



Viscosity approximation methods for the implicit midpoint rule of nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) Spaces

Liang-cai Zhao^a, Shih-sen Chang^{b,*}, Lin Wang^c, Gang Wang^c

^aCollege of Mathematics, Yibin University, Yibin, Sichuan, 644007, China.

^bCenter for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung, 40402, Taiwan.

^cCollege of Statistics and Mathematics, Yunnan University of Finance and Economics, Kunming, Yunnan 650221, China.

Communicated by X. Qin

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the implicit midpoint rule of nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces. The strong convergence of this method is proved under certain assumptions imposed on the sequence of parameters. Moreover, it is shown that the limit of the sequence generated by the implicit midpoint rule solves an additional variational inequality. Applications to nonlinear Volterra integral equations and nonlinear variational inclusion problem are included. The results presented in the paper extend and improve some recent results announced in the current literature. ©2017 all rights reserved.

Keywords: Viscosity, implicit midpoint rule, nonexpansive mapping, projection, variational inequality, CAT(0) space.
2010 MSC: 47H09, 47J25.

1. Introduction

The implicit midpoint rule is one of the powerful numerical methods for solving ordinary differential equations and differential algebraic equations. For related works, please refer to [2–5, 15, 17, 18, 21].

For the ordinary differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(t), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1.1)$$

the implicit midpoint rule generates a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the recursion procedure

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + hf\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where $h > 0$ is a stepsize. It is known that if $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is Lipschitz continuous and sufficiently smooth, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.2) converges to the exact solution of (1.1) as $h \rightarrow 0$ uniformly over $t \in [0, T]$ for any fixed $T > 0$.

*Corresponding author

Email address: changss2013@163.com (Shih-sen Chang)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.02.05](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.02.05)

Received 2016-08-25

Based on the above fact, Alghamdi et al. [2] presented the following semi-implicit midpoint rule for nonexpansive mappings in the setting of Hilbert space H :

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ and $T : H \rightarrow H$ is a nonexpansive mapping. They proved the weak convergence of (1.3) under some additional conditions on $\{\alpha_n\}$.

Recently, Xu et al. [22] and Yao et al. [23] in a Hilbert spaces presented the following viscosity implicit midpoint rule for nonexpansive mappings:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)T\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ and f is a contraction. Under suitable conditions and by using a very complicated method, the authors proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T , which is also the unique solution of the following variational inequality

$$\langle (I - f)q, x - q \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Very recently, Zhao et al. [25] presented the following viscosity implicit midpoint rule for an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping T in a Hilbert space:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)T^n\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

and under suitable conditions some strong convergence theorems to a fixed point of T are proved.

On the other hand, the theory and applications of $\text{CAT}(0)$ space have been studied extensively by many authors.

Recall that a metric space (X, d) is called a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space, if it is geodetically connected and if every geodesic triangle in X is at least as 'thin' as its comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane. It is known that any complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold having non-positive sectional curvature is a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space. Other examples of $\text{CAT}(0)$ spaces include pre-Hilbert spaces, R -trees [8, 16], Euclidean buildings [9], and many others. A complete $\text{CAT}(0)$ space is often called a Hadamard space. A subset K of a $\text{CAT}(0)$ space X is convex if for any $x, y \in K$, we have $[x, y] \subset K$, where $[x, y]$ is the uniquely geodesic joining x and y . For a thorough discussion of $\text{CAT}(0)$ spaces and of the fundamental role they play in geometry, we refer the reader to Bridson and Haefliger [8].

Motivated and inspired by the research going on in this direction, it is natural to put forward the following.

Open Question: Can we establish the viscosity implicit midpoint rule for nonexpansive mapping in $\text{CAT}(0)$ and generalize the main results in [22, 23] to $\text{CAT}(0)$ spaces?

The purpose of this paper is to give an affirmative answer to the above open question. In our paper we introduce and consider the following semi-implicit algorithm which is called the viscosity implicit midpoint rule in $\text{CAT}(0)$:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

Under suitable conditions, some strong converge theorems to a fixed point of the nonexpansive mapping in $\text{CAT}(0)$ space are proved. Moreover, it is shown that the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.4) solves an additional variational inequality. As applications, we shall utilize the results presented in the paper to study the existence problems of solutions of nonlinear variational inclusion problem, and nonlinear Volterra integral equations. The results presented in the paper also extend and improve the main results in Xu [22], Yao et al. [23], and others.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we write $(1-t)x \oplus ty$ for the unique point z in the geodesic segment joining from x to y such that

$$d(z, x) = td(x, y), \quad \text{and} \quad d(z, y) = (1-t)d(x, y).$$

The following lemmas play an important role in our paper.

Lemma 2.1 ([13]). *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $x, y, z \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then*

- (i) $d(tx \oplus (1-t)y, z) \leq td(x, z) + (1-t)d(y, z)$;
- (ii) $d^2(tx \oplus (1-t)y, z) \leq td^2(x, z) + (1-t)d^2(y, z) - t(1-t)d^2(x, y)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([6]). *Let X be a CAT(0) space, $p, q, r, s \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$d(\lambda p \oplus (1-\lambda)q, \lambda r \oplus (1-\lambda)s) \leq \lambda d(p, r) + (1-\lambda)d(q, s).$$

Berg and Nikolaev [7] introduced the concept of quasilinearization as follows. Let us denote a pair $(a, b) \in X \times X$ by \overrightarrow{ab} and call it a vector. Then, quasilinearization is defined as a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (X \times X) \times (X \times X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(d^2(a, d) + d^2(b, c) - d^2(a, c) - d^2(b, d)), \quad (a, b, c, d \in X).$$

It is easy to see that $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{cd}, \overrightarrow{ab} \rangle$, $\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = -\langle \overrightarrow{ba}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle$ and $\langle \overrightarrow{ax}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle + \langle \overrightarrow{xb}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle = \langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle$ for all $a, b, c, d \in X$. We say that X satisfies the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality if

$$\langle \overrightarrow{ab}, \overrightarrow{cd} \rangle \leq d(a, b)d(c, d)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in X$. It is well-known [7] that a geodesically connected metric space is a CAT(0) space if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X . The metric projection $P_C : X \rightarrow C$ is defined by

$$u = P_C(x) \Leftrightarrow d(u, x) = \inf\{d(y, x) : y \in C\}, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([11]). *Let C be a nonempty convex subset of a complete CAT(0) space X , $x \in X$ and $u \in C$. Then $u = P_C(x)$ if and only if u is a solution of the following variational inequality*

$$\langle \overrightarrow{yu}, \overrightarrow{ux} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C,$$

i.e., u satisfies the following inequality:

$$d^2(x, y) - d^2(y, u) - d^2(u, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([14]). *Every bounded sequence in a complete CAT(0) space always has a Δ -convergent subsequence.*

Lemma 2.5 ([1]). *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then $\{x_n\}$ Δ -converges to x if and only if $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{xx_n}, \overrightarrow{x'y} \rangle \leq 0$ for all $y \in X$.*

Lemma 2.6 ([12]). *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space. Then for all $u, x, y \in X$, the following inequality holds*

$$d^2(x, u) \leq d^2(y, u) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{xy}, \overrightarrow{xu} \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.7 ([19]). *Let X be a complete CAT(0) space. For any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $u, v \in X$, let $u_t = tu \oplus (1-t)v$. Then, for all $x, y \in X$,*

- (i) $\langle \overline{u_t \tilde{x}}, \overline{u_t \tilde{y}} \rangle \leq t \langle \overline{u \tilde{x}}, \overline{u_t \tilde{y}} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overline{v \tilde{x}}, \overline{u_t \tilde{y}} \rangle;$
(ii) $\langle \overline{u_t \tilde{x}}, \overline{u \tilde{y}} \rangle \leq t \langle \overline{u \tilde{x}}, \overline{u \tilde{y}} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overline{v \tilde{x}}, \overline{u \tilde{y}} \rangle$ and $\langle \overline{u_t \tilde{x}}, \overline{v \tilde{y}} \rangle \leq t \langle \overline{u \tilde{x}}, \overline{v \tilde{y}} \rangle + (1-t) \langle \overline{v \tilde{x}}, \overline{v \tilde{y}} \rangle.$

Lemma 2.8 ([20]). Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n) \alpha_n + \delta_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty;$
(2) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\gamma_n} \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty.$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0.$

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. Let C be a closed convex subset of a complete $CAT(0)$ space X , and $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let f be a contraction on C with coefficient $k \in [0, 1)$, and for the arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in C$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T \left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2} \right), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0;$
(ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty;$
(iii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\alpha_n} = 1.$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{x} = P_{\text{Fix}(T)} f(\tilde{x})$, which is a fixed point of T and it is also a solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle \overline{\tilde{x} f(\tilde{x})}, \overline{x \tilde{x}} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T),$$

i.e., \tilde{x} satisfies the following inequality equation:

$$d^2(f(\tilde{x}), x) - d^2(\tilde{x}, x) - d^2(f(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x}) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Proof. We divide the proof into five steps.

Step 1. We prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. To see this, we take $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d \left(\alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T \left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2} \right), p \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_n d(f(x_n), p) + (1 - \alpha_n) d \left(T \left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2} \right), p \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_n (d(f(x_n), f(p)) + d(f(p), p)) + (1 - \alpha_n) d \left(T \left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2} \right), p \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_n k d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d(f(p), p) + (1 - \alpha_n) d \left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, p \right) \\ &\leq \alpha_n k d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d(f(p), p) + \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{2} (d(x_n, p) + d(x_{n+1}, p)). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\frac{1 + \alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq \frac{1 + (2k - 1)\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, p) + \alpha_n d(f(p), p),$$

and, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &\leq \frac{1 + (2k-1)\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n} d(x_n, p) + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n} d(f(p), p) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2(1-k)\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n}\right) d(x_n, p) + \frac{2(1-k)\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n} \frac{1}{1-k} d(f(p), p) \\ &\leq \max\left\{d(x_n, p), \frac{1}{1-k} d(f(p), p)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we readily obtain

$$d(x_n, p) \leq \max\left\{d(x_0, p), \frac{1}{1-k} d(f(p), p)\right\},$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and so are $\{f(x_n)\}$ and $\{T(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2})\}$.

Step 2. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d\left(\alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \alpha_{n-1} f(x_{n-1}) \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq d\left(\alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + d\left(\alpha_n f(x_n) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right), \alpha_n f(x_{n-1}) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\quad + d\left(\alpha_n f(x_{n-1}) \oplus (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right), \alpha_{n-1} f(x_{n-1}) \oplus (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d\left(T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) + \alpha_n d(f(x_n), f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| d\left(f(x_{n-1}), T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, \frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right) + \alpha_n k d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| M \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)}{2} [d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n-1})] + \alpha_n k d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| M. \end{aligned}$$

Here $M > 0$ is a constant such that

$$M \geq \sup\left\{d\left(f(x_{n-1}), T\left(\frac{x_{n-1} \oplus x_n}{2}\right)\right), n \geq 0\right\}.$$

It turns out that

$$\frac{1 + \alpha_n}{2} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \frac{1 + (2k-1)\alpha_n}{2} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + M|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|.$$

Consequently, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &\leq \frac{1 + 2k\alpha_n - \alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + M|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \\ &= \frac{1 + \alpha_n + 2k\alpha_n - 2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n} d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + M|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{2(1-k)\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n}\right) d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + M|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$, then $1 + \alpha_n < 2$, $\frac{1}{1+\alpha_n} > \frac{1}{2}$, $(1 - \frac{2(1-k)\alpha_n}{1+\alpha_n}) < (1 - (1-k)\alpha_n)$. We have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq (1 - (1-k)\alpha_n)d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + M|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}|. \quad (3.1)$$

By virtue of the conditions (ii) and (iii), we can apply Lemma 2.8 to (3.1) to obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$.

Step 3. We show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d\left(x_{n+1}, T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)\right) + d\left(T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), Tx_n\right) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_n d\left(f(x_n), T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)\right) + d\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, x_n\right) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_n d\left(f(x_n), T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2}d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \alpha_n M \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Now we prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq 0.$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that Δ -converges to \tilde{x} and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n_j}\tilde{x}} \rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\{x_{n_j}\}$ Δ -converges to \tilde{x} , by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n_j}\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq 0.$$

This together with (3.2) shows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_n\tilde{x}} \rangle = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n_j}\tilde{x}} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Step 5. Finally, we prove that $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(T)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $z_n = \alpha_n \tilde{x} \oplus (1 - \alpha_n)T(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2})$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) &\leq d^2(z_n, \tilde{x}) + 2\langle \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}z_n}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2\left(T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \tilde{x}\right) + 2\left[\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)z_n}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle\right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \alpha_n) \left\langle \overrightarrow{T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)z_n}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle\right] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, \tilde{x}\right) + 2\left[\alpha_n \alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left\langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left\langle \overrightarrow{T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle\right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \left\langle \overrightarrow{T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle\right] \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, \tilde{x}\right) + 2\left[\alpha_n^2 \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left\langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left\langle \overrightarrow{T\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}\right)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \right\rangle\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, \tilde{x}\right) + 2[\alpha_n^2 \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle + \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle] \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 d^2\left(\frac{x_n \oplus x_{n+1}}{2}, \tilde{x}\right) + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + \frac{1}{2} d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) - \frac{1}{4} d^2(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right] \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(x_n)\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)^2}{2} [d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x})] + 2\alpha_n k d(x_n, \tilde{x}) d(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)^2}{2} [d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x})] + \alpha_n k [d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x})] + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha_n)^2}{2} + \alpha_n k \right) [d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x})] + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{1 - 2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{2} [d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x})] + \alpha_n^2 M_1 + 2\alpha_n \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $M_1 > 0$ is a constant such that

$$M_1 \geq \sup\{d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}), n \geq 0\}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) &\leq \frac{1 - 2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{1 + 2(1 - k)\alpha_n} d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{1 + 2(1 - k)\alpha_n} M_1 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{1 + 2(1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \right) d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} M_1 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n < 2 - k$, $\frac{1}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} > \frac{1}{2 - k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(x_{n+1}, \tilde{x}) &\leq \left(1 - \frac{2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{2 - k} \right) d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + \frac{2\alpha_n^2}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} M_1 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{2 - k} \right) d^2(x_n, \tilde{x}) + 2\alpha_n^2 M_1 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Take $\gamma_n = \frac{2(1 - k)\alpha_n}{2 - k}$, $\delta_n = 2\alpha_n^2 M_1 + \frac{4\alpha_n}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle$. It follows from conditions (i), (ii), and (3.3) that $\{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta_n}{\gamma_n} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 - k}{1 - k} \left(\alpha_n M_1 + \frac{2}{1 + (1 - k)\alpha_n} \langle \overrightarrow{f(\tilde{x})\tilde{x}}, \overrightarrow{x_{n+1}\tilde{x}} \rangle \right) \leq 0.$$

From Lemma 2.8 we have that $x_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Since every Hilbert space is a complete CAT(0) space, Theorem 3.1 is an improvement and generalization of the main results in Xu et al. [22] and Yao et al. [23].

The following result can be obtained from Theorem 3.1 immediately.

Theorem 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let f be a contraction on C with coefficient $k \in [0, 1)$, and for the arbitrary initial point $x_0 \in C$, let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by*

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T\left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 3.1. Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (3.4) converges strongly to \tilde{x} such that $\tilde{x} = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f(\tilde{x})$ which is equivalent to the following variational inequality:

$$\langle \tilde{x} - f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

4. Applications

4.1. Application to nonlinear variational inclusion problem

Let H be a real Hilbert space, $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a multi-valued maximal monotone mapping. Then, the resolvent mapping $J_\lambda^M : H \rightarrow H$ associated with M , is defined by

$$J_\lambda^M(x) := (I + \lambda M)^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in H,$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, where I stands for the identity operator on H .

We note that for all $\lambda > 0$ the resolvent operator J_λ^M is a single-valued nonexpansive mapping.

The “so-called” monotone variational inclusion problem (in short, MVIP) [10] is to find $x^* \in H$ such that

$$0 \in M(x^*). \quad (4.1)$$

From the definition of resolvent mapping J_λ^M , it is easy to know that (MVIP) (4.1) is equivalent to find $x^* \in H$ such that

$$x^* \in \text{Fix}(J_\lambda^M) \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0.$$

For any given function $x_0 \in H$, define a sequence by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) J_\lambda^M \left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2} \right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

From Theorem 3.3 we have the following.

Theorem 4.1. Let M and J_λ^M be the same as above. Let $f : H \rightarrow H$ be a contraction. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (4.2). If the sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 3.1 and $\text{Fix}(J_\lambda^M) \neq \emptyset$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to the solution of monotone variational inclusion (4.1), which is also a solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle \tilde{x} - f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(J_\lambda^M).$$

4.2. Application to nonlinear Volterra integral equations

Let us consider the following nonlinear Volterra integral equation

$$x(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t F(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (4.3)$$

where g is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$ and $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies the following condition.

$$|F(t, s, x) - F(t, s, y)| \leq |x - y|, \quad t, s \in [0, 1], \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then equation (4.3) has at least one solution in $L^2[0, 1]$ (see, for example, [24]).

Define a mapping $T : L^2[0, 1] \rightarrow L^2[0, 1]$ by

$$(Tx)(t) = g(t) + \int_0^t F(t, s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It is easy to see that T is a nonexpansive mapping. This means that finding the solution of integral equation (4.3) is reduced to find a fixed point of the nonexpansive mapping T in $L^2[0, 1]$.

For any given function $x_0 \in L^2[0, 1]$, define a sequence of functions $\{x_n\}$ in $L^2[0, 1]$ by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T \left(\frac{x_n + x_{n+1}}{2} \right), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

From Theorem 3.3 we have the following.

Theorem 4.2. Let $F, g, T, L^2[0, 1]$ be the same as above. Let f be a contraction on $L^2[0, 1]$ with coefficient $k \in [0, 1)$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence defined by (4.4). If the sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) in Theorem 3.1, then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly in $L^2[0, 1]$ to the solution of integral equation (4.3) which is also a solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle \tilde{x} - f(\tilde{x}), x - \tilde{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee and the editors for their helpful comments and advices.

This work was supported by Scientific Research Fund of SiChuan Provincial Education Department (No.14ZA0272), This work was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11361070), and the Natural Science Foundation of China Medical University, Taiwan.

References

- [1] B. Ahmadi Kakavandi, *Weak topologies in complete CAT(0) metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141** (2013), 1029–1039. [2.5](#)
- [2] M. A. Alghamdi, M. A. Alghamdi, N. Shahzad, H.-K. Xu, *The implicit midpoint rule for nonexpansive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 9 pages. [1](#), [1](#)
- [3] H. Attouch, *Viscosity solutions of minimization problems*, SIAM J. Optim., **6** (1996), 769–806.
- [4] W. Auzinger, R. Frank, *Asymptotic error expansions for stiff equations: an analysis for the implicit midpoint and trapezoidal rules in the strongly stiff case*, Numer. Math., **56** (1989), 469–499.
- [5] G. Bader, P. Deuflhard, *A semi-implicit mid-point rule for stiff systems of ordinary differential equations*, Numer. Math., **41** (1983), 373–398. [1](#)
- [6] S. Banach, *Metric spaces of nonpositive curvature*, Springer, New York, (1999). [2.2](#)
- [7] I. D. Berg, I. G. Nikolaev, *Quasilinearization and curvature of Aleksandrov spaces*, Geom. Dedicata, **133** (2008), 195–218. [2](#)
- [8] M. R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999). [1](#)
- [9] K. S. Brown, *Buildings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1989). [1](#)
- [10] S. Y. Cho, W.-L. Li, S. M. Kang, *Convergence analysis of an iterative algorithm for monotone operators*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013** (2013), 14 pages. [4.1](#)
- [11] H. Dehghan, J. Roojin, *A characterization of metric projection in CAT(0) spaces*, In: International Conference on Functional Equation, Geometric Functions and Applications (ICFGA 2012), 10-12th May 2012, pp. 41-43. Payame Noor University, Tabriz, (2012). [2.3](#)
- [12] S. Dhompongsa, W. A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, *Nonexpansive set-valued mappings in metric and Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **8** (2007), 35–45. [2.6](#)
- [13] S. Dhompongsa, B. Panyanak, *On Δ -convergence theorems in CAT(0) spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **56** (2008), 2572–2579. [2.1](#)
- [14] W. A. Kirk, B. Panyanak, *A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **68** (2008), 3689–3696. [2.4](#)
- [15] A. Moudafi, *Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **241** (2000), 46–55. [1](#)
- [16] S. Saejung, *Halpern's iteration in CAT(0) spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010** (2010), 13 pages. [1](#)
- [17] C. Schneider, *Analysis of the linearly implicit mid-point rule for differential-algebraic equations*, Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal., **1** (1993), 1–10. [1](#)
- [18] S. Somali, *Implicit midpoint rule to the nonlinear degenerate boundary value problems*, Int. J. Comput. Math., **79** (2002), 327–332. [1](#)
- [19] R. Wangkeeree, P. Preechasilp, *Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2013** (2013), 15 pages. [2.7](#)
- [20] H.-K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London Math. Soc., **66** (2002), 240–256. [2.8](#)
- [21] H.-K. Xu, *Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **298** (2004), 279–291. [1](#)
- [22] H.-K. Xu, M. A. Alghamdi, N. Shahzad, *The viscosity technique for the implicit midpoint rule of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 12 pages. [1](#), [1](#), [3.2](#)
- [23] Y.-H. Yao, N. Shahzad, N.-C. Liou, *Modified semi-implicit midpoint rule for nonexpansive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 15 pages. [1](#), [1](#), [3.2](#)
- [24] S.-S. Zhang, *Integral equations*, Chongqing press, Chongqing, (1984). [4.2](#)
- [25] L.-C. Zhao, S.-S. Chang, C.-F. Wen, *Viscosity approximation methods for the implicit midpoint rule of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), 4478–4488. [1](#)