



## Existence of integrable solutions for integro-differential inclusions of fractional order; coupled system approach



A. M. A. El-Sayed<sup>a</sup>, Sh. M. Al-Issa<sup>b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Science, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Science, Lebanes International University, Beirut, Lebanon.

<sup>c</sup>Faculty of Science, The International University of Beirut, Saida, Lebanon.

### Abstract

In this article, we establish the existence of solutions for a functional integral equation of fractional order. The study upholds the case when the set-valued function has  $L^1$ -Carathéodory selections, we reformulate the functional integral inclusion according to these selections via a classical fixed point theorem of Schauder and present theorem for the existence of integrable solutions. As an application, the existence of solutions of nonlinear functional integro-differential inclusion with an initial value, and the initial value problem for the arbitrary-order differential inclusion will be studied.

**Keywords:** Fractional calculus, integro-differential inclusion,  $L^1$ -Carathéodory selections, Schauder fixed point principle, Kolmogorov compactness criterion.

**2010 MSC:** 26A33, 47H30, 47G10.

©2020 All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

The topic of differential and integral inclusions is of much interest in the subject of set-valued analysis. Differential equations and control processes, the existence theorems for the inclusions problems are generally obtained under the assumption that the set-valued function is either lower or upper semicontinuous on the domain of its definitions (see [2, 21]) and for the discontinuity of the set-valued function (see [8]).

Indeed set-valued functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [1, 10–15, 18]).

In this paper we discuss the existence of integrable solutions to the following fractional order functional integral inclusion

$$x(t) \in F_1(t, I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $F_1 : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  is a set-valued mapping and  $P(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the family of nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  under a set of several suitable assumptions on the function  $F_1$ .

\*Corresponding author

Email addresses: [amasayed@alexu.edu.eg](mailto:amasayed@alexu.edu.eg) (A. M. A. El-Sayed), [shorouk.alissa@liu.edu.lb](mailto:shorouk.alissa@liu.edu.lb) (Sh. M. Al-Issa)

doi: [10.22436/jnsa.013.04.02](https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.013.04.02)

Received: 2019-08-26 Revised: 2019-10-31 Accepted: 2019-11-27

Our study is based on the selections of the set-valued function  $F_1$  by reformulating the functional integral inclusion (1.1) into a coupled system. We present the existence of integrable solution under the assumption that a set-valued function  $F_1$  has  $L^1$ -Carathèodory selection and with the classical Schauder fixed point principle and Kolmogorov compactness criterion.

As an application we study the existence of solutions of integro- differential inclusion of fractional order

$$x(t) \in \int_0^t F_1(s, I^\alpha f_2(s, x'(s))) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \text{with } x(0) = x_0. \quad (1.2)$$

Also, the initial-value problem for the arbitrary (fractional) order differential inclusion

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} \in F_1(t, D^\beta x(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T], \quad \beta \in (0, 1], \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $F_1(t, x(t))$   $L^1$ -Carathèodory set-valued function defined on  $(0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$  will be studied.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions and preliminary facts from set-valued analysis which are used throughout this paper. Denote by  $L^1(I)$  the class of Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval  $I = [0, T]$ , endowed with the usual norm

$$\|x\| = \int_0^T |x(t)| dt.$$

**Definition 2.1.** The Riemann-Liouville of a fractional integral of the function  $f \in L^1(I)$  of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is defined by

$$I_a^\alpha f(t) = \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s) ds$$

and when  $a = 0$ , we have  $I^\alpha f(t) = I_0^\alpha f(t)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The (Caputo) fractional-order derivative  $D^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  of the absolutely continuous function  $g$  is defined as

$$D_a^\alpha g(t) = I_a^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{dt} g(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{ds} g(s) ds, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

For further properties of fractional calculus operator see [20, 22–24].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two nonempty sets, a set-valued (multi-valued) map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is a function that associates to any element  $x \in X$  a subset  $F(x)$  of  $Y$ , called the (image) valued of  $F$  at  $x$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $F$  be a strict set-valued map (we say  $F$  is strict if the domain of  $F$  is  $X$  itself),  $f$  is called a selection of  $F$  if  $f(x) \in F(x)$ , for every  $x \in X$ , we denote by  $S_F = \{f : f(x) \in F(x), x \in X\}$  the set of all selections of  $F$  (for the properties of the selection of  $F$  see [6, 10, 17, 19]).

**Definition 2.5.** A single-valued function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is called  $L^1$ -Carathèodory if:

- (1)  $t \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t \in I$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (2)  $x \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  for almost all  $t \in I$ ;
- (3) there exists  $h \in L^1(I)$  such that  $|f(t, x)| \leq h(t)$  for almost all  $t \in I$ .

**Theorem 2.6 ([7]).** Let  $F : I \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  be an  $L^1$ -Carathèodory multi-function, the set  $S_1 F(., x(.))$  is nonempty (i.e., there exists a selector  $f$  of  $F$  which belongs to  $L^1(I)$ ).

**Theorem 2.7** ([7], Schauder fixed point theorem). *Let  $Q$  be a convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ ,  $T : Q \rightarrow Q$  be a compact, continuous map. Then  $T$  has at least one fixed point in  $Q$ .*

**Theorem 2.8** ([9], Kolmogorov compactness criterion). *Let  $\Omega \subseteq L^p(I)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If*

- (i)  $\Omega$  is bounded in  $L^p(I)$ ; and
- (ii)  $x_h \rightarrow x$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $x \in \Omega$ ,

then  $\Omega$  is relatively compact in  $L^p(I)$ , where

$$x_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{t+h} x(s) \, ds.$$

### 3. Existence of integrable solutions

In this section, we present our main result by proving the existence of at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$  of the functional integral inclusion (1.1) under the following assumptions:

- (H<sub>1</sub>) Let  $F_1(t, x(t)) : I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^+}$  satisfy the following assumptions:
- (i) the set  $F_1(t, x)$  is non-empty, closed and convex subset for all  $(t, x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ;
  - (ii)  $F_1(t, \cdot)$  is upper semi-continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for each  $t \in I$ ;
  - (iii)  $F_1(\cdot, x)$  is measurable in  $t \in I$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;
  - (iv) there exists an integrable function  $h(t) \in L^1(I)$ , such that  $|F_1(t, x)| = \sup\{|f_1| : f_1 \in F_1(t, x)\} \leq h(t)$ , for almost all  $t \in I$ ;
- (H<sub>2</sub>)  $f_2 : I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , satisfies Carathéodory condition, i.e.,  $f_2$  is measurable in  $t$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and continuous in  $x$  for almost all  $t \in I$ . There exists a function  $a \in L^1$  and a constant  $b > 0$  such that

$$|f_2(t, x)| \leq a(t) + b|x|, \quad \forall t \in I \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Now, let

$$y(t) = I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I.$$

Then the nonlinear functional integral inclusion (1.1) can be written in the form of the coupled system of functional inclusion and functional integral equation

$$x(t) \in F_1(t, y(t)), \quad t \in I. \tag{3.1}$$

$$y(t) = I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t)), \quad t \in I. \tag{3.2}$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be the class of all ordered pairs  $(u, v)$ ,  $u, v \in C[0, T]$ , with the norm

$$\|(u; v)\|_X = \|u\| + \|v\|.$$

**Definition 3.2.** By a solution of the coupled system (3.1), (3.2) we mean the functions  $x, y \in L^1(I)$  satisfying (3.1), (3.2).

Now for the existence of integrable solution  $U = (x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in L^1(I)$  of the coupled system (3.1), (3.2) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let the assumptions (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>2</sub>) be satisfied. Then there exists at least one integrable solution  $U = (x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in L^1(I)$  of the coupled system (3.1), (3.2).*

*Proof.* It is clear that from Theorem 2.6 and assumption (H<sub>2</sub>), the set of  $L^1$ -Carathéodory selection of  $F_1$  is non empty. So, the solution of the single-valued integral equation

$$x(t) = f_1(t, I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))), \quad t \in I, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $f_1 \in S_{F_1}$ , is a solution to the inclusion (1.1). It must be noted that the Carathéodory selection  $f_1 : I \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies the following assumptions:

- (I)  $f_1(x, \cdot)$  is continuous in  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for almost all  $t \in I$ ;
- (II)  $f_1(\cdot, t)$  is measurable in  $t \in I$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ;
- (III) there exists an integrable function  $h(t) \in L^1(I)$  such that  $|f_1(t; x)| \leq h(t)$ ,  $t \in I$ .

Then the nonlinear functional integral equation (3.3) can be written in the form

$$x(t) = f_1(t, y(t)), \quad t \in I. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, the functional integral equation (3.3) is equivalent to the coupled system (3.2) and (3.4). Let

$$U(t) = (x(t), y(t)) = (f_1(t, y(t)), I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))), \quad t \in I.$$

Let  $A$  be any operator defined by

$$AU(t) = A(x(t), y(t)) = (A_1y(t), A_2x(t)),$$

where  $A_1y(t) = f_1(t; y(t))$ ,  $t \in I$ ,  $A_2x(t) = I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))$ ,  $t \in I$ . Let the set  $Q_r$  be defined as

$$Q_r = \{U = (x, y) \in X : x, y \in L^1[0, T], \|U\| \leq r\}, \quad r = \|h\| + \frac{(\|a\| + b\|x\|) T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Then, it is clear that it is a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex. Let  $U \in Q_r$  be an arbitrary ordered pair, then

$$|A_1y(t)| = |f_1(t; y(t))|, \quad t \in I.$$

From the properties (1)-(3) of Definition 2.5, and by integration, we get

$$\int_0^t |A_1y(s)| ds = \int_0^t |f_1(s; y(s))| ds \leq \int_0^t |f_1(s; y(s))| ds \leq \int_0^t h(s) ds \leq \int_0^t |h(s)| ds.$$

Then  $\|A_1y\| \leq \|h\|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_2x(t)| &= |I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))|, \\ \|A_2x\| &\leq \int_0^t |I^\alpha f_2(s, x(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f_2(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |f_2(\tau, x(\tau))| d\tau \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |a(\tau)| d\tau ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} b |x(\tau)| d\tau ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t |a(\tau)| \int_\tau^t \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds d\tau + b \int_0^t |x(\tau)| \int_\tau^t \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t |a(\tau)| \frac{(t-\tau)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} d\tau + b \int_0^t |x(\tau)| \frac{(t-\tau)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{\|a\| T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{b T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^t |x(\tau)| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{\|a\| T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{b\|x\| T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\|AU\|_X = \|A_1y\| + \|A_2x\| \leq \|h\| + \frac{(\|a\| + b\|x\|) T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Hence  $AU \in Q_r$ , which proves that  $AQ_r \subset Q_r$ , i.e.,  $A : Q_r \rightarrow Q_r$ . The estimate shows that the operator  $A$  maps  $L^1(I)$  into itself.

Now, let us observe that the assumptions (I)-(III) imply that  $A_1$  is continuous on the set  $Q_r$  (see [3, 5]), and from the assumptions (H<sub>3</sub>),  $f_2$  is continuous in  $x$  and  $I^\alpha$  maps  $L^1(I)$  continuously into itself, then  $I^\alpha f(t, x(t))$  is continuous in  $x$ , and the operator  $A_2$  is continuous on the set  $Q_r$  (see [4, 16]). Hence, we deduce that the operator  $A$  is continuous on  $Q_r$ .

Finally, we will show that  $A$  is compact, to prove this we will apply Kolmogorov compactness criterion. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded subset of  $Q_r$ . Then  $(A\Omega)$  is bounded in  $L^1(I)$ , i.e, condition (i) of Theorem 2.8 is satisfied.

It remains to show that  $(AU)_h \rightarrow AU$  in  $L^1$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  uniformly with respect to  $AU \in \Omega$ . We have the following.

Let  $U \in \Omega \subset Q_r$ , that is  $y, x \in \Omega \subset Q_r, \{A_1\Omega\}, \{A_2\Omega\} \subset Q_r \subset L^1(I)$ , then

$$(A_1y)_h(t) - (A_1y)(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} A_1y(\tau) d\tau - A_1y(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} (A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t)) d\tau,$$

and

$$|(A_1y)_h(t) - (A_1y)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t)| d\tau,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_1y)_h(t) - (A_1y)(t)\| &= \int_0^T |(A_1y)_h(t) - A_1y(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t)| d\tau dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |p(\tau) - p(t)| d\tau dt + \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f_1(\tau, y(\tau)) - f_1(t, y(t))| d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $f_1 \in L^1(I)$ , then ([25])

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f_1(\tau, y(\tau)) - f_1(t, y(t))| d\tau \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $(A_1y)_h \rightarrow (A_1y)$ , uniformly as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_2x)_h(t) - (A_2x)(t)\| &= \int_0^T |(A_2x)_h(\tau) - A_2x(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |A_2x(\tau) - A_2x(t)| d\tau dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |I^\alpha f_2(\tau, x(\tau)) - I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))| d\tau dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $f_2 \in L^1(I)$  and  $I^\alpha f_2 \in L^1(I)$ , then following ([25]) we have

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |I^\alpha f_2(\tau, x(\tau)) - I^\alpha f_2(t, x(t))| d\tau dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$(A_2x)_h \rightarrow (A_2x), \text{ uniformly as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Now

$$A(x, y)_h(t) - A(x, y)(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} A(x, y)(\tau) d\tau - A(x, y)(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} (A(x, y)(\tau) - A(x, y)(t)) \, d\tau \\
 &= \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} ((A_2x(\tau), A_1y(\tau)) - (A_2x(t), A_1y(t))) \, d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(AU)_h(t) - (AU)(t)\| &= \|A(x, y)_h(t) - A(x, y)(t)\| \\
 &= \int_0^T \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} (A_2x(\tau), A_1y(\tau)) - (A_2x(t), A_1y(t)) \, d\tau \right| dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |(A_2x(\tau), A_1y(\tau)) - (A_2x(t), A_1y(t))| \, d\tau dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |(A_2x(\tau) - A_2x(t), A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t))| \, d\tau dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} [|A_2x(\tau) - A_2x(t)| + |A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t)|] \, d\tau dt \\
 &\leq \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |A_2x(\tau) - A_2x(t)| \, d\tau dt + \int_0^T \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |A_1y(\tau) - A_1y(t)| \, d\tau dt \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

since from the above estimate we show that

$$(A_2x)_h \rightarrow (A_2x), \text{ uniformly as } h \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(A_1y)_h \rightarrow (A_1y), \text{ uniformly as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$(AU)_h \rightarrow (AU), \text{ uniformly as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.8 we deduce that  $(A\Omega)$  is relatively compact, that is,  $A$  is a compact operator.

According to Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists at least one fixed point  $U \in Q_r$ , and then the system (3.2), (3.4) and consequently the system (3.1), (3.2) has at least one integrable solution  $U = (x, y) \in Q_r$ ,  $x, y \in L^1(I)$ . Hence, there exists at least one integrable solution of the functional integral inclusion (1.1).  $\square$

#### 4. Integro-differential inclusion

As an application of our main result we present the existence of at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$  of the functional integro-differential inclusion (1.2).

**Definition 4.1.** By a solution of the problem of integro-differential inclusion (1.2) we mean a function  $x \in L^1(I)$  and this function satisfies (1.2).

**Theorem 4.2.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 be satisfied, then there exists at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$  of the integro-differential inclusion (1.2).

*Proof.* Differentiating both sides of (1.1), we obtain

$$x'(t) \in F_1(t, I^\alpha f_2(t, x'(t))),$$

put  $x'(t) = u(t) \in L^1$ , then (1.1) will be similar to (1.2), and

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t u(s) \, ds \in L^1[0, T],$$

and from Theorem 3.3 there exists at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$  for the problem (1.2).  $\square$

## 5. Differential inclusion

Consider now the initial value problem of the differential inclusion (1.3).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.3 be satisfied, then the initial value problem (1.3) has at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y(t) = \frac{dx(t)}{dt}$ , and  $\alpha = \beta - 1$ , then the inclusion (1.3) will be

$$y(t) \in F_1(t, I^{\beta-1}y(t)). \quad (5.1)$$

Letting  $f_2(t, x) = x$  and applying Theorem 3.3 on the functional inclusion (5.1), we deduce that there exists a solution  $y \in L^1(I)$  of the functional inclusion (5.1).

This implies that there exists at least one solution  $x \in L^1(I)$

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t y(s) ds$$

of the initial-value problem (1.3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Al-Issa, A. M. A. El-Sayed, *Positive integrable solutions for nonlinear integral and differential inclusions of fractional-orders*, *Comment. Math.*, **49** (2009), 171–177. 1
- [2] J.-P. Aubin, A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusion*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1984). 1
- [3] J. Banaś, *On the superposition operator and integrable solutions of some functional equations*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **12** (1988), 777–784. 3
- [4] J. Banaś, *Integrable solutions of Hammerstein and Urysohn integral equations*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, **46** (1989), 61–68. 3
- [5] F. E. Browder, W. V. Petryshyn, *Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **20** (1967), 197–228. 3
- [6] A. Cellina, S. Solimini, *Continuous extensions of selections*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, **35** (1989), 573–581. 2.4
- [7] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1985). 2.6, 2.7
- [8] B. C. Dhage, *A functional integral inclusion involving discontinuities*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **5** (2004), 53–64. 1
- [9] J. Dugundji, A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (PWN), Warsaw, (1982). 2.8
- [10] A. M. A. El-Sayed, A.-G. Ibrahim, *Multivalued fractional differential equations*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **68** (1995), 15–25. 1, 2.4
- [11] A. M. A. El-Sayed, A.-G. Ibrahim, *Set-valued integral equations of fractional-orders*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **118** (2001), 113–121.
- [12] A. M. A. El-Sayed, S. M. Al-Issa, *Monotonic continuous solution for a mixed type integral inclusion of fractional order*, *J. Math. Appl.*, **33** (2010), 27–34.
- [13] A. M. A. El-Sayed, S. M. Al-Issa, *Existence of continuous solutions for nonlinear functional differential and integral inclusions*, *Malaya J. Mat.*, **7** (2019), 541–544.
- [14] A. M. A. El-Sayed, S. M. Al-Issa, *Monotonic integrable solution for a mixed type integral and differential inclusion of fractional orders*, *Int. J. Differ. Equations Appl.*, **18** (2019), 10 pages.
- [15] A. M. A. El-Sayed, S. M. Al-Issa, *Monotonic solutions for a quadratic integral equation of fractional order*, *AIMS Mathematics*, **4** (2019), 821–830. 1
- [16] G. Emmanuele, *Integrable solutions of Hammerstein integral equations*, *Appl. Anal.*, **50** (1993), 277–284. 3
- [17] A. Fyszkowski, *Continuous selection for a class of non-convex multivalued maps*, *Studia Math.*, **76** (1983), 163–174. 2.4
- [18] A.-G. Ibrahim, A. M. A. El-Sayed, *Definite integral of fractional order for set-valued function*, *J. Fract. Calc.*, **11** (1997), 81–87. 1
- [19] K. Kuratowski, C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *A general theorem on selectors*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, **13** (1965), 397–403. 2.4
- [20] K. S. Miller, B. Ross, *An Introduction to the fractional calculus and fractional differential equations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1993). 2.2
- [21] D. O'Regan, *Integral inclusions of upper semi-continuous or lower semi-continuous type*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 2391–2399. 1
- [22] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, (1999). 2.2
- [23] I. Podlubny, A. M. A. El-Sayed, *On two definitions of fractional calculus*, *Slovak Academy Sci.-Ins. Experimental Phys.*, (1996), 3–96.
- [24] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas, O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Derivatives of Fractional Orders and Some of their Applications*, Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, (1987). 2.2
- [25] C. Swartz, *Measure, integration and function spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, (1994). 3