



Erratum to "On some fixed points of $\alpha - \psi$ contractive mappings with rational expressions, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 1569 - 1581"



Erdal Karapınar

Department of Mathematics, Atılım University 06836, Incek, Ankara, Turkey.

Communicated by M. Bohner

Abstract

The aim of this note is to correct the affiliation of the authors in [E. Karapınar, A. Dehici, N. Redjel, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 1569–1581]. We shall also extend the main result of this paper further.

Keywords: Complete metric space, (c)-comparison function, fixed point, α -admissible mapping, cyclic mapping.

2010 MSC: 46T99, 47H10, 54H25.

©2018 All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The main aim of this note is to correct the affiliation of the first author in [2]. On the other hand, by getting a chance for putting a note on [2], we shall give a more generalized version of the main results in [2]. For this purpose, we shall use the notion of *simulation function*:

Definition 1.1 ([3]). A *simulation function* is a mapping $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(ζ_1) $\zeta(t, s) < s - t$ for all $t, s > 0$;

(ζ_2) if $\{t_n\}, \{s_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Notice that in [3] there was a superfluous condition $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$. Let \mathcal{Z} denote the family of all simulation functions $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Due to the axiom (ζ_1), we have

$$\zeta(t, t) < 0 \text{ for all } t > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Email address: erdal.karapinar@atilim.edu.tr (Erdal Karapınar)

doi: [10.22436/jnsa.011.03.10](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.011.03.10)

Received: 2017-12-15 Revised: 2018-01-20 Accepted: 2018-01-21

Example 1.2 (See e.g. [1, 3, 4]). We define the mappings $\zeta_i : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, as follows:

Suppose that $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a function such that $\limsup_{t \rightarrow r^+} \varphi(t) < 1$ for all $r > 0$. We define

$$\zeta_1(t, s) = s\varphi(s) - t \quad \text{for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be an upper semi-continuous mapping such that $\eta(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$ and $\eta(0) = 0$. Then, we construct

$$\zeta_2(t, s) = \eta(s) - t \quad \text{for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

If $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(u) du$ exists and $\int_0^\varepsilon \phi(u) du > \varepsilon$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, then we state

$$\zeta_3(t, s) = s - \int_0^t \phi(u) du \quad \text{for all } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

Clearly, each function ζ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is a simulation function.

2. Simulation results

In this section, we shall generalize the main result in [2]. We refer to [2], for all used notions and notations here.

We start this section by introducing the concept of $\alpha - \psi - K$ mappings of ζ type.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping and $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$. We say that f is an $\alpha - \psi - K$ mapping of ζ type if there exist two functions $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$\zeta(\alpha(x, y)d(f(x), f(y)), \psi(K(x, y))) \geq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X, x \neq y$, where

$$K(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))}{2}, \frac{d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))}{2}, \frac{d(x, f(x))d(y, f(y))}{d(x, y)}, \frac{d(y, f(y))[1 + d(x, f(x))]}{[1 + d(x, y)]} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an $\alpha - \psi - K$ mapping of ζ type satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) f is α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \geq 1$;
- (iii) f is continuous.

Then there exists $u \in X$ such that $f(u) = u$.

Sketch of the Proof.

$$0 \leq \zeta(\alpha(x, y)d(f(x), f(y)), \psi(K(x, y))) < \psi(K(x, y)) - \alpha(x, y)d(f(x), f(y)), \quad (2.2)$$

which yields that

$$\alpha(x, y)d(f(x), f(y)) < \psi(K(x, y)).$$

Verbatim of the proof of the main theorem in [2], we complete the proof. \square

3. Correction of the affiliation in [2]

The affiliation of the first author, Erdal Karapınar, in the recently published paper [2] should be "Department of Mathematics, Atılım University 06836, Incek, Ankara, Turkey".

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the editor for his indispensable help.

References

- [1] H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapınar, F. Khojasteh, A.-F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, *A proposal to the study of contractions in quasi-metric spaces*, *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.*, **2014** (2014), 10 pages. [1.2](#)
- [2] E. Karapınar, A. Dehici, N. Redjel, *On some fixed points of $\alpha - \psi$ contractive mappings with rational expressions*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10** (2017), 1569–1581. [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [3] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla, S. Radenović, *A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems via simulation functions*, *Filomat*, **29** (2015), 1189–1194. [1.1](#), [1.1](#), [1.2](#)
- [4] A.-F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, E. Karapınar, C. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, J. Martínez-Moreno, *Coincidence point theorems on metric spaces via simulation functions*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **275** (2015), 345–355. [1.2](#)