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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let C and D be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of a real Hilbert space H with inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$. Recall that a mapping $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is said to be contractive if and only if there exists a real constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leqslant \alpha\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in D .
$$

$\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}$ is said to be a Meir-Keeler contraction if for every $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\|x-y\| \leqslant \epsilon+\delta \quad \text { implies } \quad\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leqslant \epsilon
$$

for all $x, y \in C$. It is known that every Meir-Keeler contraction is a generalization of contractions and also has a unique fixed point; see [12] and the references therein.
$f: D \rightarrow D$ is said to be nonexpansive if and only if

$$
\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leqslant\|x-y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in D
$$

For every point $x \in H$, there exists a unique nearest point in $D$ denoted by $P_{D} x$ such that

$$
\left\|x-P_{D} x\right\| \leqslant\|x-y\|, \quad \forall y \in D
$$

$P_{D}$ is called the metric projection of $H$ onto $D$. It is well-known that $P_{D}$ is nonexpansive mapping and

[^0]satisfies
$$
\left\langle x-y, P_{D} x-P_{D} y\right\rangle \geqslant\left\|P_{D} x-P_{D} y\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H
$$

Moreover, $P_{D} x$ is characterized by the fact $P_{D} x \in D$ and

$$
\left\langle x-P_{D} x, y-P_{D} x\right\rangle \leqslant 0
$$

and

$$
\|x-y\|^{2} \geqslant\left\|x-P_{D} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|y-P_{D} x\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in D
$$

In a real Hilbert space the following holds

$$
\|\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y\|^{2}=\lambda\|x\|^{2}+(1-\lambda)\|y\|^{2}-\lambda(1-\lambda)\|x-y\|^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and $\lambda \in(0,1)$. It is well-known that every nonexpansive operator $f: H \rightarrow H$ satisfies for all $x, y \in H \times H$, the inequality

$$
\langle(x-f(x))-(y-f(y)), f(y)-f(x)\rangle \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\|(f(x)-x)-(f(y)-y)\|^{2}
$$

and therefore, we get for all $(x, y) \in H \times \operatorname{Fix}(f)$,

$$
\langle x-f(x), y-f(y)\rangle \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\|f(x)-x\|^{2}
$$

A mapping $f: H \rightarrow H$ is said to be averaged if and only if it can be written as the average of the identity mapping and a nonexpansive mapping, i.e., $f:=(1-\alpha) I+\alpha g$ where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $g: H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive and I is the identity operator on H . We note that averaged mappings are nonexpansive. Further, firmly nonexpansive mappings (in particular, projections on nonempty closed and convex subsets and resolvent operators of maximal monotone operators) are averaged. If $f=(1-\alpha) g+\alpha g^{\prime}$, where $g: H \rightarrow H$ is averaged, $g^{\prime}: H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive and $\alpha \in(0,1)$, then $f$ is averaged. The composite of finitely many averaged mappings is still averaged.

If $D$ is bounded closed and convex, then the set of fixed points of nonexpansive mapping $f$ is not empty. The theory of nonexpansive mappings has been investigated for solving various convex optimization problems; see $[8,6,15,14]$ and the references therein. Halpern iterative algorithm is an efficient tool to study fixed points of nonexpansvie mappings in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Halpern iterative algorithm generated a sequence in the following manner

$$
x_{1} \in D, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} u+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) f\left(x_{n}\right), \quad \forall n \geqslant 1
$$

where $u$ is a fixed element in $D$ and $f$ is a nonexpansive mapping. It is known that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to a special fixed point of $f$ with some restrictions imposed on $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$. For more convergence results in the framework of Hilbert spaces, one is referred to $[1,9,10,19,20]$ and the references there. Moudafi viscosity iterative algorithm has recently extensively investigated for solving fixed points of the class of nonexpansive mappings; see [13] and the references therein. He proved that the special fixed point is also a solution to some monotone variational inequality; see, also $[7,16,18]$ and the references therein. Recently, Suzuki [17] further improved the viscosity approximation method with the Meir-Keeler contraction.

A mapping $F: D \rightarrow H$ is said to be:
(i) monotone, if

$$
\langle F x-F y, x-y\rangle \geqslant 0
$$

for all $x, y \in D ;$
(ii) $v$-inverse strongly monotone, if

$$
\langle F x-F y, x-y\rangle \geqslant v\|F x-F y\|^{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in D$;
(iii) L-Lipschitzian, if

$$
\|F x-F y\| \leqslant L\|x-y\|
$$

for all $x, y \in D$, in particular, $F$ is called nonexpansive when $L=1$. It is known that if $F$ is $v$-inverse strongly monotone, then it is $\frac{1}{v}$-Lipschitzian and monotone.

Split feasibility problem was first introduced by Censor and Elfving [4] in 1994. Censor and Elfving first studied the split feasibility problem in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space for modeling inverse problems that arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction. Many image reconstruction problems can be formulated as the split feasibility problem; see, for example, [2] and the references therein. Recently, it is found that the SFP could also be applied to study the intensity-modulated radiation therapy; see, for example, [3,5] and the references therein. Byrne [2] recently developed the split feasibility problem in the setting of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

Let $C$ and $Q$ be nonempty, closed, and convex subsets in Hilbert spaces $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, respectively. Then the split feasibility problem is formulated as finding a point $x \in C$ with the property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \in C, \quad A x \in Q \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A: C \subset H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ is a bounded linear operator. We denote by $\Gamma$ the solution set of the split feasibility problem, that is,

$$
\Gamma=\left\{x \in \mathrm{H}_{1}: x \in \mathrm{C}, \quad \mathrm{~A} x \in \mathrm{Q}\right\}=\mathrm{C} \cap A^{-1}(\mathrm{Q})
$$

It is clear that $A^{-1}(Q)$ is a closed convex subset of $H_{1}$, and hence $\Gamma$ is also a closed convex subset of $H_{1}$. Let $P_{C}$ and $P_{Q}$ be metric projections onto sets $C$ and $Q$, respectively. It is well-known that if $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, then solving the SFP is equivalent to solving a fixed point equation

$$
x=P_{C}\left(x-\gamma A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A x\right)
$$

where $A^{*}$ is the adjoint operator of $A$ and $\gamma>0$ is a parameter. If we define a mapping $U_{\gamma}$ by

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\gamma} x=x-\gamma A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x
$$

then we have $x=P_{C} U_{\gamma} x$. Assume that problem (1.1) is consistent, i.e., it has a solution, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\gamma}\right)=A^{-1}(\mathrm{Q})$ and hence $\Gamma=C \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\gamma}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(\mathrm{P}_{C} \mathrm{U}_{\gamma}\right)$ for sufficiently small $\gamma>0$. It is well-known that if $\gamma \in\left(0,2 /\|A\|^{2}\right)$, then $\mathrm{U}_{\gamma}$ is averaged and hence $\mathrm{P}_{C} \mathrm{U}_{\gamma}$ is also averaged. We observe that the averaged nonexpansiveness of $U_{\gamma}$ heavily depends on the choice of $\gamma$, that is, $\gamma \in\left(0,2 /\|A\|^{2}\right)$ is required, and hence the choice of $\gamma$ is closely related to the norm $\|A\|$ of operator $A$.

The following lemmas are essential to prove our main results.
Lemma 1.1 ([17]). Let $g$ be a Meir-Keeler on a convex subset $C$ of a Banach space $E$. Then for each $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\mathrm{K} \in(0,1)$ such that $\|x-\mathrm{y}\| \geqslant \epsilon$ implies $\|\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})\| \leqslant \mathrm{k}\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}\|$, for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{C}$.

Lemma 1.2 ([11]). Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying

$$
a_{n+1} \leqslant \alpha_{n} r_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n}, \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfy
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(ii) $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}<\infty$.

Then

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}
$$

The following two lemmas are not hard to derive.
Lemma 1.3. Let $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}: \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be the metric projection from H on a nonempty, closed, and convex subset C . Then the following conclusions hold true:
(a) Given $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{H}$ and $z \in \mathrm{C}$. Then $z=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{x}$ if and only if there holds the inequality

$$
\langle x-z, y-z\rangle \leqslant 0, \forall y \in C .
$$

(b) $\left\langle P_{C} x-P_{C} y, x-y\right\rangle \geqslant\left\|P_{C} x-P_{C} y\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H$.
(c) $\left\langle\left(I-P_{C}\right) x-\left(I-P_{C}\right) y, x-y\right\rangle \geqslant\left\|\left(I-P_{C}\right) x-\left(I-P_{C}\right) y\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H$.
(d) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~S}$ with S nonexpansive.
(e) $\left\|\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}} y\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|\left(I-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{x}-\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) y\right\|^{2}, \forall x, y \in \mathrm{H}$. In particular, we have:
(f) $\left\|P_{C} x-y\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|x-y\|^{2}-\left\|\left(I-P_{C}\right) x\right\|^{2}, \forall x \in H, y \in C$.

Lemma 1.4. Let H be a real Hilbert space. Then the following equality holds

$$
\|x\|^{2}+2\langle x, y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}=\|x+y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in H .
$$

## 2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let C and D be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of a real Hilbert space H such that $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$. Let $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ be a $v$-inverse strongly monotone operator such that $\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1]$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\alpha_{n}=o\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be an $\alpha$-contractive mapping. Let $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative process

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \in D, x_{n+1}=P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\beta_{n} F x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ converges in norm to $\mathrm{x}^{*} \in \mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$, where $\mathrm{x}^{*}$ uniquely solves the following variational inequality

$$
\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, x^{*}-x\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \quad \forall x \in C \cap F^{-1}(0) .
$$

Proof. Since F is continuous, we see that $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$ is closed. Next we show that $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$ is convex. Indeed, for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in F^{-1}(0)$, write $x_{t}=t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2}$ for $t \in(0,1)$. Then we have $x_{t} \in D$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{F} x_{\mathrm{t}}, x_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right\rangle \geqslant v\left\|\mathrm{~F} x_{\mathrm{t}}\right\|^{2}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F x_{t}, x_{t}-x_{2}\right\rangle \geqslant v\left\|F x_{t}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying $t$ and $(1-t)$ on the both sides of (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, and adding up yields

$$
0=\left\langle F x_{t}, x_{t}-x_{t}\right\rangle \geqslant v\left\|F x_{t}\right\|^{2},
$$

which means that $\mathrm{F} x_{\mathrm{t}}=0$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$ is convex. Therefore $\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$ is close and convex. So the metric projection onto $\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)$ is well-defined. Since $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)} \mathrm{f}$ is $\alpha$-contractive, we see that $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)} \mathrm{f}$ has a unique fixed point. Next, we use $x^{*}$ to denote the unique fixed point, that is, $x^{*}=P_{C \cap F-1}(0) f\left(x^{*}\right)$.

Next we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Write $v_{n}=x_{n}-\beta_{n} F x_{n}$. For all $z \in F^{-1}(0)$, by using Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}-z\right\|^{2} & =\left\|x_{n}-z-\beta_{n}\left(F x_{n}-F z\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-z, F x_{n}-F z\right\rangle+\beta_{n}^{2}\left\|F x_{n}-F z\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n} v\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{n}^{2}\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(2 v-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. Since $\beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\beta_{n} \leqslant 2 v$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$
\left\|v_{n}-z\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-z\right\|
$$

for all $z \in \mathrm{~F}^{-1}(0)$ and all $n \geqslant 1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| & \leqslant\left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant \alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\alpha)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n}(1-\alpha)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\alpha_{n}(1-\alpha) \frac{\left\|f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}\right\|}{1-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. This implies that

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leqslant \max \left\{\left\|x_{1}-x^{*}\right\|, \frac{\left\|f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}\right\|}{1-\alpha}\right\} .
$$

This shows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Using Lemma 1.4, we find from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) v_{n}\right]-P_{C} x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leqslant & \left\|\alpha_{n}\left(f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(v_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}, v_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leqslant & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(2 v-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right), v_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, v_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leqslant & \left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\beta_{n}\left(2 v-\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \alpha\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& +2 \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, v_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle+\alpha_{n}^{2}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. Setting $a_{n}=\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n}= & \frac{\beta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)^{2}\left(2 v-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|F x_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \alpha\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\left\|v_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& -2\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, v_{n}-x^{*}\right\rangle-\alpha_{n}\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-x^{*}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we arrive at

$$
a_{n+1} \leqslant\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) a_{n}+\alpha_{n}\left(-r_{n}\right)
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1$. Noting that $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ is bounded below, we see that $\left\{-r_{n}\right\}$ is bounded above. By using Lemma 1.2, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leqslant \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(-r_{n}\right)=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}<\infty . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\liminf \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_{k}}$, then $\left\{r_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is a bounded subsequence of $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$. This implies that there exists a positive constant $\zeta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}}\left(1-\alpha_{n_{k}}\right)^{2}\left(2 v-\beta_{n_{k}}\right)\left\|F x_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \zeta \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geqslant 1$. It follows from (2.6) that

$$
\left\|F x_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \zeta \frac{\alpha_{n_{k}}}{\beta_{n_{k}}} \frac{1}{\left(1-\alpha_{n_{k}}\right)^{2}\left(2 v-\beta_{n_{k}}\right)}
$$

for all $\mathrm{k} \geqslant 1$, which derives that $\mathrm{F} x_{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty$, in view of conditions (i) and (iii) on $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\bar{x} \in C$, since $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ and $C$ is weakly closed. Setting $E=I-F$, we see that $E$ is nonexpansive. From the demiclosed principal of nonexpansive mapping, we find that $\bar{x}=E \bar{x}$. It follows that we have also $F \bar{x}=0$. Thus we have $\bar{x} \in C \cap F^{-1}(0)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, \bar{x}-x^{*}\right\rangle \leqslant 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{n}-x_{n}=-\beta_{n} F x_{n}, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left\{F x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, we see that $v_{n}-x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and thus $\nu_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, from the definition of $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{n_{k}} \geqslant-2\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, \bar{x}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.8), we derive that $a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.
Remark 2.2. Choose the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ such that $\alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{a}}$ anc $\beta_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{b}}$, where $0<b<a \leqslant 1$. Then it is clear that conditions (i)-(iii) in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

Corollary 2.3. Let C and D be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of a real Hilbert space H such that $\mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{D}$. Let $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}$ be a v-inverse strongly monotone operator such that $\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1]$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\alpha_{n}=o\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ be an $\alpha$-contractive mapping. Let $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by (2.1), where u is a fixed element in D . Then $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ converges in norm to $\mathrm{x}^{*}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C} \cap \mathrm{F}^{-1}(0)} \mathrm{u}$.

Next, we give a viscosity convergence theorem with a contraction.
Theorem 2.4. Let C and Q be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. Suppose that the split feasibility problem (1.1) is consistent, i.e., $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1]$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\alpha_{n}=o\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}$ be contractive mapping. Let $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative process

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \in H_{1}, \quad x_{n+1}=P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\beta_{n} A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges in norm to $x^{*}=P_{\Gamma} f\left(x^{*}\right)$, that is, $x^{*}$ uniquely solves the following variational inequality

$$
\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, x^{*}-x\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma .
$$

Proof. Define $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}$ by $\mathrm{Fx}=A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x$, for all $x \in \mathrm{H}_{1}$. Then (2.9) becomes (2.1). It is sufficient to prove that $F$ is $\frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}$-inverse strongly monotone such that $F^{-1}(0)=A^{-1}(Q)$. Indeed, by using Lemma 1.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x-y, F x-F y\rangle & =\left\langle x-y, A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x-A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A y\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x-\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A y, A x-A y\right\rangle \\
& \geqslant\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x-\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A y\right\|^{2} \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}\left\|A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A x-A^{*}\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) A y\right\|^{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
& =\frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}\|F x-\mathrm{Fy}\|^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

which verifies that $F$ is $\frac{1}{\|A\|^{2}}$-inverse strongly monotone. Assume that $x \in F^{-1}(0)$. We have $F x=0$. Since $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$, we can take a point $w \in \Gamma$. This implies that $A w=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}} A w$, and hence $\mathrm{F} w=0$. In view of (2.10), we have

$$
0=\langle\mathrm{Fx}-\mathrm{F} w, \mathrm{x}-w\rangle \geqslant\left\|\left(\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Q}}\right) \mathrm{Ax}\right\|^{2}
$$

which implies that $x \in A^{-1}(Q)$. It is clear that $A^{-1}(Q) \subset F^{-1}(0)$. Then $A^{-1}(Q)=F^{-1}(0)$. This completes the proof.

Using Theorem 2.4, we have the following result.
Corollary 2.5. Let C and Q be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, respectively. Let $A: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. Suppose that the split feasibility problem (1.1) is consistent, i.e., $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1]$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\alpha_{n}=\mathrm{o}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}$ be contractive mapping. Let a sequence $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be generated by the following iterative process

$$
x_{1} \in H_{1}, \quad x_{n+1}=P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} u+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\beta_{n} A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A x_{n}\right)\right], \quad n \geqslant 1
$$

where $u$ is a fixed element in $\mathrm{H}_{1}$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges in norm to $x^{*}=\mathrm{P}_{\Gamma} \mathrm{u}$.
Finally, we give another viscosity convergence theorem with a Meir-Keeler contraction.
Theorem 2.6. Let C and Q be two nonempty, closed, and convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, respectively. Let $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ be a bounded linear operator. Suppose that the split feasibility problem (1.1) is consistent, i.e., $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $(0,1]$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$;
(iii) $\alpha_{n}=o\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

Let $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{H}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}$ be a Meir-Keeler contraction. Let $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ be a sequence generated by the following iterative process

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \in H_{1}, \quad x_{n+1}=P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} g\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}-\beta_{n} A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A x_{n}\right)\right], n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to $x^{*}$, where $x^{*}=P_{\Gamma} g\left(x^{*}\right)$, that is, $x^{*}$ uniquely solves the following variational inequality

$$
\left\langle f\left(x^{*}\right)-x^{*}, x^{*}-x\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \quad \forall x \in \Gamma .
$$

Proof. Define a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
y_{n+1}=P_{C}\left[\alpha_{n} g\left(x^{*}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-\beta_{n} A^{*}\left(I-P_{Q}\right) A y_{n}\right)\right]
$$

From Corollary 2.5, we see that $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ strongly converges to $x^{*}=P_{\Gamma} g\left(x^{*}\right)$. Next, we prove that $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=\lambda>0$. For all $\varepsilon \in(0, \lambda)$, we can choose $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|>\varepsilon+\eta
$$

For above $\varepsilon>0$, we see [17] that there exists $\kappa \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
k\|x-y\| \geqslant\|f(x)-f(y)\|
$$

for all $x, y \in H_{1}$ with $\|x-y\| \geqslant \varepsilon$, which implies that

$$
\max \{\kappa\|x-y\|, \varepsilon\} \geqslant\|f(x)-f(y)\|
$$

for all $x, y \in H_{1}$. Since $y_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that there exists some integer $n_{0} \geqslant 1$ such that $\eta(1-\beta) \geqslant\left\|y_{n}-z\right\|$, for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$.

Now, we divide the following two cases:
There exists some $n_{1} \geqslant n_{0}$ such that $\left\|x_{n_{1}}-y_{n_{1}}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon+\eta$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{1}+1}-y_{n_{1}+1}\right\| \leqslant & \alpha_{n_{1}}\left\|g\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)-g\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n_{1}}\right)\left\|F x_{n_{1}}-F y_{n_{1}}\right\| \\
\leqslant & \alpha_{n_{1}}\left\|g\left(x_{n_{1}}\right)-g\left(y_{n_{1}}\right)\right\|+\alpha_{n_{1}}\left\|g\left(y_{n_{1}}\right)-g\left(x^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n_{1}}\right)\left\|x_{n_{1}}-y_{n_{1}}\right\| \\
\leqslant & \alpha_{n_{1}} \max \left\{\kappa\left\|x_{n_{1}}-y_{n_{1}}\right\|, \varepsilon\right\} \\
& +\alpha_{n_{1}}\left\|g\left(y_{n_{1}}\right)-g\left(x^{*}\right)\right\|+\left(1-\alpha_{n_{1}}\right)\left\|x_{n_{1}}-y_{n_{1}}\right\| \\
\leqslant & \varepsilon+\eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\left\|x_{n_{1}+2}-y_{n_{1}+2}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon+\eta$. By induction, we have $\left\|x_{n_{1}+m}-y_{n_{1}+m}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon+\eta$, for all $m \geqslant 1$, which implies that $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow \infty}$ $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant \varepsilon+\eta$. This is a contradiction. Hence $x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*}$.

Finally, we show that the other case $\left\|x_{n_{1}}-y_{n_{1}}\right\|>\varepsilon+\eta$, for all $n \geqslant n_{1}$ is impossible. Note that $\kappa\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \geqslant\left\|f\left(x_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|$, for all $n \geqslant n_{1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n_{1}+1}-y_{n_{1}+1}\right\| \leqslant & \alpha_{n}\left\|g\left(x_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|g\left(y_{n}\right)-g(z)\right\| \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \\
\leqslant & \left(1-(1-\kappa) \alpha_{n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|+\alpha_{n}\left\|y_{n}-x^{*}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields to $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\varepsilon+\eta \leqslant 0$, which is a contradiction. This shows that the second case is impossible. The proof is completed.
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