



## On multi-valued weak quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces

Nawab Hussain<sup>a</sup>, Zoran D. Mitrović<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P. O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>b</sup>University of Banja Luka, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Patre 5, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

Communicated by Z. Kadelburg

### Abstract

We introduce some generalizations of the contractions for multi-valued mappings and establish some fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces. Our results generalize and extend several known results in b-metric and metric spaces. Some examples are included which illustrate the cases when the new results can be applied while the old ones cannot. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed points, b-metric space, set-valued mapping.  
2010 MSC: 47H10.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the papers of Bakhtin [4] and Czerwik [10, 11], the notion of b-metric space has been introduced and some fixed point theorems for single-valued and multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces proved. Successively, this notion has been reintroduced by Khamsi [18] and Khamsi and Hussain [19], with the name of metric-type space. Several results have appeared in metric-type spaces, we refer to [3, 9–16, 19, 23–26].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a b-metric with coefficient  $s$  if and only if for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;
- (2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (3)  $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ .

A triplet  $(X, d, s)$  is called a b-metric space.

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [nhusain@kau.edu.sa](mailto:nhusain@kau.edu.sa) (Nawab Hussain), [zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org](mailto:zoran.mitrovic@etf.unibl.org) (Zoran D. Mitrović)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.07.35](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.07.35)

Note that a metric space is included in the class of b-metric spaces. The concept of convergence in such spaces is similar to that of the standard metric spaces. The b-metric space  $(X, d, s)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence of elements from  $(X, d, s)$  is convergent. Some examples of b-metric spaces can be seen in [3, 10, 11].

Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a b-metric space, let us denote  $CB(X)$  the collection of nonempty closed bounded subsets of  $X$  and by  $CL(X)$  the class of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ . For  $x \in X$  and  $A, B \in CL(X)$ , we define

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a), \quad D(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}.$$

Then the generalized Pompeiu-Hausdorff b-metric  $H$  on  $CL(X)$  induced by  $d$  is defined as

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max\{D(A, B), D(B, A)\}, & \text{if the maximum exists,} \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all  $A, B \in CL(X)$ . The following results are useful for some of the proofs in the paper.

**Theorem 1.2** ([11]). *If  $(X, d, s)$  is a complete b-metric space with coefficient  $s$ , then  $(CL(X), H)$ , where  $H$  means the Pompeiu-Hausdorff b-metric induced by  $d$ , is also a complete b-metric space with coefficient  $s$ .*

**Lemma 1.3** ([11]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a b-metric space with coefficient  $s$  and  $A, B \in CB(X)$ . Then for each  $a \in A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $b \in B$  such that*

$$d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \epsilon.$$

**Lemma 1.4** ([11]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a b-metric space with coefficient  $s$ . For any  $A, B, C \in CL(X)$  and any  $x, y \in X$ , we have the following:*

1.  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, a)$  for all  $a \in A$ ;
2.  $d(x, B) \leq H(A, B)$  for all  $x \in A$ ;
3.  $d(x, A) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, A)]$ ;
4.  $H(A, C) \leq s[H(A, B) + H(B, C)]$ ;
5.  $d(x, A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in A$ .

**Lemma 1.5** ([20]). *Every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements from a b-metric space  $(X, d, s)$ , having the property that there exists  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  such that*

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1})$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is Cauchy.

**Lemma 1.6** ([14]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a b-metric space and suppose that  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  converge to  $x, y \in X$ , respectively. Then, we have*

$$\frac{1}{s^2}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq s^2d(x, y).$$

In particular, if  $x = y$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ . Moreover, for each  $z \in X$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z) \leq sd(x, z).$$

**Definition 1.7** ([20]). A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ , where  $(X, d, s)$  is a b-metric space, is called closed if for all sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements from  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ , and  $y_n \in T(x_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $y \in T(x)$ .

**Definition 1.8** ([20]). Given a b-metric space  $(X, d, s)$ , the b-metric  $d$  is called  $*$ -continuous if for every  $A \in CB(X)$ , every  $x \in X$  and every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements from  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, A) = d(x, A)$ .

## 2. The generalizations of Nadler contraction for multi-valued mappings

In this section, we introduce the following condition of contractions for multi-valued mappings in b-metric space  $(X, d)$ . A map  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is called weak quasi-contraction or  $(\theta, k, L)$ -quasi-contraction if there exist constant  $\theta \in (0, 1), k \in [0, 1]$  and  $L \geq 0$  such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta M_{k,T}(x, y) + Ld(y, Tx) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $M_{k,T}(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), kd(x, Tx), kd(y, Ty)\}$ .

*Remark 2.1.* Due to the symmetry of the distance, the weak quasi-contraction condition (2.1) implicitly includes the following dual one

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta M_{1,T}(x, y) + Ld(x, Ty) \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , obtained from (2.1) by formally replacing  $d(Tx, Ty)$  and  $d(x, y)$  by  $d(Ty, Tx)$  and  $d(y, x)$ , respectively, and then interchanging  $x$  and  $y$ . Consequently, in the concrete applications it is necessary to check that both conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied.

Again as in [8], Aydi et al. [3, Theorem 2.2] introduced the q-set-valued quasi-contraction in the complete b-metric space. The multi-valued map  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  is said to be a q-multi-valued quasi-contraction if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq kM(x, y) \tag{2.3}$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ , where  $0 \leq k < 1$  and

$$M(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}.$$

Recently, Miculescu and Mihail [20, Theorem 3.3] used the following version of q-set-valued quasi-contraction in the complete b-metric spaces. Let  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  has a property that there exist  $c, d \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha N_{c,d}(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$N_{c,d}(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), cd(x, T(x)), cd(y, T(y)), \frac{d}{2}(d(x, T(y)) + d(y, T(x)))\}.$$

*Remark 2.2.* Notice that since

$$\frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \leq \max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\},$$

we then have that  $N_{c,d}(x, y) \leq M(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The following example shows that in b-metric spaces a weak quasi-contraction may not be a q-quasi-contraction in the sense of Aydi et al. and may not be a contraction in the sense of Miculescu and Mihail.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be defined by  $Tx = \{x\}$ . We obtain that  $d$  is b-metric (with  $s = 2$ ), but  $(X, d)$  is not a metric space. For  $x = 0, y = 1$ , and  $z = 2$ , we have

$$d(x, z) = 4 > 2 = d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

Then  $(X, d)$  is a complete b-metric space. Recall that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(x - y)^2 = H(Tx, Ty) \leq a \max\{d(x, y), kd(x, Tx), kd(y, Ty)\} + Ld(y, Tx) = (a + L)(x - y)^2 = (x - y)^2$$

for  $a = \frac{1}{6}, k = 1$ , and  $L = \frac{5}{6}$ , we have that  $T$  satisfies condition (2.1) (note that  $d(y, Tx) = d(x, Ty)$ ). Suppose that  $T$  is a q-quasi-contraction in the sense of Aydi et al.. Thus, there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(x - y)^2 = H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} = \alpha(x - y)^2 < (x - y)^2 \text{ if } x \neq y.$$

This is a contradiction. Similarly, suppose that  $T$  is a  $q$ -quasi-contraction in the sense of Miculescu and Mihail. Thus, there exist  $c, d \in [0, 1]$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that:

$$H(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha N_{c,d}(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} (x - y)^2 = H(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha \max\{d(x, y), cd(x, Tx), cd(y, Ty), \frac{d}{2}(d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx))\} \\ &= \alpha \max\{(x - y)^2, d(x - y)^2\} < (x - y)^2 \text{ if } x \neq y. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

The aim of this paper is to obtain sufficient conditions for the existence of fixed point for the multi-valued mappings which satisfy condition (2.1) in  $b$ -metric spaces.

### 3. Main results

The following theorem is our main result, which can be regarded as an extension of Nadler's fixed point theorem [21] in  $b$ -metric space.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete  $b$ -metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  weak quasi-contraction for which there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $k \in [0, 1]$  and  $L \geq 0$  such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta \max\{d(x, y), kd(x, Tx), kd(y, Ty)\} + Ld(y, Tx) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  which converges to some point  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$  if any of the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $T$  is closed;
- (ii)  $d$  is  $*$ -continuous;
- (iii)  $s\theta k < 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Choose  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ . Let

$$\epsilon = \frac{1 - \theta}{1 + \theta} H(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

If  $H(Tx_0, Tx_1) = 0$ , we obtain  $Tx_0 = Tx_1$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_1$ . In this case the proof is completed. So, we may assume  $\epsilon > 0$ . From Lemma 1.3 we obtain that there is a point  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \epsilon = \frac{2}{1 + \theta} H(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Similarly, there is a point  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) + \epsilon,$$

where

$$\epsilon = \frac{1 - \theta}{1 + \theta} H(Tx_1, Tx_2).$$

If  $H(Tx_1, Tx_2) = 0$ , we obtain  $Tx_2 = Tx_1$  and  $x_2 \in Tx_2$ . In this case, the proof is completed. So, we may assume  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence,

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{2}{1 + \theta} H(Tx_1, Tx_2).$$

Continuing this process we produce a sequence  $(x_n)$  of points of  $X$  such that

$$x_{n+1} \in Tx_n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{2}{1+\theta} H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

From condition (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) &\leq \theta \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), kd(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), kd(x_n, Tx_n)\} + Ld(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \theta \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), kd(x_{n-1}, x_n), kd(x_n, x_{n+1})\} + Ld(x_n, x_n) \\ &= \theta \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), kd(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), kd(x_n, x_{n+1})\} = kd(x_n, x_{n+1})$ , from (3.3) we obtain a contradiction  $1 < \frac{2\theta k}{1+\theta}$ . So,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{2\theta}{1+\theta} d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

Now, since  $\frac{2\theta}{1+\theta} < 1$ , from Lemma 1.5 we obtain that the sequence  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d, s)$  is complete, the sequence  $(x_n)$  converges to some point  $x^* \in X$ .

(i) Suppose that  $T$  is closed. From Definition 1.7 and (3.2) we obtain  $x^* \in Tx^*$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $d$  is  $*$ -continuous. Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx^*) = d(x^*, Tx^*). \tag{3.4}$$

From Lemma 1.4 and (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) &\leq H(Tx_n, Tx^*) \leq \theta \max\{d(x_n, x^*), kd(x_n, Tx_n), kd(x^*, Tx^*)\} + Ld(x^*, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \theta \max\{d(x_n, x^*), kd(x_n, x_{n+1}), kd(x^*, Tx^*)\} + Ld(x^*, x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (3.4) we obtain

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \theta kd(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Since  $\theta k < 1$ , we conclude that  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$  and from Lemma 1.4 we obtain  $x^* \in Tx^*$ .

(iii) We follow some ideas from [20]. Let

$$d(x^*, T(x^*)) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T(x^*)).$$

Then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(u, T(u)) - \epsilon \leq d(x_{n_{k+1}}, T(u))$  for every  $k \geq k_0$ . Since

$$d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \leq \theta \max\{d(x_n, x^*), kd(x_n, x_{n+1}), kd(x^*, Tx^*)\} + Ld(x^*, x_{n+1}),$$

using Lemma 1.6, we have

$$\frac{1}{s} d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \theta kd(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Since  $s\theta k < 1$ , from the above inequality, we conclude that  $d(x^*, T(x^*)) = 0$ , i.e.  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ , so  $T$  has a fixed point. Now, let

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) > \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T(x^*)).$$

Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$d(x_n, Tx^*) \leq d(x^*, Tx^*).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)] \\ &\leq s[d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \theta \max\{d(x^*, x_n), kd(x_n, x_{n+1}), kd(x^*, Tx^*)\} + Ld(x^*, x_{n+1})]. \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \leq s\theta kd(x^*, Tx^*).$$

Since  $s\theta k < 1$ , we obtain  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . □

#### 4. Some applications

We shall present some applications of Theorem 3.1 in b-metric spaces.

**Corollary 4.1** (Version of Nadler's fixed point theorem in b-metric spaces, [21]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Put  $k = L = 0$  in Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 4.1 improves the next result by Czerwik [11].

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $X = [1, +\infty)$  be equipped with the complete b-metric  $d$  such that  $d(x, y) = (x - y)^2$  for all  $x, y \in X$  (with coefficient  $s = 2$ ). Define  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  by  $Tx = [1, 1 + \frac{4x}{5}]$  for all  $x \in X$ . Also, take  $\theta = \frac{9}{16}$ . We have

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , that is (4.1) holds. All hypotheses of Corollary 4.1 are satisfied and  $x = 1$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

On the other hand, Corollary 4.2 is not applicable. For  $x = 2$  and  $y = 1$ , we have  $H(Tx, Ty) = \frac{16}{25}$ ,  $d(x, y) = 1$ , so

$$H(Tx, Ty) > \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } \lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Also, we may not apply the main result of Aydi et al. [3, Theorem 2.2]. Again, for  $x = 2$  and  $y = 1$ , we have

$$d(x, Tx) = 0, d(y, Ty) = 0, d(x, Ty) = 0, d(y, Tx) = 0,$$

so

$$H(Tx, Ty) > \lambda \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}$$

for all  $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s^2+s})$ .

**Corollary 4.4** (Version of fixed point theorem by Berinde [5] and Abbas et al. [1] in b-metric spaces). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a weak contraction, i.e., there exist  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $L \geq 0$  such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta d(x, y) + Ld(y, Tx) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \tag{4.2}$$

Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Put  $k = 0$  in Theorem 3.1. □

**Example 4.5.** Let  $T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $Tx = \{x\}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then

- (i)  $T$  does not satisfy the contractive condition (2.3) of Aydi et al. [3];
- (ii)  $T$  does not satisfy the contractive condition (2.4) of Miculescu and Mihail [20];
- (iii)  $T$  satisfies condition (4.2) with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  arbitrary and  $L \geq 1 - \theta$ .

A weak contraction has always at least one fixed point and there exist weak contractions that have infinitely many fixed points.

**Corollary 4.6** (Version of fixed point theorem by Kannan [17] in b-metric spaces). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping for which there exists  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2s})$  such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (4.3)$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Put in Theorem 3.1  $k = 1$ . Let  $x, y \in X$  be arbitrary taken. We have to discuss three possible cases, but due to the symmetry of  $M_{1,T}(x, y)$ , it suffices to consider only two of them.

1.  $M_{1,T}(x, y) = d(x, y)$ . Then  $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$  and  $d(y, Ty) \leq d(x, y)$ , hence from condition (4.3) we obtain

$$\lambda[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \leq 2\lambda M_{1,T}(x, y).$$

Then conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are obviously satisfied (with  $\theta = 2\lambda$  and  $L = 0$ ).

2.  $M_{1,T}(x, y) = d(x, Tx)$ . Then  $d(y, Ty) \leq d(x, Tx)$ , so from condition (4.3) we have

$$\lambda[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)] \leq 2\lambda M_{1,T}(x, y).$$

Then condition (2.1) holds for  $\theta = 2\lambda$  and  $L = 0$ . So (2.2) also holds.

Therefore both (2.1) and (2.2) hold with  $\theta = 2\lambda$  and  $L = 0$ . Now, from Theorem 3.1 we conclude that the mapping  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^*$  if  $2\lambda s < 1$  (condition (iii) in Theorem 3.1). Let  $y^*$  be a fixed point of the mapping  $T$ . Then, from condition (4.3) we obtain

$$d(x^*, y^*) \leq H(Tx^*, y^*) \leq \lambda[d(x^*, Tx^*) + d(y^*, Ty^*)] = 0,$$

so  $x^* = y^*$ . □

**Corollary 4.7** (Version of fixed point theorem by Chatterjea [6] in b-metric spaces). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping for which there exists  $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{s+s^2})$  such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (4.4)$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* By (4.4) and triangle rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, Ty) &\leq \lambda s[d(x, y) + s(d(y, Tx) + H(Tx, Ty))] + \lambda d(y, Tx) \\ &\leq \lambda s d(x, y) + \lambda(s^2 + 1)d(y, Tx) + \lambda s^2 H(Tx, Ty). \end{aligned}$$

After simple computations, we get

$$H(Tx, T, y) \leq \frac{\lambda s}{1 - \lambda s^2} d(x, y) + \frac{\lambda(s^2 + 1)}{1 - \lambda s^2} d(y, Tx),$$

which is (4.2), with  $\theta = \frac{\lambda s}{1-\lambda s^2}$  (since  $\lambda < \frac{1}{s+s^2}$ ) and  $L = \frac{\lambda(s^2+1)}{1-\lambda s^2} \geq 0$ . So, from Corollary 4.4 we obtain that  $T$  has a fixed point  $x^*$ . If  $y^*$  is also a fixed point of  $T$ , from condition (4.4) we obtain

$$d(x^*, y^*) \leq H(Tx^*, y^*) \leq \lambda[d(x^*, Ty^*) + d(y^*, Tx^*)] \leq \lambda[d(x^*, y^*) + d(y^*, x^*)] < 2\lambda d(x^*, y^*) < d(x^*, y^*).$$

It is a contradiction if  $x^* \neq y^*$ . □

**Corollary 4.8** (Generalizations of fixed point theorem by Reich in b-metric spaces, [22]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{s})$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Put  $k = 1, L = 0$  in Theorem 3.1. □

**Corollary 4.9** (Version of fixed point theorem by Ćirić [7, 8]). *Let  $(X, d, s)$  be a complete b-metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha M(x, y), \tag{4.5}$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2s})$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$  be arbitrary taken. We will use Theorem 3.1. We have to discuss five possible cases, but due to the symmetry of  $M(x, y)$ , it suffices to consider only three of them.

1.  $M(x, y) = d(x, y)$ . Then  $M(x, y) = M_{1,T}(x, y)$ , so condition (2.1) and its dual condition (2.2) are obviously satisfied with  $\theta = \alpha$  and  $L = 0$ .
2.  $M(x, y) = d(x, Tx)$ . And in this case we have  $M(x, y) = M_{1,T}(x, y)$ , so  $\theta = \alpha$  and  $L = 0$ .
3.  $M(x, y) = d(y, Tx)$ . From (4.5) we get

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(y, Tx) \leq \theta M_{1,T}(x, y) + \alpha d(y, Tx),$$

so (2.1) holds with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $L = \alpha$ . Since,

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha M(x, y) = \alpha d(y, Tx) \leq \alpha s[d(y, Ty) + H(Ty, Tx)] \leq \alpha s[M_{1,T}(x, y) + H(Tx, Ty)],$$

we get

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{\alpha s}{1-\alpha s} M_{1,T}(x, y).$$

So, dual (2.2) also holds for all  $\theta = \frac{\alpha s}{1-\alpha s}$  and  $L = 0$ . Therefore both (2.1) and its dual (2.2) hold with

$$\theta = \max\{\alpha, 0, \frac{\alpha s}{1-\alpha s}\} = \frac{\alpha s}{1-\alpha s}, \quad L = \max\{0, \alpha\} = \alpha.$$

Since  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2s})$ , we obtain that  $\theta s < 1$  and  $L > 0$ . Therefore, from Theorem 3.1, it follows that  $T$  has a fixed point. □

*Remark 4.10.*

- (i) Note that  $(0, \frac{1}{s+s^2}) \subseteq (0, \frac{1}{2s})$  implies that Corollary 4.9 implies the main result in [3, Theorem 2.2].
- (ii) In [2, Theorem 2.2] Amini-Harandi proved the following result in metric spaces.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha M(x, y),$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Note that from Corollary 4.9 we obtain Theorem 4.11.

**Problem 4.12.** Does the conclusion of Corollary 4.9 remain true for any  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2s}, 1)$ ?



## References

- [1] M. Abbas, N. Hussain, B. E. Rhoades, *Coincidence point theorems for multivalued  $f$ -weak contraction mappings and applications*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fs. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM, **105** (2011), 261–272. [4.4](#)
- [2] A. Amini-Harandi, *Fixed point theory for set-valued quasi-contraction maps in metric spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011), 1791–1794. [4.10](#)
- [3] H. Aydi, M. F. Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Mitrović, *A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 8 pages.. [1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [4.3](#), [4.5](#), [4.10](#)
- [4] I. A. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space*, (Russian) Functional analysis, Ulyanovsk. Gos. Ped. Inst., Ulyanovsk, **30** (1989), 26–37. [1](#)
- [5] M. Berinde, V. Berinde, *On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **326** (2007), 772–782. [4.4](#)
- [6] S. K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., **25** (1972), 727–730. [4.7](#)
- [7] L. B. Ćirić, *Generalized contractions and fixed-point theorems*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **12** (1971), 19–26. [4.9](#)
- [8] L. B. Ćirić, *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **45** (1974), 267–273. [2](#), [4.9](#)
- [9] M. Cosentino, P. Salimi, P. Vetro, *Fixed point results on metric-type spaces*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed., **34** (2014), 1237–1253. [1](#)
- [10] S. Czerwik, *Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, **1** (1993), 5–11. [1](#), [1](#)
- [11] S. Czerwik, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263–276. [1](#), [1](#), [1.2](#), [1.3](#), [1.4](#), [4](#)
- [12] N. Hussain, A. Amini-Harandi, J. Y. Cho, *Approximate endpoints for set-valued contractions in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010** (2010), 13 pages.
- [13] N. Hussain, D. Dorić, Z. Kadelburg, S. Radenović, *Suzuki-type fixed point results in metric type spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2012** (2012), 12 pages.
- [14] N. Hussain, V. Parvaneh, J. R. Roshan, Z. Kadelburg, *Fixed points of cyclic weakly  $(\psi, \varphi, L, A, B)$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces with applications*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 18 pages. [1.6](#)
- [15] N. Hussain, R. Saadati, R. P. Agrawal, *On the topology and wt-distance on metric type spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014** (2014), 14 pages.
- [16] N. Hussain, M. H. Shah, *KKM mappings in cone  $b$ -metric spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 1677–1684. [1](#)
- [17] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **60** (1968), 71–76. [4.6](#)
- [18] M. A. Khamsi, *Remarks on cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010** (2010), 7 pages. [1](#)
- [19] M. A. Khamsi, N. Hussain, *KKM mappings in metric type spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **73** (2010), 3123–3129. [1](#)
- [20] R. Miculescu, A. Mihail, *New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in  $b$ -metric spaces*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., (2015), 1–11. [1.5](#), [1.7](#), [1.8](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4.5](#)
- [21] S. B. Nadler, Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 475–488. [3](#), [4.1](#)
- [22] S. Reich, *Some remarks concerning contraction mappings*, Canad. Math. Bull., **14** (1971), 121–124. [4.8](#)
- [23] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, I. Altun, *Some coincidence point results in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces and applications in a system of integral equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **226** (2014), 725–737. [1](#)
- [24] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Radenović, M. Rajović, *Some coincidence point results for generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractions in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2015** (2015), 21 pages.
- [25] J. R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, S. Sedghi, N. Shobkolaei, W. Shatanawi, *Common fixed points of almost generalized  $(\psi, \varphi)_s$ -contractive mappings in ordered  $b$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2013** (2013), 23 pages.
- [26] S. Shukla, S. Radenović, C. Vetro, *Set-valued Hardy-Rogers type contraction in 0-complete partial metric spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2014** (2014), 9 pages. [1](#)