(x_i + x_j + 2x_k + y_i + y_j + 2y_k) + 7g(x_i + x_j + x_k - y_i - y_j - y_k) \\
 & + 2g(x_i - y_i) + 2g(x_j - y_j) + 2g(x_k - y_k) \\
 & - g(2x_i + x_j + x_k - 2y_i - y_j - y_k) - g(x_i + 2x_j + x_k - y_i - 2y_j - y_k) \\
 & - g(x_i + x_j + 2x_k - y_i - y_j - 2y_k) + 42g(x_i + x_j + x_k) + 12g(x_i) \\
 & + 12g(x_j) + 12g(x_k) - 6g(2x_i + x_j + x_k) - 6g(x_i + 2x_j + x_k) - 6g(x_i + x_j + 2x_k)] \\
 & = 2f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m) + 2f(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_m - y_m) + 12f(x_1, \dots, x_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$. Letting $x = y = 0$ in (1.3), we get $g(0) = 0$. Putting $y = 0$ in (1.3), we obtain $g(2x) = 8g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. And putting $y = x$ in (1.3), we infer $g(3x) = 27g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Setting $x = 0$ in (1.3), we have that g is an odd mapping. If $\sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_{ijj} + a_{ijj}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} =$

1, by (2.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, \dots, x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii}g(x) + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(a_{iij}[g(3x) - 3g(x)] + a_{ijj}[g(3x) + g(-x) - 2g(x)] \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk}[7g(3x) + 6g(x) - 3g(4x)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii}g(x) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [a_{iij}g(x) + a_{ijj}g(x)] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk}g(x) \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^m a_{iii} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} (a_{iij} + a_{ijj}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} a_{ijk} \right] g(x) \\ &= g(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. □

A mapping $S : X^3 \rightarrow Y$ is called *symmetric* if

$$S(x, y, z) = S(x, z, y) = S(y, x, z) = S(y, z, x) = S(z, x, y) = S(z, y, x)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

In the following theorem, we find out some sufficient conditions that satisfy the equation (1.2).

Theorem 2.3. *A mapping $f : X^m \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.2) if there exist symmetric multi-additive mappings $S_1, \dots, S_m : X^3 \rightarrow Y$ and multi-additive mappings $L_{ij}, N_{ij} : X^3 \rightarrow Y (1 \leq i < j \leq m)$, $M_{ijk} : X^3 \rightarrow Y (1 \leq i < j < k \leq m)$ such that*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i(x_i, x_i, x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(x_i, x_i, x_j) + N_{ij}(x_i, x_j, x_j)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(x_i, x_j, x_k),$$

$L_{ij}(x, y, z) = L_{ij}(y, x, z)$ and $N_{ij}(x, y, z) = N_{ij}(x, z, y)$ for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$.

Proof. We assume that there exist symmetric multi-additive mappings $S_1, \dots, S_m : X^3 \rightarrow Y$ and multi-additive mappings $L_{ij}, N_{ij} : X^3 \rightarrow Y (1 \leq i < j \leq m)$, $M_{ijk} : X^3 \rightarrow Y (1 \leq i < j < k \leq m)$ such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i(x_i, x_i, x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(x_j, x_i, x_i) + N_{ij}(x_i, x_j, x_j)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(x_i, x_j, x_k),$$

$L_{ij}(x, y, z) = L_{ij}(y, x, z)$ and $N_{ij}(x, y, z) = N_{ij}(x, z, y)$ for all $x, y, z, x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Since M_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq m$) are multi-additive and S_1, \dots, S_m are symmetric multi-additive,

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2x_1 + y_1, \dots, 2x_m + y_m) + f(2x_1 - y_1, \dots, 2x_m - y_m) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m S_i(2x_i + y_i, 2x_i + y_i, 2x_i + y_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(2x_j + y_j, 2x_i + y_i, 2x_i + y_i) + N_{ij}(2x_i + y_i, 2x_j + y_j, 2x_j + y_j)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(2x_i + y_i, 2x_j + y_j, 2x_k + y_k) + \sum_{i=1}^m S_i(2x_i - y_i, 2x_i - y_i, 2x_i - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(2x_j - y_j, 2x_i - y_i, 2x_i - y_i) + N_{ij}(2x_i - y_i, 2x_j - y_j, 2x_j - y_j)] \\
 &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(2x_i - y_i, 2x_j - y_j, 2x_k - y_k) \\
 = &\sum_{i=1}^m [S_i(2x_i + y_i, 2x_i + y_i, 2x_i + y_i) + S_i(2x_i - y_i, 2x_i - y_i, 2x_i - y_i)] \\
 &+ 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [4L_{ij}(x_j, x_i, x_i) + L_{ij}(x_j, y_i, y_i) + L_{ij}(y_j, x_i, y_i) + L_{ij}(y_j, y_i, x_i) \\
 &+ 4N_{ij}(x_i, x_j, x_j) + N_{ij}(x_i, y_j, y_j) + N_{ij}(y_i, x_j, y_j) + N_{ij}(y_i, y_j, x_j)] \\
 &+ 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} [4M_{ijk}(x_i, x_j, x_k) + M_{ijk}(x_i, y_j, y_k) + M_{ijk}(y_i, x_j, y_k) + M_{ijk}(y_i, y_j, x_k)] \\
 = &2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m S_i(x_i + y_i, x_i + y_i, x_i + y_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(x_j + y_j, x_i + y_i, x_i + y_i) \right. \\
 &\left. + N_{ij}(x_i + y_i, x_j + y_j, x_j + y_j)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(x_i + y_i, x_j + y_j, x_k + y_k) \right) \\
 &+ 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m S_i(x_i - y_i, x_i - y_i, x_i - y_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(x_j - y_j, x_i - y_i, x_i - y_i) \right. \\
 &\left. + N_{ij}(x_i - y_i, x_j - y_j, x_j - y_j)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(x_i - y_i, x_j - y_j, x_k - y_k) \right) \\
 &+ 12 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m S_i(x_i, x_i, x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [L_{ij}(x_j, x_i, x_i) + N_{ij}(x_i, x_j, x_j)] + \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq m} M_{ijk}(x_i, x_j, x_k) \right) \\
 = &2f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m) + 2f(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_m - y_m) + 12f(x_1, \dots, x_m)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$. □

From now on, let Y be complete and let $\varphi : X^{2m} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 8^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m, 2^j y_1, \dots, 2^j y_m) < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : X^m \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|f(2x_1 + y_1, \dots, 2x_m + y_m) + f(2x_1 - y_1, \dots, 2x_m - y_m) \\
 &\quad - 2f(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_m + y_m) - 2f(x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_m - y_m) - 12f(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \\
 &\leq \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$. Then there exists a unique mapping $F : X^m \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.2) such that

$$\|f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - F(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$, where the mapping F is given by

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^j} f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y_1 = \cdots = y_m = 0$ in (2.4), we have

$$\left\| f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - \frac{1}{8} f(2x_1, \dots, 2x_m) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{16} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Thus we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{1}{8^j} f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m) - \frac{1}{8^{j+1}} f(2^{j+1} x_1, \dots, 2^{j+1} x_m) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 8^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and all j . For given integers k, l ($0 \leq k < l$), we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{8^k} f(2^k x_1, \dots, 2^k x_m) - \frac{1}{8^l} f(2^l x_1, \dots, 2^l x_m) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=k}^{l-1} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 8^{j+1}} \varphi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. By (2.6), the sequence $\{\frac{1}{8^j} f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{8^j} f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)\}$ converges for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Define $F : X^m \rightarrow Y$ by

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{8^j} f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{8^j} \left[f(2^j(2x_1 + y_1), \dots, 2^j(2x_m + y_m)) + f(2^j(2x_1 - y_1), \dots, 2^j(2x_m - y_m)) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{2}{8^j} \left[f(2^j(x_1 + y_1), \dots, 2^j(x_m + y_m)) - f(2^j(x_1 - y_1), \dots, 2^j(x_m - y_m)) \right] + 6f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8^j} \varphi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m, 2^j y_1, \dots, 2^j y_m) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in X$ and all j . Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.3), we see that F satisfies (1.2). Setting $k = 0$ and taking $l \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), one can obtain the inequality (2.5). If $G : X^m \rightarrow Y$ is another mapping satisfying (1.2) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x_1, \dots, x_m) - G(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| &= \frac{1}{8^j} \|F(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m) - G(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8^j} \|F(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m) - f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8^j} \|f(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m) - G(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m)\| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{8^j} \tilde{\varphi}(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_m, 0, \dots, 0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$. Hence the mapping F is the unique mapping satisfying (1.2), as desired. \square

References

- [1] J.-H. Bae, W.-G. Park, *A functional equation on homogeneous polynomials*, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B, **15** (2008), 103–110. 1
- [2] I.-S. Chang, Y.-S. Jung, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quadratic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **283** (2003), 491–500. 1
- [3] H.-Y. Chu, D.-S. Kang, *On the stability of an n -dimensional cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **325** (2007), 595–607.
- [4] K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **274** (2002), 867–878. 1
- [5] K.-W. Jun, H.-M. Kim, I.-S. Chang, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of an Euler-Lagrange type cubic functional equation*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **7** (2005), 21–33. 1