



Global existence and attractors for the two-dimensional Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau equations

Changhong Guo^a, Shaomei Fang^{b,*}

^aSchool of Management, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510520, P. R. China.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510640, P. R. China.

Communicated by G. Marino

Abstract

This paper investigates the periodic initial value problem for the two-dimensional Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau (2D Burgers-GL) equations, which can be derived from the so-called modulated modulation equations (MME) that govern the dynamics of the modulated amplitudes of some periodic critical modes. The well-posedness of the solutions and the global attractors for the 2D Burgers-GL equations are obtained via delicate a priori estimates, the Galerkin method, and operator semigroup method. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: 2D Burgers-GL equations, well-posedness, global attractors, a priori estimates.
2010 MSC: 35D35, 35B41, 35Q56.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following two-dimensional Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau (2D Burgers-GL) equations

$$v_{1t} = \alpha v_{1xx} + \alpha v_{1yy} + \beta v_1 v_{1x} + \beta v_2 v_{1y} + \gamma (|A|^2)_x, \quad (1.1)$$

$$v_{2x} = v_{1y}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$A_t = \mu_0 A + (\mu_1 + i\mu_2)(A_{xx} + A_{yy}) + s_1(v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}A - (s_2|A|^2 + s_3(v_1^2 + v_2^2))A, \quad (1.3)$$

where the velocity components $v_1 = v_1(x, y, t)$ and $v_2 = v_2(x, y, t)$ are real-valued functions, and $A = A(x, y, t)$ is the complex-valued function. $(x, y) \in \Omega$, Ω is a bounded domain in two-dimensional real Euclidean space. The coefficients $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu_0, \mu_1$, and μ_2 are real constants, while s_1, s_2 , and s_3 are complex constants. Similar to the derivation by the Cole-Hopf transformation in [17], the 2D Burgers-GL equations (1.1)-(1.3) can be rewritten in another coupled form as

$$v_t = \alpha \Delta v + \beta (v \cdot \nabla) v + \gamma \nabla (|A|^2), \quad (1.4)$$

$$A_t = \mu_0 A + (\mu_1 + i\mu_2) \Delta A + s_1 |v| A - (s_2 |A|^2 + s_3 |v|^2) A, \quad (1.5)$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: cmchguo@gdut.edu.cn (Changhong Guo), fangsm90@163.com (Shaomei Fang)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.06.27](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.06.27)

Received 2017-02-06

where $v = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $|v| = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$.

The Burgers-GL equations (1.4)-(1.5) in one-dimensional can be derived from the so-called modulated modulation equations (MME) deduced by Harten [19]. In his study of the Ginzburg-Landau equation as a modulation equation for the amplitude of a periodic critical mode in various applications, he found that there is the less well-known possibility of an instability of non-sideband type for the family of periodic solution of the Ginzburg-Landau equation besides the Eckhaus' instability. And then he deduced three so-called MME under the different coefficients of the original Ginzburg-Landau equation which consist of the critical mode(s) with an amplitude modulated in space and time. One of the three MMEs is a real gradient system of Kuramoto-Shivashinsky type derived with multiple scaling techniques [14]. Another is a perturbed Korteweg-de-Vries derived for an Eckhaus' instability by Bernoff [3]. The last one seems to be a new result and has the form of Burgers equation coupled to the Ginzburg-Landau equation, which is the Burgers-GL equations (1.4)-(1.5) in one-dimensional.

If there is no coupling term with A in Eq. (1.4), then Eq. (1.4) would be the well-known two-dimensional Burgers equations [17], which is transformed by the Cole-Hopf transformation and is an integrable generalization of the well-known Burgers equation [4]. Some researches have been done in physical study and the mathematical analysis of the two-dimensional Burgers equations, such as the stationary solutions [13], the exact solutions [1], the numerical solutions [2, 20], and so on. Meanwhile, if there is no coupling terms with v in Eq. (1.5), the Eq. (1.5) reduces to the well-known CGL equation, which is considered as the generic modulation equation near the onset of instabilities in non-equilibrium fluid dynamical systems, as well as in the theory of phase transitions and superconductivity [15, 16]. For some other results involved with the CGL equation, see [6, 7] and reference therein. However, little progress has been obtained for the coupled Burgers-GL equations (1.4)-(1.5). Since Guo and Huang studied the well-posedness and global attractors for one-dimensional Burgers-GL equations in [8] and [9]. Afterwards Huang continued to study the one-dimensional Burgers-GL equations in discrete version by the finite difference method in spatial direction [11] and that with non-homogeneous term by the Leray-Schauder fixed point theorem [12]. Subsequent to previous work in one-dimension Burgers-GL equations, in this paper we are further going to consider the 2D Burgers-GL equations (1.1)-(1.3), with the periodic boundary conditions

$$v(x + L, y, t) = v(x, y, t), \quad v(x, y + L, t) = v(x, y, t), \quad (1.6)$$

$$A(x + L, y, t) = A(x, y, t), \quad A(x, y + L, t) = A(x, y, t), \quad (1.7)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x, t) dx = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.8)$$

and the initial conditions

$$v(x, y, 0) = v_0(x, y), \quad A(x, y, 0) = A_0(x, y), \quad (1.9)$$

where $L > 0$ is the period, and $v_0(x, y)$ and $A_0(x, y)$ are given functions.

In what follows, we are going to study the well-posedness and global attractors for the periodic initial value problem via delicate a priori estimates and operator semigroup method. In our argument, we set $s_2 = s_{2r} + is_{2i}$, where s_{2r} and s_{2i} are the real part and imaginary part of s_2 , respectively. And we make some basic assumptions as

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \mu_0 > 0, \quad \mu_1 > 0, \quad s_{2r} > 0, \quad |s_{2i}| < \sqrt{3}s_{2r}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s_3) > 0. \quad (1.10)$$

The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly give some notations and preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish a priori estimates for the solutions of the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). In Section 4, the well-posedness for the 2D Burgers-GL equations are obtained via the Galerkin method and so-called continuity method. In the last Section 5, the existence of the global attractors are obtained by constructing the uniform a priori estimates in time.

2. Notations and preliminaries

For the mathematical setting, we introduce several function spaces and notations. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}^p(\Omega) &= \{v = \{v_1, v_2\} | v_1 \in L^p(\Omega), v_2 \in L^p(\Omega)\}, \\ \mathbf{W}^{k,p}(\Omega) &= \{v = \{v_1, v_2\} | v_1 \in W^{k,p}(\Omega), v_2 \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) are the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, respectively. When $p = 2$, we denote $\mathbf{L}^2 = \mathbf{L}^p(\Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}^k = \mathbf{W}^{k,2}(\Omega)$ for simplicity. These two spaces are equipped with the following inner products and norms:

$$\begin{aligned} (v, u) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 (v_i, u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Omega} v_i u_i dx, \\ \|v\|^2 &= (v, v), \quad \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^k} = \left(\sum_{|l| \leq k} \|D^l v\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, we introduce complex Sobolev spaces. In general, we denote by $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \dots$, the complexified space of a function X, Y, \dots . For example, \mathcal{L}^2 and \mathcal{H}^k are the complexified spaces of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^k(\Omega)$, respectively. If $A \in \mathcal{L}^2, B \in \mathcal{L}^2$, we define

$$(A, B) = \int_{\Omega} A \bar{B} dx, \quad \|A\|^2 = (A, A), \quad \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^k} = \left(\sum_{|l| \leq k} \|D^l A\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where \bar{B} denotes the complex conjugate of B . Furthermore, X_{per} denotes the set of all periodic functions that are contained in the space X .

Without any ambiguity, we denote a generic positive constant by C which may vary from line to line.

Lemma 2.1 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, [5]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain with $\partial\Omega$ in C^m , and let u be any function in $W^{m,r}(\Omega) \cap L^q(\Omega)$, $1 \leq q, r \leq \infty$. For any integer j , $0 \leq j < m$, and for any number α in the interval $j/m \leq \alpha \leq 1$, set*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + \alpha \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{n} \right) + (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{q}.$$

If $m - j - n/r$ is not a nonnegative integer, then

$$\|D^j u\|_{L^p} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{m,r}}^{\alpha} \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}. \quad (2.1)$$

If $m - j - n/r$ is a nonnegative integer, then (2.1) holds for $\alpha = j/m$. The constant C depends only on Ω, r, q, j, α .

In the sequel, we will use the following inequalities for two-dimensional equations as the specific cases of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^j u\|_{L^{\infty}} &\leq C \|u\|_{H^m}^{\alpha} \|u\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad m\alpha = j + 1, \\ \|D^j u\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|u\|_{H^m}^{\alpha} \|u\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad m\alpha = j, \\ \|D^j u\|_{L^4} &\leq C \|u\|_{H^m}^{\alpha} \|u\|^{1-\alpha}, \quad m\alpha = j + 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 (The uniform Gronwall lemma, [18]). *Let g, h, y be three positive locally integrable functions on $[t_0, \infty)$, such that y' is locally integrable on $[t_0, \infty)$, and which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dt} &\leq gy + h, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \\ \int_t^{t+r} g(s) ds &\leq a_1, \quad \int_t^{t+r} h(s) ds \leq a_2, \quad \int_t^{t+r} y(s) ds \leq a_3, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

where r, a_1, a_2, a_3 are positive constants. Then

$$y(t+r) \leq \left(\frac{a_3}{r} + a_2\right) \exp(a_1), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

3. A priori estimates

In this section, we derive some a priori estimates for the solutions of the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly we have

Lemma 3.1. Assume $v_0(x) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$, $A_0(x) \in L^2_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$, and the assumptions (1.10) hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have

$$\|v\|^2 \leq \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} \|A_0\|^2\right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha \theta s_{2r}} (1 - e^{-\theta t}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\|A\|^2 \leq \|A_0\|^2 e^{-t} + C_2 (1 - e^{-t}), \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\|v\|^2 + \|A\|^2) \leq \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha \theta s_{2r}} + C_2 = \rho_0^2.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla v\|^2 ds \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha^2 C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} \|A_0\|^2\right) + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha^2 \theta s_{2r}}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla A\|^2 ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{1}{C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2) \quad (3.4)$$

for all $r > 0$, where θ, C_2 , and C_3 are positive constants depending on the known parameters.

Proof. Multiplying (1.5) by \bar{A} , integrating with respect to x over Ω and taking the real part, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|A\|^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla A\|^2 + s_{2r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx = \mu_0 \|A\|^2 + \text{Re}(s_1) \int_{\Omega} |v| |A|^2 dx. \quad (3.5)$$

According to the Hölder's inequality and Yong's inequality with ε , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \|A\|^2 + \text{Re}(s_1) \int_{\Omega} |v| |A|^2 dx &\leq \mu_0 \|A\|^2 + |s_1| \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx + \left(\frac{|s_1|^2}{2 \text{Re}(s_3)} + \mu_0\right) \|A\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx + C_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depending on μ_0, s_1, s_2, s_3 , and $|\Omega|$, the two-dimensional measure of Ω . Combining (3.5) and (3.6) together yields that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|A\|^2 + 2\mu_1 \|\nabla A\|^2 + s_{2r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx \leq C_1.$$

Noticing that $\|A\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx + |\Omega|/2s_{2r}$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|A\|^2 + \|A\|^2 + 2\mu_1 \|\nabla A\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx + \text{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx \leq C_1 + \frac{|\Omega|}{4s_{2r}} = C_2. \quad (3.7)$$

By the Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|A\|^2 \leq \|A_0\|^2 e^{-t} + C_2(1 - e^{-t}), \quad (3.8)$$

which concludes (3.2).

Next, we take the inner product of (1.4) with v in $L^2(\Omega)$ to have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + \alpha \|\nabla v\|^2 = \beta b(v, v, v) + \gamma \int_{\Omega} \nabla(|A|^2)v dx, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$b(u, v, w) = \int_{\Omega} (u_1 v_{1x} w_1 + u_2 v_{1y} w_1 + u_1 v_{2x} w_2 + u_2 v_{2y} w_2) dx dy \quad (3.10)$$

for $u = \{u_1, u_2\}$, $v = \{v_1, v_2\}$, and $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$, whenever the integrals make sense. Obviously, there holds that $b(v, v, w) = ((v \cdot \nabla)v, w)$. Actually, the form b is trilinear continuous on $\mathbf{H}^1(\Omega)$. Generally, we have the following inequalities giving various continuity properties of $b(u, v, w)$ [18]

$$|b(u, v, w)| \leq C_b \times \begin{cases} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|, \\ \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\| \|w\|, \\ \|u\| \|\nabla v\| \|w\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta w\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\| \|w\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where $C_b > 0$ is an appropriate constant.

First, according to the integration by parts and the Eq. (1.2), there holds

$$b(v, v, v) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Second, we have

$$\gamma \int_{\Omega} \nabla(|A|^2)v dx \leq |\gamma| \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 |\nabla v| dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \|\nabla v\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx. \quad (3.13)$$

Substituting (3.12) and (3.13) into (3.9), it follows

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v\|^2 + \alpha \|\nabla v\|^2 \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx. \quad (3.14)$$

Under the condition $\int_{\Omega} v dx = 0$, we have $\|v\| \leq C_* \|\nabla v\|$ from the Poincaré's inequality. Then from (3.14) and multiplying $\frac{1}{2} s_{2r}$ on both sides, there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \|v\|^2 \right) + \frac{\alpha s_{2r}}{2C_*^2} \|v\|^2 \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 s_{2r}}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx. \quad (3.15)$$

Meanwhile from (3.7), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \|A\|^2 \right) + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \|A\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2 s_{2r}}{2\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha}. \quad (3.16)$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16) together yields that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \|v\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \|A\|^2 \right) + \theta \left(\frac{1}{2} s_{2r} \|v\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \|A\|^2 \right) \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha},$$

where $\theta = \min\{\frac{\alpha}{C_*^2}, 1\} > 0$. By the Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$\|v\|^2 \leq \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} \|A_0\|^2 \right) e^{-\theta t} + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha \theta s_{2r}} (1 - e^{-\theta t}), \quad (3.17)$$

which implies (3.1). Thus from (3.8) and (3.17), we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\|v\|^2 + \|A\|^2) \leq \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha \theta s_{2r}} + C_2 = \rho_0^2, \tag{3.18}$$

We consider the space E_0 normed by $\|\psi\|_{E_0} = \{\|v\|^2 + \|A\|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, for all $\psi = \{v, A\}$. Thus we deduce from (3.8), (3.17), and (3.18) that the balls $B_{E_0}(0, \rho)$ of E_0 centered at 0 of radius $\rho > \rho_0$ are positively invariants and are absorbing in E_0 for the semigroup $S(t)$. We choose $\rho'_0 > \rho_0$ and denote by \mathcal{B}_0 the ball $B_{E_0}(0, \rho'_0)$. Any set \mathcal{B} bounded in E_0 is included in a ball $B(0, R)$ of E_0 . Then there holds $S(t)\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ for $t > t_0(\mathcal{B}, \rho'_0)$, where

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{\min\{1, \theta\}} \ln \frac{2R^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} R^2}{(\rho'_0)^2 - \rho_0^2}. \tag{3.19}$$

Finally, we infer from (3.7) and (3.8), after integration in t , that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla A\|^2 ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx ds + \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |A|^2 dx ds &\leq \frac{1}{C_3} \left(\int_t^{t+r} C_2 ds + \|A(t)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where $C_3 = \min\{2\mu_1, \frac{1}{2}s_{2r}, \text{Re}(s_3)\}$. This concludes (3.4). Meanwhile, integrating (3.14) in t and combining (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla v\|^2 ds &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha} \int_t^{t+r} \int_{\Omega} |A|^4 dx ds + \|v(t)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha^2 C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} \|A_0\|^2 \right) + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha^2 \theta s_{2r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes (3.3). Thus the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed. □

Lemma 3.2. Assume $v_0(x) \in \mathbf{H}^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$, $A_0(x) \in \mathcal{H}^1_{\text{per}}(\Omega)$, and the conditions in Lemma 3.1 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have

$$\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{r} + \alpha_2 \right) e^{\alpha_1}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 + r, \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where α_1, α_2 , and α_3 are positive constants.

Proof. Taking the inner product of (1.4) with $-\Delta v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v\|^2 + \alpha \|\Delta v\|^2 = -\beta b(v, v, \Delta v) - \gamma (\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta v). \tag{3.21}$$

Multiplying (1.5) by $-\Delta \bar{A}$, integrating with respect to x over Ω and taking the real part, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla A\|^2 + \mu_1 \|\Delta A\|^2 &= \mu_0 \|\nabla A\|^2 - \text{Re} \left(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) + \text{Re} \left((s_{2r} + i s_{2i}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \text{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Adding (3.21) and (3.22) together yields that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2) + 2\alpha \|\Delta v\|^2 + 2\mu_1 \|\Delta A\|^2 \\ &= 2\mu_0 \|\nabla A\|^2 - 2\beta b(v, v, \Delta v) - 2\gamma (\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta v) - 2\text{Re} \left(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) \\ &\quad + 2\text{Re} \left((s_{2r} + i s_{2i}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) + 2\text{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Now we need to majorize the right hand side of (3.23). Based on the results in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$2\mu_0 \|\nabla A\|^2 \leq 2\mu_0 C \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{\mu_1}{4} \|\Delta A\|^2 + C. \quad (3.24)$$

From the property of $b(u, v, w)$ in (3.11), we obtain

$$|-2\beta b(v, v, \Delta v)| \leq 2|\beta| C_b \|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\| \|\Delta v\|^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\Delta v\|^2 + C_4 \|\nabla v\|^4. \quad (3.25)$$

According to the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |-2\gamma(\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta v)| &\leq 4|\gamma| \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \|\nabla A\| \|\Delta v\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\Delta v\|^2 + 16|\gamma|^2 C \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \|A\| \|\nabla A\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \|\Delta A\|^2 + C_5 \|\nabla A\|^4, \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| -2\operatorname{Re} \left(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) \right| &\leq 2|s_1| \|v\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \|A\| \|\Delta A\| \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_1}{4} \|\Delta A\|^2 + 4|s_1|^2 C \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^2} \|v\| \|A\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \|\Delta A\|^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

While by virtue of an inequality in [10] and under the condition $|s_{2i}| < \sqrt{3}s_{2r}$, we know that

$$2\operatorname{Re} \left((s_{2r} + is_{2i}) \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) \leq 0. \quad (3.28)$$

For the last term in (3.23), we handle it as follows since $\operatorname{Re}(s_3) > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\operatorname{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta \bar{A} dx \right) &= -2\operatorname{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |\nabla A|^2 dx - 2\operatorname{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} \nabla(|v|^2) A \nabla A dx \right) \\ &\leq -2\operatorname{Re}(s_3) \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 |\nabla A|^2 dx + 4|s_3| \int_{\Omega} |v| |\nabla v| |A| |\nabla A| dx \\ &\leq 4|s_3| \|v\|_{\mathbf{L}^\infty} \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty} \|\nabla v\| \|\nabla A\| \\ &\leq 4|s_3| C \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla v\| \|\nabla A\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{4} \|\Delta A\|^2 + C_6 \|\nabla v\|^4 + C_7 \|\nabla A\|^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Combining (3.23)-(3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2) + \alpha \|\Delta v\|^2 + \mu_1 \|\Delta A\|^2 &\leq (C_4 + C_6) \|\nabla v\|^4 + (C_5 + C_7) \|\nabla A\|^4 + C_8 \\ &\leq C_9 (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2)^2 + C_8, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where $C_9 = C_4 + C_5 + C_6 + C_7$ and C_8 are positive constants depending on the known parameters and $\|v_0\|, \|A_0\|$.

A priori estimates of v in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbf{H}^1(\Omega))$ and A in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega))$, for all $T > 0$, follow easily from (3.30) by application of the classical Gronwall lemma, using the previous estimates. We are more interested in estimates valid for large t , then we apply the uniform Gronwall lemma (Lemma 2.2) to (3.30) with y, g, h replaced by

$$\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2, \quad C_9 (\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2), \quad C_8.$$

Thanks to the estimates in Lemma 3.1, we estimate the quantities a_1, a_2, a_3 in Lemma 2.2 by $a_1 = C_9 a_3$, $a_2 = C_8 r$, and $a_3 = \frac{|\gamma|^2}{\alpha^2 C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\|v_0\|^2 + \frac{|\gamma|^2}{s_{2r}} \|A_0\|^2 \right) + \frac{2|\gamma|^2 C_2}{\alpha^2 \theta s_{2r}} + \frac{1}{C_3} (C_2 r + \|A_0\|^2 + C_2)$. Then we obtain

$$\|\nabla v\|^2 + \|\nabla A\|^2 \leq \left(\frac{a_3}{r} + a_2 \right) e^{a_1}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 + r,$$

and t_0 as in (3.19). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

Lemma 3.3. Assume $v_0(x) \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega), A_0(x) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$, and the conditions in Lemma 3.2 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have

$$\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2 \leq (\|\Delta v_0\|^2 + \|\Delta A_0\|^2) e^{-t} + C(1 - e^{-t}), \tag{3.31}$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 ds + \int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 ds \leq C, \tag{3.32}$$

where C is a positive constant.

Proof. We take the inner product of (1.4) with $\Delta^2 v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ to have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta v\|^2 + \alpha \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 = \beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v) + \gamma (\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta^2 v). \tag{3.33}$$

Multiplying (1.5) by $\Delta^2 \bar{A}$, integrating with respect to x over Ω and taking the real part, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta A\|^2 + \mu_1 \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 &= \mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 + \text{Re} \left(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right) - \text{Re} \left(s_2 \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right) \\ &\quad - \text{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Adding (3.33) and (3.34) together yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2) + 2\alpha \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + 2\mu_1 \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \\ = 2\mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 + 2\beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v) + 2\gamma (\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta^2 v) \\ + 2\text{Re} \left(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v| A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right) - 2\text{Re} \left(s_2 \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right) - 2\text{Re} \left(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

From Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and previous lemmas, there holds

$$2\mu_0 \|\Delta A\|^2 \leq 2\mu_0 C \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|A\|^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \frac{\mu_1}{5} \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C. \tag{3.36}$$

While according the definition (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |-2\beta b(v, v, \Delta^2 v)| &= \left| -2\beta \int_{\Omega} (v_1 v_{1x} \Delta^2 v_1 + v_2 v_{1y} \Delta^2 v_1 + v_1 v_{2x} \Delta^2 v_2 + v_2 v_{2y} \Delta^2 v_2) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^2 + |v| |\Delta v|) |\nabla \Delta v| dx \\ &\leq C (\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{L^4} \|\Delta v\|_{L^4}) \|\nabla \Delta v\| \\ &\leq C (\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^3}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|v\|^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^3}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|v\|^{\frac{1}{6}}) \|\nabla \Delta v\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + C. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

In the same way, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and previous results, we obtain the following

estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 |2\gamma(\nabla(|A|^2), \Delta^2 v)| &\leq 4|\gamma| \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta A||A| + |\nabla A|^2)|\nabla \Delta v| dx \\
 &\leq 4|\gamma|(\|\Delta A\|_{\mathcal{L}^4}\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^4} + \|\nabla A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\|\nabla A\|)\|\nabla \Delta v\| \\
 &\leq C(\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^5\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^6\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^{\frac{2}{3}}\|A\|^{\frac{1}{3}}\|\nabla A\|)\|\nabla \Delta v\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{5}\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |2\text{Re}(s_1 \int_{\Omega} |v|A\Delta^2 \bar{A} dx)| &\leq 2|s_1|(\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\|\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\|\nabla A\|)\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq 2|s_1|C\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|A\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\|\nabla v\|\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\quad + 2|s_1|C\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^3}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|v\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\|\nabla A\|\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{5}\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |-2\text{Re}(s_2 \int_{\Omega} |A|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx)| &\leq 6|s_2|\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}^2\|\nabla A\|\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq 6|s_2|C\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^{\frac{2}{3}}\|A\|^{\frac{4}{3}}\|\nabla A\|\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\mu_1}{5}\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

It is easy to handle the last term as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 |-2\text{Re}(s_3 \int_{\Omega} |v|^2 A \Delta^2 \bar{A} dx)| &\leq 2|s_3|(2\|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}\|\nabla v\| + \|v\|_{\mathcal{L}^\infty}^2\|\nabla A\|)\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq C(\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^3}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|v\|^{\frac{2}{3}}\|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^3}^{\frac{1}{3}}\|A\|^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^3}^{\frac{2}{3}}\|v\|^{\frac{4}{3}})\|\nabla \Delta A\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4}\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{5}\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Then substituting (3.36)-(3.41) into (3.35), there arrives

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2) + \alpha\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \mu_1\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \leq C. \tag{3.42}$$

Noticing that $\|\Delta v\|^2 \leq \alpha\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + C$ and $\|\Delta A\|^2 \leq \mu_1\|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 + C$, thus there holds

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2) + \|\Delta v\|^2 + \|\Delta A\|^2 \leq C.$$

Applying the Gronwall's inequality concludes (3.31). Finally integrating in t in (3.42), we have (3.32). Thus the proof of Lemma 3.3 is completed. □

Generally based on the results of the previous lemmas and the mathematical deduction, we have the following lemma for problem (1.4)-(1.9).

Lemma 3.4. *Assume $v_0(x) \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega)$, $A_0(x) \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega)$ ($k \geq 3$), and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have*

$$\|v\|_{\mathbf{H}^k}^2 + \|A\|_{\mathcal{H}^k}^2 \leq C,$$

where C is a positive constant depending on the known parameters and $\|v_0\|_{\mathbf{H}^k}$, $\|A_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^k}$.

4. The local solutions and global solutions

In this section, we will obtain the existence and uniqueness of the local solutions and global solutions for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly, we adopt the Galerkin method to construct the approximate solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9). Let $\omega_j(x)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) be the unit eigenfunctions satisfying the equation

$$\Delta \omega_j + \lambda_j \omega_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \omega_j \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^4(\Omega),$$

with periodicity $\omega_j(x) = \omega_j(x + Le_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) and λ_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) is the corresponding eigenvalues different from each other $\{\omega_j(x)\}$ consists of the orthogonal base in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus the approximate solutions can be written as

$$v_m(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{jm}(t)\omega_j(x), \quad A_m(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m h_{jm}(t)\omega_j(x).$$

According to the Galerkin method, these undetermined coefficients $g_{jm}(t)$ and $h_{jm}(t)$ have to satisfy the following initial value problem of a system of the ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} (v_{mt}, \omega_j) &= \alpha(\Delta v_m, \omega_j) + \beta((v_m \cdot \nabla)v_m, \omega_j) + \gamma(\nabla(|A_m|^2), \omega_j), \\ (A_{mt}, \omega_j) &= \mu_0(A_m, \omega_j) + (\mu_1 + i\mu_2)(\Delta A_m, \omega_j) + s_1(|v_m|A_m, \omega_j) \\ &\quad - s_2(|A_m|^2 A_m, \omega_j) - s_3(|v_m|^2 A_m, \omega_j), \end{aligned}$$

with initial conditions

$$v_m(x, 0) = v_{0m}(x), \quad A_m(x, 0) = A_{0m}(x),$$

where $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

We assume that

$$v_{0m}(x) \xrightarrow{H_{per}^2(\Omega)} v_0(x), \quad A_{0m}(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)} A_0(x), \quad m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similar to the proof of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we can establish the estimates of the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9) which are uniform for m . By using the compact principle, we can prove the following.

Theorem 4.1 (Local existence). *Assume that $v_0(x) \in H_{per}^2(\Omega)$, $A_0(x) \in \mathcal{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)$, and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9) possesses the periodic local solutions $v(x, t)$ and $A(x, t)$, which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, t_0; H_{per}^2(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, t_0; L_{per}^2(\Omega)), \\ A(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, t_0; \mathcal{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, t_0; \mathcal{H}_{per}^1(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

where t_0 depends on $\|v_0(x)\|_{H_{per}^2}$ and $\|A_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{per}^2}$.

Theorem 4.2 (Global existence and uniqueness). *Suppose the conditions of Theorem 4.1 fulfill. Then there exist unique global solutions $v(x, t)$ and $A(x, t)$, which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_{per}^2(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L_{per}^2(\Omega)), \\ A(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}_{per}^2(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{L}_{per}^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9).

Proof. From Theorem 4.1 we know that the local solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9) exist and t_0 depends on $\|v_0(x)\|_{H_{per}^2}$ and $\|A_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{per}^2}$. According to the priori estimates in Section 3 and by the so-called continuity method, we can obtain the global solutions for the problem (1.4)-(1.9) easily. □

More generally, we have the following existence and uniqueness theorems of the global smooth solutions from Lemma 3.4.

Theorem 4.3 (Existence and uniqueness for global smooth solutions). *Suppose that $v_0(x) \in H_{per}^k(\Omega)$, $A_0(x) \in \mathcal{H}_{per}^k(\Omega)$ ($k \geq 3$) and the conditions (1.10) hold. Then there exist unique global smooth solutions $v(x, t)$ and $A(x, t)$, which satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_{per}^k(\Omega)), \quad v_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; H_{per}^{k-2}(\Omega)), \\ A(x, t) &\in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}_{per}^k(\Omega)), \quad A_t(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}_{per}^{k-2}(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

for the periodic initial value problem (1.4)-(1.9).

5. The existence of global attractor

In this section, we construct the global attractor for the problem (1.4)-(1.9). We first note that by Theorem 4.2, there exists a dynamical system $S(t)$ ($t \geq 0$) which maps $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$ to $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$ such that $S(t)(v_0, A_0) = (v(t), A(t))$, the solutions of problem (1.4)-(1.9). Firstly from Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we have the uniform a priori estimates in time, which implies

$$\|v(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2} + \|A(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2} \leq K, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad (5.1)$$

where K is a positive constant.

In what follows, we are going to show that the semigroup $S(t) : \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$ is compact for large t . That is

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Then for the solutions of the problem (1.4)-(1.9), we have*

$$\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq t_0,$$

where the constant C depends on the known parameters and the data $\|v_0\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2}, \|A_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2}$.

Proof. Similar to the proofs in previous lemmas, we take the inner product of (1.4) with $\Delta^3 v$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and (1.5) with $\Delta^3 A$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Adding the two equations together and majorizing each term with previous estimates, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2) \leq C (\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2) + C. \quad (5.2)$$

Applying (3.32) in Lemma 3.3, integrating (5.2) in t and by the uniform Gronwall lemma, we obtain that

$$\|\nabla \Delta v\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta A\|^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad (5.3)$$

where the constant C depends on the known parameters and the data $\|v_0\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2}, \|A_0\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2}$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.1 is completed. \square

In order to prove the existence of global attractor of problem (1.4)-(1.9), we need the following result:

Theorem 5.2 ([18]). *We assume that H is a metric space and that the nonlinear operator $S(t)$ of H into itself for $t \geq 0$ satisfied*

$$S(t+s) = S(t) \cdot S(s), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0, \quad S(0) = I, \quad (\text{Identity in } H).$$

And also $S(t)$ is continuous and uniformly compact for large t . That means for every bounded set B , there exists t_0 , which may depend on B that $\bigcup_{t \geq t_0} S(t)B$ is relatively compact in H . We also assume that there exists an open set U and a bounded set B of U such that B is absorbing in U .

Then the ω -limit set of B : $\mathcal{A} = \omega(B) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t)B}$ is a compact attractor, which attracts the bounded set of U . It is the maximal bounded attractor in U .

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 hold. Then there exists a global attractor $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$ for the periodic initial problem (1.4)-(1.9), i.e., there is a set \mathcal{A} such that*

- (1) $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(S(t)B, \mathcal{A}) = 0$, for any bounded set $B \subset \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$, where

$$\text{dist}(X, Y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|_E,$$

and $S(t)(v_0, A_0)$ is a semigroup operator generated by the problem (1.4)-(1.9).

Proof. On account of the result of Theorem 5.2, we will prove this theorem by checking the conditions in Theorem 5.2. We observe that (5.1) shows that the ball

$$B = \left\{ (v, A) \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) : \|v(t)\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2} \leq K, \|A(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2} \leq K \right\}$$

is an absorbing set of $S(t)$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$. In addition, Lemma 5.1 implies the dynamical system $S(t)$ is uniformly compact for large t . Thus, according to Theorem 5.2, we can conclude that the ω -limit set of B : $\mathcal{A} = \omega(B) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t)B}$ is a compact attractor on $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$, where the closure is taken in $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^2(\Omega)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.3. \square

Generally by induction and the estimates in Lemma 3.4, we have the following result.

Theorem 5.4. *The semigroup of the nonlinear operators $\{S(t)\}$ determined by the periodic initial problem (1.4)-(1.9) has a compact connect global attractor \mathcal{A} in $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega)$, which attracts all bounded sets of $\mathbf{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega) \times \mathcal{H}_{\text{per}}^k(\Omega)$, for all $k \geq 0$.*

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11426069, 11271141), and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2014A030313514).

References

- [1] A. J. S. Al-Saif, A. Abdul-Hussein, *Generating exact solutions of two-dimensional coupled Burgers equations by the first integral method*, Res. J. Phys. Appl. Sci., **1** (2012), 29–33. [1](#)
- [2] A. R. Bahadır, *A fully implicit finite-difference scheme for two-dimensional Burgers' equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **137** (2003), 131–137. [1](#)
- [3] A. J. Bernoff, *Slowly varying fully nonlinear wavetrains in the Ginzburg-Landau equation*, Phys. D, **30** (1988), 363–381. [1](#)
- [4] J. M. Burgers, *A mathematical model illustrating the theory of turbulence*, edited by Richard von Mises and Theodore von Kármán, Advances in Applied Mechanics, Academic Press, Inc., New York, N. Y., (1948), 171–199. [1](#)
- [5] A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York-Montreal, Que.-London, (1969). [2.1](#)
- [6] C.-H. Guo, S.-M. Fang, B.-L. Guo, *Global smooth solutions of the generalized KS-CGL equations with flames governed by a sequential reaction*, Commun. Math. Sci., **12** (2014), 1457–1474. [1](#)
- [7] C.-H. Guo, S.-M. Fang, B.-L. Guo, *Long time behavior of solutions to coupled Burgers-complex Ginzburg-Landau (Burgers-CGL) equations for flames governed by sequential reaction*, [[Long time behavior of solutions to coupled Burgers-complex Ginzburg-Landau (Burgers-CGL) equations for flames governed by sequential reaction]] Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.), **35** (2014), 515–534. [1](#)
- [8] B.-L. Guo, H.-Y. Huang, *On the Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau equations*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., **3** (1998), 143–147. [1](#)
- [9] B.-L. Guo, H.-Y. Huang, *Well-posedness and global attractors for the Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau equations*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser., **18** (2002), 579–588. [1](#)
- [10] D. Henry, *Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1981). [3](#)
- [11] H.-Y. Huang, *Attractors of the discretized Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau equations*, (Chinese) Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed., **22** (2002), 316–322. [1](#)
- [12] H.-Y. Huang, *Existence of periodic solutions of the Burgers-Ginzburg-Landau equations*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **24** (2004), 613–622. [1](#)
- [13] R. Iturriaga, K. Khanin, *Burgers turbulence and random Lagrangian systems*, Comm. Math. Phys., **232** (2003), 377–428. [1](#)
- [14] L. Kramer, W. Zimmermann, *On the Eckhaus instability for spatially periodic patterns*, Phys. D, **16** (1985), 221–232. [1](#)
- [15] A. Mielke, G. Schneider, *Derivation and justification of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation as a modulation equation*, Dynamical systems and probabilistic methods in partial differential equations, Berkeley, CA, (1994), Lectures in Appl. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, **31** (1996), 191–216. [1](#)

- [16] A. A. Nepomnyashchy, *Order parameter equations for long-wavelength instabilities*, Phys. D, **86** (1995), 90–95. [1](#)
- [17] K. Nishinari, J. Matsukidaira, D. Takahashi, *Two-dimensional Burgers cellular automaton*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **70** (2001), 2267–2272. [1](#), [1](#)
- [18] R. Temam, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, Second edition, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1997). [2.2](#), [3](#), [5.2](#)
- [19] A. van Harten, *Modulated modulation equations*, Proceedings of the IUTAM/ISIMM Symposium on Structure and Dynamics of Nonlinear Waves in Fluids, Hannover, (1994), Adv. Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, **7** (1995), 117–130. [1](#)
- [20] H.-Q. Zhu, H.-Z. Shu, M.-Y. Ding, *Numerical solutions of two-dimensional Burgers' equations by discrete Adomian decomposition method*, Comput. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), 840–848. [1](#)