



On subclass of meromorphic multivalent functions associated with Liu-Srivastava operator

Saqib Hussain^a, Jamila Bibi^a, Mohsan Raza^{b,*}, Maslina Darus^c

^aCOMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Abbotabad, Pakistan.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan.

^cSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia 43600, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia.

Communicated by S.-H. Rim

Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce a new subclass related to meromorphically p -valent reciprocal starlike functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator. Some sufficient conditions for functions belonging to this class are derived. The results presented here improve and generalize some known results. ©2017 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions, convolution, linear operator.
2010 MSC: 30C45, 30C50.

1. Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured open unit disc $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathbb{U} is the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. In particular, we set $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma$. Let f and g be two analytic functions in the open unit disk \mathbb{U} , we say that the function f is subordinate to g (written as $f \prec g$) if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathbb{U} , then we have the following equivalent relation:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: saqib_math@yahoo.com (Saqib Hussain), syedajameela@gmail.com (Jamila Bibi), mohsan976@yahoo.com (Mohsan Raza), maslina@ukm.edu.my (Maslina Darus)

doi:[10.22436/jnsa.010.09.35](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.09.35)

Received 2016-09-21

For some details see [2, 12]; see also [15].

A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in class $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\varnothing)$ of meromorphically p -valent starlike of order α if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right) < -\alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{S}_p^*(0) = \mathcal{S}_p^*$, the class of p -valent starlike functions. A function $f \in \mathcal{S}_p^*$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_p(\varnothing)$ of meromorphically p -valent starlike of reciprocal order α if and only if

$$\Re \left(\frac{pf(z)}{zf'(z)} \right) < -\alpha, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1).$$

In particular $\mathcal{M}_1(\varnothing) = \mathcal{M}(\varnothing)$.

Remark 1.1. In view of the fact that

$$\Re(p(z)) < 0 \implies \Re \left(\frac{1}{p(z)} \right) = \Re \left(\frac{p(z)}{|p(z)|^2} \right) < 0,$$

it follows that meromorphically p -valent starlike function of reciprocal order 0 is same as a meromorphically p -valent starlike function. When $0 < \alpha < 1$, the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is meromorphically p -valent starlike of reciprocal order α if and only if

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha}.$$

For $p = 1$, this class was studied by Sun et al. [17]. For arbitrary fixed real numbers A and B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), we denote by $P(A, B)$ the class of the functions of the form

$$q(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots,$$

which is analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{U} and satisfies the condition

$$q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (1.2)$$

The class $P(A, B)$ was introduced and studied by Janowski [5]. We also observe from (1.2) (see also [14]) that a function $q(z) \in P(A, B)$ if and only if

$$\left| q(z) - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2}, \quad (B \neq -1), \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\Re\{q(z)\} > \frac{1 - A}{2}, \quad (B = -1). \quad (1.4)$$

For function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{k-p},$$

the Hadamard product (convolution) of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^{k-p} = (g * f)(z).$$

For complex parameters α_i and β_j , where $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_1\mathcal{F}_m$ is defined by

$${}_1\mathcal{F}_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k}{k! (\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} z^k,$$

where $l \leq m + 1$, $l, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $(\lambda)_n$ is pochhammer symbol (or shifted factorial) defined

in terms of Gamma function by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1), & n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the function

$$h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) = z^{-p} {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)(z),$$

then the Liu-Srivastava linear operator [8, 9] $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) : \Sigma_p \rightarrow \Sigma_p$ is defined by using the Hadamard product (or convolution) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)f(z) &= h_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) * f(z) \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_l)_k}{k! (\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k} a_k z^{k-p}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

For convenience, we denote $\mathcal{H}_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \cong \mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]$.

The Liu-Srivastava operator is studied in [1, 13, 16], is the meromorphic analogue of the Dziok-Srivastava [3] linear operator. Special cases of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator include the meromorphic analogue of the Carlson-Shaffer linear operator $L_p(a, c) = \mathcal{H}_{p,2,1}(1, a, c)$ studied among others by Liu and Srivastava [7], Liu [6] and Yang [20]. The analogous to the Ruscheweyh derivative operator $D^{n+1} = L_p(n + p, 1)$ was investigated by Yang [19]. The operator

$$J_{c,p} = \frac{c}{z^{c+p}} \int_0^z t^{c+p-1} f(t) dt = L_p(c, c + 1), \quad (c > 0),$$

was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [18].

By using operator $\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]$, we introduce the following new class.

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \beta; \lambda; A_1, B)$, if it satisfies the subordination

$$\frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda) \mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z) + \lambda z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))'}{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))''} + \beta \right\} \prec -\frac{1 + A_1 z}{1 + Bz},$$

where $A_1 = (1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $0 \leq p\beta < 1$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]$ is defined in (1.5).

Remark 1.3. Using (1.3), (1.4) and for $B \neq -1$, the Definition 1.2 is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} + \frac{1 - A_1 B}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A_1 - B}{1 - B^2}, \tag{1.6}$$

and for $B = -1$,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} \right\} < -\frac{1 - A_1}{2}, \tag{1.7}$$

also, for $B = -1$, $A_1 \neq 1$, (1.7) reduces to

$$\left| \frac{1 - p\beta}{p} \left(\frac{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \right) + \frac{1}{1 - A_1} \right| < \frac{1}{1 - A_1}, \tag{1.8}$$

and for $B = -1$, $A_1 = 1$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right| < 1, \tag{1.9}$$

where

$$F_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda z f'(z).$$

By assigning particular values to parameters the class $\mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$ generalizes many previously known classes of meromorphic functions.

- (i) For $\lambda = 0, \alpha = 0, l = 2, m = 1, \alpha_1 = a, \alpha_2 = 1, \beta_1 = c$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \beta; \lambda; A_1, B)$ coincides with the class studied in [10].
- (ii) For $p = 1, A = 1 - 2\gamma, 0 < \gamma < 1, \beta = 0, B = -1, a = c = 1$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \beta; \lambda; A_1, B)$ coincides with the class studied in [17].

2. Preliminaries

We need the following lemmas for our future investigation.

Lemma 2.1 (Jack’s lemma [4]). *Let the (non constant) function $\omega(z)$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} , with $\omega(0) = 0$. If $|\omega(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$, then $z_0\omega'(z_0) = \gamma\omega(z_0)$, where γ is real number and $\gamma \geq 1$.*

Lemma 2.2 ([11]). *Let Ω be a set in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and suppose that ϕ is a complex mapping from $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{U}$ to \mathbb{C} which satisfies $\phi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$, and for all real x, y such that $y \leq -\frac{1+x^2}{2}$. If the function $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} and $\phi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$, then $\text{Re}(p(z)) > 0$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([20]). *Let $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$, be analytic in \mathbb{U} and η be analytic and starlike (with respect to the origin) univalent in \mathbb{U} with $\eta(0) = 0$. If $zp'(z) \prec \eta(z)$ then*

$$p(z) \prec 1 + \int_0^z \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt.$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume that $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, 0 \leq p\beta < 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \Sigma_p$. Then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} \prec -\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + Bz}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$, then

$$\frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \lambda)\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z) + \lambda z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))'}{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))' + \lambda z^2 (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z))''} + \beta \right\} \prec -\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + Bz}. \tag{3.2}$$

Let

$$F_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda zf'(z),$$

so

$$\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f(z) + \lambda z \mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] f'(z). \tag{3.3}$$

Using (3.2), (3.3) and after some simplifications we have

$$\frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} \prec -\frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + Bz},$$

the converse is straight forward. □

Theorem 3.2. *If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies any one of the following conditions*

(i) for $B \neq -1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{|(k-p)\lambda_1| + |p(1-B^2)[(1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1] + (1-A_1B)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1|}{(1-p\beta)(|A_1|-B)} \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| < p|1-\lambda-\lambda p|(1-|B|); \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) for $B = -1, A_1 \neq 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\left| (1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1 + \frac{(1-A_1)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1}{p} \right| \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| < (1-p\beta)(1-|A_1|)|1-\lambda-\lambda p|; \tag{3.5}$$

(iii) for $B = -1, A_1 = 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(|(k-p)\lambda_1| + \frac{k|\lambda_1|}{1-p\beta} \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| < p|\lambda_1|, \tag{3.6}$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$, where $\lambda_1 = 1 + \lambda(k-p-1)$ with $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \cdots (\alpha_1)_k}{k!(\beta_1)_k \cdots (\beta_m)_k}$.

Proof. (i): If $B \neq -1$, by the condition (1.6) we only need to show that

$$\left| \frac{p(1-B^2)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} + \frac{1-A_1B}{A_1-B} \right| < 1.$$

We first observe the

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{p(1-B^2)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} + \frac{1-A_1B}{A_1-B} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{pB(1-\lambda-\lambda p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p(1-B^2)[(1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1] + (1-A_1B)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1}{(1-p\beta)(|A_1|-B)} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k}{-p(1-\lambda-\lambda p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-p)\lambda_1 \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{p|B(1-\lambda-\lambda p)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|p(1-B^2)[(1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1] + (1-A_1B)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1|}{(1-p\beta)(|A_1|-B)} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k}{p|1-\lambda-\lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k-p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k} \\ &< \frac{p|B(1-\lambda-\lambda p)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|p(1-B^2)[(1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1] + (1-A_1B)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1|}{(1-p\beta)(|A_1|-B)} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{p|1-\lambda-\lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k-p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now, by using the inequality (3.4), we have

$$\frac{p|B(1-\lambda-\lambda p)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|p(1-B^2)[(1+(k-p)\beta)\lambda_1] + (1-A_1B)(1-p\beta)(k-p)\lambda_1|}{(1-p\beta)(|A_1|-B)} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{p|1-\lambda-\lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k-p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|} < 1,$$

which, in conjunction with (3.7), completes the proof of (i) for Theorem 3.2.

(ii): If $B = -1$, $A_1 \neq 1$, by the virtue of the condition (1.8), we only need to show that

$$\left| \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)}{p} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} \right) + 1 \right| < 1.$$

We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)}{p} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} \right) + 1 \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{A_1(1 - p\beta)(1 - \lambda - \lambda p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1 + \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)(k - p)\lambda_1}{p} \right) \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k}{(1 - p\beta)(1 - \lambda - \lambda p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1 \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|A_1||1 - \lambda - \lambda p|(1 - p\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1 + \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)(k - p)\lambda_1}{p} \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k}{(1 - p\beta)|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k} \quad (3.8) \\ &< \frac{|A_1||1 - \lambda - \lambda p|(1 - p\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1 + \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)(k - p)\lambda_1}{p} \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{(1 - p\beta)|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the inequality (3.5), we have

$$\frac{|A_1||1 - \lambda - \lambda p|(1 - p\beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left((1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1 + \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)(k - p)\lambda_1}{p} \right) |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{(1 - p\beta)|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(1 + (k - p)\beta)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|} < 1,$$

which, in conjunction with (3.8), completes the proof of (ii) for Theorem 3.2.

(iii): If $B = 1$, $A_1 = 1$, by virtue of the condition (1.9), we only need to show that

$$\left| \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right| < 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

We first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k\lambda_1}{1 - p\beta} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k}{-p(1 - \lambda - \lambda p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k - p)\lambda_1 \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k|\lambda_1|}{1 - p\beta} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k}{p|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k - p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k| |z|^k} \quad (3.9) \\ &< \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k|\lambda_1|}{1 - p\beta} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{p|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k - p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the inequality (3.6), we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k|\lambda_1|}{1 - p\beta} |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|}{p|1 - \lambda - \lambda p| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(k - p)\lambda_1| |\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)| |a_k|} < 1,$$

which, in conjunction with (3.9), completes the proof of (iii), for Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.3. *If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies any one of the following conditions:*

(i) for $B \neq -1$,

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) \right| < \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B) + (1+|B|)}; \quad (3.10)$$

(ii) for $B = -1$, $-1 < A_1 \leq 0$

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) \right| < \frac{(1-p\beta)(1-A_1)(1+A_1)}{2p\beta(1+A_1) + 2(1-A_1)};$$

(iii) for $B = -1$, $A_1 = 1$

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) \right| < \frac{1-p\beta}{2-p\beta},$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B}$, where

$$L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) = 1 + \frac{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))''}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} - \frac{z (\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}.$$

Proof. (i) If $B \neq -1$, let

$$\omega(z) = \frac{1 + \frac{1+|B|}{1+|B|+A_1-B} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right)}{1 - \frac{1+|B|}{1+|B|+A_1-B}} - 1, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (3.11)$$

then the function ω is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$. Using (3.11) and after some simplifications, we obtain

$$\frac{p\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m} [\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} = \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)\omega(z) - (1+|B|)}{1+|B|}. \quad (3.12)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.12), logarithmically we get

$$L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) = -\frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)z\omega'(z)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)\omega(z) - (1+|B|)}. \quad (3.13)$$

By virtue of (3.10) and (3.13), we find that

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) \right| = (1-p\beta)(A_1-B) \left| \frac{z\omega'(z)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)\omega(z) - (1+|B|)} \right|,$$

and

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) \right| < \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B) + (1+|B|)}.$$

Next, we claim that $|\omega(z)| < 1$. Indeed, if not, there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |\omega(z)| = |\omega(z_0)| = 1, \quad (z_0 \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to $\omega(z)$ at the point z_0 , we have

$$z_0\omega'(z_0) = \gamma\omega(z_0), \quad (\gamma \geq 1).$$

By writing

$$\omega(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi),$$

and setting $z = z_0$ in (3.13), we get

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z_0)) \right| = (1-p\beta)(A_1-B) \left| \frac{\gamma}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B) - (1+|B|)e^{-i\theta}} \right|,$$

which implies

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z_0)) \right| \geq (1-p\beta)(A_1-B) \left| \frac{1}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B) - (1+|B|)e^{-i\theta}} \right|.$$

This implies that

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z)) \right|^2 \geq \frac{[(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)]^2}{[(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)]^2 + (1+|B|)^2 - 2(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)(1+|B|)\cos\theta}. \quad (3.14)$$

Since the right hand side of (3.14) takes its minimum value for $\cos\theta = -1$, we have

$$\left| L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z_0)) \right|^2 \geq \frac{[(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)]^2}{[(1-p\beta)(A_1-B) + (1+|B|)]^2}.$$

This implies that

$$\left| \frac{p}{1-p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right| < \frac{A_1-B}{1+|B|},$$

then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{p}{1-p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + \frac{1-A_1B}{1-B^2} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{p}{1-p\beta} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right| + \left| \frac{1-A_1B}{1-B^2} - 1 \right| \\ & < \frac{A_1-B}{(1+|B|)} + \frac{|B|(A_1-B)}{1-B^2} \\ & = \frac{A_1-B}{1-B^2}, \quad (B \neq -1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$ for $B \neq -1$.

Using similar arguments as in proof of (i), (ii) and (iii) can be easily verified. \square

Theorem 3.4. If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies

$$\Re \left(L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z)) \right) < \begin{cases} \frac{\beta_2}{2(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}, & B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)} \leq A_1 \leq 1, \\ \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}{2\beta_2}, & B < A_1 \leq B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)}, \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$, where $\beta_2 = (1-A_1) + p\beta(A_1-B)$.

Proof. Let

$$g(z) = \frac{\frac{-p}{1-p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} - \frac{1-A_1}{1-B}}{1 - \frac{1-A_1}{1-B}}. \quad (3.16)$$

Then g is analytic in \mathbb{U} . Using (3.16), we have

$$\frac{-p\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} = \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)g(z) + \beta_2}{1-B}. \quad (3.17)$$

Differentiating (3.17) logarithmically, we obtain

$$-L_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1} (F(z)) = \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)zg'(z)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)g(z) + \beta_2} = \prec(g(z), zg'(z); z),$$

where

$$\prec(r, s; t) = \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)s}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)r + \beta_2}.$$

For all real x and y satisfying $y \leq -\frac{1+x^2}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\prec(ix, y; z)) &= \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)\beta_2 y}{(\beta_2)^2 + [(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)]^2 x^2} \\ &\leq -\frac{1+x^2}{2} \cdot \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)\beta_2}{(\beta_2)^2 + [(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)]^2 x^2} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} -\frac{\beta_2}{2(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}, & B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)} \leq A_1 \leq 1, \\ -\frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}{2\beta_2}, & B < A_1 \leq B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We know put

$$\Omega = \left\{ \xi : \Re(\xi) > \begin{cases} -\frac{\beta_2}{2(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}, & B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)} \leq A_1 \leq 1, \\ -\frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)}{2\beta_2}, & B < A_1 \leq B + \frac{1-B}{2(1-p\beta)}. \end{cases} \right\},$$

then $\prec(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$ for all real x, y such that $y \leq -\frac{1+x^2}{2}$. Moreover, in view of (3.15), we know that $\prec(g(z), zg'(z); z) \in \Omega$. Thus by Lemma 2.2 we deduce that

$$\Re(g(z)) > 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which shows that the desired assertion of Theorem 3.4 holds. □

Theorem 3.5. *If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies*

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{p\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} \left(1 + \eta \frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))''}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} \right) \right\} > -\frac{1}{2}\delta_1\eta + p\eta - (1-\eta)\frac{\beta_2}{1-B},$$

then $f \in M_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$ for $\eta \geq 0$, where $\delta_1 = (1-p\beta)\left(\frac{A_1-B}{1-B}\right)$.

Proof. Let

$$h(z) = \frac{\frac{-p}{1-p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} - \frac{1-A_1}{1-B}}{1 - \frac{1-A_1}{1-B}}. \tag{3.18}$$

Then h is analytic in \mathbb{U} . It follows from (3.18) that

$$\frac{-p\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} = \frac{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)h(z) + \beta_2}{1-B}, \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$1 + \eta \frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))''}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1]F_\lambda(z))'} = \frac{P + Qh(z) + Rzh'(z)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)h(z) + \beta_2}, \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$P = -p\eta(1-B) + (1-\eta)[(1-A_1) + p\beta(A_1-B)],$$

$$Q = (1 - p\beta) (A_1 - B) (1 - \eta), \quad R = -(1 - p\beta) (A_1 - B) \eta,$$

combining (3.19) and (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-p\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \left(1 + \eta \frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))''}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \right) &= -p\eta + (1 - \eta) \frac{\beta_2}{(1 - B)} \\ &+ \delta_1 (1 - \eta) h(z) - \delta_1 \eta z h'(z) \\ &= \phi(h(z), zh'(z); z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(r, s; t) = -\delta_1 \eta s + \delta_1 (1 - \eta) r - p\eta + (1 - \eta) \frac{\beta_2}{1 - B}.$$

Rest of the proof follows by working in similar way as in Theorem 3.4 □

Theorem 3.6. *If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies anyone of the following conditions:*

(i) for $B \neq -1$,

$$\left| \left\{ \frac{p(1 - B^2)}{(1 - p\beta)(A_1 - B)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + \frac{1 - A_1 B}{A_1 - B} \right\}' \right| \leq \eta |z|^\tau;$$

(ii) for $B = -1, A_1 \neq 1$,

$$\left| \left(1 + \frac{(1 - A_1)(1 - p\beta)}{p} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \right) \right)' \right| \leq \eta |z|^\tau;$$

(iii) for $B = -1, A_1 = 1$,

$$\left| \left\{ \frac{p}{(1 - p\beta)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + 1 \right\}' \right| \leq \eta |z|^\tau,$$

then $f \in M_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$, for $0 < \eta \leq \tau + 1$ and $\tau \geq 0$.

Proof. (i): If $B \neq -1$, we define the function $\Psi(z)$ by

$$\Psi(z) = z \left\{ \frac{p(1 - B^2)}{(1 - p\beta)(A_1 - B)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + \frac{1 - A_1 B}{A_1 - B} \right\},$$

then $\Psi(z)$ is regular in \mathbb{U} and $\Psi(0) = 0$. The condition of theorem gives us that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\Psi(z)}{z} \right)' \right| \leq \eta |z|^\tau.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\Psi(z)}{z} \right) \right| = \left| \int_0^z \left(\frac{\Psi(t)}{t} \right)' dt \right| \leq \int_0^{|z|} \eta |t|^\tau d|t| = \frac{\eta}{\tau + 1} |z|^{\tau+1}.$$

This implies that

$$\left| \left(\frac{\Psi(z)}{z} \right) \right| \leq \frac{\eta}{\tau + 1} |z|^{\tau+1} < 1, \quad (0 < \eta \leq \tau + 1, \tau \geq 0).$$

Therefore, by the definition of $\Psi(z)$, we conclude that

$$\left| \frac{p(1-B^2)}{(1-p\beta)(A_1-B)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + \frac{1-A_1B}{A_1-B} \right| < 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{p}{(1-p\beta)} \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right) + \frac{1-A_1B}{A_1-B} \right| < \frac{A_1-B}{1-B^2}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$.

(ii): If $B = -1, A_1 \neq 1$, we define the function

$$\Psi(z) = z \left(1 + \frac{(1-A_1)(1-p\beta)}{p} \left(\frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \right) \right),$$

then $\Psi(z)$ is regular in \mathbb{U} and $\Psi(0) = 0$.

Using similar arguments as in proof of (i) and (ii), condition (iii) can be easily verified. □

Theorem 3.7. If $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\frac{1-p\beta}{p} z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} \left(1 + M_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z)) \right) \right| < \frac{A_1-B}{1-A_1},$$

then $f \in \mathcal{M}_{[\alpha_1]}(p; \alpha; \beta; \lambda; A, B)$, for $-1 \leq B < A_1 < \frac{1+B}{2}$, where

$$M_{p,l,m}^{\alpha_1}(F(z)) = \frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))''}{(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} - \frac{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))')'}{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z) + \beta z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'}.$$

Proof. Let

$$q(z) = \frac{-p}{1-p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\}. \tag{3.21}$$

Then $q(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} . The condition of theorem gives us that

$$\left| z \left(\frac{1}{q(z)} \right)' \right| < \frac{A_1-B}{1-A_1},$$

that is,

$$z \left(\frac{1}{q(z)} \right)' < \frac{A_1-B}{1-A_1} z. \tag{3.22}$$

An application of Lemma 2.3 to (3.22) yields

$$q(z) < \frac{1-A_1}{1-A_1+(A_1-B)z} = F(z). \tag{3.23}$$

By noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \left(1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} \right) &= \Re \left(\frac{1-A_1-(A_1-B)z}{1-A_1+(A_1-B)z} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1-A_1-(A_1-B)}{1-A_1+(A_1-B)} \\ &> 0 \left(-1 \leq B < A_1 < \frac{1+B}{2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the region $F(\mathbb{U})$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis and F is convex univalent in

U. Therefore, we have

$$\Re(F(z)) > F(1) = \frac{1 - A_1}{1 - B}. \quad (3.24)$$

Combining (3.21), (3.23) and (3.24), we deduce that for $(-1 \leq B < A_1 < \frac{1+B}{2})$,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} \right\} < -\frac{1 - A_1}{1 - B},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{p}{1 - p\beta} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z)}{z(\mathcal{H}_{p,l,m}[\alpha_1] F_\lambda(z))'} + \beta \right\} < -\frac{1 + A_1 z}{1 + Bz}.$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 3.7. \square

Acknowledgment

The work here is supported by MOHE grant: FRGS/1/2016/STG06/UKM/01/1.

References

- [1] N. E. Cho, I. H. Kim, *Inclusion properties of certain classes of meromorphic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **187** (2007), 115–121. [1](#)
- [2] P. L. Duren, *Univalent functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, New York, (1983). [1](#)
- [3] J. Dziok, H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **103** (1999), 1–13. [1](#)
- [4] I. S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. London Math. Soc., **3** (1971), 469–474. [2.1](#)
- [5] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, I*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., **21** (1973), 17–25. [1](#)
- [6] J.-L. Liu, *A linear operator and its applications on meromorphic p -valent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **31** (2003), 23–32. [1](#)
- [7] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, *A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **259** (2001), 566–581. [1](#)
- [8] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, *Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **39** (2004), 21–34. [1](#)
- [9] J.-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava, *Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with a certain linear operator*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **39** (2004), 35–44. [1](#)
- [10] L.-N. Ma, S.-H. Li, *On certain class of meromorphically multivalent reciprocal starlike functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator defined by subordination*, Filomat, **28** (2014), 1965–1982. [1](#)
- [11] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Differential Equations, **67** (1987), 199–211. [2.2](#)
- [12] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations, Theory and applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (2000). [1](#)
- [13] R. K. Raina, H. M. Srivastava, *A new class of meromorphically multivalent functions with applications to generalized hypergeometric functions*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **43** (2006), 350–356. [1](#)
- [14] H. Silverman, E. M. Silvia, *Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions*, Canad. J. Math., **37** (1985), 48–61. [1](#)
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa (ed.), *Current topics in analytic function theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, (1992). [1](#)
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, J. Patel, *Some subclasses of multivalent functions involving a certain linear operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **310** (2005), 209–228. [1](#)
- [17] Y. Sun, W.-P. Kuang, Z.-G. Wang, *On meromorphic starlike functions of reciprocal order α* , Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **35** (2012), 469–477. [1](#), [1](#)
- [18] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, *New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **43** (1991), 137–140. [1](#)
- [19] D.-G. Yang, *On a class of meromorphic starlike multivalent functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **24** (1996), 151–157. [1](#)
- [20] D.-G. Yang, *Some criteria for multivalently starlikeness*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **24** (2000), 491–497. [1](#), [2.3](#)