



## Controllability result of nonlinear higher order fractional damped dynamical system

Junpeng Liu, Suli Liu, Huilai Li\*

School of Mathematics, Jilin University, 130012, Changchun, P. R. China.

Communicated by A. Atangana

### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the controllability of nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system, which involved fractional Caputo derivatives of any different orders. In the process of proof, we mainly use the Schaefer's fixed-point theorem and Mittag-Leffler matrix function. At last, we give an example to illustrate our main result. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Controllability, Mittag-Leffler matrix, Schaefer's fixed-point theorem, Gramian matrix.  
2010 MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

### 1. Introduction

In this article, we study the controllability result for following system:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D^\beta x(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \dots, x^{(p)}(0) = x_p, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p-1 < \alpha \leq p$ ,  $q-1 < \beta \leq q$ ,  $q \leq p-1$ ,  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and the nonlinear function  $f$  being continuous. In order to solve the problem, we will use Mittag-Leffler matrix function, Gramian matrix and the theorem of Schaefer's fixed-point.

Fractional differential equation has increasingly attracted the attention of many researchers during the last three decades, see [2–4, 6, 7, 14, 18, 20–24, 26, 27, 30, 32]. The various types of fractional differential equation, playing significant roles and tools, are used for solving some mathematical issues of general physical phenomena in physics and engineering. Especially, the field of control theory sparked the interest of many scholars which can be seen from the literatures [10, 19, 25]. In recent years, several authors [8, 11–13, 15, 17] have made a detailed research about controllability results of linear and proposed many new ideas about the low-order fractional equation.

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [liujunpeng86619@163.com](mailto:liujunpeng86619@163.com) (Junpeng Liu), [lius115@mails.jlu.edu.cn](mailto:lius115@mails.jlu.edu.cn) (Suli Liu), [lihuilai@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:lihuilai@jlu.edu.cn) (Huilai Li)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.01.31](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.01.31)

Received 2016-05-23

Yonggang and Xiu'e [31] introduced a fractional oscillator satisfied the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2x(t)}{dt^2} + \omega_0^{2-\varepsilon} {}_0D_t^\varepsilon[x(t)] = A\delta(t),$$

where the elastic restoring force  $\omega_0^{2-\varepsilon} {}_0D_t^\varepsilon[x(t)]$  of arbitrary order  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .  $x(t)$  is an unknown function that in the equilibrium position,  $t$  is a time variable,  $\omega_0$  is the proper frequency,  $A$  is the strength of pulses,  $\delta(t)$  is the Dirac  $\delta$  function.

Achar et al. [1] studied the response of several specific forcing functions and analyzed resonance characteristic of the fractional oscillator model. Tofighi [29] have defined and obtained the expression of the fractional oscillator system. Al-rabth et al. [5] took advantage of the differential transform technique and efficient algorithm to solve a fractional oscillator equation.

Balachandran et al. [9] studied the controllability result for the type of fractional damped system represented by the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D^\beta x(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x(t)), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x'_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 < \beta \leq 1 < \alpha \leq 2$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $n \times n$  and  $n \times m$  matrices respectively, and  $f : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous function.

Motivated by the work mentioned above, in this article we study the nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system of (1.1). To the best of our knowledge, the controllability of nonlinear fractional damped dynamical system of order  $p - 1 < \alpha \leq p$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) have not been discussed.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 1, we illustrate the background and motivation of writing this article. In Section 2, we make preparation of basic knowledge for the main result, and controllability of linear system is proved. In Section 3, the main result of this article is obtained. Finally, an example is provided to illustrate the main result in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Definitions and preliminary facts

In this section, we introduce some necessary definitions which are used throughout this paper.

**Definition 2.1** ([18]). The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $n - 1 < \alpha \leq n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for a suitable function  $f$  is defined as

$$({}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n - \alpha - 1} f^{(n)}(s) ds,$$

where  $f^{(n)}(s) = \frac{d^n f}{ds^n}$ . In particular, if  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$  then

$$({}^C D_{0+}^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{1 - \alpha} f''(s) ds.$$

For the brevity, the Caputo fractional derivative  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha$  is taken as  ${}^C D^\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([27]). The Mittag-leffler matrix function for an arbitrary square matrix  $A$  is

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0,$$

$$E_{\alpha, 1}(A) = E_\alpha(A), \quad \text{with } \beta = 1.$$

**Lemma 2.3** ([16]). The Mittag-leffler matrix function derivative of order  $p$  ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is defined as

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{(p)}(t^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(At^{\alpha-\beta})) = t^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha-p}(At^{\alpha-\beta}), \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

In order to find the solution of system (1.1), take Laplace transform and inverse Laplace transform on both sides of the following formula, we get the solution of the system (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B u(s) ds \\
 & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) f(\cdot) ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} x^k(0) t^{\alpha-\beta+k} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha-\beta+1+k}(A t^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k(0) t^k E_{\alpha-\beta, 1+k}(A t^{\alpha-\beta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2. Linear system

Consider the linear fractional dynamical system represented by fractional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{cases}
 {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D^\beta x(t) + B u(t), & t \in [0, T], \\
 x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \quad \dots, \quad x^{(p)}(0) = x_p,
 \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $p-1 < \alpha \leq p$ ,  $q-1 < \beta \leq q$  and  $q \leq p-1$ ,  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The system (2.1) is said to be controllable on  $J$ , if for every  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , if there exists a control  $u(t)$  such that the solution  $x(t)$  of such system satisfies the conditions  $x(0) = x_0$ ,  $x'(0) = x_1, \dots, x^{(p)}(0) = x_p$ ,  $x(T) = y$ .

Define the controllability Grammian matrix  $W$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 W = & \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B B^* \\
 & \times E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.5.** The linear system (2.1) is controllable on  $J$ , iff the  $n \times n$  Grammian matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 W = & \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B B^* \\
 & \times E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

is invertible.

*Proof.* Suppose  $W$  is invertible, then given  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_p$  and  $y$  can choose the input function  $u(t)$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) = & (T-t)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-t)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \\
 & (y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} x^k(0) t^{\alpha-\beta+k} E_{(\alpha-\beta), (\alpha-\beta+1+k)}(A t^{\alpha-\beta}), \\
 \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^k(0) t^k E_{(\alpha-\beta), (1+k)}(A t^{\alpha-\beta}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding solution of the system (2.1) at  $t = T$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} x(T) &= \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B(T-s)^{\alpha-1} B^* \\ &\quad \times E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \left[ y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \right] ds - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \\ &= y, \end{aligned}$$

so that the system (2.1) is controllable on  $J$ .

On the other hand, suppose that the the system (2.1) is controllable, but for the sake of a contradiction, assume that the matrix  $W$  is not invertible. If  $W$  is not invertible, then there exists a vector  $z \neq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} z^* W z &= \int_0^T z^*(T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B B^* \\ &\quad \times E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) z ds \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$z^*(T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B = 0, \quad t \in J.$$

Consider the initial points  $x_0 = x_1 = \dots = x_p = 0$  and the final point  $y = z$ , so the system (2.1) is controllable and there exists a control  $u(t)$  on  $J$  that steers the response from 0 to  $y = z$  at  $t = T$ ,

$$y = z = \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B u(s) ds,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} z^* z &= \int_0^T z^*(T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B u(s) ds \\ &\neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the matrix  $W$  is invertible. □

**Lemma 2.6** (Schaefer’s Theorem [28]). *Let  $S$  be a normed space,  $T$  a continuous mapping of  $S$  into  $S$ , which is compact on each bounded subset of  $X$ . Then, either*

- (i) *the equation  $x = \lambda T(x)$  has a solution for  $\lambda = 1$ , or*
- (ii) *the set of all such solutions  $x$  for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  is unbounded.*

We assume the following hypotheses.

(E<sub>1</sub>) For each  $t \in J$ , the function  $f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : J \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous, the function  $f(\cdot, x(\cdot), u(\cdot), y(\cdot), z(\cdot)) : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is strongly measurable for each  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

(E<sub>2</sub>)

$$\|f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t))\| \leq M,$$

where  $t \in J, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(E<sub>3</sub>) Let

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k; \quad \Delta = y + L; \\ n_1 &= \sup |(t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})|; \\ n_2 &= \sup |(t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})|; \\ n_3 &= \sup \left| \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k \right]^{(p)} \right|; \\ n_4 &= \sup |(t-s)^{p-\alpha-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Nonlinear system

Consider the nonlinear fractional dynamical system represented by the fractional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D^\beta x(t) + Bu(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \dots, x^{(p)}(0) = x_p, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $p-1 < \alpha \leq p$ ,  $q-1 < \beta \leq q$ ,  $q \leq p-1$ ,  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $B$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and the nonlinear function  $f$  being continuous, the solution of (3.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) Bu(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that hypotheses (E<sub>1</sub>)-(E<sub>3</sub>) hold and the linear system (2.1) is controllable on  $J$ , then the nonlinear system (3.1) is controllable on  $J$ .

*Proof.* We give the space  $X = \{x : x^{(p)}, {}^C D^\alpha x, {}^C D^\beta x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } u(t) \in L^\infty(J, \mathbb{R}^m)\}$  be a Banach space endowed with the norm  $\|x\|_X = \max_{t \in J} \{\|x\|, \|{}^C D^\alpha x\|, \|{}^C D^\beta x\|, \|u\|\}$ . Using the hypothesis, for an arbitrary  $x(\cdot)$ , the control

$$u(t) = (T-t)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-t)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla &= y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \\ &\quad - \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show that the nonlinear operator  $F : X \rightarrow X$

$$(Fx)(t) = \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) Bu(s) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is a solution of (3.1). Substituting the control  $u(t)$  in the above, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Fx)(t) & = \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\
 & \times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \\
 & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $(Fx)(T) = y$ , this means that the system (3.1) was steered from the  $x_0$  to  $y$  by the control  $u$ , if we can obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator  $F$ . The first step is to obtain a priori bound of the set

$$\zeta(F) = \{x \in X : x = \lambda Fx, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Let  $x \in \zeta(F)$ , then  $x = \lambda Fx$  for some  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . So for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) & = \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \\
 & \times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \\
 & + \lambda \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\
 & - \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k,
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| & \leq \int_0^t n_1 BB^* n_1 W^{-1} [\nabla + \int_0^T n_1 M d\xi] ds \\
 & + \int_0^t n_1 M ds + L \\
 & \leq n_1^2 \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \|T \nabla\| \\
 & + n_1 T^2 M + n_1 T M + L,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \|(T-t)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-t)^{\alpha-\beta})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times W^{-1} \left[ y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \right. \\ & \left. - \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\ & \left. \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \right] \| \\ & \leq n_1 \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \left[ \|\Delta\| + T n_1 M \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(p)}(t) & \leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) B B^* \\ & \times E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \\ & + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ & \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\ & - \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k \right]^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{(p)}(t)\| & \leq n_2 \|B\| \|B^*\| n_1 \|W^{-1}\| (\|\Delta\| + T n_1 M) T \\ & + n_2 T M + n_3 \\ & = M_0. \end{aligned}$$

We can get

$$\|{}^C D^\alpha x(t)\| \leq \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(p-\alpha)} \right\| \left\| \int_0^t n_4 M_0 ds \right\|.$$

Hence,  ${}^C D^\alpha x(t)$  is bounded. In the same way,  ${}^C D^\beta x(t)$  is bounded too. And because

$$\|x\|_X = \max_{t \in J} \{ \|x\|, \|{}^C D^\alpha x\|, \|{}^C D^\beta x\|, \|u\| \},$$

so  $\zeta(F)$  is bounded. Next we prove that  $F$  is completely continuous operator.

Let  $B_\rho = \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq \rho\}$ , then we first show that  $F$  maps  $B_\rho$  into equicontinuous family. Let  $x \in B_\rho$ ,  $t_1, t_2 \in J$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Fx)(t_2) - (Fx)(t_1)\| & \leq \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) (T-s)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\ & \times B B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \left\| \right. \\ & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\ & \left. - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_1-s)^{\alpha-\beta})] \right. \\ & \times (T-s)^{\alpha-1} B B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \left\| \right. \\ & + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_2-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\ & \left. \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\
 & - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-\beta})] \\
 & \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \left. \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t_2)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t_1)_1^k \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t_2)_2^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t_1)_2^k \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(Fu)(t_2) - (Fu)(t_1)\| \leq & \|[(T - t_2)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T - t_2)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & - (T - t_1)^{\alpha-1} B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T - t_1)^{\alpha-\beta})] W^{-1} \nabla \|.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|{}^C D^\alpha (Fx)(t_2) - {}^C D^\alpha (Fx)(t_1)\| = & \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds \right. \\
 & - \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds \left. \right\| \\
 \leq & \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left( \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha} (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds \right\| \right. \\
 & \left. + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{-\alpha} - (t_1 - s)^{-\alpha}] (Fx)^{(p)}(s) ds \right\| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly when  $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(Fx)(t_2) - (Fx)(t_1)\| & \rightarrow 0, \\
 \|{}^C D^\alpha (Fx)(t_2) - {}^C D^\alpha (Fx)(t_1)\| & \rightarrow 0, \\
 \|{}^C D^\beta (Fx)(t_2) - {}^C D^\beta (Fx)(t_1)\| & \rightarrow 0, \\
 \|(Fu)(t_2) - (Fu)(t_1)\| & \rightarrow 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $F$  maps  $B_\rho$  into an equicontinuous family of functions. Then the family  $FB_\rho$  is uniformly bounded.

Next we show that  $F$  is a compact operator. Obviously, the closure of  $FB_\rho$  is compact. Let  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $t$  be fixed and  $\tau$  a real number satisfying  $0 < \tau < t$ . For  $x \in B_\rho$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_\tau x)(t) = & \int_0^{t-\tau} (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times (T-s)^{\alpha-1} B B^* E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times W^{-1} \left[ y + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(T)_1^k - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(T)_2^k \right. \\
 & - \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta, \alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\
 & \times f(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi)) d\xi \left. \right] ds \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \Phi(t)_1^k + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \Phi(t)_2^k + \int_0^{t-\tau} (t-s)^{\alpha-1}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\times E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By using the previous method, we can get the bounded and equicontinuous property of  $F_\tau$ . Hence,

$$S_\tau(t) = \{(F_\tau x)(t), x \in B_\rho\},$$

is relatively compact in  $X$  for every  $0 < \tau < t$  and for every  $x \in B_\rho$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F_x)(t) - (F_\tau x)(t)\| &\leq \left\| \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta})(T-s)^{\alpha-1} \right. \\ &\quad \times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \nabla ds \Big\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\ &\quad \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \Big\| \\ &\leq n_1^2 \tau \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \|\nabla\| + n_1 \tau M, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F_x)^{(p)}(t) - (F_\tau x)^{(p)}(t)\| &\leq \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad \times BB^* E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) W^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \Delta - \int_0^T (T-\xi)^{\alpha-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-\xi)^{\alpha-\beta}) \right. \\ &\quad \times f(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi)) d\xi \Big] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t-\tau}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-p-1} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha-p}(A(t-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad \times f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s)) ds \\ &\leq n_2^2 \tau \|B\| \|B^*\| \|W^{-1}\| \|\nabla\| + n_2 \tau M. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|(F_x)(t) - (F_\tau x)(t)\| \rightarrow 0, \|(F_x)^{(p)}(t) - (F_\tau x)^{(p)}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|{}^C D^\alpha (F_x)(t) - {}^C D^\alpha (F_\tau x)(t)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} [(F_x)^{(p)}(s) - (F_\tau x)^{(p)}(s)] ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} [(F_x)^{(p)}(s) - (F_\tau x)^{(p)}(s)] ds \right\| \rightarrow 0, \tau \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,  $\|{}^C D^\beta (F_x)(t) - {}^C D^\beta (F_\tau x)(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ .

Hence, relatively compact sets  $S_\tau(t) = \{(F_\tau x)(t), x \in B_\rho\}$  are arbitrary close to the set  $\{(F_x)(t), x \in B_\rho\}$ , so  $\{(F_x)(t), x \in B_\rho\}$  is compact in  $X$  by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

Next we show that  $F$  is continuous. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X, \|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for all  $n$  and  $t \in J$ , there is an integer  $k_0$  such that  $\|x_n\| \leq k_0, \|u_n\| \leq k_0, \|{}^C D^\alpha x_n\| \leq k_0, \|{}^C D^\beta x_n\| \leq k_0$ . Hence  $\|x(t)\| \leq k_0, \|u(t)\| \leq k_0, \|{}^C D^\alpha x(t)\| \leq k_0, \|{}^C D^\beta x(t)\| \leq k_0. x, u, {}^C D^\alpha x, {}^C D^\beta x \in X$ . By (E1)

$$f(t, x_n(t), u_n(t), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(t), {}^C D^\beta x_n(t)) \rightarrow f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)),$$

for each  $t \in J$  and since

$$\|f(t, x_n(t), u_n(t), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(t), {}^C D^\beta x_n(t)) - f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t))\| \leq 2M.$$

By the Fatou-Lebesgue theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F x_n)(t) - (F x)(t)\| \leq & \left\| \int_0^t n_1^3 B B^* W^{-1} \int_0^s [f(\xi, x_n(\xi), u_n(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x_n(\xi)) \right. \\ & - f(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi)) d\xi] ds \\ & + \int_0^t n_1 [f(s, x_n(s), u_n(s), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(s), {}^C D^\beta x_n(s)) \\ & \left. - f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s))] ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\|(F x_n)(t) - (F x)(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By  $x$  and corresponding relationship of  $u$ , we get  $\|(F u_n)(t) - (F u)(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(F x_n)^{(p)}(t) - (F x)^{(p)}(t)\| \leq & \left\| \int_0^T n_2^3 B B^* W^{-1} [f(\xi, x_n(\xi), u_n(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x_n(\xi)) \right. \\ & - f(\xi, x(\xi), u(\xi), {}^C D^\alpha x(\xi), {}^C D^\beta x(\xi))] d\xi] ds \\ & + \int_0^T n_2 [f(s, x_n(s), u_n(s), {}^C D^\alpha x_n(s), {}^C D^\beta x_n(s)) \\ & \left. - f(s, x(s), u(s), {}^C D^\alpha x(s), {}^C D^\beta x(s))] ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|(F x_n)^{(p)}(t) - (F x)^{(p)}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|{}^C D^\alpha (F x_n)(t) - {}^C D^\alpha (F x)(t)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(p - \alpha)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{n - \alpha - 1} \|(F x_n)^p(s) - (F x)^p(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F$  is continuous. Finally, the set  $\zeta(F) = \{x \in X; x = \lambda F x, \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$  is bounded as shown in front part. By Schaefer’s theorem, the operator  $F$  has a fixed point in  $X$ . This fixed point is the solution of (3.1). In summary, the system (3.1) is controllable on  $[0, T]$ . □

#### 4. An example

Consider the problem of nonlinear fractional dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D^\alpha x(t) = A {}^C D^\beta x(t) + B u(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)), \\ x(0) = x_0, \quad x'(0) = x_1, \dots, x^{(p)}(0) = x_p, \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where  $p - 1 < \alpha \leq p, q - 1 < \beta \leq q, p, q \in \mathbb{N}, t \in J$ , and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 & 7 & 0 \\ 3 & 6 & 2 & 5 \\ 7 & 2 & -11 & 9 \\ 0 & 5 & 9 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{e} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \\ x_4(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the non-linear function is defined by

$$f(t, x(t), u(t), {}^C D^\alpha x(t), {}^C D^\beta x(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sin({}^C D^\alpha x_1(t)) + \cos({}^C D^\beta x_2(t)) + \frac{\sqrt{x_3(t) + u^2(t)}}{\ln(x_4(t))} \end{pmatrix}.$$

First, let us consider the Mittag-Leffler matrix function for the given system,

$$E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(At^{\alpha-\beta}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^{k(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma((\alpha-\beta)k + \alpha)}.$$

By doing some matrix calculations, we can get the following matrices:

$$E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})B = \frac{1}{e} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \\ l_3 \\ l_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) = \frac{1}{e} ( l_1 \quad l_2 \quad l_3 \quad l_4 ),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l_1(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{4t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} + \frac{74t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \dots, \\ l_2(t) &= \frac{3t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} + \frac{44t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{790t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \dots, \\ l_3(t) &= \frac{7t^{\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)} - \frac{43t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{1781t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \dots, \\ l_4(t) &= \frac{78t^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(3\alpha-2\beta)} + \frac{613t^{3(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(4\alpha-3\beta)} + \frac{26109t^{4(\alpha-\beta)}}{\Gamma(5\alpha-4\beta)} + \dots, \\ l_n &= l_n(T-s). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta})BB^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) &= \frac{1}{e^2} \begin{pmatrix} l_1^2 & l_1l_2 & l_1l_3 & l_1l_4 \\ l_1l_2 & l_2^2 & l_2l_3 & l_2l_4 \\ l_1l_3 & l_2l_3 & l_3^2 & l_3l_4 \\ l_1l_4 & l_2l_4 & l_3l_4 & l_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= l^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the controllability matrix  $W$  for the system is found by

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) \\ &\quad BB^*E_{\alpha-\beta,\alpha}(A^*(T-s)^{\alpha-\beta}) ds \\ &= \int_0^T (T-s)^{2(\alpha-1)} l^* ds \\ &> 0, \quad \text{for } T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above, we have shown that  $W$  is an invertible matrix. Moreover, the nonlinear function  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis. Hence the system (4.1) is controllable on  $J$ .

### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to anonymous referees for their constructive comments and suggestions which have greatly improved this paper. This work is supported by NSF of China (11271154).

## References

- [1] B. N. Achar, J. W. Hanneken, T. Clarke, *Response characteristics of a fractional oscillator*, Phys. A, **309** (2002), 275–288. [1](#)
- [2] A. Ahmeda, A. Elgazzar, *On fractional order diffusion wave equations model for noniocal epidemics*, J. Phys. A Math. Gen., **379** (2007), 607–614. [1](#)
- [3] O. J. J. Algahtani, *Comparing the Atangana-Baleanu and Caputo-Fabrizio derivative with fractional order: Allen Cahn model*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **89** (2016), 552–559.
- [4] B. S. T. Alkahtani, *Chua's circuit model with Atangana-Baleanu derivative with fractional order*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **89** (2016), 547–551. [1](#)
- [5] A. Al-rabtah, V. S. Ertürk, S. Momani, *Solutions of a fractional oscillator by using differential transform method*, Comput. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), 1356–1362. [1](#)
- [6] A. Atangana, D. Baleanu, *New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular kernel: theory and application to heat transfer model*, Therm. Sci., **20** (2016), 763–769. [1](#)
- [7] A. Atangana, I. Koca, *Chaos in a simple nonlinear system with Atangana-Baleanu derivatives with fractional order*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, **89** (2016), 447–454. [1](#)
- [8] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, M. D. Ortigueira, M. Rivero, J. J. Trujillo, *Observability and controllability of fractional linear dynamical systems*, In: 6th Workshop on Fractional Dierentiation and Its Applications, France, (2013). [1](#)
- [9] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, M. Rivero, J. J. Trujillo, *Controllability of fractional damped dynamical systems*, Appl. Math. Comput., **257** (2015), 66–73 [1](#)
- [10] K. Balachandran, V. Govindaraj, L. Rodríguez-Germá, J. J. Trujillo, *Controllability results for nonlinear fractional-order dynamical systems*, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **156** (2013), 33–44. [1](#)
- [11] K. Balachandran, J. Kokila, *On the controllability of fractional dynamical systems*, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., **22** (2012), 523–531. [1](#)
- [12] K. Balachandran, J. Y. Park, J. J. Trujillo, *Controllability of nonlinear fractional dynamical systems*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 1919–1926.
- [13] M. Bettayeb, S. Djennoune, *New results on the controllability and observability of fractional dynamical systems*, J. Vib. Control, **14** (2008), 1531–1541. [1](#)
- [14] B. Bonilla, M. Rivero, L. Rodríguez-Germá, J. J. Trujillo, *Fractional differential equations as alternative models to nonlinear differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **187** (2007), 79–88. [1](#)
- [15] Y.-Q. Chen, H.-S. Ahn, D.-Y. Xue, *Robust controllability of interval fractional order linear time invariant systems*, Signal Process., **86** (2006), 2794–2802. [1](#)
- [16] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, (1955). [2.3](#)
- [17] S. Guermah, S. Djennoune, M. Bettayeb, *Controllability and observability of linear discrete-time fractional-order systems*, Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., **18** (2008), 213–222. [1](#)
- [18] R. Hilfer (Ed.), *Applications of fractional calculus in physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, (2000). [1](#), [2.1](#)
- [19] T. Kaczorek, *Selected problems of fractional systems theory*, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2011). [1](#)
- [20] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, (2006). [1](#)
- [21] R. C. Koeller, *Applications of fractional calculus to the theory of viscoelasticity*, Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech., **51** (1984), 299–307.
- [22] Y. E. Luchko, M. Rivero, J. J. Trujillo, M. P. Velasco, *Fractional models, non-locality, and complex systems*, Comput. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), 1048–1056.
- [23] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, *The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach*, Phys. Rep., **339** (2000), 77 pages.
- [24] K. S. Miller, B. Ross, *An introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (1993). [1](#)
- [25] C. A. Monje, Y.-Q. Chen, B. M. Vinagre, D.-Y. Xue, V. Feliu, *Fractional-order systems and controls. Fundamentals and applications*, Advances in Industrial Control. Springer, London, (2010). [1](#)
- [26] I. Petras, *Fractional-order nonlinear systems: modeling, analysis and simulation*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (2011). [1](#)
- [27] I. Podlubny, *Fractional differential equations*, An introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, (1999). [1](#), [2.2](#)
- [28] D. R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, (1974) [2.6](#)
- [29] A. Tofighi, *The intrinsic damping of the fractional oscillator*, Phys. A, **329** (2003), 29–34. [1](#)
- [30] H. Xu, *Analytical approximations for a population growth model with fractional order*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., **14** (2009), 1978–1983. [1](#)

- [31] K. Yongyong, Z. Xiu'e, *Some comparison of two fractional oscillators*, Phys. B, **405** (2010), 369–373. [1](#)
- [32] Y. Zhou, *Basic theory of fractional differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, (2014). [1](#)