ISSN: 2008-1898



Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications



Journal Homepage: www.tjnsa.com - www.isr-publications.com/jnsa

A note on spectral properties of a Dirac system with matrix coefficient

Yelda Aygar*, Elgiz Bairamov, Seyhmus Yardimci

University of Ankara, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 06100, Ankara, Turkey.

Communicated by M. Bohner

Abstract

In this paper, we find a polynomial-type Jost solution of a self-adjoint matrix-valued discrete Dirac system. Then we investigate analytical properties and asymptotic behavior of this Jost solution. Using the Weyl compact perturbation theorem, we prove that matrix-valued discrete Dirac system has continuous spectrum filling the segment [–2, 2]. Finally, we examine the properties of the eigenvalues of this Dirac system and we prove that it has a finite number of simple real eigenvalues. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Discrete Dirac system, spectral analysis, Jost solution, eigenvalue. 2010 MSC: 39A05, 39A70, 39A10, 47A05, 47A10.

1. Introduction

Consider the boundary value problem (BVP) consisting of the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$\begin{cases} -y'' + q(x)y = \lambda^2 y, & 0 \le x < \infty, \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

and the boundary condition where q is a real-valued function and λ is a spectral parameter. The bounded solution of (1.1) satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} y(x, \lambda) e^{-i\lambda x} = 1, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda \geqslant 0\}$$

will be denoted by $e(.,\lambda)$. The solution $e(.,\lambda)$ is called the Jost solution of (1.1). In [17], the author presented a condition depending on the function q that guaranteed $e(.,\lambda)$ has an integral representation as

$$e(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda x} + \int_{x}^{\infty} K(x,t)e^{i\lambda t} dt < \infty,$$

Email addresses: yaygar@ankara.edu.tr (Yelda Aygar), bairamov.science.ankara.edu.tr (Elgiz Bairamov), yardimci@ankara.edu.tr (Seyhmus Yardimci)

doi:10.22436/jnsa.010.04.15

^{*}Corresponding author

where the function K is defined in terms of q. Moreover, the author showed that $e(.,\lambda)$ is analytic with respect to λ in $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \lambda > 0\}$, continuous in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_+$ and satisfies

$$e(x,\lambda) = e^{i\lambda x}[1 + o(1)], \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_+, \quad x \to \infty.$$

The function $e(\lambda) := e(0,\lambda)$ is called Jost function of the BVP (1.1). The functions $e(.,\lambda)$ and $e(\lambda)$ play an important role in the solutions of direct and inverse problems of the quantum scattering theory [7, 15, 17, 18]. The Jost solutions are especially useful in the study of the spectral analysis of differential and difference operators [1, 5, 6, 14]. Therefore Jost solutions of Dirac systems, Schrödinger and discrete Sturm–Liouville equations have been obtained in [8, 11, 12]. Discrete boundary value problems have been intensively studied in the last decade. The modeling of certain linear and nonlinear problems from economics, optimal control theory and other areas of study has led to the rapid development of the theory of difference equations. Also the spectral analysis of the difference equations has been treated by various authors in connection with the classical moment problem [2, 4, 13]. The spectral theory of the difference equations have also been applied to the solution of classes of nonlinear discrete Kortevegde Vries equations and Toda lattices [19]. Let us introduce the Hilbert space $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ consisting of all vector sequences $y = \{y_n\}$, $y_n = \binom{y_n^{(1)}}{y_n^{(2)}}$, where $y_n^{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, i = 1, 2, $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ and \mathbb{C}^m is m—dimensional $(m < \infty)$ Euclidean space. In $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$, the norm and inner product are defined by

$$\begin{split} \|y\|_{\ell_{2}}^{2} &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left\| y_{n}^{(1)} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}^{2} + \left\| y_{n}^{(2)} \right\|_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}^{2} \right) < \infty, \\ \langle y, z \rangle_{\ell_{2}} &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(y_{n}^{(1)}, z_{n}^{(1)} \right)_{\mathbb{C}^{m}} + \left(y_{n}^{(2)}, z_{n}^{(2)} \right)_{\mathbb{C}^{m}} \right], \end{split}$$

where $\|.\|_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ and $(.,.)_{\mathbb{C}^m}$ denote the norm and inner product in \mathbb{C}^m , respectively. Now consider the matrix-valued discrete Dirac system

$$\begin{cases}
A_{n}y_{n+1}^{(2)} + B_{n}y_{n}^{(2)} + P_{n}y_{n}^{(1)} = \lambda y_{n}^{(1)}, \\
A_{n-1}y_{n-1}^{(1)} + B_{n}y_{n}^{(1)} + Q_{n}y_{n}^{(2)} = \lambda y_{n}^{(2)},
\end{cases} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.2)

with the boundary condition

$$y_0^{(1)} = 0, (1.3)$$

where A_n , $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and B_n , Q_n , P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are linear operators (matrices) acting in \mathbb{C}^m . Throughout the paper, we will assume that $\det A_n \neq 0$, $A_n = A_n^*$ $(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$, $\det B_n \neq 0$, $B_n = B_n^*$, $Q_n = Q_n^*$ and $P_n = P_n^*$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, where * denotes the adjoint operator. Let L denote the operator generated in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C}^{2m})$ by the BVP (1.2)-(1.3). The operator L is self-adjoint, i.e., $L = L^*$. In the following, we will assume that the matrix sequences $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\}$, $\{P_n\}$, and $\{Q_n\}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, satisfy

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n (\|I - A_n\| + \|I + B_n\| + \|P_n\| + \|Q_n\|) < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\|.\|$ and I denote the matrix norm and the identity matrix in \mathbb{C}^m , respectively. The setup of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we find a polynomial-type Jost solution of (1.2), investigate analytical properties, and asymptotic behavior of this Jost solution. In Section 3, we show that $\sigma_c(L) = [-2, 2]$, where $\sigma_c(L)$ denotes the continuous spectrum of L. Also, we prove that under the condition (1.4), the operator L has a finite number of simple real eigenvalues. To the best of our knowledge, this paper is the first one that focuses on matrix-valued discrete Dirac system including a polynomial-type Jost solution.

2. Jost solution of (1.2)

Assume $P_n \equiv Q_n \equiv 0$, $B_n \equiv -I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A_n \equiv I$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ in (1.2). Then we get

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1}^{(2)} - y_n^{(2)} = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1} \right] y_n^{(1)}, \\ y_{n-1}^{(1)} - y_n^{(1)} = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1} \right] y_n^{(2)} \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$. It is clear that

$$e_{n}\left(z\right) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n}^{\left(1\right)}\left(z\right) \\ e_{n}^{\left(2\right)}\left(z\right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -\mathrm{i} \end{pmatrix} z^{2n}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

is a solution of (2.1). Now, we will find the solution $\binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of (1.2) for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$, satisfying the condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(z\right) \\ \mathsf{G}_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(z\right) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\mathsf{I} + \mathsf{o}\left(1\right)\right] e_{\mathfrak{n}}\left(z\right), \quad |z| = 1, \ \mathfrak{n} \to \infty.$$

The solution $\binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is called the Jost solution of (1.2) for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that (1.4) holds. Then for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$ and |z| = 1, (1.2) has the solution $\binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ having the representation

$$\begin{pmatrix}
F_{n}(z) \\
G_{n}(z)
\end{pmatrix} = T_{n} \left(I + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{nm} z^{2m} \right) \begin{pmatrix} z \\ -i \end{pmatrix} z^{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N},
F_{0}(z) = T_{0}^{11} z + T_{0}^{11} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0m}^{11} z^{2m+1} - i T_{0}^{11} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0m}^{12} z^{2m},$$
(2.2)

where $K_{nm} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{nm}^{11} & K_{nm}^{12} \\ K_{nm}^{21} & K_{nm}^{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} T_n^{11} & T_n^{12} \\ T_n^{21} & T_n^{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and these are expressed in terms of $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\}$, $\{P_n\}$, and $\{Q_n\}$.

 $\textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Substituting} \ {\textstyle \binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}} \ \ \text{defined by (2.2) into (1.2) and by taking } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1} \ \ \text{for } |z| = 1 \text{, we get } \\ \lambda = -iz - (iz$

$$\begin{split} T_{n}^{12} &= 0, \\ T_{n}^{22} &= \left(\prod_{p=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-p} \, A_{p} B_{p}\right)^{-1}, \\ T_{n}^{11} &= -B_{n} \left(\prod_{p=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-p} \, A_{p} B_{p}\right)^{-1}, \\ T_{n}^{21} &= -Q_{n} T_{n}^{22} - A_{n-1} T_{n-1}^{11} \left(\sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} B_{p} Q_{p} T_{p}^{22} - \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} P_{p} T_{p}^{11}\right), \\ K_{n1}^{12} &= \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} B_{p} Q_{p} T_{p}^{22} - \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} P_{p} T_{p}^{11}, \\ K_{n1}^{11} &= \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left[-I + \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left(B_{p}^{2} T_{p}^{11} + A_{p} T_{p+1}^{22} + B_{p} Q_{p} T_{p}^{21} + B_{p} T_{p}^{22} + P_{p} T_{p}^{11} K_{p1}^{12} \right) \right], \\ K_{n1}^{22} &= \left(T_{n}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{n} T_{n}^{11} + Q_{n} T_{n}^{21} + T_{n}^{22} + A_{n-1} T_{n-1}^{11} K_{n-1,1}^{11} - T_{n}^{21} K_{n1}^{12} \right], \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{n}1}^{21} = \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22}\right)^{-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \left(\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{11} - \mathsf{I}\right) + \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left[\left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11} + \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21}\right) \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} \right] \\ & + \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22}\right)^{-1} \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{22} + \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}2}^{22}\right)^{-1} \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}-1}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}-1,1}^{12} \\ & + \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22}\right)^{-1} \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1}^{2} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} + \sum_{p=\mathsf{n}}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}+1}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}-1}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} \right] \\ + \sum_{\mathsf{p}=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{11} - \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{11} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{21} + \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{21} \right] \\ + \sum_{\mathsf{p}=\mathsf{n}+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}-1} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{12} - \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}1}^{11} \mathsf{K}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, for $m \ge 3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} K_{nm}^{12} &= -\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[P_{p}T_{p}^{11}K_{p,m-1}^{11} - B_{p}^{2}T_{p}^{11}K_{p,m-1}^{12} + B_{p}T_{p}^{21}K_{p,m-2}^{11}\right] \\ &+ \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(K_{p,m-2}^{21} - K_{p,m-1}^{12}\right) + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{p}Q_{p}^{2}T_{p}^{21}K_{p,m-2}^{11}\right] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &-\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[A_{p}T_{p+1}^{21}K_{p+1,m-2}^{11} + A_{p}T_{p+1}^{22}K_{p+1,m-2}^{21}\right] \\ &+\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{p}Q_{p}A_{p-1}T_{p-1}^{11}K_{p-1,m-1}^{11} + B_{p}Q_{p}T_{p}^{11}K_{p,m-2}^{11}\right] \\ &+\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{p}Q_{p}^{2}T_{p}^{22}K_{p,m-2}^{21} + B_{p}Q_{p}T_{p}^{21}K_{p,m-2}^{12} + B_{p}Q_{p}T_{p}^{22}K_{p,m-2}^{22}\right], \\ &K_{nm}^{11} = \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[P_{p}T_{p}^{11}K_{pm}^{12} + B_{p}^{2}T_{p}^{11}K_{p,m-1}^{11} + B_{p}Q_{p}T_{p}^{22}K_{p,m-1}^{21}\right] \\ &-\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} K_{p,m-1}^{11} + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{p}Q_{p}T_{p}^{22}K_{p,m-1}^{21}\right] \\ &+\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[A_{p}T_{p+1}^{22}K_{p+1,m-1}^{22} + A_{p}T_{p+1}^{21}K_{p+1,m-1}^{12}\right] \\ &+\sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(T_{p}^{11}\right)^{-1} \left[B_{p}T_{p}^{21}K_{p,m-1}^{12} + B_{p}T_{p}^{22}K_{p,m-1}^{22}\right], \\ &K_{nm}^{22} &= K_{n,m-1}^{22} + \left(T_{n}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[A_{n-1}T_{n-1}^{11}K_{n-1,m}^{11} - T_{n}^{21}K_{nm}^{21} + B_{n}T_{n}^{11}K_{n,m-1}^{11}\right] \\ &+ \left(T_{n}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[Q_{n}T_{n}^{21}K_{n,m-1}^{11} + Q_{n}T_{n}^{22}K_{n,m-1}^{21} + T_{n}^{21}K_{n,m-1}^{21}\right], \\ &K_{nm}^{21} &= K_{n,m-1}^{21} + \left(T_{n}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[-A_{n-1}T_{n-1}^{11}K_{n-1,m+1}^{12} - B_{n}T_{n}^{11}K_{nm}^{12}\right] \\ &+ \left(T_{n}^{22}\right)^{-1} \left[-Q_{n}T_{n}^{21}K_{nm}^{12} + T_{n}^{21}K_{n,m-1}^{11} - Q_{n}T_{n}^{22}K_{nm}^{21} - T_{n}^{21}K_{nm}^{11}\right]. \end{split}$$

By the condition (1.4), the infinite products and the series in the definition of T_n^{ij} and K_{nm}^{ij} (i, j = 1,2) are absolutely convergent. Therefore, T_n^{ij} and K_{nm}^{ij} (i, j = 1,2) can uniquely be defined by $\{A_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\{B_n\}$, $\{P_n\}$, and $\{Q_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., the system (1.2) for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$ has the solution $\binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}$ given by (2.2).

Theorem 2.2. *If the condition* (1.4) *holds, then*

$$\|K_{nm}^{ij}\| \le C \sum_{p=n+\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor}^{\infty} (\|I - A_p\| + \|I + B_p\| + \|Q_p\| + \|P_p\|), \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$
(2.3)

where $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ is the integer part of $\frac{m}{2}$ and C > 0 is a constant.

Proof. We will use the method of induction to prove the theorem. For m = 1, we get that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{n}1}^{12} \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left[\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11} \right] \right\| \leqslant \mathsf{A} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{22} - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{p}}^{11} \right\| \\ &\leqslant \mathsf{A}' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| \leqslant C \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\left\| \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| \right) \\ &\leqslant C \sum_{p=n}^{\infty} \left(\left\| \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| \right) \\ &= C \sum_{p=n+\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor}^{\infty} \left(\left\| \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{I} + \mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| + \left\| \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{p}} \right\| \right), \end{split}$$

where $A = \| \left(T_p^{11}\right)^{-1} \|$, $A' = A \|B_p\| \|T_p^{22}\|$, $C = \max\left\{1, A'\right\}$. Similar to this inequality, we can get (2.3) for K_{n1}^{11} , K_{n1}^{22} , and K_{n1}^{21} . Now, if we suppose that (2.3) is correct for m = k, then we can write

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n,k+1}^{12} \right\| &\leqslant \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{21} - \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \mathsf{K}_{p,k}^{12} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \mathsf{P}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{pk}^{11} + \mathsf{B}_{p}^{2} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{pk}^{12} - \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{11} \right\} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left\{ - \mathsf{A}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{11} - \mathsf{A}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p+1}^{22} \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{21} \right\} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{A}_{p-1} \mathsf{T}_{p-1}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{p-1,k}^{11} + \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{11} \right\} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{12} + \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{22} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{21} \right\} \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{12} + \mathsf{B}_{p} \mathsf{Q}_{p} \mathsf{T}_{p}^{22} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{22} \right\} \right\|. \end{split}$$

If we use $T_{p+1}^{22}=A_{p}T_{p}^{11}$ for last inequality, we find

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n,k+1}^{12} \right\| & \leqslant \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{21} - \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{21} \right\| + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_{p}^{2} \right) \mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{21} \right\| \\ & + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left(-\mathsf{B}_{p} - \mathsf{I} \right) \mathsf{T}_{p}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{11} \right\| + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_{p} \right) \mathsf{T}_{p+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{21} \right\| \\ & + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{11} \right)^{-1} \left(\mathsf{T}_{p}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p,k-1}^{11} - \mathsf{T}_{p+1}^{21} \mathsf{K}_{p+1,k-1}^{11} \right) \right\| \\ & + \mathsf{C}'' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{B}_{p} + \mathsf{I} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\| + \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{P}_{p} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\| \\ & + \mathsf{B} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{Q}_{p} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\| + \mathsf{D} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{Q}_{p} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\|, \end{split}$$

where $\|N_s\| = \|I - A_s\| + \|I + B_s\| + \|Q_s\| + \|P_s\|$ and C'', B, D are constants. It follows from that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n,k+1}^{12} \right\| & \leqslant \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n+1,k-1}^{21} \right\| + \mathsf{D}' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_p \right\| \sum_{s=p+1+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| + \mathsf{D}'' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{B}_p + \mathsf{I} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| \\ & + \mathsf{D}''' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_p \right\| \sum_{s=p+1+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| + \mathsf{T} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n+1,k-1}^{11} \right\| + \mathsf{C}'' \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{B}_p + \mathsf{I} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| \\ & + \left\| \mathsf{P}_p \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| + \mathsf{B} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{Q}_p \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\| + \mathsf{D} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{Q}_p \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_s \right\|, \end{split}$$

where D', D", D" and T are also constants. Using last inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n,k+1}^{12} \right\| & \leqslant C \sum_{p=n+1+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_p \| + \mathsf{T} C \sum_{p=n+1+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_p \| + \left(\mathsf{D}' + \mathsf{D}''' \right) \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A}_p \| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_s \| \\ & + \max \left\{ \mathsf{D}'', \mathsf{D} \right\} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\| \mathsf{B}_p + \mathsf{I} \| + \| \mathsf{Q}_p \| \right) \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_s \| \\ & + \max \left\{ \mathsf{C}'', 1 \right\} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\| \mathsf{B}_p + \mathsf{I} \| + \| \mathsf{P}_p \| \right) \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_s \| + \mathsf{B} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_p \| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \| \mathsf{N}_s \| \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left\| \mathsf{K}_{n,k+1}^{12} \right\| &\leqslant \mathsf{Z} \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| + \mathsf{Y} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k-2}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\| \\ &\leqslant \mathsf{Z} \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| + \mathsf{Y} \left\{ \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| \sum_{s=p+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{s} \right\| \right\} \\ &\leqslant \mathsf{Z} \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| + \mathsf{Y}' \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| \\ &\leqslant 2\mathsf{Z} \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| + \mathsf{Y}' \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\| \leqslant \mathsf{G} \sum_{p=n+\left \lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right \rfloor}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{N}_{p} \right\|, \end{split}$$

 $\text{where } C + TC = \mathsf{Z}, \, \mathsf{Y} = \mathsf{D}' + \mathsf{D}''' + \mathsf{B} + \max\left\{C'', 1\right\} + \max\left\{\mathsf{D}'', \mathsf{D}\right\}, \, \mathsf{Y}' = \mathsf{Y} \sum_{p=n+1}^{\infty} \|\mathsf{N}_p\|, \, \text{and } 2\mathsf{Z} + \mathsf{Y}' = \mathsf{G}.$ Similar to $\mathsf{K}^{12}_{n,k+1}$, we can easily obtain (2.3) for $\mathsf{K}^{11}_{n,k+1}$, $\mathsf{K}^{21}_{n,k+1}$, and $\mathsf{K}^{22}_{n,k+1}$.

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that $\binom{F_{\mathfrak{n}}(z)}{G_{\mathfrak{n}(z)}}$ $\mathfrak{n} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ has analytic continuation from

$$D_0 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} \text{ to } \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (1.4) holds. Then the Jost solution satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{\mathfrak{n}}(z) \\ \mathsf{G}_{\mathfrak{n}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\mathsf{I} + \mathsf{o}\left(1\right) \right] \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{z} \\ -\mathsf{i} \end{pmatrix} z^{2\mathfrak{n}}, \ \mathfrak{n} \to \infty \tag{2.4}$$

for $z \in D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{F}_{\mathfrak{n}} \left(z \right) \\ \mathsf{G}_{\mathfrak{n}} \left(z \right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{11} & \mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{12} \\ \mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{21} & \mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\mathfrak{m}=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}}^{11} & \mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}}^{12} \\ \mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}}^{21} & \mathsf{K}_{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} z^{2\mathfrak{m}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z^{2\mathfrak{n}+1} \\ -iz^{2\mathfrak{n}} \end{pmatrix},$$

then using (1.4), (2.3), and the definition of T_n^{ij} for i, j = 1, 2, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{n}}^{11} & \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{n}}^{12} \\ \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{n}}^{21} & \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{n}}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \to \mathsf{I}, \ \mathsf{n} \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} K_{nm}^{11} & K_{nm}^{12} \\ K_{nm}^{21} & K_{nm}^{22} \end{pmatrix} z^{2m} = o(1), \ z \in D, \ n \to \infty.$$
 (2.6)

From (2.2), (2.5), and (2.6), we find (2.4).

3. Continuous and discrete spectrum of L

Theorem 3.1. *Under the condition* (1.4), $\sigma_c(L) = [-2, 2]$.

Proof. Let L₀ denote the operator generated in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ by the difference expression

$$(l_1 y)_n := \begin{cases} y_{n+1}^{(2)} - y_n^{(2)}, \\ y_{n-1}^{(1)} - y_n^{(1)}, \end{cases}$$

with the boundary condition $y_0^{(1)} = 0$. We also define the operator J in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ by

$$\begin{split} J \begin{pmatrix} y_n^{(1)} \\ y_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} &\coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} P_n & 0 \\ 0 & Q_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_n^{(1)} \\ y_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} I + B_n & 0 \\ 0 & I + B_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_n^{(2)} \\ y_n^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} A_n - I & 0 \\ 0 & A_{n-1} - I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{n+1}^{(2)} \\ y_{n-1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (A_n - I) y_{n+1}^{(2)} + (I + B_n) y_n^{(2)} + P_n y_n^{(1)} \\ (A_{n-1} - I) y_n^{(1)} + (I + B_n) y_n^{(1)} + Q_n y_n^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

It is clear that $L_0 = L_0^*$ and $L = L_0 + J$. Since L_0 is self-adjoint, its spectrum contains its eigenvalues and continuous spectrum, but the operator L_0 has no eigenvalues. Moreover, we easily prove that $\sigma(L_0) = [-2,2]$, where $\sigma(L_0)$ shows the spectrum of the operator L_0 . So we can write that

$$\sigma(L_0) = \sigma_c(L_0) = [-2, 2].$$

Using (1.4), we also get that the operator J is compact in $\ell_2(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ [16]. By the Weyl theorem [9, p. 13] of a compact perturbation, we obtain

$$\sigma_{c}(L) = \sigma_{c}(L_{0}) = [-2, 2].$$

This completes the proof.

Since the operator L is self-adjoint, the eigenvalues of L are real. From the definition of the eigenvalues, we can write

$$\sigma_{d}\left(L\right)=\left\{\lambda\in\mathbb{R}:\lambda=-iz-\left(iz\right)^{-1}\text{, }iz\in\left(-1,0\right)\cup\left(0,1\right)\text{, }\det\mathsf{F}_{0}\left(z\right)=0\right\}\text{,}$$

where $\sigma_d(L)$ denotes the set of all eigenvalues of L.

Definition 3.2. The multiplicity of a zero of the function $\det F_0(z)$ is called the multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue of L.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that (1.4) holds. Then the operator L has a finite number of simple real eigenvalues.

Proof. To prove the theorem, we have to show that the function $\det F_0(z)$ has a finite number of simple zeros. Let z_0 be one of the zeros of $\det F_0(z)$. Hence $\det F_0(z_0) = 0$, there is a non-zero vector $\mathfrak u$ such that $F_0(z_0)\mathfrak u = 0$ [3]. As we know, $\binom{F_n(z)}{G_n(z)}$ is the Jost solution of (1.2) for $\lambda = -iz - (iz)^{-1}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases}
A_{n}G_{n+1}(z) + B_{n}G_{n}(z) + P_{n}F_{n}(z) = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1}\right]F_{n}(z), \\
A_{n-1}F_{n-1}(z) + B_{n}F_{n}(z) + Q_{n}G_{n}(z) = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1}\right]G_{n}(z).
\end{cases} (3.1)$$

Differentiating (3.1) with respect to z, we have

$$A_{n}\frac{d}{dz}G_{n+1}(z) + B_{n}\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}(z) + P_{n}\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}(z) = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1}\right]\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}(z) - i\left(1 - z^{-2}\right)F_{n}(z),$$

$$A_{n-1}\frac{d}{dz}F_{n-1}(z) + B_{n}\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}(z) + Q_{n}\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}(z) = \left[-iz - (iz)^{-1}\right]\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}(z) - i\left(1 - z^{-2}\right)G_{n}(z).$$
(3.2)

Using (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{n}+1}\left(z\right) + \left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) \\ &- \left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{n}+1}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) - \left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) \\ &= \left[-\mathsf{i}z - \left(\mathsf{i}z\right)^{-1}\right] \left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) \\ &- \overline{\left[-\mathsf{i}z - \left(\mathsf{i}z\right)^{-1}\right]} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) + \overline{\mathsf{i}\left(1 - z^{-2}\right)}\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}^{*}\left(z\right)\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{n}}\left(z\right) \end{split} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}A_{n-1}F_{n-1}\left(z\right)+\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}B_{n}F_{n}\left(z\right) \\ &-\left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{n-1}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}A_{n-1}G_{n}\left(z\right)-\left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}B_{n}G_{n}\left(z\right) \\ &=\left[-iz-\left(iz\right)^{-1}\right]\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}G_{n}\left(z\right) \\ &-\overline{\left[-iz-\left(iz\right)^{-1}\right]}\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}G_{n}\left(z\right)+\overline{i\left(1-z^{-2}\right)}G_{n}^{*}\left(z\right)G_{n}\left(z\right). \end{split} \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.3) and (3.4), we get

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{1}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}A_{0}F_{0}\left(z\right)-\left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{0}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}A_{0}G_{1}\left(z\right) \\ &=\left[-iz-(iz)^{-1}\right]\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}F_{n}\left(z\right)+\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right] \\ &-\overline{\left(iz-(iz)^{-1}\right)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}F_{n}\left(z\right)+\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right)^{*}G_{n}\left(z\right)\right] \\ &+i\overline{\left(1-z^{-2}\right)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[F_{n}^{*}\left(z\right)F_{n}\left(z\right)+G_{n}^{*}\left(z\right)G_{n}\left(z\right)\right]. \end{split} \tag{3.5}$$

If we write (3.5) for $z = z_0$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}G_{1}(z_{0})\right)^{*}A_{0}F_{0}(z_{0}) - \left(\frac{d}{dz}F_{0}(z_{0})\right)^{*}A_{0}G_{1}(z_{0})$$

$$= -i\left(1 - \overline{z_{0}^{-2}}\right)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[F_{n}^{*}(z_{0})F_{n}(z_{0}) + G_{n}^{*}(z_{0})G_{n}(z_{0})\right]$$
(3.6)

using $iz_0 \in (-1,0) \cup (0,1)$. Then if we multiply (3.6) with the vector $\mathfrak u$ on the right side $(\mathfrak u \in \ell_2(\mathbb N,\mathbb C^{2m}))$, $(\mathfrak u \neq 0)$, we get

$$\left\langle A_0 G_1(z_0) u, \frac{d}{dz} F_0(z_0) u \right\rangle = \left(i - \frac{i}{(iz_0)^2} \right) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|F_n(z_0) u\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|G_n(z_0) u\|^2 \right\}.$$

Since $iz_0 \neq 0$ and $iz_0 \neq 1$, we can write $i - \frac{i}{(iz_0)^2} \neq 0$. Also we can write $||F_n(z_0)u|| \neq 0$ and $||G_n(z_0)u|| \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so

$$\left\langle A_0G_1(z_0) u, \frac{d}{dz}F_0(z_0) u \right\rangle \neq 0.$$

This shows that $\frac{d}{dz}F_0(z_0)u \neq 0$, that is, all zeros of det $F_0(z)$ are simple. To complete the proof of theorem, we have to show that the function det $F_0(z)$ has a finite number of zeros. Let us take the function

$$M(z) = z^{-1} (T_0^{11})^{-1} F_0(z) = I + A(z),$$

where $A(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0m}^{11} z^{2m} - i \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0m}^{12} z^{2m-1}$. Since A(z) is matrix-valued analytic function on D, the

function M has inverse on the boundary of D [10, Theorem 5.1], i.e., the set of limit points of the set of zeros of

$$\det F_0(z) = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

is empty. Therefore, the set of zeros of (3.7) in D is finite, i.e., the operator L has a finite number of eigenvalues.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the reviewers for their contributions.

References

- [1] M. Adıvar, E. Bairamov, Spectral properties of non-selfadjoint difference operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 261 (2001), 461–478 1
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, P. J. Y. Wong, *Advanced topics in difference equations*, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, (1997). 1
- [3] Z. S. Agranovich, V. A. Marchenko, *The inverse problem of scattering theory*, Translated from the Russian by B. D. Seckler, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York-London, (1963). 3
- [4] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Translated by N. Kemmer, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, (1965). 1
- [5] E. Bairamov, A. O. Çelebi, Spectrum and spectral expansion for the non-selfadjoint discrete Dirac operators, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser., 50 (1999), 371–384.
- [6] E. Bairamov, C. Coskun, Jost solutions and the spectrum of the system of difference equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 17 (2004), 1039–1045.
- [7] K. Chadan, P. C. Sabatier, *Inverse problems in quantum scattering theory*, Second edition, With a foreword by R. G. Newton, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1989). 1
- [8] M. G. Gasymov, B. M. Levitan, Determination of the Dirac system from the scattering phase, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 167 (1966), 1219–1222. 1
- [9] I. M. Glazman, Direct methods of qualitative spectral analysis of singular differential operators, Translated from the Russian by the IPST staff Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 1965; Daniel Davey & Co., Inc., New York, (1966). 3
- [10] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Kreĭn, Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators, Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., (1969).
- [11] G. Š. Guseĭnov, The inverse problem of scattering theory for a second order difference equation on the whole real line, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 230 (1976), 1045–1048. 1
- [12] M. Jaulent, C. Jean, The inverse s-wave scattering problem for a class of potentials depending on energy, Comm. Math. Phys., 28 (1972), 177–220. 1
- [13] W. G. Kelley, A. C. Peterson, *Difference equations*, An introduction with applications, Second edition, Harcourt/Academic Press, San Diego, CA, (2001). 1
- [14] A. M. Krall, E. Bairamov, Ö. Çakar, Spectrum and spectral singularities of a quadratic pencil of a Schrdinger operator with a general boundary condition, J. Differential Equations, 151 (1999), 252–267. 1
- [15] B. M. Levitan, Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems, Translated from the Russian by O. Efimov, VSP, Zeist, (1987). 1
- [16] L. A. Lusternik, V. J. Sobolev, *Elements of functional analysis*, Authorised third translation from second extensively enlarged and rewritten Russian edition, International Monographs on Advanced Mathematics & Physics, Hindustan Publishing Corp., Delhi; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York, (1974). 3
- [17] V. A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville operators and applications, Translated from the Russian by A. Iacob, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1986). 1
- [18] S. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskiĭ, V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of solitons*, The inverse scattering method, Translated from the Russian, Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultants Bureau [Plenum], New York, (1981).

[19] M. Toda, *Theory of nonlinear lattices*, Translated from the Japanese by the author, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1981). 1