



## Existence of periodic solutions for a class of discrete systems with classical or bounded $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian

Haiyun Deng, Xingyong Zhang\*, Hui Fang

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kunming University of Science and Technology, Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, P. R. China.

Communicated by R. Saadati

### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the existence of periodic solutions for the nonlinear discrete system with classical or bounded  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \Delta\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By using the saddle point theorem, we obtain that system with classical  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian has at least one periodic solution when  $F$  has  $(p, q)$ -sublinear growth, and system with bounded  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian has at least one periodic solution when  $F$  has sublinear growth. By using the least action principle, we obtain that system with classical or bounded  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian has at least one periodic solution when  $F$  has a growth like Lipschitz condition. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Discrete systems,  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian, periodic solutions, saddle point theorem, the least action principle.  
2010 MSC: 37J45, 58E50, 34C25.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

As we all know, critical point theory plays an important role in studying the existence and multiplicity of solutions for various differential equations, for example, nonlinear Schrödinger elliptic partial differential equations, nonlinear Dirac equations, reaction-diffusion equations, Hamiltonian systems (see [1, 16, 19, 21]). In 2003, the pioneering work for applying the critical point theory to discrete equations was given by Guo and Yu in [4] and [3]. Since then, lots of achievements for various types of discrete equations were presented. It is impossible to review them one by one here. We just refer readers to [5, 6, 10, 13, 23, 25, 27–29] and references therein. Recently, in [14] and [15], Mawhin studied a class of nonlinear discrete systems with  $\phi$ -Laplacian which possesses generality. To be precise, he considered the following system:

$$\Delta\phi[\Delta u(n-1)] = \nabla_u F[n, u(n)] + h(n), \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism from  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  onto  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  and the following three different types of homeomorphisms were discussed:

\*Corresponding author

Email address: [zhangxingyong1@163.com](mailto:zhangxingyong1@163.com) (Xingyong Zhang)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.02.19](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.02.19)

Received 2016-06-21

- (1) classical homeomorphism: when  $X = Y = \mathbb{R}^N$ , that is,  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ;
- (2) bounded homeomorphism: when  $X = \mathbb{R}^N, Y = B_a$ , that is,  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow B_a$  ( $a < +\infty$ );
- (3) singular homeomorphism: when  $X = B_a, Y = \mathbb{R}^N$ , that is,  $\phi : B_a \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ;

where  $B_a$  is a ball with its center at origin and radius  $a$ . By virtue of some critical point theorems, Mawhin obtained a series of results on existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for system (1.1). Motivated by [14] and [15], Wang and our second author in [24] considered the following  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian system:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)) = \nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) + h_1(t), \\ \Delta\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)) = \nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) + h_2(t). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Under the assumption that potential function  $F(t, x_1, x_2)$  is periodic about some components of the independent variables  $(x_1, x_2)$  and has a  $(p, q)$ -sublinear growth and  $\phi_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) are classical or bounded homeomorphisms, by virtue of some abstract critical point theorems in [16] and [11], the authors obtained some multiplicity results of periodic solutions for system (1.2). Moreover, in [30], they also considered the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_1} V(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = f_1(t), \\ \Delta\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_2} V(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = f_2(t), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $\phi_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) are classical homeomorphisms, functions  $V(t, x_1, x_2) : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $f_m : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) satisfy some reasonable growth conditions. By virtue of an abstract critical point theorem in [21], they presented some existence results of homoclinic solutions for system (1.3). In [31], our second author and Wang investigated the following two classes of nonlinear difference systems with classical  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} \mu\Delta \left[ \rho_1(t-1)\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)) \right] - \mu\rho_3(t)\phi_3(u_1(t)) + \nabla_{u_1} W(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \mu\Delta \left[ \rho_2(t-1)\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)) \right] - \mu\rho_4(t)\phi_4(u_2(t)) + \nabla_{u_2} W(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta (\gamma_1(t-1)\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1))) - \gamma_3(t)\phi_3(|u_1(t)|) + \nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \Delta (\gamma_2(t-1)\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1))) - \gamma_4(t)\phi_4(|u_2(t)|) + \nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\gamma_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  satisfy some reasonable assumptions. By using a critical point theorem due to Ricceri in [20], they obtained that (1.4) has at least three distinct  $T$ -periodic solutions, and by using the Clark’s theorem, they obtained a multiplicity result of  $T$ -periodic solutions if  $F$  satisfies a symmetric condition. It is easy to see the differences between those results in [31] and our results below in this paper.

Motivated by [14, 15, 24] and [30], in this paper, we investigate the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions for the following system with classical or bounded  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \Delta\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

where  $\Delta$  is a forward difference operator,  $T > 1$  is an integer,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $F : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) satisfy the following condition:

- (A0)  $\phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow B_a \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $a \in (0, +\infty]$ ),  $m = 1, 2$  are two homeomorphisms which satisfy  $\phi_m = \nabla\Phi_m$ ,  $\phi_m(0) = 0$ , where  $\Phi_m \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, +\infty))$  is strictly convex and  $\Phi_m(0) = 0$ .

*Remark 1.1.* Assumption (A0) given in [14] is used to define the homeomorphisms  $\phi_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ), that is,  $\phi_m$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) are called classical homeomorphisms, if  $a = +\infty$  and are called bounded homeomorphisms, if  $a < +\infty$ . Moreover, if  $\Phi_m$  possesses coercion (i.e.,  $\Phi_m(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ), then one can find two constants  $\delta_m = \min_{|x|=1} \Phi_m(x) > 0$ ,  $m = 1, 2$  such that

$$\Phi_m(x) \geq \delta_m(|x| - 1), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.6}$$

As  $\Phi_1(x) = \frac{1}{q}|x|^q$  and  $\Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{p}|x|^p$ , where  $p > 1$  and  $q > 1$ , system (1.5) reduces to the following  $(q, p)$ -Laplacian difference system:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(|\Delta u_1(t-1)|^{q-2}\Delta u_1(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_1}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \Delta(|\Delta u_2(t-1)|^{p-2}\Delta u_2(t-1)) + \nabla_{u_2}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \end{cases}$$

which can be regarded as a discretization of the following differential system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d(|\dot{u}_1(t)|^{q-2}\dot{u}_1(t))}{dt} + \nabla_{u_1}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0, \\ \frac{d(|\dot{u}_2(t)|^{p-2}\dot{u}_2(t))}{dt} + \nabla_{u_2}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

In recent years, there have been some results about periodic solutions for a system like (1.7) (see [8, 9, 17, 18, 26]). In [8], [17] and [18], by using the least action principle and the saddle point theorem, the authors obtained that system like (1.7) has at least one periodic solution. In [26], by using the least action principle, the authors obtained that system like (1.7) has at least one periodic solution and by using the local linking theorem, the authors obtained that system like (1.7) has at least two nonzero periodic solutions. In [9], by using an abstract critical point theorem in [2], the authors obtained that system like (1.7) has infinitely many periodic solutions.

In this paper, some assumptions on potential function  $F$  and some proofs are motivated partially by [26] and [25]. In [25], Xue and Tang investigated the following second-order discrete Hamiltonian system:

$$\Delta^2 u(t-1) + \nabla F(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.8}$$

By using the saddle point theorem, they obtained three theorems that system (1.8) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution when  $F$  has a subquadratic growth. Here, we only recall two theorems which are related to our paper.

**Theorem 1.2** ([25, Theorem 2]). *Assume that  $F(t, x)$  satisfies*

(H<sub>1</sub>) *there exists an integer  $T > 0$  such that  $F(t + T, x) = F(t, x)$  for all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ;*

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^2} \rightarrow 0$  *as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}[1, T] := \{1, \dots, T\}$ ;*

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $2F(t, x) - (x, \nabla F(t, x)) \rightarrow +\infty$  *as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ .*

*Then system (1.8) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.*

As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, the authors also presented the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.3** ([25, Theorem 3]). *Assume that  $F(t, x)$  satisfies (H<sub>1</sub>),*

(H<sub>4</sub>) *there are constants  $G > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < 2$  such that for all  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $|x| \geq G$ ,*

$$(x, \nabla F(t, x)) \leq \beta F(t, x);$$

(H<sub>5</sub>)  $F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty$  *as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ .*

*Then system (1.8) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.*

Next we prepare to present our results. For this purpose, we need to make the following three assumptions:

(A1) there exist constants  $d_1 > 0$ ,  $d_2 > 0$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $q > 1$  such that

$$\Phi_1(x_1) + \Phi_2(x_2) \geq d_1|x_1|^p + d_2|x_2|^q, \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N;$$

(A2)  $(\Phi_1(x_1), x_1) + (\Phi_2(x_2), x_2) \geq \min\{p, q\}[\Phi_1(x_1) + \Phi_2(x_2)], \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N;$

(A3) there exist constants  $p^* \in (0, 1]$  and  $q^* \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$(\Phi_1(x_1), x_1) + (\Phi_2(x_2), x_2) \geq \min\{p^*, q^*\}[\Phi_1(x_1) + \Phi_2(x_2)], \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Moreover, we need to fix some notations. For any  $s > 1$  and  $s' > 1$  with  $1/s + 1/s' = 1$ , let

$$C(s, s') = \min \left\{ \frac{(T-1)^{2s-1}}{T^{s-1}}, \frac{T^{s-1}\Theta(s', s)}{(s'+1)^{s/s'}} \right\},$$

$$\Theta(s', s) = \sum_{t=1}^T \left[ \left( \frac{t}{T} \right)^{s'+1} + \left( 1 - \frac{t}{T} + \frac{1}{T} \right)^{s'+1} - \frac{2}{T^{s'+1}} \right]^{s/s'}.$$

(I) For classical homeomorphism

**Theorem 1.4.** Suppose that (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (A2) and the following conditions hold:

(F0) for every  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ ,  $F : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable in  $(x_1, x_2)$ , and for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $(t, x_1, x_2) \rightarrow F(t, x_1, x_2)$  is  $T$ -periodic in  $t$ , where  $x_1 = (x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_N^{(1)})^\tau$ ,  $x_2 = (x_1^{(2)}, \dots, x_N^{(2)})^\tau$ ;

(F1)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \min\{p, q\}F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \right] = +\infty,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ ;

(F2) there exists a positive constant  $M_*$  such that

$$(\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) \geq 0, \quad (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \geq 0,$$

for all  $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \geq M_*$ ;

(F3)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q} = 0,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Corollary 1.5.** Suppose that (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (A2), (F0) and (F2) hold. If

(F1)' there exist constants  $L > 0$  and  $0 < \beta < \min\{p, q\}$ , such that

$$\beta F(t, x_1, x_2) \geq (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) + (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2),$$

for all  $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \geq L$ ;

(F3)'

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} F(t, x_1, x_2) = +\infty,$$

for all  $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

*Remark 1.6.* There exist some examples satisfying Theorem 1.4. For example, let  $T > 1$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{p}|x_1|^p$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \frac{1}{q}|x_2|^q$  and

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) = \left(1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{T} t\right) \ln(1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^q).$$

It is easy to verify that the example satisfies Theorem 1.4 if we take  $d_1 = \frac{1}{p}$  and  $d_2 = \frac{1}{q}$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** Suppose that (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (A2), (F0), (F1), (F2) and the following conditions hold:

(F4) there exists a positive constant  $M^*$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } (t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x_1| + |x_2| \geq M^*;$$

(F5)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q} < \min \left\{ \frac{d_1}{C(p, p')}, \frac{d_2}{C(q, q')} \right\}.$$

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

*Remark 1.8.* In Theorem 1.4, Corollary 1.5 and Theorem 1.7, (F2) can be deleted if  $p = q$ . One can see the reason in Remark 3.4 below. Thus we claim that Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 generalize Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, respectively. In fact, when  $p = q = 2$ ,  $\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$  and  $F(t, x, y) = F(t, y, x)$ , system (1.5) reduces to system (1.8) and Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 become Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, respectively. Moreover, Theorem 1.7 is still a new result even if system (1.5) reduces to system (1.8), which shows that (F2) can be weakened to (F5) if (F4) holds. There exist examples satisfying Theorem 1.7 but not satisfying Theorem 1.4. For example, let  $T > 1$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{p}|x_1|^p$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \frac{1}{p}|x_2|^p$  and

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{4pC(p, p')} \left(1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{T} t\right) [|x_1|^p + |x_2|^p + \ln(1 + |x_1|^p + |x_2|^p)].$$

It is easy to verify that the example satisfies Theorem 1.7 if we take  $p = q$  and  $d_1 = d_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** Assume that  $F(t, x_1, x_2) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , and (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (F0) and the following conditions hold:

(F6) there exist constants  $r_1 \in \left[0, \frac{d_1 p}{C(p, p')}\right)$ ,  $r_2 \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $r_3 \in \left[0, \frac{d_2 q}{C(q, q')}\right)$ ,  $r_4 \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in [0, p)$  and  $\beta_0 \in [0, q)$  such that

$$(\nabla_{x_1} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_1} F(y_1, y_2), x_1 - y_1) \leq r_1 |x_1 - y_1|^p + r_2 |x_1 - y_1|^{\alpha_0},$$

and

$$(\nabla_{x_2} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_2} F(y_1, y_2), x_2 - y_2) \leq r_3 |x_2 - y_2|^q + r_4 |x_2 - y_2|^{\beta_0},$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ;

(F7)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow +\infty} F(x_1, x_2) = -\infty,$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

By Theorem 1.9, it is easy to obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.10.** Assume that  $F(t, x_1, x_2) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , and (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (F0), (F7) and the following condition holds:

(F<sub>8</sub>) there exist constants  $r_1 \in \left[0, \frac{d_1 p}{C(p,p')}\right)$ ,  $r_2 \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $r_3 \in \left[0, \frac{d_2 q}{C(q,q')}\right)$ ,  $r_4 \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in [0, p)$  and  $\beta_0 \in [0, q)$  such that

$$|\nabla_{x_1} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_1} F(y_1, y_2)| \leq r_1 |x_1 - y_1|^{p-1} + r_2 |x_1 - y_1|^{\alpha_0-1},$$

and

$$|\nabla_{x_2} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_2} F(y_1, y_2)| \leq r_3 |x_2 - y_2|^{q-1} + r_4 |x_2 - y_2|^{\beta_0-1},$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Remark 1.11.** There exist some examples satisfying Theorem 1.9. For example, let  $p = 2$ ,  $q = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}|x_1|^2$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \frac{2}{3}|x_2|^{\frac{3}{2}}$  and

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{3r_1}{4}|x_1|^{\frac{4}{3}} + \frac{3r_2}{4}|x_2|^{\frac{4}{3}} - \frac{r_1}{2}|x_1|^2 - \frac{2r_2}{3}|x_2|^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

where  $r_1 \in \left(0, \frac{d_1 p}{C(p,p')}\right)$  and  $r_2 \in \left(0, \frac{d_2 p}{C(q,q')}\right)$ . Then it is easy to verify that the example satisfies Theorem 1.9 if we take  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \frac{4}{3}$ ,  $d_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $d_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ .

(II) For bounded homeomorphism

**Theorem 1.12.** Assume that  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (A3), (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>2</sub>) and the following conditions holds:

(S<sub>1</sub>)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \min\{p^*, q^*\} F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \right] = +\infty,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ ;

(S<sub>2</sub>)

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1| + |x_2|} = 0,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Corollary 1.13.** Assume that  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (A3), (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>2</sub>) and (S<sub>1</sub>) and the following condition holds:

(S<sub>2</sub>)' there exist constants  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\theta_2 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^{\theta_1} + |x_2|^{\theta_2}} < +\infty,$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Remark 1.14.** There exist some examples satisfying Theorem 1.12. For example, let  $T > 1$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \sqrt{1 + |x_1|^2} - 1$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \sqrt{2 + |x_2|^2} - \sqrt{2}$  and

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) = \left(1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{T} t\right) \ln(1 + |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2).$$

It is easy to verify that the example satisfies Theorem 1.12 if we take  $p^* = q^* = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{2} - 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}$ .

**Theorem 1.15.** Assume that  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (A3), (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>2</sub>), (S<sub>1</sub>), (F<sub>4</sub>) and the following condition holds:

(S<sub>3</sub>) there exist four constants  $s_0, s'_0, s_1, s'_1 \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $\frac{1}{s_0} + \frac{1}{s'_0} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s'_1} = 1$  such that

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1| + |x_2|} < \min \left\{ \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}}}, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}} \right\},$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are given in (1.6).

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Remark 1.16.** There exist some examples satisfying Theorem 1.15 but not satisfying Theorem 1.12. For example, let  $T > 1$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \sqrt{1 + |x_1|^2} - 1$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \sqrt{2 + |x_2|^2} - \sqrt{2}$  and

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) = A \left( 1 + \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{T} t \right) \left[ \sqrt{1 + |x_1|^2} + \sqrt{2 + |x_2|^2} + \ln(1 + |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2) \right],$$

where

$$0 < A < \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}}}, \frac{\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}}{T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}} \right\},$$

and  $s_0, s'_0, s_1$  and  $s'_1$  are four fixed positive constants with  $\frac{1}{s_0} + \frac{1}{s'_0} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s'_1} = 1$ . It is easy to verify that the example satisfies Theorem 1.15 if we take  $p^* = q^* = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{2} - 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}$ . Moreover, it is obvious that  $F$  does not satisfy (S<sub>2</sub>) so that it does not satisfy Theorem 1.12.

**Theorem 1.17.** Assume that  $F(t, x_1, x_2) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ ,  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>7</sub>) and the following condition holds:

(S<sub>4</sub>) there exist constants  $s_2, s'_2, s_3, s'_3 \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $\frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s'_2} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_3} + \frac{1}{s'_3} = 1$ ,  $r_1 \in \left[ 0, \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}}} \right)$ ,

$$r_2 \in [0, +\infty), r_3 \in \left[ 0, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}}} \right), r_4 \in [0, +\infty), \mu_0 \in [0, 1) \text{ and } \nu_0 \in [0, 1) \text{ such that}$$

$$(\nabla_{x_1} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_1} F(y_1, y_2), x_1 - y_1) \leq r_1 |x_1 - y_1| + r_2 |x_1 - y_1|^{\mu_0},$$

and

$$(\nabla_{x_2} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_2} F(y_1, y_2), x_2 - y_2) \leq r_3 |x_2 - y_2| + r_4 |x_2 - y_2|^{\nu_0},$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$  and all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Then system (1.5) has at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

By Theorem 1.17, it is easy to obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.18.** Assume that  $F(t, x_1, x_2) \equiv F(x_1, x_2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ ,  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$  and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>7</sub>) and the following condition holds:

(S<sub>5</sub>) there exist constants  $s_2, s'_2, s_3, s'_3 \in (1, +\infty)$  with  $\frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s'_2} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_3} + \frac{1}{s'_3} = 1$ ,  $l_1 \in \left( 0, \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}}} \right)$

and  $l_2 \in \left( 0, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}}} \right)$  such that

$$|\nabla_{x_1} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_1} F(y_1, y_2)| \leq l_1,$$



and

$$|\nabla_{x_2} F(x_1, x_2) - \nabla_{y_2} F(y_1, y_2)| \leq l_2,$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Then system (1.5) possesses at least one  $T$ -periodic solution.

**Remark 1.19.** There exist some examples satisfying Corollary 1.18. For example, let  $T > 1$ ,  $\Phi_1(x_1) = \sqrt{1 + |x_1|^2} - 1$ ,  $\Phi_2(x_2) = \sqrt{2 + |x_2|^2} - \sqrt{2}$  and

$$F(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{l}{2} \ln(2 + |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2),$$

where  $l \in \left(0, \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2}}{T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}}} \right\} \right)$ , and  $s_2, s'_2, s_3$  and  $s'_3$  are four fixed positive constants with  $\frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s'_2} = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{s_3} + \frac{1}{s'_3} = 1$ . Then it is easy to verify that the example satisfies Corollary 1.18 if we take  $l_1 = l_2 = l$ ,  $\delta_1 = \sqrt{2} - 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Let

$$E_T = \{v := \{v(t)\} \mid v(t+T) = v(t), v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $E_T$  has  $NT$  dimensions. For  $v \in E_T$ , set

$$\|v\|_{[r]} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |v(t)|^r \right)^{1/r}, \quad r > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_\infty = \max_{t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]} |v(t)|.$$

It is obvious that

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_{[r]} \leq T^{\frac{1}{r}} \|v\|_\infty. \tag{2.1}$$

For  $1 < s < +\infty$ , for  $v \in E_T$ , define

$$\|v\|_s = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta v(t)|^s + \sum_{t=1}^T |v(t)|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

Then there exist two positive constants  $D_1, D_2$  such that

$$\|u_1\|_{[p]} \leq \|u_1\|_p \leq D_1 \|u_1\|_{[p]}, \quad \|u_2\|_{[q]} \leq \|u_2\|_q \leq D_2 \|u_2\|_{[q]}, \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $u_1, u_2 \in E_T$ .

Let  $E = E_T \times E_T$ . For  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in E$ , define

$$\|u\| = \|u_1\|_p + \|u_2\|_q.$$

Note that for any  $v \in E_T$ , it can be expressed as  $v = \bar{v} + \tilde{v}$ , where  $\bar{v} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T v(t)$  and  $\tilde{v}$  satisfies that  $\sum_{t=1}^T v(t) = 0$ . Hence, for any  $u \in E$ ,  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau = (\bar{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 + \tilde{u}_2)^\tau = (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)^\tau + (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)^\tau$ . Define  $W$  and  $Y$  by

$$W = \left\{ u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in E \mid u_m(1) = \dots = u_m(T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T u_m(t), m = 1, 2 \right\},$$

and

$$Y = \left\{ (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in E \mid \sum_{t=1}^T u_m(t) = 0, m = 1, 2 \right\}.$$



Then,  $E = W \oplus Y$  and for any  $u \in E$ , it can be expressed as  $u = \bar{u} + \tilde{u}$ , where  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)^\tau \in W$  and  $\tilde{u} = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)^\tau \in Y$ . Furthermore,  $u_m = \bar{u}_m + \tilde{u}_m$ , where  $\bar{u}_m = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T u_m(t)$ , and  $\Delta \tilde{u}_m = \Delta u_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ .

For  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in Y$ , define

$$\|\Delta u\| = \|\Delta u_1\|_{[p]} + \|\Delta u_2\|_{[q]}, \quad \forall u \in Y,$$

which is also a norm on  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is finite dimensional space, so the norm  $\|\Delta u\|$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|u\|$  in  $Y$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([27]). *Let  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in Y$ . Then for any  $s > 1$  and  $s' > 1$  with  $1/s + 1/s' = 1$ , we have*

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |u_m(t)|^s \leq C(s, s') \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s, \quad m = 1, 2.$$

*Remark 2.2.* By Lemma 2.1 and a simple calculation, it is easy to obtain that

$$\|u_1\|_p^p \leq (C(p, p') + 1) \|\Delta u_1\|_{[p]}^p, \quad \|u_2\|_q^q \leq (C(q, q') + 1) \|\Delta u_2\|_{[q]}^q, \quad \forall u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in Y. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, for any  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau \in E$ , by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_m\|_s &= \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s + \sum_{t=1}^T |u_m(t)|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &= \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s + \sum_{t=1}^T |\bar{u}_m + \tilde{u}_m(t)|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s + 2^{s-1} \sum_{t=1}^T |\bar{u}_m|^s + 2^{s-1} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_m(t)|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq \left[ 2^{s-1} T |\bar{u}_m|^s + (1 + 2^{s-1} C(s, s')) \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s \right]^{1/s} \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{s-1}{s}} T^{\frac{1}{s}} |\bar{u}_m| + (1 + 2^{s-1} C(s, s'))^{1/s} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s \right]^{1/s} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\leq 2^{\frac{s-1}{s}} T^{\frac{1}{s}} |\bar{u}_m| + (1 + 2^{s-1} C(s, s'))^{1/s} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $m = 1$  (or  $2$ ) and  $s > 1$ . Hence, if  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\|u_1\|_p \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\|u_2\|_q \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $|\bar{u}_m| + \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m = 1$  (or  $2$ ) and  $s = p$  (or  $q$ ).

**Lemma 2.3** ([24]). *For any  $u = (u_1, u_2)^\tau, v = (v_1, v_2)^\tau \in E$ , the following two equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta \phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)), v_1(t)) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)), \Delta v_1(t)), \\ - \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta \phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)), v_2(t)) &= \sum_{t=1}^T (\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)), \Delta v_2(t)). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.4** ([24]). *Let  $L : \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \rightarrow L(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$  and assume that  $L$  is continuously differentiable in  $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ . Then the functional  $\mathcal{J} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by*

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{t=1}^T L(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), \Delta u_1(t), \Delta u_2(t)),$$

is continuously differentiable on  $E$  and for all  $u, v \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}'(u), v \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{J}'(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T [(D_{x_1}L(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), \Delta u_1(t), \Delta u_2(t)), v_1(t)) \\ &\quad + (D_{y_1}L(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), \Delta u_1(t), \Delta u_2(t)), \Delta v_1(t)) \\ &\quad + (D_{x_2}L(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), \Delta u_1(t), \Delta u_2(t)), v_2(t)) \\ &\quad + (D_{y_2}L(t, u_1(t), u_2(t), \Delta u_1(t), \Delta u_2(t)), \Delta v_2(t))]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L(t, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \Phi_1(y_1) + \Phi_2(y_2) - F(t, x_1, x_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)) - F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t))].$$

By (A0), (F0) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}'(u), v \rangle &= \langle \mathcal{J}'(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T [(\phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)), \Delta v_1(t)) + (\phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)), \Delta v_2(t)) \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{u_1}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)), v_1(t)) - (\nabla_{u_2}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)), v_2(t))] \\ &= - \sum_{t=1}^T [(\Delta \phi_1(\Delta u_1(t-1)), v_1(t)) + (\Delta \phi_2(\Delta u_2(t-1)), v_2(t)) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{u_1}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)), v_1(t)) + (\nabla_{u_2}F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)), v_2(t))], \end{aligned}$$

and then it is easy to obtain that critical point of  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $E$  is  $T$ -periodic solution of system (1.5).

**Definition 2.5** ([16]). Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and for  $\varphi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ , we say that  $\varphi$  satisfies the (PS) condition, if any sequence  $(u_n) \subset E$  for which  $\varphi(u_n)$  is bounded and  $\varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  possesses a convergent subsequence.

Next, we introduce some abstract critical point theorems which will be used to prove our main results.

**Lemma 2.6** ([19]). Let  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ , where  $X$  is a real Banach space and  $X_1 \neq \{0\}$  and is finite dimensional. Suppose  $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , satisfies (PS) condition, and

- (I<sub>1</sub>) there is a constant  $\alpha$  and a bounded neighborhood  $D$  of 0 in  $X_1$  such that  $\varphi|_{\partial D} \leq \alpha$ , and
- (I<sub>2</sub>) there is a constant  $\beta > \alpha$  such that  $\varphi|_{X_2} \geq \beta$ .

Then  $\varphi$  possesses a critical value  $c \geq \beta$ . Moreover  $c$  can be characterized as

$$c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \overline{D}} \varphi(h(u)),$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{h \in C(\overline{D}, X) | h = \text{id on } \partial D\}.$$

**Remark 2.7.** As we all know, under the weaker condition (C) than (PS), a deformation lemma holds true. We say that  $\{u_n\}$  is a (C) sequence for  $\varphi$ , if  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded and  $(1 + \|u_n\|)\|\varphi'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\varphi$  satisfies (C) condition, if any (C) sequence for  $\varphi$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 2.8** ([12]). Assume that  $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  is bounded from below (above) and satisfies the (PS) condition. Then

$$c = \inf_{u \in X} \varphi(u) \quad (c = \sup_{u \in X} \varphi(u)),$$

is a critical value of  $\varphi$ .

### 3. Proofs for classical homeomorphism

**Lemma 3.1.** Assume that (A0) with  $a = +\infty$ , (A1), (A2), (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>1</sub>) and (F<sub>5</sub>) (or (F<sub>3</sub>)) hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfies the (C) condition.

*Proof.* The proof is motivated by [7]. Suppose that  $\{u_n = (u_1^{(n)}, u_2^{(n)})\}$  is a (C) sequence for  $\mathcal{J}$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{J}(u_n) \text{ is bounded and } (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\mathcal{J}'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $C_0$  such that

$$|\mathcal{J}(u_n)| \leq C_0, \quad (1 + \|u_n\|)\|\mathcal{J}'(u_n)\| \leq C_0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we claim that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded, that is, both  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$  and  $\{u_2^{(n)}\}$  are bounded. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we assume that  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$  is unbounded. Then there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$ , such that  $\|u_1^{(n)}\|_p \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $z_1^{(n)} = \frac{u_1^{(n)}}{\|u_1^{(n)}\|_p}$ . Then  $\|z_1^{(n)}\|_p = 1$ . Hence there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{z_1^{(n_k)}\}$  of  $\{z_1^{(n)}\}$  such that  $z_1^{(n_k)} \rightarrow z_1^*$  for some  $z_1^* \in E_T$ . Correspondingly, we choose a subsequence  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$  of  $\{u_2^{(n)}\}$ , which has the same index  $(n_k)$  as  $\{u_1^{(n_k)}\}$ . Then there exist the following two cases.

(i)  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$  is unbounded.

For this case, there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$ , such that  $\|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $z_2^{(n_k)} = \frac{u_2^{(n_k)}}{\|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q}$ . Then  $\|z_2^{(n_k)}\|_q = 1$ . Hence there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{z_2^{(n_{k_j})}\}$  of  $\{z_2^{(n_k)}\}$  such that  $z_2^{(n_{k_j})} \rightarrow z_2^*$  for some  $z_2^* \in E_T$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Correspondingly, it is obvious that  $z_1^{(n_{k_j})} \rightarrow z_1^*$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then (2.1) and (2.2) imply that

$$z_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t) \rightarrow z_1^*(t), \quad z_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t) \rightarrow z_2^*(t), \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T], \tag{3.2}$$

and it is easy to see that

$$\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p \rightarrow \infty, \quad \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, it follows from (F<sub>5</sub>) or (F<sub>3</sub>) that there exist constants  $G_1 > 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{d_1}{C(p,p')}, \frac{d_2}{C(q,q')} \right\}$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon(|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q),$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \geq G_1$ . Then by (F<sub>0</sub>), there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon(|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q) + C_1, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $C_1 = \max\{F(t, x_1, x_2) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T], |x_1| \leq G_1, |x_2| \leq G_1\}$ . Then by (A1), (3.1) and (3.4), for the sequence  $\{u_{n_{k_j}} = (u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})})\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{C_0}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & \geq \frac{\mathcal{J}(u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})})}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) - F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))]}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & \geq \frac{\min\{d_1, d_2\} \sum_{t=1}^T [|\Delta u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p + |\Delta u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q] - \sum_{t=1}^T [\varepsilon |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p + \varepsilon |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q + C_1]}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & = \frac{\min\{d_1, d_2\} (\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q) - \min\{d_1, d_2\} \sum_{t=1}^T (|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p + |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q)}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & \quad - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T [\varepsilon |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p + \varepsilon |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q + C_1]}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \\
 & \geq \min\{d_1, d_2\} - (\min\{d_1, d_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q}{\|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{C_1 T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \right] \\
 & = \min\{d_1, d_2\} - (\min\{d_1, d_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^p + \sum_{t=1}^T |z_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|^q \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{C_1 T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q^q} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then it follows from (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^*(t)|^p + \sum_{t=1}^T |z_2^*(t)|^q \geq \frac{\min\{d_1, d_2\}}{\min\{d_1, d_2\} + \varepsilon} > 0,$$

which implies that there exists a nonempty set  $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$  such that

$$|z_1^*(t)| + |z_2^*(t)| > 0, \quad \forall t \in \Omega_0.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|z_1^*(t)| > 0$  for all  $t \in \Omega_{01}$ , where  $\Omega_{01}$  is a nonempty set of  $\Omega_0$ . Then the definition of  $z_1^*(t)$ , together with (3.3), implies that  $|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , for all  $t \in \Omega_{01}$ , which shows that

$$|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall t \in \Omega_{01}. \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover,  $(F_1)$  implies that there exists a positive constant  $G_2$  such that

$$\min\{p, q\}F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \geq 0,$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \geq G_2$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ . Since  $F$  is continuously differential, there is a positive constant  $C_2$  such that

$$\left| \min\{p, q\}F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \right| \leq C_2,$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \leq G_2$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ . So

$$\min\{p, q\}F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \geq -C_2, \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ . Thus it follows from (A2), (3.1) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\min\{p, q\} + 1)C_0 \\ & \geq (1 + \|u_{n_{k_j}}\|) \|\mathcal{J}'(u_{n_{k_j}})\| - \min\{p, q\} \mathcal{J}(u_{n_{k_j}}) \\ & \geq \langle \mathcal{J}'(u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})}), (u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})}) \rangle - \min\{p, q\} \mathcal{J}(u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})}) \\ & \geq \sum_{t=1}^T [\min\{p, q\}F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) - (\nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) \\ & \quad - (\nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))] \\ & = \sum_{t \in \Omega_{01}} [\min\{p, q\}F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) - (\nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) \\ & \quad - (\nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))] \\ & \quad + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]/\Omega_{01}} [\min\{p, q\}F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) - (\nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) \\ & \quad - (\nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))] \\ & \geq \sum_{t \in \Omega_{01}} [\min\{p, q\}F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) - (\nabla_{u_1} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) \\ & \quad - (\nabla_{u_2} F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))] - C_2 T, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.6), contradicts  $(F_1)$ . Hence,  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$  is bounded.

(ii)  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$  is bounded

For this case, we consider the subsequence  $\{(u_1^{(n_k)}, u_2^{(n_k)})\}$ . Then we have

$$\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and } \|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q \leq C_3,$$

for a constant  $C_3 > 0$ . Similar to the argument in (3.5), it is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_0}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} & \geq \frac{\mathcal{J}(u_1^{(n_k)}, u_2^{(n_k)})}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p + \|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q^q} \\ & \geq \min\{d_1, d_2\} - (\min\{d_1, d_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_1^{(n_k)}(t)|^p}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_2^{(n_k)}(t)|^q}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} + \frac{C_1 T}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} \right] \\ & \geq \min\{d_1, d_2\} - (\min\{d_1, d_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^{(n_k)}(t)|^p + \frac{C_3^q}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} + \frac{C_1 T}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p^p} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.8) implies that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^*(t)|^p > 0.$$

The remainder of the argument is the same as case (i) with replacing  $\Omega_{01}$  with  $\Omega_0$  and replacing  $n_{k_j}$  with  $n_k$ . Hence  $\{u_1^{(n)}\}$  is also bounded for this case.

Similarly, it is easy to show that  $\{u_2^{(n)}\}$  is also bounded, so that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. Since all topologies in finite dimensional space are equivalent,  $\{u_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that (A0) with  $a = +\infty$ ,  $(F_0)$ ,  $(F_1)$ ,  $(F_2)$  and  $(F_3)$  hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $\Delta u_m = 0$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ , for all  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in W$  so that  $\Phi_m(\Delta u_m) = 0$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\mathcal{J}(u) = \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) = - \sum_{t=1}^T F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)), \quad \forall u \in W. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from  $(F_1)$  that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a constant  $M_0(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\min\{p, q\}F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2) - (\nabla_{r_p x_1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_p x_1) - (\nabla_{r_q x_2} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_q x_2) \geq \varepsilon, \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $(r, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_p x_1| + |r_q x_2| > M_0(\varepsilon)$ , where  $r_p := r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p}}$ ,  $r_q := r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q}}$ . Then by  $(F_2)$  and (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{r^{\min\{p,q\}} (\nabla_{r_p x_1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), \frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p} r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p}-1} x_1)}{r^{2\min\{p,q\}}} \\ &+ \frac{r^{\min\{p,q\}} (\nabla_{r_q x_2} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), \frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q} r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q}-1} x_2)}{r^{2\min\{p,q\}}} - \frac{\min\{p, q\} r^{\min\{p,q\}-1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{2\min\{p,q\}}} \\ &= \frac{r (\nabla_{r_p x_1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), \frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p} r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p}-1} x_1) + r (\nabla_{r_q x_2} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), \frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q} r^{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q}-1} x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} \\ &- \frac{\min\{p, q\} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\min\{p,q\}}{p} (\nabla_{r_p x_1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_p x_1) + \frac{\min\{p,q\}}{q} (\nabla_{r_q x_2} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} \\ &- \frac{\min\{p, q\} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\nabla_{r_p x_1} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_p x_1) + (\nabla_{r_q x_2} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2), r_q x_2) - \min\{p, q\} F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\min\{p,q\}+1}} = \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\} r^{\min\{p,q\}}} \right),$$

for all  $(r, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_p x_1| + |r_q x_2| > M_0(\varepsilon) + M_*$ . For any given  $r > 1$  and all given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_p x_1| + |r_q x_2| > M_0(\varepsilon) + M_*$ , we integrate the above inequality from 1 to  $r$  and then obtain that

$$\frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}}} - F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\} r^{\min\{p,q\}}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\}}. \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from  $(F_3)$  that for any given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}}} \right| &= \left| \frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p,q\}} (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q)} (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{|r_p x_1|^p + |r_q x_2|^q} \right| (|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Equations (3.13) and (3.14) imply that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\}}, \tag{3.15}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| > M_0(\varepsilon) + M_*$ , which together with the arbitrary of  $\varepsilon$ , shows that

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow +\infty} F(t, x_1, x_2) = +\infty. \tag{3.16}$$

As  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ , by (2.1) and (2.2), it is easy to see that there exists a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , denoted by  $\Omega_1$ , such that  $|u_1(t)| + |u_2(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $t \in \Omega_1$ , and  $|u_1(t)| + |u_2(t)|$  is bounded for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \setminus \Omega_1$ . Hence by (3.16), we have

$$\lim_{|u_1(t)|+|u_2(t)| \rightarrow +\infty} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = +\infty,$$

for all  $t \in \Omega_1$ . Moreover, the continuity of  $F$  implies that  $\sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \setminus \Omega_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t))$  is bounded. Hence

$$\sum_{t=1}^T F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) = \sum_{t \in \Omega_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) + \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T] \setminus \Omega_1} F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t)) \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } W,$$

which, together with (3.9) implies that  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ . The proof is complete. □

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (F<sub>0</sub>), (F<sub>1</sub>), (F<sub>2</sub>) and (F<sub>4</sub>) hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ .

*Proof.* Similar to the argument in Lemma 3.2, by (F<sub>1</sub>) and (F<sub>2</sub>), (3.13) holds. Then it follows from (F<sub>4</sub>) and (3.13) that

$$-F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{F(t, r_p x_1, r_q x_2)}{r^{\min\{p, q\}}} - F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\} r^{\min\{p, q\}}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p, q\}}, \tag{3.17}$$

for any given  $r > 1$  and all given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_p x_1| + |r_q x_2| > M_0(\varepsilon) + M_* + M^*$ . Let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.17). Then (3.15) holds. The remainder of the proof is the same as Lemma 3.2. □

*Remark 3.4.* (F<sub>2</sub>) plays the role in deducing the inequality (3.12) from (3.11). However, if  $p = q$ , then  $r_p = r_q = r$  and (3.12) is the same as (3.11). Hence (F<sub>2</sub>) is not necessary in Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that (A0) with  $\alpha = +\infty$ , (A1), (F<sub>0</sub>) and (F<sub>5</sub>) (or (F<sub>3</sub>)) hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (A1), Lemma 2.1, (2.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u) &= \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)) - F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t))] \\ &\geq d_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p + d_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T (|u_1(t)|^p + |u_2(t)|^q) - C_1 T \\ &\geq d_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p + d_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \cdot C(p, p') \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p - \varepsilon \cdot C(q, q') \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q - C_1 T \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &= (d_1 - \varepsilon \cdot C(p, p')) \|\Delta u_1\|_{[p]}^p + (d_2 - \varepsilon \cdot C(q, q')) \|\Delta u_2\|_{[q]}^q - C_1 T \\ &\geq \min \left\{ d_1 - \varepsilon \cdot C(p, p'), d_2 - \varepsilon \cdot C(q, q') \right\} [\|\Delta u_1\|_{[p]}^p + \|\Delta u_2\|_{[q]}^q] - C_1 T \\ &\geq \min \left\{ d_1 - \varepsilon \cdot C(p, p'), d_2 - \varepsilon \cdot C(q, q') \right\} \left[ \frac{1}{C(p, p') + 1} \|u_1\|_p^p + \frac{1}{C(q, q') + 1} \|u_2\|_q^q \right] - C_1 T, \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in Y$ . Note that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{d_1}{C(p, p')}, \frac{d_2}{C(q, q')} \right\}$ . The above inequality implies that  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $Y$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Let  $X = E, X_1 = W, X_2 = Y$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, 3.5, 2.6 and Remark 2.7,  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical value  $c$  and then  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical point  $u^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.5.* It follows from  $(F_1)'$  and  $(F_3)'$  that

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{p, q\}F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2) \\ &= (\min\{p, q\} - \beta)F(t, x_1, x_2) + [\beta F(t, x_1, x_2) - (\nabla_{x_1} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_1) - (\nabla_{x_2} F(t, x_1, x_2), x_2)] \\ &\geq (\min\{p, q\} - \beta)F(t, x_1, x_2) \\ &\rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } |x_1| + |x_2| \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ . Thus  $(F_1)$  holds. Moreover, by  $(F_1)'$ , we claim that there exist two positive constants  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq C_4|x_1|^\beta + C_4|x_2|^\beta + C_5, \tag{3.18}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ . In fact, similar to the argument in [22], for any given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  and all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$ , we define  $y : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$y(s) = F(t, sx_1, sx_2),$$

and let

$$Q(s) = y'(s) - \frac{\beta}{s}y(s). \tag{3.19}$$

It is easy to solve the equation (3.19) and obtain

$$y(s) = s^\beta \left( \int_1^s r^{-\beta} Q(r) dr + F(t, x_1, x_2) \right). \tag{3.20}$$

Then by  $(F_1)'$ , we have

$$Q(s) = \frac{1}{s} [(\nabla_{sx_1} F(t, sx_1, sx_2), sx_1) + (\nabla_{sx_2} F(t, sx_1, sx_2), sx_2) - \beta F(t, sx_1, sx_2)] \leq 0, \tag{3.21}$$

for all  $s \geq L/(|x_1| + |x_2|)$ . Then on one hand, it follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} y \left( \frac{L}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right) &= F \left( t, \frac{Lx_1}{|x_1| + |x_2|}, \frac{Lx_2}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{L}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right)^\beta \left( \int_1^{\frac{L}{|x_1| + |x_2|}} r^{-\beta} Q(r) dr + F(t, x_1, x_2) \right) \\ &\geq \left( \frac{L}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right)^\beta F(t, x_1, x_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| > L$ . On the other hand, it follows from  $(F_0)$  that there exists a

positive constant  $C_6$  such that

$$y \left( \frac{L}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right) = F \left( t, \frac{Lx_1}{|x_1| + |x_2|}, \frac{Lx_2}{|x_1| + |x_2|} \right) \leq \max\{F(t, x_1, x_2) \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T], |x_1| \leq L, |x_2| \leq L\} := C_6. \tag{3.23}$$

Then (3.22), (3.23) and  $(F_0)$  imply that (3.18) holds. By  $(F_3)'$ , there exists  $M_1 > 0$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) > 0, \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \text{ with } |x_1| + |x_2| > M_1.$$

Then by (3.18), we have

$$0 < \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q} \leq \frac{C_4(|x_1|^\beta + |x_2|^\beta) + C_5}{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q},$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| > M_1$ . Since  $\beta < \min\{p, q\}$ , we have

$$\lim_{|x_1| + |x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^p + |x_2|^q} = 0.$$

So  $(F_3)$  holds. Thus the proof is complete. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* Let  $X = E$ ,  $X_1 = W$ ,  $X_2 = Y$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemmas 3.1, 3.3, 3.5, 2.6 and Remark 2.7,  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical value  $c$  and then  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical point  $u^*$ . The proof is complete. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* For  $\alpha_0 \in (0, p)$  and  $\beta_0 \in (0, q)$ , it follows from  $(F_6)$ , Lemma 2.1 and Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T |F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)| &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 (\nabla_{x_1} F(\bar{u}_1 + s\tilde{u}_1(t), \bar{u}_2), \tilde{u}_1(t)) ds \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\nabla_{x_1} F(\bar{u}_1 + s\tilde{u}_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - \nabla_{y_1} F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2), s\tilde{u}_1(t)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{r_1}{p} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^p + \frac{r_2}{\alpha_0} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^{\alpha_0} \\ &\leq \frac{r_1}{p} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^p + \frac{r_2}{\alpha_0} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha_0}{p}} T^{\frac{p-\alpha_0}{p}} \\ &\leq \frac{r_1}{p} C(p, p') \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p + \frac{r_2}{\alpha_0} [C(p, p')]^{\frac{\alpha_0}{p}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha_0}{p}} T^{\frac{p-\alpha_0}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T |F(u_1(t), u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2)| &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 (\nabla_{x_2} F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2 + s\tilde{u}_2(t), \tilde{u}_2(t)) ds \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\nabla_{x_2} F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2 + s\tilde{u}_2(t)) - \nabla_{y_2} F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2), s\tilde{u}_2(t)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{r_3}{q} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^q + \frac{r_4}{\beta_0} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^{\beta_0} \\ &\leq \frac{r_3}{q} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^q + \frac{r_4}{\beta_0} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^q \right)^{\frac{\beta_0}{q}} T^{\frac{q-\beta_0}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{r_3}{q} C(q, q') \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q + \frac{r_4}{\beta_0} [C(q, q')]^{\frac{\beta_0}{q}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q \right)^{\frac{\beta_0}{q}} T^{\frac{q-\beta_0}{q}},$$

for all  $u = (u_1, u_2)^T \in E$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), u_2(t))] \\ &\geq d_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p + d_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q - \sum_{t=1}^T [F(u_1(t), u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{t=1}^T [F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] - \sum_{t=1}^T F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \\ &\geq \left( d_1 - \frac{r_1}{p} \cdot C(p, p') \right) \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p + \left( d_2 - \frac{r_3}{q} \cdot C(q, q') \right) \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q \\ &\quad - \frac{r_2}{\alpha_0} [C(p, p')]^{\frac{\alpha_0}{p}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha_0}{p}} T^{\frac{p-\alpha_0}{p}} - TF(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{r_4}{\beta_0} [C(q, q')]^{\frac{\beta_0}{q}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q \right)^{\frac{\beta_0}{q}} T^{\frac{q-\beta_0}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Note that  $r_1 \in [0, \frac{d_1 p}{C(p, p')}]$ ,  $r_3 \in [0, \frac{d_2 q}{C(q, q')}]$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in (0, p)$  and  $\beta_0 \in (0, q)$ . Equation (2.4) implies that if  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $|\bar{u}_m| + \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)|^s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m = 1$  (or  $2$ ) and  $s = p$  (or  $q$ ). So (3.24) and (F<sub>7</sub>) imply that

$$\mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as } \|(u_1, u_2)\| \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.25}$$

If  $\alpha_0 = 0$  or  $\beta_0 = 0$ , from the above argument, it is easy to see that (3.25) also holds. (3.25) implies that  $\mathcal{J}$  is bounded from below and (PS) condition holds. Let  $X = E$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemma 2.8, it is easy to know that  $\mathcal{J}$  has at least one critical point  $u^*$  such that

$$\mathcal{J}(u^*) = c = \inf_{u \in E} \mathcal{J}(u).$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

#### 4. Proofs for bounded homeomorphism

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ , (A3), (F<sub>0</sub>), (S<sub>1</sub>) and (S<sub>3</sub>) (or (S<sub>2</sub>)) hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}$  satisfies the (C) condition.

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we need to consider two cases:

- (i)  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$  is unbounded, and
- (ii)  $\{u_2^{(n_k)}\}$  is bounded.

For case (i), by the same argument as Lemma 3.1, we obtain that (3.2) and (3.3) hold for some subsequence  $\{(u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})})\}$  of  $\{(u_1^{(n_k)}, u_2^{(n_k)})\}$ . It follows from (S<sub>3</sub>) or (S<sub>2</sub>) that there exist constants  $G_3$  and

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}}}, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}} \right\} \text{ such that}$$

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon (|x_1| + |x_2|),$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| \geq G_3$ . Then by  $(F_0)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_7$  such that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \varepsilon(|x_1| + |x_2|) + C_7, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $C_7 = \max\{|F(t, x_1, x_2)| \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}[1, T], |x_1| \leq G_3, |x_2| \leq G_3\}$ . Then by (1.6), (3.1) and (4.1), for the sequence  $\{u_{n_{k_j}} = (u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})})\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C_0}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \geq \frac{\mathcal{J}(u_1^{(n_{k_j})}, u_2^{(n_{k_j})})}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))] - F(t, u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t), u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t))}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \geq \frac{\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| \right) - \sum_{t=1}^T [\varepsilon |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \varepsilon |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + C_7]}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \quad - \frac{(\delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \geq \frac{\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} (\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q) - \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \sum_{t=1}^T |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| \right)}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \quad - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T [\varepsilon |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \varepsilon |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + C_7] + (\delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \\ & \geq \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} - (\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)|}{\|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} + \frac{(C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \right] \\ & = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} - (\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \sum_{t=1}^T |z_2^{(n_{k_j})}(t)| + \frac{(C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_{k_j})}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_{k_j})}\|_q} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Let  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then it follows from (3.2), (3.3) and (4.2) that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^*(t)| + \sum_{t=1}^T |z_2^*(t)| \geq \frac{\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}}{\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \varepsilon} > 0,$$

which implies that there exists a nonempty set  $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}[1, T]$  such that

$$|z_1^*(t)| + |z_2^*(t)| > 0, \quad \forall t \in \Omega_2.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|z_1^*(t)| > 0$  for all  $t \in \Omega_{21}$ , where  $\Omega_{21}$  is a nonempty set of  $\Omega_2$ . The reminder of the argument is the same as Lemma 3.1 with replacing  $\min\{p, q\}$  with  $\min\{p^*, q^*\}$ , replacing  $\min\{d_1, d_2\}$  with  $\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$  and replacing  $\Omega_{01}$  with  $\Omega_{21}$ .

For case (ii), similar to Lemma 3.1, we consider the subsequence  $\{(u_1^{(n_k)}, u_2^{(n_k)})\}$ . Then we have

$$\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q \leq C_8,$$

for some constant  $C_8 > 0$ . Similar to the argument in (4.2), together with Hölder inequality, it is easy to

obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_0}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} &\geq \frac{\mathcal{J}(u_1^{(n_k)}, u_2^{(n_k)})}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p + \|u_2^{(n_k)}\|_q} \\ &\geq \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} - (\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_1^{(n_k)}(t)|}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T |u_2^{(n_k)}(t)|}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} + \frac{(C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} \right] \\ &\geq \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} - (\min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\} + \varepsilon) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^{(n_k)}(t)| + \frac{T^{\frac{q-1}{q}} C_8}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} + \frac{(C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T}{\|u_1^{(n_k)}\|_p} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . (4.3) implies that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |z_1^*(t)| > 0.$$

The remainder of the argument is the same as case (i) with replacing  $\Omega_{21}$  with  $\Omega_2$  and replacing  $n_{k_j}$  with  $n_k$ . Finally, by the same argument as Lemma 3.1, it is easy to complete the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume that (A0) with  $a < +\infty$ ,  $(F_0)$ ,  $(F_2)$ ,  $(S_1)$  and  $(S_2)$  hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $p^*, q^* \in (0, 1]$ . Similar to the argument in Lemma 3.2 with replacing  $\min\{p, q\}$  with  $\min\{p^*, q^*\}$  and  $r_p$  and  $r_q$  with  $r_{p^*}$  and  $r_{q^*}$ , respectively, where  $r_{p^*} = r_{q^*} = r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}$ , it follows from  $(F_2)$  and  $(S_1)$  that for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $M_1(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\min\{p^*, q^*\}(\nabla_{r_{p^*}x_1} F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2), r_{p^*}x_1) + \min\{p^*, q^*\}(\nabla_{r_{q^*}x_2} F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2), r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\min\{p^*, q^*\}F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{(\nabla_{r_{p^*}x_1} F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2), r_{p^*}x_1) + (\nabla_{r_{q^*}x_2} F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2), r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}+1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\min\{p^*, q^*\}F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}+1}} \\ &\leq -\frac{\varepsilon}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}+1}} = \frac{d}{dr} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for all  $(r, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_{p^*}x_1| + |r_{q^*}x_2| > M_1(\varepsilon) + M_*$ . For any given  $r > 1$  and all given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_{p^*}x_1| + |r_{q^*}x_2| > M_1(\varepsilon) + M_*$ , we integrate the above inequality from 1 to  $r$  and then obtain that

$$\frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} - F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}. \tag{4.6}$$

By  $(S_2)$ , for any given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} \right| &= \left| \frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}(|x_1| + |x_2|)} (|x_1| + |x_2|) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{|r_{p^*}x_1| + |r_{q^*}x_2|} \right| (|x_1| + |x_2|) \\ &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then (4.6) and (4.7) imply that

$$F(t, x_1, x_2) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}, \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|x_1| + |x_2| > M_1(\varepsilon) + M_*$ . Starting from (4.8) instead of (3.15), we can complete the proof in the same way as in Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that (A0) with  $\alpha < +\infty$ ,  $(F_0)$ ,  $(F_2)$ ,  $(S_1)$  and  $(F_4)$  hold. Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the argument in Lemma 4.2, by  $(S_1)$  and  $(F_2)$ , (4.6) holds. Then it follows from  $(F_4)$  and (4.6) that

$$-F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{F(t, r_{p^*}x_1, r_{q^*}x_2)}{r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} - F(t, x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}r^{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\min\{p^*, q^*\}}, \tag{4.9}$$

for any given  $r > 1$  and all given  $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $|r_{p^*}x_1| + |r_{q^*}x_2| > M_1(\varepsilon) + M_* + M^*$ . Let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.9). Then (4.8) holds. The remainder of the proof is the same as Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.*  $(F_2)$  plays the role in deducing the inequality (4.5) from (4.4). However, if  $p^* = q^* = 1$ , then  $r_{p^*} = r_{q^*} = r$  and (4.5) is the same as (4.4). Hence  $(F_2)$  is not necessary in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Assume that (A0),  $(F_0)$  and  $(S_2)$  (or  $(S_3)$ ) hold and  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\Phi_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ , and  $s_0 > 1, s_1 > 1$ . Then it follows from (1.6), (4.1), Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u) &= \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)) - F(t, u_1(t), u_2(t))] \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| - \varepsilon \sum_{t=1}^T (|u_1(t)| + |u_2(t)|) - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \\ &\quad - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |u_1(t)|^{s_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_0}} - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |u_2(t)|^{s_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_1}} - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\ &\quad - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{s_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_0}} - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{s_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\ &\quad - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \left( \delta_1 - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \left( \delta_2 - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \right) \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \\
 &\quad - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\
 &\geq \left( \delta_1 - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}} \right) \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad + \left( \delta_2 - \varepsilon T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \right) \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} - (C_7 + \delta_1 + \delta_2)T,
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $u \in Y$ . Note that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_0-1}{s_0}} [C(s_0, s'_0)]^{\frac{1}{s_0}}}, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_1-1}{s_1}} [C(s_1, s'_1)]^{\frac{1}{s_1}}} \right\}$ . The above inequality implies that  $\mathcal{J}(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  in  $Y$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.12.* Let  $X = E, X_1 = W, X_2 = Y$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.5, 2.6 and Remark 2.7,  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical value  $c$  and hence  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical point  $u^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.13.* Note that  $\theta_1 \in (0, 1), \theta_2 \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|+|x_2|} = \lim_{|x_1|+|x_2| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^{\theta_1} + |x_2|^{\theta_2}} \cdot \frac{|x_1|^{\theta_1} + |x_2|^{\theta_2}}{|x_1|+|x_2|}.$$

Hence  $(S_2)'$  implies that  $(S_2)$  holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.15.* Let  $X = E, X_1 = W, X_2 = Y$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 2.6 and Remark 2.7,  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical value  $c$  and hence  $\mathcal{J}$  possesses a critical point  $u^*$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

For  $u = (u_1, u_2)^T \in E$ , define

$$\|u\|_{[E]} = \|u_1\|_{s_2} + \|u_2\|_{s_3}.$$

Then  $\|u\|_{[E]}$  is equivalent to  $\|u\|$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.17.* For  $\mu_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\nu_0 \in (0, 1)$ , it follows from  $(S_4)$ , Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{t=1}^T |F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)| &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 (\nabla_{x_1} F(\bar{u}_1 + s\tilde{u}_1(t), \bar{u}_2), \tilde{u}_1(t)) ds \\
 &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\nabla_{x_1} F(\bar{u}_1 + s\tilde{u}_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - \nabla_{x_1} F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2), s\tilde{u}_1(t)) ds \\
 &\leq r_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)| + \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^{\mu_0} \\
 &\leq r_1 T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^{s_2} \right)^{1/s_2} + \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} T^{\frac{s_2-\mu_0}{s_2}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_1(t)|^{s_2} \right)^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}} \\
 &\leq r_1 T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{s_2} \right)^{1/s_2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} T^{\frac{s_2-\mu_0}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{s_2} \right)^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq r_1 T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} T^{\frac{s_2-\mu_0}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{\mu_0},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T |F(u_1(t), u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2)| &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 (\nabla_{x_2} F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2 + s\tilde{u}_2(t)), \tilde{u}_2(t)) ds \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \int_0^1 \frac{1}{s} (\nabla_{x_2} F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2 + s\tilde{u}_2(t)) - \nabla_{x_2} F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2), s\tilde{u}_2(t)) ds \\ &\leq r_3 \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)| + \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^{\nu_0} \\ &\leq r_3 T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^{s_3} \right)^{1/s_3} + \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} T^{\frac{s_3-\nu_0}{s_3}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\tilde{u}_2(t)|^{s_3} \right)^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \\ &\leq r_3 T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{s_3} \right)^{1/s_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} T^{\frac{s_3-\nu_0}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{s_3} \right)^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \\ &\leq r_3 T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| + \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} T^{\frac{s_3-\nu_0}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{\nu_0}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u = (u_1, u_2)^T \in E$ . Note that  $\Phi_m$  are coercive,  $m = 1, 2$ . Then by (1.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) &= \sum_{t=1}^T [\Phi_1(\Delta u_1(t)) + \Phi_2(\Delta u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), u_2(t))] \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| - \sum_{t=1}^T [F(u_1(t), u_2(t)) - F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{t=1}^T [F(u_1(t), \bar{u}_2) - F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] - \sum_{t=1}^T F(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) - (\delta_1 + \delta_2)T \\ &\geq \delta_1 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \delta_2 \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| - r_1 T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| \\ &\quad - \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} T^{\frac{s_2-\mu_0}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{\mu_0} - r_3 T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \\ &\quad - \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} T^{\frac{s_3-\nu_0}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{\nu_0} - (\delta_1 + \delta_2)T - TF(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \tag{4.10} \\ &= \left[ \delta_1 - r_1 T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{1}{s_2}} \right] \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| + \left[ \delta_2 - r_3 T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{1}{s_3}} \right] \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \\ &\quad - \frac{r_2}{\mu_0} T^{\frac{s_2-\mu_0}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s'_2)]^{\frac{\mu_0}{s_2}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{\mu_0} - \frac{r_4}{\nu_0} T^{\frac{s_3-\nu_0}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s'_3)]^{\frac{\nu_0}{s_3}} \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{\nu_0} \\ &\quad - (\delta_1 + \delta_2)T - TF(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $r_1 \in \left[0, \frac{\delta_1}{T^{\frac{s_2-1}{s_2}} [C(s_2, s_2')]^{\frac{1}{s_2}}}\right)$ ,  $r_3 \in \left[0, \frac{\delta_2}{T^{\frac{s_3-1}{s_3}} [C(s_3, s_3')]^{\frac{1}{s_3}}}\right)$ , and if  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\|u\|_{[E]} \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $|\bar{u}_m| + \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_m(t)| \rightarrow \infty$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) by (2.5). If  $\mu_0 \in (0, 1)$  and  $\nu_0 \in (0, 1)$ , then by Hölder inequality we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)|^{\mu_0} \leq T^{1-\mu_0} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_1(t)| \right)^{\mu_0}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)|^{\nu_0} \leq T^{1-\nu_0} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T |\Delta u_2(t)| \right)^{\nu_0},$$

which, together with (4.10), implies that

$$\mathcal{J}(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as} \quad \|(u_1, u_2)\| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.11)$$

If  $\mu_0 = 0$  or  $\nu_0 = 0$ , from the above argument, it is easy to see that (4.11) also holds. Hence  $\mathcal{J}$  is bounded from below and (PS) condition holds. Let  $X = E$  and  $\varphi = \mathcal{J}$ . Then by Lemma 2.8, it is easy to know that  $\mathcal{J}$  has at least one critical point  $u^*$  such that

$$\mathcal{J}(u^*) = c = \inf_{u \in E} \mathcal{J}(u).$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 11301235).

## References

- [1] Y.-H. Ding, *Variational methods for strongly indefinite problems*, Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, (2007). 1
- [2] X.-L. Fan, C. Ji, *Existence of infinitely many solutions for a Neumann problem involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **334** (2007), 248–260. 1
- [3] Z.-M. Guo, J.-S. Yu, *Existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions for second-order superlinear difference equations*, Sci. China Ser. A, **46** (2003), 506–515. 1
- [4] Z.-M. Guo, J.-S. Yu, *The existence of periodic and subharmonic solutions of subquadratic second order difference equations*, J. London Math. Soc., **68** (2003), 419–430. 1
- [5] T.-S. He, W. Chen, *Periodic solutions of second order discrete convex systems involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Appl. Math. Comput., **206** (2008), 124–132. 1
- [6] X.-F. He, P. Chen, *Homoclinic solutions for second order discrete  $p$ -Laplacian systems*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2011** (2011), 16 pages. 1
- [7] Q. Jiang, C.-L. Tang, *Periodic and subharmonic solutions of a class of subquadratic second-order Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **328** (2007), 380–389. 3
- [8] C. Li, Z.-Q. Ou, C.-L. Tang, *Periodic solutions for non-autonomous second-order differential systems with  $(q, p)$ -Laplacian*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2014** (2014), 13 pages. 1
- [9] Y.-K. Li, T.-W. Zhang, *Infinitely many periodic solutions for second-order  $(p, q)$ -Laplacian differential systems*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 5215–5221. 1
- [10] X.-Y. Lin, X.-H. Tang, *Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits in discrete Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **373** (2011), 59–72. 1
- [11] J.-Q. Liu, *A generalized saddle point theorem*, J. Differential Equations, **82** (1989), 372–385. 1
- [12] W.-D. Lu, *Variational methods in differential equations*, Science Press, Beijing, China, (2002). 2.8
- [13] M.-J. Ma, Z.-M. Guo, *Homoclinic orbits and subharmonics for nonlinear second order difference equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **67** (2007), 1737–1745. 1
- [14] J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions of second order nonlinear difference systems with  $\phi$ -Laplacian: a variational approach*, Nonlinear Anal., **75** (2012), 4672–4687. 1, 1, 1, 1.1
- [15] J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions of second order Lagrangian difference systems with bounded or singular  $\phi$ -Laplacian and periodic potential*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, **6** (2013), 1065–1076. 1, 1, 1
- [16] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1989). 1, 1, 2.5

- [17] D. Paşca, C.-L. Tang, *Some existence results on periodic solutions of nonautonomous second-order differential systems with  $(q, p)$ -Laplacian*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23** (2010), 246–251. [1](#)
- [18] D. Paşca, C.-L. Tang, *Some existence results on periodic solutions of ordinary  $(q, p)$ -Laplacian systems*, J. Appl. Math. Inform., **29** (2011), 39–48. [1](#)
- [19] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1986). [1](#), [2.6](#)
- [20] B. Ricceri, *A further refinement of a three critical points theorem*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011), 7446–7454. [1](#)
- [21] M. Schechter, *Minimax systems and critical point theory*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, (2009). [1](#), [1](#)
- [22] C.-L. Tang, X.-P. Wu, *Notes on periodic solutions of subquadratic second order systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **285** (2003), 8–16. [3](#)
- [23] X.-H. Tang, X.-Y. Zhang, *Periodic solutions for second-order discrete Hamiltonian systems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **17** (2011), 1413–1430. [1](#)
- [24] Y. Wang, X.-Y. Zhang, *Multiple periodic solutions for a class of nonlinear difference systems with classical or bounded  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2014** (2014), 33 pages. [1](#), [1](#), [2.3](#), [2.4](#)
- [25] Y.-F. Xue, C.-L. Tang, *Existence of a periodic solution for subquadratic second-order discrete Hamiltonian system*, Nonlinear Anal., **67** (2007), 2072–2080. [1](#), [1](#), [1.2](#), [1.3](#)
- [26] X.-X. Yang, H.-B. Chen, *Periodic solutions for autonomous  $(q, p)$ -Laplacian system with impulsive effects*, J. Appl. Math., **2011** (2011), 19 pages. [1](#)
- [27] X.-Y. Zhang, *Notes on periodic solutions for a nonlinear discrete system involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **37** (2014), 499–510. [1](#), [2.1](#)
- [28] X.-Y. Zhang, X.-H. Tang, *Existence of solutions for a nonlinear discrete system involving the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Appl. Math., **57** (2012), 11–30.
- [29] Q.-F. Zhang, X.-H. Tang, Q.-M. Zhang, *Existence of periodic solutions for a class of discrete Hamiltonian systems*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc., **2011** (2011), 14 pages. [1](#)
- [30] X.-Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, *Homoclinic solutions for a class of nonlinear difference systems with classical  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2015** (2015), 24 pages. [1](#), [1](#)
- [31] X.-Y. Zhang, L. Wang, *Multiple periodic solutions for two classes of nonlinear difference systems involving classical  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ -Laplacian*, ArXiv, **2016** (2016), 20 pages. [1](#), [1](#)